



MMC, 4(1): 32–43. DOI: 10.3934/mmc.2024004 Received: 18 January 2023 Revised: 21 March 2023 Accepted: 08 April 2023 Published: 19 March 2024

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/mmc

# Research article

# Hopf algebra of labeled simple graphs arising from super-shuffle product

#### Jiaming Dong and Huilan Li\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250358, China

\* Correspondence: E-mail: lihl@sdnu.edu.cn.

**Abstract:** From the connections between permutations and labeled simple graphs, we generalized the super-shuffle product and the cut-box coproduct on permutations to labeled simple graphs. We then proved that the vector space spanned by labeled simple graphs is a Hopf algebra with these two operations.

Keywords: Hopf algebra; labeled simple graph; super-shuffle product; cut-box coproduct

# 1. Introduction

In 1964, Hopf first proposed Hopf algebra in order to study the properties of algebraic topology and algebraic groups [1]. In 1965, Milnor and Moore introduced the basic definitions and properties of Hopf algebras [2], then Chase and Sweedler did some relevant works and introduced common notations [3, 4]. After that, Hopf algebra has been used to study a lot of objects, such as posets [5], symmetric functions [6,7], quantum groups [8], and Clifford algebras [9].

In 1979, Joni and Rota first studied Hopf algebras on combinatorial objects, such as polynomials and puzzles [10]. In 1994 and 1995, Schmitt studied incidence Hopf algebras and a Hopf algebra on graphs with an addition invariant and introduced a variety of examples of incidence Hopf algebras arising from families of graphs, matroids, and distributive lattices, many of which generalize well-known Hopf algebras [11,12].

In 1997 and 1999, Connes and Kreimer studied Hopf algebra structures on rooted trees and rooted forests and their applications in renormalization in quantum field theories [13, 14]. This promotes the study of Hopf algebras on graphs. In 2020, Aval et al. mentioned a Hopf algebra on labeled graphs arising from the unshuffle coproduct [15].

For more Hopf algebras on graphs, please refer to [16–20].

Permutations are related to graphs closely. In 1995, Malvenuto and Reutenauer studied a Hopf algebra on permutations, where the product is the classic shuffle III [21]. In 2014, Vargas defined a commutative but noncocommutative Hopf algebra on permutations by the supershuffle product III and the cut-box coproduct  $\Delta_{\circ}$  without a proof [22], which was done by Liu and Li in 2021 [23]. In 2020, Zhao and Li defined another commutative Hopf algebra structure on permutations and its duality and figured out closed-formulas of the antipodes [24]. It is well-known that permutations are elements of symmetric groups, which are widely used in various fields, such as the algebraic number theory [25].

A labeled simple graph is a simple graph with vertices labeled by distinct positive integers. In this paper, we generalize the super-shuffle product and the cut-box coproduct on permutations to labeled simple graphs. We prove that the vector space spanned by labeled simple graphs with these two operations is a Hopf algebra.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic concepts of Hopf algebra, give the definition of labeled simple graphs, and define the super-shuffle product and the cut-box coproduct on labeled simple graphs. In Section 3, we prove that the vector space spanned

by labeled simple graphs is a graded algebra with the supershuffle product and a graded coalgebra with the cut-box coproduct. Furthermore, we prove the compatibility of these operations, then the vector space is a Hopf algebra. Finally, we summarize our main conclusions in Section 4.

# 2. Basic definitions

#### 2.1. Preliminaries

Here, we recall some basic definitions related to Hopf algebra and see [4] for more details. Let *C* be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -module over commutative ring  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Define  $\mathbb{K}$ -bilinear mappings *m* from  $C \otimes C$  to *C* and  $\mu$  from  $\mathbb{K}$  to *C*, such that the diagrams in Figure 1 are commutative, then  $(C, m, \mu)$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. Here, *m* and  $\mu$  are called a *product* and a *unit*, respectively.



Figure 1. Associative law and unitary property.

Define K-linear mappings  $\Delta$  from *C* to  $C \otimes C$  and  $\nu$  from *C* to K, such that the diagrams in Figure 2 are commutative, then  $(C, \Delta, \nu)$  is a K-*coalgebra*. Here,  $\Delta$  and  $\nu$  are called a *coproduct* and a *co-unit*, respectively.

We say  $(C, m, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a *bialgebra* if  $(C, m, \mu)$  is an algebra,  $(C, \Delta, \nu)$  is a coalgebra, and one of the following *compatibility* conditions holds:

- (i)  $\Delta$  and co-unit  $\nu$  are algebra homomorphisms;
- (ii) *m* and unit  $\mu$  are coalgebra homomorphisms.

In fact, (i) and (ii) are equivalent; see [26] for details.



**Figure 2.** Coassociative law and co-unitary property.

A vector space C is graded if

$$C = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} C_n$$

and we call it *connected* when  $C_0 \cong \mathbb{K}$  [26]. The algebra  $(C, m, \mu)$  is *graded* if the product *m* satisfies

$$m(C_i \otimes C_i) \subseteq C_{i+i}$$

and

$$\mu(\mathbb{K}) \subseteq C_0.$$

Similarly, the coalgebra  $(C, \Delta, \nu)$  is *graded* if the coproduct  $\Delta$  satisfies

$$\Delta(C_n) \subseteq \bigoplus C_i \otimes C_{n-i}$$

and

$$\nu(C_n)=0,$$

when  $n \ge 1$ . A bialgebra is *graded* when its algebra and coalgebra structures are both graded.

For bialgebra  $(C, m, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$ , we call  $S: C \rightarrow C$  an *antipode* if it satisfies

$$m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \mu \circ \nu = m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta$$

i.e., the diagram in Figure 3 is commutative. A bialgebra is a *Hopf algebra* when it has an antipode.

Actually, a graded connected bialgebra must be a Hopf algebra [26].



Figure 3. Antipode.

#### 2.2. Main concepts

In this subsection, we recall some basic concepts of graph theory, which can be found in [27].

