

MBE, 20(5): 8337–8357. DOI: 10.3934/mbe.2023365 Received: 07 December 2022 Revised: 22 February 2023 Accepted: 24 February 2023 Published: 02 March 2023

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/mbe

Research article

Linear barycentric rational collocation method to solve plane elasticity problems

Jin Li*

School of Science, Shandong Jianzhu University, Jinan 250101, China

* Correspondence: Email: lijin@lsec.cc.ac.cn.

Abstract: A linear barycentric rational collocation method for equilibrium equations with polar coordinates is considered. The discrete linear equations is changed into the matrix forms. With the help of error of barycentrix polar coordinate interpolation, the convergence rate of the linear barycentric rational collocation method for equilibrium equations can be obtained. At last, some numerical examples are given to valid the proposed theorem.

Keywords: barycentric rational method; linear rational interpolation; barycentric matrix form; high order derivatives; equilibrium equations

1. Introduction

Equilibrium equations in elasticity are classical equations to solve plane problems. There are displacement and stress methods that are used to solve equilibrium equations. The displacement method takes displacement as an unknown quantity, as there is only the displacement component to deduce the equations and boundary conditions. For the stress method, there is only the stress component to deduce the equations and boundary conditions as the unknown quantities.

In the area of in-plane crack problems, heat transfer, nuclear reactor dynamics and so on, the impact of system memory is often dependent on the nonlinear fraction equation and nonlinear time-dependent Burgers' equations. These problems have been studied by using the Galerkin finite element method [1], localized collocation schemes [2] and singular boundary method (SBM) [3]. Lots of numerical methods such as finite element methods (FEM) [4–7], finite difference methods, spectral method [8,9] and the differential quadrature method and so on are developed to solve plane elastic problems [10,11].

In what follows, we consider the equilibrium equations

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial y} + f_x = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} + f_y = 0$$
(1.1)

where σ_x, σ_y and τ_{yx} are the stress components.

Geometric equations:

$$\epsilon_{x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},$$

$$\epsilon_{y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y},$$

$$\gamma_{yz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z},$$

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$$
(1.2)

where ϵ_x , ϵ_x and τ_{yx} are the strain components and u and v are displacement variables.

Constitutive relations of plane stress problem:

$$\epsilon_{x} = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_{x} - \mu\sigma_{y}),$$

$$\epsilon_{y} = \frac{1}{E}(\sigma_{y} - \mu\sigma_{x}),$$

$$\gamma_{xy} = \frac{2(1 + \mu)}{E}\tau_{xy}.$$
(1.3)

Constitutive relations of the plane strain problem:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_x = \frac{1-\mu^2}{E} (\sigma_x - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \sigma_y), \\ \epsilon_y = \frac{1-\mu^2}{E} (\sigma_y - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \sigma_x), \\ \gamma_{xy} = \frac{2(1+\mu)}{E} \tau_{xy}. \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

Displacement boundary equation:

$$u|_{\Gamma_{u}} = \bar{u}, v|_{\Gamma_{u}} = \bar{v}, u_{i}|_{\Gamma_{u}} = \bar{u}_{i}$$
(1.5)

Stress boundary equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (n_1 \sigma_x + n_2 \tau_{yx})_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} = \bar{t}_x, \\ (n_2 \sigma_y + n_1 \tau_{xy})_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} = \bar{t}_y, \\ n_j \sigma_{ij} = \bar{t}_i. \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

Combining Eqs (1.4) and (1.2), we have the stress components of displacement:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_x = \frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right), \\ \sigma_y = \frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right), \\ \tau_{xy} = \frac{E}{2(1+\mu)} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

and the equilibrium equations:

$$\left(\frac{E}{1-\mu^2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1-\mu}{2}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1+\mu}{2}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}\right) + f_x = 0,$$

$$\left(\frac{E}{1-\mu^2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1-\mu}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1+\mu}{2}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}\right) + f_y = 0$$
(1.8)

and the displacement boundary equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) n_1 + \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) n_2 \right]_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} = \bar{t}_x, \\ \frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) n_2 + \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) n_1 \right]_{\Gamma_{\sigma}} = \bar{t}_y. \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

Barycentric formulae have been studied by [12–18] to avoid the Runge phenomenon. Volterra equations (VE) and Volterra Integro-Differential equations (VIDE) [19–23] have been investigated through the use of linear barycentric collocation methods (LBCMs). The LBCM types include the linear barycentric Lagrange collocation method (LBLCM) and linear barycentric rational collocation method (LBRCM). By comparing the with LBLCM and LBRCM, we can get the error estimate of linear rational barycentric interpolation; then, the convergence rate of the LBRCM can be obtained. Initial value and boundary value problems [24], plane elasticity problems [25], incompressible plane problems [26] and non linear problems [27] have been the focus of barycentric interpolation and rational collocation method in recent years. In previous studies [28, 29], heat conduction and telegram equations were solved by LBRCM. In other studies [30,31], biharmonic equation and fractional differential equations were solved by using the LBRCM.

In this paper, first, the polar coordinates of the equilibrium equations are obtained via the transformation of $x = \rho \cos \theta$, $y = \rho \sin \theta$. Second, the LBRCM for equilibrium equations is constructed and the matrix equation of the LBRCM is also presented. Third, the convergence rate of LBRCM is proved for the equilibrium equations. At last, some numerical examples are given to validate the proposed theorem.

2. Polar coordinates of equilibrium equations

In order to get the polar coordinates of the equilibrium equations, let us take $x = \rho \cos \theta$, $y = \rho \sin \theta$ and (ρ, θ) at some point $P(\rho, \theta)$; the displacement components are u_{ρ} and u_{θ} , stress components are σ_{ρ} , σ_{θ} and $\tau_{\theta r}$ and the physical components are f_{ρ} and f_{θ} . The equilibrium equations of the polar coordinates can be represented as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\sigma_{\rho} - \sigma_{\theta}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau_{\rho\theta}}{\partial \theta} + f_{\rho} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_{\rho\theta}}{\partial \rho} + 2 \frac{\tau_{\rho\theta}}{\rho} + f_{\theta} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Geometric equations:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{\rho} = \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho}, \\ \epsilon_{\theta} = \frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}, \\ \gamma_{\rho\theta} = \gamma_{\theta\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{u_{\theta}}{\rho}. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Constitutive relations of plane stress problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\rho} &= \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_{\rho} - \mu \sigma_{\theta}), \\ \epsilon_{\theta} &= \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_{\theta} - \mu \sigma_{\rho}), \\ \gamma_{\rho\theta} &= \frac{2(1+\mu)}{E} \tau_{\rho\theta}. \end{aligned}$$
(2.3)