A *labeled simple graph*  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a finite graph with no cycles and no multiple edges whose vertices are distinct positive integers, where *V* is the set of all vertices of  $\Gamma$ , also denoted by  $V(\Gamma)$ , and *E* is the set of all edges of  $\Gamma$ , also denoted by  $E(\Gamma)$ . Obviously,  $E \subseteq V \times V$ . If  $(i_1, i_2) \in E$ , then  $i_1 \neq i_2$  and  $(i_2, i_1) \notin E$ , since the graph  $\Gamma$  has no cycles and no multiple edges. In particular,  $\Gamma$  is the empty graph when  $V = \emptyset$ , denoted by  $\epsilon$ .

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  and  $I \subseteq V$ . Define the *restriction of*  $\Gamma$  *on* I by  $\Gamma_I = (I, E_I)$ , where

$$E_{I} = \{(i, j) | i, j \in I, (i, j) \in E\},\$$

and we call  $\Gamma_I$  a *subgraph of*  $\Gamma$ . If *I* is a nontrivial subset of *V*, we call  $\Gamma_I$  a *true subgraph of*  $\Gamma$ . If the vertex sets of two subgraphs of  $\Gamma$  are disjoint, then we say that the subgraphs are *disjoint subgraphs*. If

$$\Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1), \ \ \Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2)$$

and

$$V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset,$$

then denote

$$\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2).$$

Obviously, there are no edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

We introduce the following notations for convenience:

$$[n] = \begin{cases} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, & n > 0, \\ \emptyset, & n = 0, \end{cases}$$

Mathematical Modelling and Control

and

$$[i, j] = \begin{cases} \{i, i+1, \dots, j\}, & i \leq j, \\ \emptyset, & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Example 1. The labeled simple graph

$$\Gamma = ([8], \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 7), (6, 8)\})$$

 $\Gamma = \frac{2}{1} \sum_{3} \int_{4}^{5} \int_{6}^{7} L_{8},$ 

can be represented as the graph

then

$$\Gamma_{\{1,3,5,7\}} = (\{1,3,5,7\}, \{(1,3)\}) = \int_{1}^{3} \bullet_{5} \bullet_{7},$$
  

$$\Gamma_{[4]} = ([4], \{(1,2), (1,3), (2,3)\}) = \int_{1}^{2} D_{3} \bullet_{4},$$
  

$$\Gamma_{[3,6]} = ([3,6], \{(4,5)\}) = \bullet_{3} \int_{4}^{5} \bullet_{6}.$$

Let

$$H_n = \{\Gamma \mid \Gamma = ([n], E) \text{ is a labeled simple graph}\},\$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_n$  be the vector space spanned by  $H_n$  over field  $\mathbb{K}$ , for a nonnegative integer *n*. In particular,  $H_0 = \{\epsilon\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{K}H_0$ . Denote

$$H = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n$$
 and  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ .

Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a nonempty labeled simple graph, where

$$V = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n\}.$$

Define the *restructure of*  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  by  $\hat{V}$  to be  $\hat{\Gamma} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ , where

$$\hat{V} = \{\hat{v}_1, \hat{v}_2, \cdots, \hat{v}_n\}$$

is a set of distinct positve integers satisfying

$$\hat{v}_i < \hat{v}_j \Leftrightarrow v_i < v_j,$$

and  $\hat{E}$  satisfies

$$(\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_j) \in \hat{E} \Leftrightarrow (v_i, v_j) \in E,$$

for any  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32-43.

Example 2. For

$$\Gamma = {}_5^2 {}_3 {}_8^7,$$

the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by [5] is  ${}_{3}^{1} \stackrel{1}{\searrow} {}_{2}^{4} \stackrel{4}{\underset{5}{}_{5}}$  and the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by {1, 3, 5, 7, 9} is  ${}_{5}^{1} \stackrel{1}{\underset{5}{}_{9}} \stackrel{7}{\underset{9}{}_{9}}$ .

Let *I* be the set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$  of distinct positive intergers with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$ . We define a mapping st<sub>*I*</sub> from *I* to [|I|] to be the *standardization of I* satisfying st<sub>*I*</sub> $(i_a) = a$  for  $1 \le a \le n$ . For  $x, y \in I$ , we have st<sub>*I*</sub> $(x) < st_I(y)$  if, and only if, x < y. For a subset *S* of *I*, denote

$$\operatorname{st}_{I}(S) = {\operatorname{st}_{I}(i) | i \in S}$$

In general, the standardizations of a number in different sets are different. For example, let  $I_1 = \{6, 7, 9\}$  and  $I_2 = \{1, 3, 7, 9, 11\}$ , then st<sub>*I*<sub>1</sub></sub>(7) = 2 and st<sub>*I*<sub>2</sub></sub>(7) = 3. For convenience, we omit the subscript of the set.

Define the *standard form* of  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  by  $st(\Gamma) = (st(V), st(E))$ , where st(V) = [|V|] and st(E) satisfies

$$(\operatorname{st}(v_1), \operatorname{st}(v_2)) \in \operatorname{st}(E) \Leftrightarrow (v_1, v_2) \in E.$$

Obviously, the above standardizations are of the vertex set V, so we omit the subscript. In particular, we have  $st(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ . Thus,  $st(\cdot)$  is a mapping from the set of all labeled simple graphs to H. In fact, the standard form of  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by [|V|].

In addition, for a positive integer *n*, let  $\Gamma^{\uparrow n}$  be the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by the set

$$V^{\uparrow n} := \{ v + n | v \in V \}.$$

Similarly, let  $\Gamma^{\downarrow n}$  be the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by the set

$$V^{\downarrow n} := \{ v - n | v \in V \}$$

provided n is less than the minimum of V.

Example 3. For labeled simple graphs

$$5_{3} \bullet 2, 5_{2} \bullet 2^{1} \bullet 2^{7}, and \bullet 5,$$

their standard forms are

Mathematical Modelling and Control

For nonempty  $\Gamma$  in *H*, the standard form of any restructure of  $\Gamma$  must be  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{st}(\widehat{\Gamma}) = (\operatorname{st}(\widehat{V}), \operatorname{st}(\widehat{E})) = (V, E) = \Gamma,$$

where the  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is a restructure of  $\Gamma$ . Conversely, if the standard form of a labeled simple graph is  $\Gamma$ , then it must be a restructure of  $\Gamma$ .

Example 4. For

and

$$\Gamma = \mathbf{I}_1^2 \mathbf{I}_3^4 \bullet_5 \in H_5,$$

the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by [4, 8] is  $\int_{4}^{5} \int_{6}^{7} \cdot \mathbf{8}$  and the restructure of  $\Gamma$  by {1, 3, 5, 7, 9} is  $\int_{1}^{3} \int_{-5}^{7} \cdot \mathbf{9}$ . We have

For  $\Gamma = ([n], E)$  in  $H_n$ , we call *i* a *split* of  $\Gamma$  if

$$\Gamma_{[i]} \cup \Gamma_{[i+1,n]} = \Gamma,$$

where  $0 \le i \le n$ . Obviously, *i* is a split of  $\Gamma$  if, and only if, there are no edges between [*i*] and [*i* + 1, *n*] in  $\Gamma$ . By the definition, 0 and *n*, called *trivial splits*, are always splits of labeled simple graphs in  $H_n$  when  $n \ge 1$ . We call  $\Gamma$ *indecomposible* if it is nonempty and only has trivial splits.

For  $\Gamma = ([n], E)$  in  $H_n, n \ge 1$ , assume that  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_s\}$  is the set of all splits of  $\Gamma$ , where

$$0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_s = n,$$

then we call  $\Gamma_{[i_{k-1}+1,i_k]}$  an *atom* of  $\Gamma$ ,  $1 \le k \le s$ . Obviously, the standard form of an atom is indecomposible since there is no split of  $\Gamma$  in  $[i_{k-1} + 1, i_k]$  for  $1 \le k \le s$ . Let

$$\Gamma_k = \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[i_{k-1}+1,i_k]})$$

for  $1 \le k \le s$ . We define the *decomposition* of  $\Gamma$  by

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \diamond \Gamma_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s.$$

Actually, if  $j_k$ : =  $i_k - i_{k-1}$ , then  $\Gamma_k \in H_{j_k}$  for  $1 \le k \le s$ , and

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2^{\uparrow i_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_s^{\uparrow i_{s-1}}$$

In particular, when  $\Gamma = \epsilon$ , its decomposition is itself.

**Example 5.** (1) The set of splits of  $\int_{1}^{2} \int_{3}^{5} \cdot 4$  is {0, 2, 5} and its decomposition is

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{e}_{3}^{5} \mathbf{e}_{4} = \operatorname{st}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{2}\right) \diamond \operatorname{st}\left(\mathbf{e}_{3}^{5} \mathbf{e}_{4}\right) = \mathbf{e}_{1}^{2} \diamond \mathbf{e}_{1}^{3} \mathbf{e}_{2}.$$

The atoms of  $\oint_1^2 \oint_3^5 \oint_4^4$  are  $\oint_1^2$  and  $\oint_3^5 \oint_4^4$ .

(2) The set of splits of  $l_2^1$   $l_3^4$   $\cdot_5$  is  $\{0, 2, 4, 5\}$ , so its decomposition is

$$\mathbf{J}_{2}^{1} \mathbf{J}_{3}^{4} \bullet_{5} = \operatorname{st}\left(\mathbf{J}_{2}^{1}\right) \diamond \operatorname{st}\left(\mathbf{J}_{3}^{4}\right) \diamond \operatorname{st}\left(\bullet_{5}\right) = \mathbf{J}_{2}^{1} \diamond \mathbf{J}_{1}^{2} \diamond \bullet_{1}$$

The atoms of  $\mathbf{b}_{2}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{b}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{\bullet}_{5}$  are  $\mathbf{b}_{2}^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{b}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}}$ , and  $\mathbf{\bullet}_{5}$ .

(3) The set of splits of  ${}_{1}^{2} \searrow_{3}$  is {0,3}, so it is indecomposible. Its decomposition is itself, and so is its atom.

Define the *cut-box coproduct*  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\Delta(\Gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_j \otimes \Gamma_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{s} \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[1,i_j]}) \otimes \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[i_j+1,i_s]}),$$

for nonempty

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \diamond \Gamma_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s$$

in  $H_n$  with splits

$$0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_s = n$$
 and  $\Delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon$ .

Define the *co-unit* v from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathbb{K}$  by

$$\nu(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \Gamma = \epsilon, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $\Gamma$  in H.

# Example 6.

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \otimes \epsilon,$$
  
$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\$$

Mathematical Modelling and Control

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\mathbf{l}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{t}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}} \bullet_{5}) &= \Delta(\mathbf{l}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \diamond \mathbf{t}_{1}^{2} \diamond \bullet_{1}) \\ &= \epsilon \otimes \mathbf{l}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \diamond \mathbf{l}_{1}^{2} \diamond \bullet_{1} + \mathbf{t}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{t}_{1}^{2} \diamond \bullet_{1} \\ &+ \mathbf{t}_{2}^{1} \diamond \mathbf{t}_{2}^{2} \otimes \bullet_{1} + \mathbf{t}_{2}^{1} \diamond \mathbf{t}_{1}^{2} \diamond \bullet_{1} \otimes \epsilon \\ &= \epsilon \otimes \mathbf{l}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{t}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}} \bullet_{5} + \mathbf{t}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{1}^{2} \bullet_{3} + \mathbf{t}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{t}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}} \otimes \bullet_{1} + \mathbf{t}_{2}^{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{t}_{3}^{\mathbf{4}} \bullet_{5} \otimes \epsilon . \end{split}$$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \nu)$  is a graded coalgebra.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that v is a co-unit. Obviously,

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta(\epsilon)=\epsilon\otimes\epsilon\otimes\epsilon=(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta(\epsilon).$$

Suppose  $\Gamma = ([n], E)$  with  $n \ge 1$ , and its decomposition is

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \diamond \Gamma_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(\Gamma) \\ &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(\Gamma_1 \diamond \Gamma_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s) \\ &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \sum_{j=0}^s \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_j \otimes \Gamma_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_j \otimes (\sum_{k=j}^s \Gamma_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k \otimes \Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k \leq s} \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_j \otimes \Gamma_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k \otimes \Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^s (\sum_{j=0}^k \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_j \otimes \Gamma_{j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k) \otimes \Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \sum_{k=0}^s \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k \otimes \Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta(\Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k = \epsilon$$

for  $0 \le k \le s$ . So,  $\Delta$  satisfies the coassociative law. Hence,  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \nu)$  is a coalgebra.