Combining Eqs (2.1)–(2.3), the displacement of the equilibrium equations for the plane stress problem is expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho^2} + \frac{1+\mu}{2\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\theta}}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} - \frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1-\mu}{2\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \theta^2} + f_{\rho} = 0, \\ \frac{1+\mu}{2\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} + \frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_{\theta}}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{u_{\theta}}{\rho^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta^2} + f_{\theta} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

The displacement of th stress components is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\rho} &= \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \mu \left(\frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \right) \right], \\
\sigma_{\theta} &= \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} \right), \\
\tau_{\rho\theta} &= \frac{E}{2(1+\mu)} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{u_{\theta}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \theta} \right)
\end{aligned}$$
(2.5)

where we have used

$$x = \rho \cos \theta, y = \rho \sin \theta; \tag{2.6}$$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\rho} &= \sigma_{x} \cos^{2} \theta + \sigma_{y} \sin^{2} \theta + 2\tau_{xy} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \\ \sigma_{\theta} &= \sigma_{x} \sin^{2} \theta + \sigma_{y} \cos^{2} \theta - 2\tau_{xy} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \\ \tau_{\rho\theta} &= (\sigma_{x} - \sigma_{y}) \cos \theta \sin \theta + \tau_{xy} (\cos^{2} \theta - \sin^{2} \theta) \\ \sigma_{x} &= \sigma_{\rho} \cos^{2} \theta + \sigma_{\theta} \sin^{2} \theta + 2\tau_{\rho\theta} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \end{aligned}$$
(2.7)

and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_x = \sigma_\rho \cos^2 \theta + \sigma_\theta \sin^2 \theta + 2\tau_{\rho\theta} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \\ \sigma_y = \sigma_\rho \sin^2 \theta + \sigma_\theta \cos^2 \theta - 2\tau_{\rho\theta} \sin \theta \cos \theta, \\ \tau_{xy} = (\sigma_\rho - \sigma_\theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta + \tau_{\rho\theta} (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

with the equilibrium condition, we get $\phi(r, \theta)$ below:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2}, \\ \sigma_{\theta} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho^2}, \\ \tau_{\rho\theta} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho \partial \theta} \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

and

$$\nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right)^2 \phi = 0$$
(2.10)

where $\nabla^2 \phi = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right)$ is the Laplace operator.

3. Collocation method for equilibrium equations

We partition the area $[\rho_a, \rho_b] \times [\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}]$ into $\rho_a = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \cdots < \rho_m = \rho_b, h = \frac{\rho_b - \rho_a}{m}$ and $[\theta_a, \theta_b]$ into $\theta_0 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \cdots < \theta_n = \theta_{2\pi}, \tau = \frac{\theta_{2\pi} - \theta_0}{n}$ with $[\rho_a, \rho_b] \times [\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}]$ and $(\rho_i, \theta_j), i = 0, 1, \cdots, m; j = 0, 1, \cdots, n$.

$$\phi(\rho,\theta) := r_{m,n}(\rho,\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_i(\rho) r_j(\theta) \phi_{ij}$$
(3.1)

where $r_i(\rho)$ and $r_j(\theta)$ are the barycentric rational interpolation basis functions [24] for ρ and θ , respectively, which can be given as

$$r_i(\rho) = \frac{\frac{w_i}{\rho - \rho_i}}{\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{w_j}{\rho - \rho_j}}, \ w_i = \sum_{k \in J_i} (-1)^k \prod_{j=k, j \neq i}^{k+d_1} \frac{1}{\rho_k - \rho_j}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n$$
(3.2)

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

 $J_i = \{k \in I_m : i - d_1 \le k \le i\}, I_m = \{0, \cdots, m - d_1\}, \text{ and }$

$$r_{j}(\theta) = \frac{\frac{w_{j}}{\theta - \theta_{j}}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_{j}}{\theta - \theta_{j}}}, \ w_{j} = \sum_{k \in J_{j}} (-1)^{k} \prod_{i=k, j \neq i}^{k+d_{2}} \frac{1}{\theta_{k} - \theta_{i}}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$
(3.3)

 $J_j = \{k \in I_n : j - d_2 \le k \le j\}, I_n = \{0, \dots, n - d_2\}.$ Combining Eqs (3.1), (2.4) and (2.5), we get the discrete equilibrium equations, which be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}''(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\rho i j} \\ -\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{1+\mu}{2r} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho)r_{j}'(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} \\ +\frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}'(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} + \frac{1-\mu}{2\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}''(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} + f_{\rho i j}(\rho_{i}, \theta_{j}) = 0, \\ \frac{1+\mu}{2\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}'(\theta)\phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}'(\theta)\phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{1-\mu}{2\rho^{2}} \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}''(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} - \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho)r_{j}''(\theta)\phi_{\theta i j} + f_{\theta i j}(\rho_{i}, \theta_{j}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(3.4)$$

and

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho) r_{j}(\theta) \phi_{\rho i j} + \mu \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}''(\theta) \phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}'(\theta) \phi_{\theta i j} \right) \right], \\ \sigma_{\theta} = \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left(\mu \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho) r_{j}(\theta) \phi_{\rho i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}(\theta) \phi_{\theta i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}'(\theta) \phi_{\rho i j} \right), \quad (3.5) \\ \tau_{\rho\theta} = \frac{E}{2(1+\mu)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}'(\rho) r_{j}(\theta) \phi_{\rho i j} - \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}(\theta) \phi_{\theta i j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r_{i}(\rho) r_{j}'(\theta) \phi_{\theta i j} \right).$$