By the definition of the coproduct  $\Delta$ , we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{H}_n) \subseteq \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n-i}$$

and

$$\nu(\mathcal{H}_n)=0.$$

when  $n \ge 1$ . So,  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \nu)$  is a graded coalgebra.

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32–43.

Define the *super-shuffle product* \* on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2} = \sum_{\substack{I, J: |I|=m, |J|=n \\ I \cup J = [m+n] = V(\Gamma) \\ \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{I}) = \Gamma_{1}, \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{J}) = \Gamma_{2}}} \Gamma$$
(1)

for  $\Gamma_1$  in  $H_m$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in  $H_n$ . Sometimes, we denote it by  $*(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ . Obviously, the product \* is commutative on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define the *unit*  $\mu$  from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mu(1) = \epsilon$ .

Actually,  $\Gamma_I$  is the restructure of  $\Gamma_1$  by I, and  $\Gamma_J$  is the restructure of  $\Gamma_2$  by J in (1). Given I and J satisfying |I| = m, |J| = n, and  $I \cup J = [m + n]$ ,  $\Gamma$  traverses all graphs in  $H_{m+n}$ , which is a union of the restructure of  $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  by I, the restructure of  $\Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  by J, and some edges between  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ . That is,  $\Gamma$  traverses the set

$$P_{I,J} = \{ (\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2, \hat{E}_1 \cup \hat{E}_2 \cup C) | \hat{V}_1 = I,$$
$$\hat{V}_2 = J, C \subseteq \hat{V}_1 \times \hat{V}_2 \}.$$

So, we rewrite (1) as

$$\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 = \sum_{\substack{I, \ J: \ |I|=m, \ |J|=n}} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in P_{I,J}}} \Gamma.$$
 (2)

That is, each term  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is a graph by adding some edges between  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$  to  $\hat{\Gamma}_1 \cup \hat{\Gamma}_2$ , where

$$\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2 = [m+n],$$

i.e.,

$$\Gamma = (\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2, \hat{E}_1 \cup \hat{E}_2 \cup C),$$
(3)

where *C* is a set of edges between  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ . Conversely,  $(\hat{V}_1, \hat{V}_2, C)$  can uniquely determine a term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ , where

$$\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2 = [m+n]$$

and *C* is a set of edges between  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ . We consider two terms in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  the same if, and only if, their corresponding  $\hat{V}_1$ ,  $\hat{V}_2$  and *C* are the same. Thus, each term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is unique.

#### Example 7.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}_{1}^{2} * \mathbf{0}_{1} = \frac{2}{1} \mathbf{0}_{3}^{2} + \frac{2}{1} \mathbf{0$$

Mathematical Modelling and Control

Here, we color the vertices of the term  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  restricted to  $\Gamma_1$  red and to  $\Gamma_2$  blue, respectively. In this example, although  ${}_2^3 \triangleright_1$  and  ${}_1^3 \triangleright_2$  are the same as graphs, we consider that they are different in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  because their  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$  are not the same. So, each term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is unique.

In order to represent vertices in each term of  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  before restructure, we name the vertices in  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively, as

$$V(\Gamma_1) = \{v_{11}, v_{12}, \cdots, v_{1m}\}$$

$$V(\Gamma_2) = \{v_{21}, v_{22}, \cdots, v_{2n}\},\$$

where  $v_{11} < v_{12} < \cdots < v_{1m}$  and  $v_{21} < v_{22} < \cdots < v_{2n}$ . Although  $v_{11}$  and  $v_{21}$  are both equal to 1, we consider that they are different because they belong to different graphs, then the vertex set of a term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  is

$$\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2 = {\hat{v}_{11}, \cdots, \hat{v}_{1m}, \hat{v}_{21}, \cdots, \hat{v}_{2n}} = [m+n].$$

**Theorem 2.2.**  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu)$  is a graded algebra.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $\mu$  is a unit. Suppose

$$\Gamma_1 = ([n_1], E_1), \quad \Gamma_2 = ([n_2], E_2)$$

and

and

$$\Gamma_3 = ([n_3], E_3)$$

in *H*. For any term  $\Gamma$  in  $(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) * \Gamma_3$ , it corresponds to two disjoint subsets *J* and *K* of  $[n_1+n_2+n_3]$  with  $|J| = n_1+n_2$  and  $|K| = n_3$ , such that st $(\Gamma_J)$  is a term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  and st $(\Gamma_K) = \Gamma_3$ . It means

$$(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) * \Gamma_3 = \sum_{\substack{J, K: \ |J| = n_1 + n_2, \ |K| = n_3 \\ J \cup K = [n_1 + n_2 + n_3] = V(\Gamma) \ \text{st}(\Gamma_J) \text{ is a term in } \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2}} \Gamma.$$
(4)

For a fixed J in (4), st( $\Gamma_J$ ) corresponds to two disjoint subsets P and Q of  $[n_1 + n_2]$  with  $|P| = n_1$  and  $|Q| = n_2$ , such that

$$\operatorname{st}(\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_J)_P) = \Gamma_I$$

and

$$\operatorname{st}(\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_J)_Q) = \Gamma_2.$$

Therefore, there is a subset *M* of *J* with  $|M| = n_1$  corresponding to *P*, i.e., st<sub>*J*</sub>(*M*) = *P*, such that

$$\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_M) = \operatorname{st}(\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_J)_P) = \Gamma_1.$$

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32–43.

Similarly, there is a subset N of J with  $|N| = n_2$ corresponding to Q, i.e., st<sub>J</sub>(N) = Q, such that

$$\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_N) = \operatorname{st}(\operatorname{st}(\Gamma_I)_O) = \Gamma_2.$$

That means (4) can be rewritten as

$$(\Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}) * \Gamma_{3} = \sum_{\substack{J, K: |J|=n_{1}+n_{2}, |K|=n_{3} \\ J \cup K = [n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}] = V(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\substack{M, N: |M|=n_{1}, |N|=n_{2} \\ M \cup N = J \\ st(\Gamma_{M}) = \Gamma_{1}, st(\Gamma_{N}) = \Gamma_{2}, st(\Gamma_{K}) = \Gamma_{3}}} \Gamma.$$
(5)

For a fixed subset J in  $[n_1 + n_2 + n_3]$  with cardinality  $n_1 + n_2$ , P traverses all subsets with cardinality  $n_1$  in  $[n_1 + n_2]$ since st( $\Gamma_J$ ) traverses all terms in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ . Meanwhile, M traverses all subsets with cardinality  $n_1$  in J. Therefore, M traverses all subsets with cardinality  $n_1$  in  $[n_1+n_2+n_3]$  since J traverses all subsets with cardinality  $n_1 + n_2$  in  $[n_1+n_2+n_3]$ . At the same time, N traverses all subsets with cardinality  $n_2$  in  $[n_1 + n_2 + n_3]$  from  $J = M \cup N$ . Thus, (5) can be rewritten as

$$(\Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}) * \Gamma_{3} = \sum_{\substack{M, N, K: |M|=n_{1}, |N|=n_{2}, |K|=n_{3} \\ M \cup N \cup K = [n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}] = V(\Gamma) \\ \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{M}) = \Gamma_{1}, \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{N}) = \Gamma_{2}, \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{K}) = \Gamma_{3}} \Gamma.$$
(6)

Similarly,  $\Gamma_1 * (\Gamma_2 * \Gamma_3)$  is equal to (6). Hence, \* satisfies the associative law and  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu)$  is an algebra.

By the definition of the product \*, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_i * \mathcal{H}_i \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{i+i}$$

and

$$\mu(\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0.$$

So,  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu)$  is a graded algebra.

#### 3. Main theorems

In this section, we will prove that  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a Hopf algebra. Now, we give two lemmas.

Let  $\Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  in  $H_m$  and  $\Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  in  $H_n$  be nonempty graphs and  $\Gamma$  be a term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ . Thus,  $\Gamma$  can be represented by  $(\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2, \hat{E}_1 \cup \hat{E}_2 \cup C)$  from (3), where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_1 := (\hat{V}_1, \hat{E}_1)$$

is the restructure of  $\Gamma_1$  by  $\hat{V}_1$  and

$$\hat{\Gamma}_2 := (\hat{V}_2, \hat{E}_2)$$

is the restructure of  $\Gamma_2$  by  $\hat{V}_2$ . Obviously,

$$\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2 = [m+n].$$

Mathematical Modelling and Control

**Lemma 3.1.** Each atom of  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  can only contain subgraphs of  $\hat{\Gamma}_1$  or  $\hat{\Gamma}_2$  corresponding to some complete atoms in  $\Gamma_1$  or  $\Gamma_2$ .

Proof. Let

and

$$\Gamma_1 = (\{v_{11}, \cdots, v_{1m}\}, E_1)$$

$$\Gamma_2 = (\{v_{21}, \cdots, v_{2n}\}, E_2)$$

be nonempty in *H*, where  $v_{11} < \cdots < v_{1m}$  and  $v_{21} < \cdots < v_{2n}$ . Consider a term  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ . Suppose  $\Gamma_{[i,j]}$  is an atom of  $\Gamma$  containing a nonempty subgraph of  $\hat{\Gamma}_{1\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$ , where  $\hat{\Gamma}_{1\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$  corresponds to the atom  $\Gamma_{1\{v_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$  of  $\Gamma_1$ .

When p = q, there is only one element in  $\{\hat{v}_{lk}\}_{k=p}^{q}$ , then  $\Gamma_{[i,j]}$  contains the complete atom  $\hat{\Gamma}_{1\{\hat{v}_{lk}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$ . Hence, the conclusion holds.

When  $1 \leq p < q \leq m$ ,  $\{v_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}$  contains at least two vertices. Suppose that  $\Gamma_{[i,j]}$  contains a true subgraph of  $\hat{\Gamma}_{1\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$ . In fact, since  $\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}$  maintains the order relationship in  $\{v_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}$ , the vertices of this true subgraph correspond to a true subinterval in  $\{v_{1k}\}_{k=p}^{q}$ . Let

$$\omega = \min\{k \mid \hat{v}_{1k} \in [i, j], p \le k \le q\}$$

and

$$\Omega = \max\{k \mid \hat{v}_{1k} \in [i, j], p \leq k \leq q\}.$$

We have  $i \leq \hat{v}_{1\omega} \leq \hat{v}_{1\Omega} \leq j$ , then

$$\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=\omega}^{\Omega} \subseteq [i, j]$$

and  $\omega \neq p$  or  $\Omega \neq q$  because  $\Gamma_{[i,j]}$  contains a true subgraph of  $\hat{\Gamma}_{1_{\{v_{i,k}\}_{k=n}^{q}}}$ .