Equations (3.4) and (3.5) can be written in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\left[(R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_n) - \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho^2})(I_m \otimes I_n) \right] U_\rho \\
+ \left[\operatorname{diag}(\frac{1+\mu}{2r})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_m \otimes R^{(0,1)}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_n \otimes R^{(0,2)}) \right] \\
U_\theta + F_\rho = 0, \\
\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1+\mu}{2\rho})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_m \otimes R^{(0,1)}) \right] U_\rho \\
+ \left[\frac{1-\mu}{2} \left((R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n) + \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho}(R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_n) - \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho^2}(I_m \otimes I_n) \right) + \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho^2}(R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n) \right] \\
U_\theta + F_\theta = 0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left[\{ (R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_{n}) + \mu \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes I_{n}) \} U_{\rho} + \mu \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) U_{\theta} \right], \\ \sigma_{\theta} = \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}} \left[\{ \mu (R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_{n}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes I_{n}) \} U_{\rho} + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) U_{\theta} \right], \\ \tau_{\rho\theta} = \frac{E}{2(1+\mu)} \left[\operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) U_{\rho} + [\operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(I_{m} \otimes I_{n}) + (R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_{n})] U_{\theta} \right] \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

where \otimes is the Kronecher product of the matrix and $R^{(0,k)} = (R^{(0,k)}_{ij})_{m \times m}, R^{(k,0)} = (R^{(k,0)}_{ij})_{n \times n}, k = 1, 2, U = [u_{00}, u_{01}, \cdots, u_{0n}, u_{10}, u_{11}, \cdots, u_{1n}, \cdots, u_{m0}, u_{m1}, \cdots, u_{mn}]^{\mathrm{T}}, F_{\rho} = [f_{00}, f_{01}, \cdots, f_{0n}, f_{10}, f_{11}, \cdots, f_{1n}, \cdots, f_{m0}, f_{m1}, \cdots, f_{mn}]^{\mathrm{T}}, f_{ij} = \rho_i^2 f(\rho_i, \theta_j)$ and

$$R_{ij}^{(0,1)} = r_i'(\theta_j), R_{ij}^{(0,2)} = r_i''(\theta_j), \quad R_{ij}^{(1,0)} = r_i'(\rho_j), \quad R_{ij}^{(2,0)} = r_i''(\rho_j).$$
(3.8)

Taking the notations as

$$\begin{cases}
A_{11} = (R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_n) - \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho^2})(I_m \otimes I_n) \\
A_{12} = \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1+\mu}{2r})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_m \otimes R^{(0,1)}) \\
+ \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_n \otimes R^{(0,2)}), \\
A_{21} = \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1+\mu}{2\rho})(R^{(1,0)} \otimes R^{(0,1)}) + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{3-\mu}{2\rho^2})(I_m \otimes R^{(0,1)}) \\
A_{22} = \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left((R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n) + \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho}(R^{(1,0)} \otimes I_n) - \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho^2}(I_m \otimes I_n) \right) \\
+ \operatorname{diag}\frac{1}{\rho^2}(R^{(2,0)} \otimes I_n),
\end{cases}$$
(3.9)

then we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{11}U_{\rho} + A_{21}U_{\theta} + F_{\rho} = 0, \\ A_{21}U_{\rho} + A_{22}U_{\theta} + F_{\rho} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

4. Convergence and error analysis

Replacing the barycentric rational interpolants of the function $u(\rho, \theta)$ with $r_{m,n}(\rho, \theta)$ in Eq (3.1), we have

$$r_{m,n}(\rho,\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_{i,j}}{(\rho - \rho_i)(\theta - \theta_j)} u_{i,j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_{i,j}}{(\rho - \rho_i)(\theta - \theta_j)}},$$
(4.1)

where

$$w_{i,j} = (-1)^{i-d_1+j-d_2} \sum_{k_1 \in J_i} \sum_{k_2 \in J_j} \prod_{h_1=k_1, h_1 \neq j}^{k_1+d_1} \frac{1}{|\rho_i - \rho_{h_1}|} \prod_{h_2=k_2, h_2 \neq j}^{k_2+d_2} \frac{1}{|\theta_j - \theta_{h_2}|}.$$
(4.2)

Then the error function is defined as

$$e(\rho, \theta) := u(\rho, \theta) - r_{m,n}(\rho, \theta)$$

$$= (\rho - \rho_i) \cdots (\rho - \rho_{i+d_1}) u[\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}, \dots, \rho_{i+d_1}, \rho]$$

$$+ (\theta - \theta_j) \cdots (\theta - \theta_{j+d_2}) u[\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_{j+d_2}, \theta].$$

$$(4.3)$$

Now we give the theorem as below

Theorem 1. For $e(\rho, \theta)$ defined in Eq(4.3) and $u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_1+2}[0, \rho] \times C^{d_2+2}[0, \theta]$, we have

$$|e(\rho,\theta)| \le C(h^{d_1+1} + \tau^{d_2+1}). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. For (ρ, θ) , the function $w_{i,j}(\rho, \theta)$ is defined as Eq (4.2); then, we get

$$u(\rho,\theta) - r_{m,n}(\rho,\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-d_2} \lambda_i(\rho) \lambda_j(\theta) (u(\rho,\theta) - r_n(\rho,\theta))}{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-d_2} \lambda_i(\rho) \lambda_j(\theta)},$$
(4.5)

where

$$\lambda_i(\rho) = \frac{(-1)^i}{(\rho - \rho_i) \cdots (\rho - \rho_{i+d_1})}, \lambda_j(\theta) = \frac{(-1)^j}{(\theta - \theta_j) \cdots (\theta - \theta_{j+d_2})}$$

see [24].

By the error formula

$$u(\rho,\theta) - r_{m,n}(\rho,\theta) = u(\rho,\theta) - u_1(\rho,\theta) + u_1(\rho,\theta) - r_{m,n}(\rho,\theta)$$

$$= (\rho - \rho_i) \cdots (\rho - \rho_{i+d_1}) u \left[\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}, \dots, \rho_{i+d_1}, \rho, \theta\right]$$

$$+ (\theta - \theta_j) \cdots (\theta - \theta_{j+d_2}) u \left[\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}, \cdots, \theta_{j+d_2}, \rho, \theta\right].$$

$$(4.6)$$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

it follows that

$$u(\rho, \theta) - r_{m,n}(\rho, \theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_1} (-1)^i u \left[\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}, \dots, \rho_{i+d_1}, \rho, \theta\right]}{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_1} \lambda_i(\rho)} + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-d_2} (-1)^j u \left[\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_{j+d_2}, \rho, \theta\right]}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-d_2} \lambda_j(\theta)}.$$
(4.7)

By a similar method of analysis as that of Floater and Kai [15], we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_1} \lambda_i(\rho) \bigg| \ge \frac{1}{d_1! h^{d_1+1}}$$
(4.8)

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-d_2} \lambda_j(\theta) \right| \ge \frac{1}{d_2! \tau^{d_2+1}}.$$
(4.9)