If  $\omega \neq p$ , then  $\omega > p$ . From

$$1 \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq \omega - 1} \{ \hat{v}_{1k} \} < i \leq \min_{\omega \leq k \leq m} \{ \hat{v}_{1k} \},$$
$$\{ \hat{v}_{1k} \}_{k=1}^{\omega - 1} \subseteq [i - 1]$$

and

$$\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=\omega}^m \subseteq [i, m+n].$$

From  $\Gamma_{[i,j]}$  is an atom of  $\Gamma$  and i - 1 is a split of  $\Gamma$ , there are no edges between [i - 1] and [i, m + n] in  $\Gamma$ . Therefore,

$$\Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=1}^{m}} = \Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=1}^{\omega-1}} \cup \Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=\omega}^{m}}.$$

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32-43.

By the definition of \*, we have

$$\mathrm{st}(\Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=1}^m})=\Gamma_1$$

and

$$\operatorname{st}_{\hat{V}_1}(\hat{v}_{1k}) = v_{1k} = k.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1 &= \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=1}^m}) \\ &= \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=1}^{\omega-1}} \cup \Gamma_{\{\hat{v}_{1k}\}_{k=\omega}^m}) \\ &= \Gamma_1[\omega-1] \cup \Gamma_1[\omega,m], \end{split}$$

where  $p-1 < \omega - 1 < q$ , i.e.,  $\omega - 1$  is a split of  $\Gamma_1$ . However, there is no split of  $\Gamma_1$  between p-1 and q, since  $\Gamma_{1\{\nu_{lk}\}_{k=p}^{q}}$ is an atom of  $\Gamma_1$ , which is a contradiction. Similarly, when  $\Omega \neq q$ , we have  $p-1 < \Omega < q$  and  $\Omega$  is a split of  $\Gamma_1$ , a contradiction.

Thus, if an atom of  $\Gamma$  contains a true subgraph in  $\hat{\Gamma}_1$ , then this subgraph must correspond to some complete atoms of  $\Gamma_1$ . Similarly, we can prove the conclusion holds for atoms in  $\Gamma_2$ .

For simplicity, we restate Lemma 3.1 as: for any term  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ , any atom of  $\Gamma$  can only contain some complete *original* atoms of  $\Gamma_1$  or  $\Gamma_2$ .

**Remark 3.1.** For  $\Gamma$  in  $H_n$ , suppose its decomposition is

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \diamond \Gamma_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s$$

with splits

$$0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_s = n$$

then

$$\Delta(\Gamma) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \Gamma_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_k \otimes \Gamma_{k+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_s$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{s} \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[1,i_k]}) \otimes \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[i_k+1,i_s]}).$$

If  $\Theta_1 \otimes \Theta_2$  is the term in  $\Delta(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Theta_1$  is a standard form of the first *k* atoms of  $\Gamma$  for some  $0 \le k \le s$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a term in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  and  $\Theta_1 \otimes \Theta_2$  be a term in  $\Delta(\Gamma)$ . From Lemma 3.1,  $\Theta_1$ only contains the standard forms of some complete original atoms of  $\Gamma_1$  or  $\Gamma_2$ . If the first few atoms of a labeled simple graph contain *l* vertices, then these vertices must be [*l*]. So, if  $\Theta_1$  contains *i* original atoms of  $\Gamma_1$ , then they must be the

#### Mathematical Modelling and Control

first *i* atoms of  $\Gamma_1$ . Similarly, if  $\Theta_1$  contains *j* original atoms of  $\Gamma_2$ , then they must be the first *j* atoms of  $\Gamma_2$ .

Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be nonempty in *H*. Suppose their decompositions are

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}.$$

Define  $\Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)$  to be the sum of all terms  $\Theta_1 \otimes \Theta_2$  in  $\Delta(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)$ , where  $\Theta_1$  contains the first *i* complete original atoms in  $\Gamma_1$  and the first *j* complete original atoms in  $\Gamma_2$ ,  $0 \le i \le s$ , and  $0 \le j \le t$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be nonempty in H. Assume their decompositions are

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}$$
 and  $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}$ ,

then,

$$\Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j})$$
$$\otimes (\Gamma_{1\,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2\,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}),$$

for  $0 \le i \le s$  and  $0 \le j \le t$ .

Proof. Denote

$$V(\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i}) = V_{11},$$
$$|V_{11}| = h_1, \quad V_1 \setminus V_{11} = V_{12},$$

and

$$(E_1)_{V_{11}} = E_{11}, \quad (E_1)_{V_{12}} = E_{12}.$$

Similarly,

$$V(\Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j}) = V_{21}, |V_{21}| = h_2, V_2 \setminus V_{21} = V_{22},$$

and

and

$$(E_2)_{V_{21}} = E_{21}, \quad (E_2)_{V_{22}} = E_{22}.$$

Obviously,

$$\Gamma_1 = (V_{11} \cup V_{12}, E_{11} \cup E_{12})$$

$$\Gamma_2 = (V_{21} \cup V_{22}, E_{21} \cup E_{22}).$$

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32-43.

Next, we denote  $\hat{V}_{11}$  as the subset corresponding to  $V_{11}$ in  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $(\hat{V}_{11}, \hat{E}_{11})$  as the restructure  $(V_{11}, E_{11})$  by  $\hat{V}_{11}$ . Similarly, we have  $\hat{V}_{12}, \hat{E}_{12}, \hat{V}_{21}, \hat{E}_{21}, \hat{V}_{22}$ , and  $\hat{E}_{22}$ .