Combining Eqs (4.7)–(4.9) together, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Corollary 1. For $e(\rho, \theta)$ defined in (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) \right| &\leq C(h^{d_{1}} + \tau^{d_{2}+1}), & u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_{1}+3}[\rho_{a}, \rho_{b}] \times C^{d_{2}+2}[\theta_{0}, \theta_{2\pi}], \\ \left| e_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) \right| &\leq C(h^{d_{1}+1} + \tau^{d_{2}}), & u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_{1}+2}[\rho_{a}, \rho_{b}] \times C^{d_{2}+3}[\theta_{0}, \theta_{2\pi}], \\ \left| e_{\rho\rho}(\rho, \theta) \right| &\leq C(h^{d_{1}-1} + \tau^{d_{2}+1}), & u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_{1}+4}[\rho_{a}, \rho_{b}] \times C^{d_{2}+2}[\theta_{0}, \theta_{2\pi}], d_{1} \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.10)$$

$$|e_{\theta\theta}(\rho, \theta)| &\leq C(h^{d_{1}+1} + \tau^{d_{2}-1}), & u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_{1}+2}[\rho_{a}, \rho_{b}] \times C^{d_{2}+4}[\theta_{0}, \theta_{2\pi}], d_{1} \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

This corollary can be obtained similarly as Theorem 1, so it is omitted here.

Theorem 2. Let

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} = 0, (\rho, \theta) \in \Omega$$
(4.11)

and

$$\phi(\rho,\theta) = g(\rho,\theta), (\rho,\theta) \in \partial\Omega \tag{4.12}$$

where $\Omega = [\rho_a, \rho_b] \times [\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}]$ and $g(\rho, \theta)$ is consistent. Then we get

$$\max_{\Omega_k} |\phi_{i,j} - \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)| \le C(h^{d_1 - 1} + \tau^{d_2 - 1})$$
(4.13)

where $u(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_1+4}[\rho_a, \rho_b] \times C^{d_2+4}[\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}], d_1 \geq 2, d_2 \geq 2.$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

Theorem 3. Let

$$\nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right)^2 \phi = 0, (\rho, \theta) \in \Omega$$
(4.14)

and

$$\phi(\rho,\theta) = g(\rho,\theta), (\rho,\theta) \in \partial\Omega \tag{4.15}$$

where $\Omega = [\rho_a, \rho_b] \times [\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}] g(\rho, \theta)$ is consistent and

$$\max_{\Omega_{kl}} |\phi_{i,j} - \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)| \le C(h^{d_1 - 3} + \tau^{d_2 - 3})$$
(4.16)

also, $\Omega_{kl} = [\rho_k, \rho_{k+1}] \times [\theta_l \text{ and } \theta_{l+1}], \phi(\rho, \theta) \in C^{d_1+6}[\rho_a, \rho_b] \times C^{d_2+6}[\theta_0, \theta_{2\pi}], d_1 \ge 4, d_2 \ge 4.$

Proof. Let $\phi(\rho, \theta)$ and $\phi_{i,j}$ be the analysis solution and numerical solution of Eq (4.14) respectively: $\nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi(\rho, \theta) - \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^4} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\rho^2 \partial \rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^3} + \frac{1}{\rho^4} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} + \frac{2}{\rho^3} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} \\ &- \left[\frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^4} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\rho^2 \partial \rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^3} + \frac{1}{\rho^4} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} + \frac{2}{\rho^3} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^4} - \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^4} \right] + \left[\frac{\partial^2 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\rho^2 \partial \rho^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\rho^2 \partial \rho^2} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{\rho^4} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^4} - \frac{1}{\rho^4} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^4} \right] + \left[\frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{\rho^3} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} \right] + \left[\frac{2}{\rho^3} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{\rho^3} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho_i, \theta_j)}{\partial \rho \partial \theta^2} \right] \\ &:= R_1(\rho, \theta) + R_2(\rho, \theta) + R_3(\rho, \theta) \end{split}$$

 $+R_4(\rho,\theta)+R_5(\rho,\theta)+R_6(\rho,\theta)$

where

$$\begin{split} R_{1}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho^{4}} - \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}}, \\ R_{2}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}}, \\ R_{3}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{4}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\theta^{4}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{4}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\theta^{4}} \\ R_{4}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho^{3}} - \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{3}}, \\ R_{5}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho^{2}\partial\theta^{2}} - \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{2}\partial\theta^{2}}, \\ R_{6}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{2}{\rho^{3}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho\partial\theta^{2}} - \frac{2}{\rho^{3}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho\partial\theta^{2}}, \end{split}$$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

$$\begin{split} R_{1}(\rho,\theta) &= \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho^{4}} - \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}} \\ &= \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho^{4}} - \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}} - \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_{1}}(-1)^{i}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\rho^{4}}[\rho_{i},\rho_{i+1},\dots,\rho_{i+d_{1}},\rho,\theta]}{\sum_{i=0}^{m-d_{1}}\lambda_{i}(\rho)} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-d_{2}}(-1)^{j}\frac{\partial^{4}\phi}{\partial\rho^{4}}[\theta_{j},\theta_{j+1},\dots,\theta_{j+d_{2}},\rho_{i},\theta]}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-d_{2}}\lambda_{j}(\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\partial^{4}e(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}e(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho^{4}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$|R_1(\rho,\theta)| \le \left|\frac{\partial^4 e(\rho,\theta_j)}{\partial \rho^4} + \frac{\partial^4 e(\rho_i,\theta_j)}{\partial \rho^4}\right| \le C(h^{d_1-3} + \tau^{d_2-3}).$$
(4.18)

For $R_2(\rho, \theta)$, we have

$$R_{2}(\rho,\theta) = \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}\phi(\rho,\theta_{j})}{\rho^{2}\partial\rho^{2}}$$

$$= e_{\rho\rho}(\theta,\theta_{n}) + e_{\rho\rho}(\rho_{m},\theta_{n})$$

(4.19)

and

$$|R_2(\rho,\theta)| \le |e_{\rho\rho}(\theta,\theta_i) + e_{\rho\rho}(\rho_i,\theta_j)| \le C(h^{d_1-1} + \tau^{d_2-1}).$$
(4.20)