By (3), each term  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ ,

$$\Gamma = (\hat{V}_1 \cup \hat{V}_2, \hat{E}_1 \cup \hat{E}_2 \cup C),$$

where *C* is a set of edges between  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ . Let  $\Theta_1 \otimes \Theta_2$  be a term in  $\Delta(\Gamma)$  and in  $\Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)$ . By the definition of  $\Delta_{ij}$ ,  $h_1 + h_2$  is a split of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\hat{V}_{11} \cup \hat{V}_{21} = [h_1 + h_2],$$
  
 $\hat{V}_{12} \cup \hat{V}_{22} = [h_1 + h_2 + 1, m + n]$ 

and

$$\Theta_1 = \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{[h_1+h_2]}) = \operatorname{st}(\Gamma_{\hat{V}_{11}\cup\hat{V}_{21}}).$$

Since  $h_1 + h_2$  is a split of  $\Gamma$ , there are no edges between  $[h_1 + h_2]$  and  $[h_1 + h_2 + 1, m + n]$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, there are no edges between  $\hat{V}_{11}$  and  $\hat{V}_{22}$  and no edges between  $\hat{V}_{12}$  and  $\hat{V}_{21}$ . Therefore, *C* is  $C_1 \cup C_2$ , where

$$C_1 \subseteq \hat{V}_{11} \times \hat{V}_{21}$$

and

$$C_2 \subseteq \hat{V}_{12} \times \hat{V}_{22}.$$

Hence,

$$\Gamma = (\hat{V}_{11} \cup \hat{V}_{12} \cup \hat{V}_{21} \cup \hat{V}_{22}, \hat{E}_{11} \cup \hat{E}_{12} \cup \hat{E}_{21} \cup \hat{E}_{22} \cup C_1 \cup C_2).$$
(7)

Therefore,

$$\Theta_1 = \operatorname{st}[(\hat{V}_{11} \cup \hat{V}_{21}, \hat{E}_{11} \cup \hat{E}_{21} \cup C_1)]$$

and

$$\Theta_2 = \operatorname{st}[(\hat{V}_{12} \cup \hat{V}_{22}, \hat{E}_{12} \cup \hat{E}_{22} \cup C_2)],$$

then  $\Theta_1$  is a term in

$$\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} \ast \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2i}$$

for

 $\operatorname{st}((\Theta_1)_{\hat{V}_{11}}) = \operatorname{st}(\hat{V}_{11}, \hat{E}_{11}) = \Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i}$ 

and

$$\operatorname{st}((\Theta_1)_{\hat{V}_{21}}) = \operatorname{st}(V_{21}, E_{21}) = \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j}$$

Mathematical Modelling and Control

Similarly,  $\Theta_2$  is a term in

$$\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}.$$

For given  $\Theta_1$  in  $\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2i}$ , let

$$S = \left\{ \Gamma \left| \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \text{ is a term in } \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 \text{ and } \exists \Theta_2 \text{ s.t. } \Theta_1 \otimes \Theta_2 \\ \text{ is a term in } \Delta(\Gamma) \text{ and in } \Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) \end{array} \right\}$$

For each  $\Gamma$  in S,

$$\Gamma = (\hat{V}_{11} \cup \hat{V}_{12} \cup \hat{V}_{21} \cup \hat{V}_{22}, \hat{E}_{11} \cup \hat{E}_{12} \cup \hat{E}_{21} \cup \hat{E}_{22} \cup C_1 \cup C_2)$$

by (7), where  $\hat{V}_{11}$ ,  $\hat{V}_{21}$ , and  $C_1$  are fixed, since  $\Theta_1$  is fixed.

By (3), when  $\Gamma$  traverses all terms in  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ , its  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ traverse all disjoint subsets of [m+n] with cardinalities m and n, respectively, and C traverses all subsets in  $\hat{V}_1 \times \hat{V}_2$  for fixed  $\hat{V}_1$  and  $\hat{V}_2$ . Thus,  $\hat{V}_{12}$  and  $\hat{V}_{22}$  of  $\Gamma$  in S traverse all disjoint subsets of  $[h_1 + h_2 + 1, m + n]$  with cardinalities  $m - h_1$  and  $n - h_2$ , respectively. Also,  $C_2$  of  $\Gamma$  in S traverses all subsets of  $\hat{V}_{12} \times \hat{V}_{22}$  for fixed  $\hat{V}_{12}$  and  $\hat{V}_{22}$ . Correspondingly, for  $\hat{V}_{12}$  and  $\hat{V}_{22}$  of  $\Gamma$  in S, st $_{\hat{V}_{12}\cup\hat{V}_{22}}(\hat{V}_{12})$  and st $_{\hat{V}_{12}\cup\hat{V}_{21}}(\hat{V}_{22})$  traverse all disjoint subsets of  $[m + n - h_1 - h_2]$  with cardinalities  $m - h_1$  and  $n - h_2$ , respectively. Also, st $_{\hat{V}_{12}\cup\hat{V}_{22}}(C_2)$  traverse all subsets in

$$\operatorname{st}_{\hat{V}_{12}\cup\hat{V}_{22}}(\hat{V}_{12})\times\operatorname{st}_{\hat{V}_{12}\cup\hat{V}_{21}}(\hat{V}_{22})$$

for fixed  $\hat{V}_{12}$  and  $\hat{V}_{22}$ . From the arguments above and (2), for fixed  $\Theta_1$  in  $\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j}$ ,  $\Theta_2$  traverses all terms in  $\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}$ . Similarly, for fixed  $\Theta_2$  in  $\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}$ ,  $\Theta_1$  traverses all terms in  $\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j}$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j})$$
$$\otimes (\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}).$$

Next, we show that  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a Hopf algebra.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a bialgebra.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify  $\nu$  is an algebra homomorphism. We only need to prove  $\Delta$  is an algebra homomorphism, i.e.,

$$\Delta(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = \Delta(\Gamma_1) * \Delta(\Gamma_2) \tag{8}$$

Volume 4, Issue 1, 32–43.

for  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in *H*.