For $R_3(\rho, \theta)$ we have

$$R_{3}(\rho,\theta) = \frac{1}{\rho^{4}} \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\theta^{4}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{4}} \frac{\partial^{4}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\theta^{4}} = \frac{\partial^{4}e(\rho,\theta_{i})}{\partial\theta^{4}} + \frac{\partial^{4}e(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\theta^{4}}$$
(4.21)

and

$$|R_3(\rho,\theta)| \le \left|\frac{\partial^4 e(\rho,\theta_i)}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{\partial^4 e(\rho_i,\theta_j)}{\partial \theta^4}\right| \le C(h^{d_1-3} + \tau^{d_2-3}).$$
(4.22)

Similarly, for $R_4(\rho, \theta)$, $R_5(\rho, \theta)$ and $R_6(\rho, \theta)$, we also get

$$|R_4(\rho,\theta)| \le \left|\frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial \rho^3} - \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^3 \phi(\rho_i,\theta_j)}{\partial \rho^3}\right| \le C(h^{d_1-2} + \tau^{d_2-2}),\tag{4.23}$$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

$$|R_5(\rho,\theta)| \le \left| \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^4 \phi(\rho_i,\theta_j)}{\partial \rho^2 \partial \theta^2} \right| \le C(h^{d_1-3} + \tau^{d_2-3}), \tag{4.24}$$

$$|R_{6}(\rho,\theta)| \leq \left|\frac{2}{\rho^{3}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho,\theta)}{\partial\rho\partial\theta^{2}} - \frac{2}{\rho^{3}}\frac{\partial^{3}\phi(\rho_{i},\theta_{j})}{\partial\rho\partial\theta^{2}}\right| \leq C(h^{d_{1}-2} + \tau^{d_{2}-2}).$$
(4.25)

Combining Eqs (4.18) and (4.19)–(4.25), the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

5. Numerical examples

In the following part, we present some examples to illustrate our numerical scheme analysis. We define the absolute error estimate and relative error estimate as

$$Er = \max\{u_e - u_a\}$$

and

$$Err = \frac{\max\{u_e - u_a\}}{u_e}.$$

Example 1. Consider the following elastic polar curved bar bending

$$\begin{cases} u_{\rho} = \frac{\sin\theta}{E} \left[D(1-\mu)\ln\rho + A(1-3\mu)\rho^{2} + \frac{B(1+\mu)}{\rho^{2}} \right] \\ -\frac{2D}{E}\theta\cos\theta + K\sin\theta + L\cos\theta, \\ u_{\theta} = -\frac{\cos\theta}{E} \left[-D(1-\mu)\ln\rho + A(5+\mu) + \frac{B(1+\mu)}{\rho^{2}} \right] \\ +\frac{2D}{E}\theta\sin\theta + \left[\frac{D(1+\mu)}{E} + K \right]\cos\theta - L\sin\theta \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\rho} = \left(2A\rho - \frac{2B}{\rho^2} + \frac{D}{\rho}\right)\sin\theta, \\ \sigma_{\theta} = \left(6A\rho + \frac{2B}{\rho^2} + \frac{D}{\rho}\right)\sin\theta, \\ \tau_{\rho\theta} = -\left(-2A\rho - \frac{2B}{\rho^2} + \frac{D}{\rho}\right)\cos\theta, \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

where $A = \frac{P}{2N}, B = -\frac{Pa^2b^2}{2N}, D = -\frac{P}{N}(a^2 + b^2), L = \frac{D\pi}{E}, N = a^2 - b^2 + (a^2 + b^2)\ln\frac{a}{n}, K = -\frac{1}{E}\left[D(1-\mu)\ln\rho_0 + A(1-3\mu)\rho_0^2 + \frac{B(1+\mu)}{\rho_0^2}\right], \rho_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}, a < \theta < b \text{ and } 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and the boundary conditions are given as } \sigma_{\rho}|_{\rho=a} = 0, \sigma_{\rho}|_{\rho=b} = 0, \tau_{\rho\theta}|_{\rho=a} = 0, \sigma_{\theta}=0, \sigma_$

$$\sigma_{\theta} = 0, \tau_{\rho\theta} = -\left(-2A\rho - \frac{2B}{\rho^2} + \frac{D}{\rho}\right), \theta = 0,$$

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

$$u_{\rho} = 0, \tau_{\rho\theta} = \frac{1}{E} \left[D(1-\mu) \ln \rho + A(1-3\mu)\rho^2 + \frac{B(1+\mu)}{\rho^2} \right] + K, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$u_{\theta} = \frac{2D}{E} \sin \theta + L.$$

Table 1. Error estimate of barycentric rational interpolation collocation methods with d = 5.

n	Function	Equidistant nodes		Quasi-equidistant nodes	
		Absolute error	Relative error	Absolute error	Relative error
	$u_{ ho}$	1.0843e-07	5.2465e-04	3.9398e-10	1.9063e-06
11	$\sigma_{ ho}$	4.9419e-01	2.4710e-04	1.0401e-01	5.2007e-05
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	2.1686e+00	5.9143e-04	2.9761e-02	8.1168e-06
	$u_{ ho}$	1.0468e-08	5.0653e-05	5.5667e-12	2.6935e-08
19	$\sigma_{ ho}$	5.5156e-02	2.7578e-05	1.0824e-02	5.4119e-06
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	2.0936e-01	5.7099e-05	3.2952e-03	8.9868e-07

Table 2. Error estimate of Lagrange interpolation collocation methods.

n	Function	Equidistant nodes		Quasi-equidistant nodes	
		Absolute error	Relative error	Absolute error	Relative error
	$u_{ ho}$	1.4060e-09	6.8033e-06	2.0507e-11	9.9229e-08
11	$\sigma_ ho$	6.1776e-03	3.0888e-06	2.4225e-04	1.2112e-07
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	2.8120e-02	7.6692e-06	4.1015e-04	1.1186e-07
	$u_{ ho}$	2.3788e-13	1.1510e-09	1.4206e-15	6.8738e-12
19	$\sigma_{ ho}$	3.2498e-06	1.6249e-09	1.8951e-08	9.4753e-12
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	3.5000e-06	9.5454e-10	2.8475e-08	7.7659e-12

In Tables 1 and 2, the error estimates of displacement and stress are presented for the barycentric rational interpolation collocation methods (BRICMs) with d = 5 and barycentric Lagrange interpolation collocation methods with n = 11 and n = 19. From the table, the displacement and stress have higher accuracy for the Lagrange interpolation collocation methods than for the BRICMs.

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	3.8722e+00		1.8512e+00		6.7278e-02		3.9574e-02	
16	1.4909e+00	1.3770	2.5799e-01	2.8430	6.2873e-03	3.4196	1.3624e-03	4.8604
32	6.0715e-01	1.2961	3.3272e-02	2.9549	4.5096e-04	3.8014	4.4118e-05	4.9486
64	2.0902e-01	1.5384	4.2086e-03	2.9829	2.9866e-05	3.9164	1.3797e-06	4.9990

Table 3. Errors of equidistant nodes with d_1 for σ_{ρ} .

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

п	$d_2 = 2$		$d_2 = 3$		$d_2 = 4$		$d_2 = 5$	
8	2.4659e+02		1.2345e+02		5.0487e+00		3.1449e+00	
16	1.4900e+02	7.2685e-01	3.1157e+01	1.9863	8.4074e-01	2.5862	1.9203e-01	4.0336
32	8.3557e+01	8.3445e-01	7.7866e+00	2.0005	1.1597e-01	2.8578	1.1921e-02	4.0097
64	4.4684e+01	9.0301e-01	1.9452e+00	2.0011	1.5115e-02	2.9398	7.4421e-04	4.0016

Table 4. Errors of equidistant nodes with d_2 for σ_{θ} .

In Tables 3 and 4, the error estimates of σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} are presented for barycentric rational interpolation with $d_1 = d_2 = 2, 3, 4, 5$ for equidistant nodes.

Table 5. Errors of quasi-equidistant nodes with σ_{ρ} .

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	7.6984e+00		3.0925e+00		2.7111e-02		7.8893e-03	
16	2.5097e+00	1.6170	1.2113e-01	4.6742	1.6515e-03	4.0370	3.3223e-04	4.5696
32	7.5343e-01	1.7360	1.3255e-02	3.1919	7.3991e-05	4.4803	4.6671e-06	6.1535
64	2.0590e-01	1.8715	1.0018e-03	3.7259	6.6256e-06	3.4812	3.8948e-06	

Table 6. Errors of quasi-equidistant nodes with d_2 for σ_{θ} .

n	$d_2 = 2$		$d_2 = 3$		$d_2 = 4$		$d_2 = 5$	
8	1.6510e+02		1.1570e+02		2.1174e+00		1.0292e+00	
16	1.0058e+02	7.1503e-01	3.4378e+00	5.0727	5.6098e-02	5.2382	1.3486e-02	6.2539
32	5.1539e+01	9.6454e-01	5.7939e-01	2.5689	1.6043e-03	5.1280	8.7592e-05	7.2665
64	2.0298e+01	1.3443e+00	6.4441e-02	3.1685	1.2028e-04	3.7375	8.0433e-05	

In Tables 5 and 6, the error estimates of σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} are presented for barycentric rational interpolation with $d_1 = d_2 = 2, 3, 4, 5$ for quasi-equidistant nodes.

Table 7. Errors of equidistant nodes with $d_1 = d_2$ for u_{ρ} .

$m \times n$	$d_1 = d_2 = 2$		$d_1 = d_2 = 3$		$d_1 = d_2 = 4$		$d_1 = d_2 = 5$	
8×8	4.6386e-01		2.3538e-01		7.3939e-02		4.3353e-02	
16×16	2.2488e-01	1.0445	3.7954e-02	2.6326	5.0343e-03	3.8765	8.9588e-04	5.5967
32×32	7.1140e-02	1.6604	4.5791e-03	3.0511	3.0493e-04	4.0452	2.1490e-05	5.3816
64×64	1.8606e-02	1.9349	5.4216e-04	3.0783	1.8514e-05	4.0418	5.8452e-07	5.2003

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

5.1960

 $d_1 = d_2 = 4$ $d_1 = d_2 = 3$ $d_1 = d_2 = 5$ $d_1 = d_2 = 2$ $m \times n$ 3.8459e-01 6.4882e-02 8×8 2.0080e-01 3.7789e-02 16×16 1.9199e-01 2.6036 4.3719e-03 7.7682e-04 1.0023 3.3038e-02 3.8915 5.6042 32 × 32 6.1740e-02 1.6368 4.0051e-03 3.0442 2.6542e-04 4.0419 1.8712e-05 5.3756

1.9253 4.7537e-04

Table 8. Errors of equidistant nodes with $d_1 = d_2$ for u_{θ} .

Table 9. Errors of equidistant nodes with *d* for σ_{ρ} .

3.0747 1.6149e-05

4.0387

5.1045e-07

$m \times n$	$d_1 = d_2 = 2$		$d_1 = d_2 = 3$		$d_1 = d_2 = 4$		$d_1 = d_2 = 5$	
8×8	2.4305e+04		9.1855e+03		3.3907e+03		1.9465e+03	
16×16	9.0087e+03	1.4319	1.6141e+03	2.5087	2.2601e+02	3.9071	4.0143e+01	5.5996
32×32	3.1667e+03	1.5084	2.0801e+02	2.9560	1.4637e+01	3.9487	1.3872e+00	4.8549
64×64	9.2345e+02	1.7778	2.8337e+01	2.8759	1.0162e+00	3.8484	7.2075e-02	4.2665

Table 10. Errors of equidistant nodes with *d* for σ_{θ} .

$m \times n$	$d_1 = d_2 = 2$		$d_1 = d_2 = 3$		$d_1 = d_2 = 4$		$d_1 = d_2 = 5$	
8×8	6.7329e+04		3.6992e+04		1.3543e+04		7.7757e+03	
16×16	3.6203e+04	0.8951	6.4833e+03	2.5124	9.0279e+02	3.9070	1.6079e+02	5.5957
32×32	1.2708e+04	1.5104	8.3482e+02	2.9572	5.8440e+01	3.9494	4.1882e+00	5.2627
64×64	3.7039e+03	1.7786	1.1076e+02	2.9140	3.9820e+00	3.8754	1.4185e-01	4.8839

Table 11. Errors of equidistant nodes with d for $\tau_{\rho\theta}$.