If  $\Gamma_1$  or  $\Gamma_2$  is an empty graph, then (8) holds. Suppose

$$\Gamma_1 = (V_1, E_1) = \Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}$$

in  $H_m$  and

$$\Gamma_2 = (V_2, E_2) = \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}$$

in  $H_n$  are nonempty graphs. Let

$$\Delta_i(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = \sum_{j=0}^t \Delta_{ij}(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \Delta_i(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = & \Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} \otimes (\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \\ & + (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21}) \\ & \otimes (\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{22} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \\ & + (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \Gamma_{22}) \\ & \otimes (\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{23} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \\ & + \cdots \\ & + (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \otimes \Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{t} (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} * \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j}) \\ & \otimes (\Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s} * \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \\ & = (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} \otimes \Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}) \\ & * (\sum_{j=0}^{t} \Gamma_{21} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2j} \otimes \Gamma_{2,j+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{2t}) \\ & = (\Gamma_{11} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} \otimes \Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \cdots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}) * \Delta(\Gamma_2). \end{split}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) = &\Delta_0(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) + \Delta_1(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) + \dots + \Delta_s(\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2) \\ = &(\sum_{i=0}^s \Gamma_{11} \diamond \dots \diamond \Gamma_{1i} \otimes \Gamma_{1,i+1} \diamond \dots \diamond \Gamma_{1s}) * \Delta(\Gamma_2) \\ = &\Delta(\Gamma_1) * \Delta(\Gamma_2). \end{split}$$

Hence,  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a bialgebra.

Mathematical Modelling and Control

Example 8.

$$\begin{split} \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{2} * \bullet l \end{pmatrix} &= \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{2} \bullet 3 + l_{1}^{3} \bullet 2 + l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 + l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \bullet 3 + l_{1}^{3} \downarrow 2 + l_{2}^{3} \downarrow 1 + l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \bullet 3 \\ &+ l_{1}^{3} \uparrow 2 + l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \uparrow 1 + l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \circ 3 + l_{1}^{3} \downarrow 2 + l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \uparrow 1 \\ &= \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \bullet 3 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \bullet 3 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{2} \downarrow \bullet 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{2}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \Delta \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow \bullet 2 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} l_{1}^{3} \downarrow + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} l_$$

**Corollary 3.1.**  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a Hopf algebra.

*Proof.* Since  $(\mathcal{H}, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$  is a graded connected bialgebra, it is a Hopf algebra.

# 4. Conclusions

Many combinatorial objects have Hopf algebra structures. The labeled simple graphs are important combinatorial objects. In this paper, we generalize the super-shuffle product and the cut-box coproduct on permutations to labeled simple graphs. We prove that the vector space spanned by labeled simple graphs with the super-shuffle product and the cut-box coproduct is a Hopf algebra. In the future, we will study the duality of the Hopf algebra  $(H, *, \mu, \Delta, \nu)$ .

#### Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11701339 and 12071265).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in this paper.

# References

- H. Hopf, A Über die topologie der gruppenmannigfaltigkeiten und ihrer verallgemeinerungen, In: *Selecta Heinz Hopf*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1964, 119–151.
- J. W. Milnor, J. C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, J. Ann. Math., 81 (1965), 211–264. https://doi.org/10.2307/1970615
- S. U. Chase, M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras* and *Galois Theory*, **97** (1969), 52–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0101435
- 4. M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Springer Science & Business Media, Benjamin: New York, 1969.
- 5. R. Ehrenborg, On posets and Hopf algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **119** (1996), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1996.0026
- I. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh, J. Y. Thibon, Noncommutative symmetric functions, *Adv. Math.*, **112** (1995), 218–348. https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1995.1032
- 7. H. Li, J. Morse, P. Shields. Structure constants for *K*-theory of Grassmannians. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 144 (2016), 306-325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2016.06.016

- Christian Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1995. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0783-2
- T. Cheng, H. Huang, Y. Yang, Generalized Clifford algebras as algebras in suitable symmetric linear Grcategories, *Symmetry Integr. Geom. Methods Appl.*, 12 (2015), 004. https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2016.004
- S. A. Joni, G. C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **61** (1979), 93–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/sapm197961293
- 11. W. R. Schmitt, Incidence Hopf algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **96** (1994), 299–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(94)90105-8
- W. R. Schmitt, Hopf algebra methods in graph theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **101** (1995), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(95)90925-B
- A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry, In: *Quantum field theory: perspective and prospective*, Springer Science & Business Media, **530** (1999), 59–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4542-8\_4
- 14. D. Kreimer, On the Hopf algebra structure of perturbative quantum field theories. Adv. (1998), Theor. Phys., 2 303-334. Math. https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.V2.N2.A4
- J. C. Aval, N. Bergeron, J. Machacek, New invariants for permutations, orders and graphs, *J. Amer. Math. Soci.*, **10** (2020), 102080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2020.102080
- 16. S. K. Lando, On a Hopf algebra in graph theory, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, **80** (2000), 104–121. https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.2000.1973
- 17. N. Jean-Christophe, M. T. Jean-Yves, T. Nicolas Algèbres de Hopf graphes, de Comptes Rendus Math., **333** (2004), 607-610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2004.09.012
- 18. A. Connes, D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem II: the β-function, diffeomorphisms and the renormalization group, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **216** (2001), 215–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00005547

- L. Foissy, Finite dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees, *J. Algebra*, 255 (2002), 89–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00110-2
- 20. X. Wang, S. Xu, X. Gao, A Hopf algebra on subgraphs of a graph, J. Algebra Appl., **19** (2020), 2050164. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820501649
- 21. C. Malvenuto, C. Reutenauer, Duality between quasi-symmetrical functions and the Solomon descent algebra, J. Algebra, 177 (1995), 967–982. https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1336
- Y. Vargas, Hopf algebra of permutation pattern functions, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, AT (2014), 839–850. https://doi.org/10.46298/dmtcs.2446
- 23. M. Liu, H. Li, A Hopf algebra on permutations arising from super-shuffle product, *Symmetry*, **13** (2021), 1010. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13061010
- 24. M. Zhao, H. Li, A pair of dual Hopf algebras on permutations, *AIMS Math.*, 6 (2021), 5106–5123. https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021302
- H. Li, T. MacHenry, A. Conci, Rational convolution roots of isobaric polynomials, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 47 (2017), 1259–1275. https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2017-47-4-1259
- D. Grinberg, V. Reiner, Hopf algebras in combinatorics, ArXiv, 2020. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1409.8356
- 27. D. B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 2001.



# AIMS Press

 $\bigcirc 20\overline{24}$  the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)