$m \times n$	$d_1 = d_2 = 2$		$d_1 = d_2 = 3$		$d_1 = d_2 = 4$		$d_1 = d_2 = 5$	
8×8	4.7320e+03		2.8512e+03		1.0721e+03		6.4853e+02	
16×16	3.8711e+03	0.2897	7.7859e+02	1.8726	1.1139e+02	3.2667	2.0975e+01	4.9504
32×32	1.8997e+03	1.0270	1.3801e+02	2.4961	9.7341e+00	3.5165	7.2237e-01	4.8598
64×64	6.7700e+02	1.4886	2.1544e+01	2.6795	7.6752e-01	3.6648	2.5826e-02	4.8058

In Tables 7–11, the errors of u_{ρ} , u_{θ} , σ_{ρ} , σ_{θ} and $\tau_{r\theta}$ are shown for barycentric rational interpolation with d = 2, 3, 4, 5 for equidistant nodes. The convergence rate is $O(h^d)$ for u_{ρ} , u_{θ} , σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} , and $O(h^{d-1})$ for $\tau_{\rho\theta}$ which agrees with our theorem analysis.

Example 2. Consider the following elastic thick circular:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{a^{2}P_{a} - b^{2}P_{b}}{b^{2} - a^{2}} + \frac{a^{2}b^{2}(P_{b} - P_{a})}{b^{2} - a^{2}}\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \\ \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{a^{2}P_{a} - b^{2}P_{b}}{b^{2} - a^{2}} - \frac{a^{2}b^{2}(P_{b} - P_{a})}{b^{2} - a^{2}}\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

64 × 64 1.6255e-02

with

$$u_{\rho} = \frac{(1-\mu)(a^2P_a - b^2P_b)}{E(b^2 - a^2)} + \frac{(1+\mu)a^2b^2(P_b - P_a)}{E(b^2 - a^2)\rho}.$$
(5.4)

Then we get the displacement equation as

$$\frac{d^2 u_{\rho}}{d\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d u_{\rho}}{d\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} u_{\rho} = 0, a < \rho < b$$
(5.5)

and the boundary conditions can be given as

$$\sigma_{\rho}(a) = -P_a, \sigma_{\rho}(b) = -P_b,$$

which means that

$$\frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \mu \frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho} \right)_{\rho=a} = -P_a, \frac{E}{1-\mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\mu \partial \rho} + \frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho} \right)_{\rho=b} = -P_b, \tag{5.6}$$

and the matrix equations can be given as

$$\left[R^{(2,0)} + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho})R^{(1,0)} + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho^2})\right]U_{\rho} = 0$$
(5.7)

and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\rho} = \frac{E}{1 - \mu^{2}} \left(R^{(1,0)} + \mu \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho}) \right) U_{\rho}, \\ \sigma_{\theta} = \frac{E}{1 - \mu^{2}} \left(\mu R^{(1,0)} + \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\rho}) U_{\rho} \right) \end{cases}$$
(5.8)

with a = 0.5 m, b = 1 m, $P_a = 1000 Pa$, $P_b = 2000 Pa$, $E = 10^7 Pa$, $\mu = 0.3$.

In Tables 12 and 13, the error estimates of the BRICM with d = 5 and Lagrange interpolation collocation methods with n = 11 and n = 19 for displacement and stress are given.

Table 12. Error estimates of the BRICM with different d with d = 5.

n	Function	Equidistant nodes		Quasi-equidistant nodes	
		Absolute error	Relative error	Absolute error	Relative error
	$u_{ ho}$	2.9925e-02	9.2382e-03	1.7356e-04	4.9117e-05
	$u_{ heta}$	1.7418e-02	9.9419e-03	9.7870e-05	4.7756e-05
11	$\sigma_ ho$	4.7749e+02	2.1424e-02	8.8496e+00	4.7244e-04
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	2.8427e+03	9.4039e-03	2.3377e+01	6.5748e-05
	$ au_{ ho heta}$	2.7594e+02	1.2381e-02	4.3209e+00	2.3067e-04
	$u_{ ho}$	2.9642e-03	5.5736e-04	6.5569e-07	1.1227e-07
	$u_{ heta}$	1.6923e-03	6.0049e-04	2.1714e-07	6.4625e-08
19	$\sigma_{ ho}$	3.8746e+01	1.0246e-03	2.2016e-01	6.9270e-06
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	2.6988e+02	5.5473e-04	1.7981e+00	3.0837e-06
	$ au_{ ho heta}$	2.8528e+01	7.5436e-04	4.6880e-01	1.4750e-05

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

	Function	Equidistant nodes		Quasi equidistant nodes	
п	I' unction	A hashita arran	Dalatizza annon	A hashete arran	Deletive emen
		Absolute error	Relative error	Absolute error	Relative error
	$u_{ ho}$	5.7368e-05	1.7710e-05	2.1383e-09	6.0515e-10
	$u_{ heta}$	3.1838e-05	1.8173e-05	1.3071e-09	6.3780e-10
11	$\sigma_ ho$	4.4327e+00	1.9889e-04	3.2005e-03	1.7086e-07
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	5.4486e+00	1.8024e-05	3.7800e-04	1.0631e-09
	$ au_{ ho heta}$	4.0339e-01	1.8099e-05	5.6771e-05	3.0307e-09
	$u_{ ho}$	5.3104e+00	9.9853e-01	9.0233e-09	1.5450e-09
	$u_{ heta}$	2.8335e+00	1.0054e+00	5.5352e-09	1.6474e-09
19	$\sigma_ ho$	6.3820e+05	1.6876e+01	5.9085e-03	1.8591e-07
	$\sigma_{ heta}$	4.8026e+05	9.8716e-01	1.9612e-03	3.3635e-09
	$ au_{ ho heta}$	4.7011e+04	1.2431e+00	1.2233e-03	3.8490e-08

Table 13. Error estimates of Lagrange interpolation collocation methods.

In Tables 14–16, the errors of barycentric rational interpolation, i.e., u_{ρ} , σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} are shown with d = 2, 3, 4, 5 for equidistant nodes and $O(h^d)$ for u_{ρ} , σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} which agrees with our theorem analysis.

Table 14. Errors of equidistant nodes with d_1 for u_{ρ} .

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	6.9993e-06		3.3694e-06		1.0401e-06		6.6611e-07	
16	1.7434e-06	2.0053	4.4148e-07	2.9320	9.2244e-08	3.4952	2.9354e-08	4.5041
32	4.3685e-07	1.9967	5.7223e-08	2.9477	6.7371e-09	3.7753	1.1405e-09	4.6858
64	1.0946e-07	1.9967	7.2943e-09	2.9717	4.5402e-10	3.8913	4.0016e-11	4.8330

Table 15. Errors of equidistant nodes with d_1 for σ_{ρ} .

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	2.8959e+01		1.3156e+01		3.9232e+00		2.4593e+00	
16	9.5402e+00	1.6019	2.3041e+00	2.5135	4.6863e-01	3.0655	1.4678e-01	4.0665
32	2.6910e+00	1.8258	3.3753e-01	2.7711	3.8783e-02	3.5949	6.4731e-03	4.5031
64	7.1232e-01	1.9176	4.5531e-02	2.8901	2.7689e-03	3.8080	2.4068e-04	4.7492

Table 16. Errors of equidistant nodes with d_2 for σ_{θ} .

n	$d_2 = 2$		$d_2 = 3$		$d_2 = 4$		$d_2 = 5$	
8	6.7387e+01		1.3999e+02		2.0802e+01		1.3322e+01	
16	8.8297e+00	2.0053	3.4869e+01	2.9320	1.8449e+00	3.4952	5.8709e-01	4.5041
32	1.1445e+00	1.9967	8.7371e+00	2.9477	1.3474e-01	3.7753	2.2810e-02	4.6858
64	1.4589e-01	1.9967	2.1892e+00	2.9717	9.0804e-03	3.8913	8.0031e-04	4.8330

In Tables 17–19, for quasi-equidistant nodes, the errors of u_{ρ} , σ_{ρ} and σ_{θ} are given for the barycen-

tric rational interpolation with $d_1 = d_2 = 2, 3, 4, 5$. The convergence rate is $O(h^{2d-2})$ for u_ρ and $O(h^d)$ for σ_ρ and σ_θ which coincides with our theorem analysis.

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	5.1242e-07		4.7200e-08		5.6060e-08		4.4966e-08	
16	5.7993e-08	3.1434	4.6281e-09	3.3503	5.2101e-10	6.7495	5.7807e-11	9.6034
32	7.9035e-09	2.8753	1.8128e-10	4.6741	2.8497e-12	7.5144	1.5231e-13	8.5681
64	7.2603e-10	3.4444	5.1410e-13	8.4620	1.3194e-12	1.1110	6.6684e-12	-

Table 17. Errors of quasi-equidistant nodes with d_1 for u_{ρ} .

Table 18. Errors of quasi-equidistant nodes with d_1 for σ_{ρ} .

n	$d_1 = 2$		$d_1 = 3$		$d_1 = 4$		$d_1 = 5$	
8	2.3800e+01		6.5238e+00		1.0394e+00		5.2459e-01	
16	4.1561e+00	2.5176	7.9468e-01	3.0373	1.3660e-01	2.9276	3.1504e-02	4.0576
32	8.5118e-01	2.2877	8.1116e-02	3.2923	7.5261e-03	4.1819	9.8814e-04	4.9947
64	1.9227e-01	2.1464	8.8700e-03	3.1930	5.2740e-04	3.8349	5.4116e-04	0.8686

Table 19. Errors of quasi-equidistant nodes with d_2 for σ_{θ} .

n	$d_2 = 2$		$d_2 = 3$		$d_2 = 4$		$d_2 = 5$	
8	1.0685e+01		2.6150e+00		1.1212e+00		8.9933e-01	
16	1.9955e+00	2.4207	2.8961e-01	3.1746	4.3436e-02	4.6900	1.0093e-02	6.4774
32	3.5851e-01	2.4767	2.6615e-02	3.4438	2.2886e-03	4.2463	2.9545e-04	5.0943
64	6.6816e-02	2.4237	2.6669e-03	3.3190	1.5324e-04	3.9007	2.3041e-04	-

6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, first, the equilibrium equations were transformed into polar coordinates; then, the LBRCM was presented to solve the equilibrium equations. Third, the matrix equations of the equilibrium equations were obtained and the convergence rate of the LBRCM was also proved. At last, some numerical examples were given to validate the proposed theorem. The plane elasticity problems under the irregular domain can also be solved by using the LBRCM, as will be discussed it in the near future.

Acknowledgments

The work of Jin Li was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2022MA003) and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. A2019209533).

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. L. J. Qiao, W. L. Qiu, B. Tang, A fast numerical solution of the 3D nonlinear tempered fractional integrodifferential equation, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations*, **39** (2023), 1333–1354, https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22936
- 2. Z. J. Fu, Z. C. Tang, Q. Xi, Q. G. Liu, Y. Gu, F. J. Wang, Localized collocation schemes and their applications, *Acta Mech. Sin.*, **38** (2022), 422167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10409-022-22167-x
- 3. Z. J. Fu, Q. Xi, Y. Gu, J. P. Li, W. Z. Qu, L. L. Sun, et al., Singular boundary method: a review and computer implementation aspects, *Eng. Anal. Boundary Elem.*, **147** (2023), 231–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enganabound.2022.12.004
- Y. P. Chen, X. Zhao, Y. Q. Huang, Mortar element method for the time dependent coupling of stokes and darcy flows, J. Sci. Comput., 80 (2019), 1310–1329. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-019-00977-4
- X. X. Lin, Y. P. Chen, Y. Q. Huang, A posteriori error estimates of hp spectral element methods for optimal control problems with L-2-norm state constraint, *Numerical Algorithms*, 83 (2020), 1145–1169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-019-00719-5
- C. H. Yao, F. R. Li, Y. M. Zhao, Superconvergence analysis of two-grid FEM for Maxwell's equations with a thermal effect, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **79** (2020), 378–3393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2020.02.001
- C. H. Yao, Z. Y. Wang, Y. M. Zhao, A leap-frog finite element method for wave propagation of Maxwell-Schrodinger equations with nonlocal effect in metamaterials, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 90 (2021), 25–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2021.02.019
- 8. J. Shen, T. Tang, L. Wang, *Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications*, Springer, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-71041-7
- 9. L. N. Trefethen, Spectral Methods in MATLAB, SIAM, 2000. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898719598
- F. Dell'Accio, F. Di Tommaso, O. Nouisser, N. Siar, Solving Poisson equation with Dirichlet conditions through multinode Shepard operators, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 98 (2021), 254–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2021.07.021
- F. Dell'Accio, F. Di Tommaso, G. Ala, E. Francomano, Electric scalar potential estimations for non-invasive brain activity detection through multinode Shepard method, in 2022 IEEE 21st Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference (MELECON), 2022, 1264–1268. https://doi.org/10.1109/MELECON53508.2022.9842881
- 12. P. Berrut, S. A. Hosseini, G. Klein, The linear barycentric rational quadrature method for Volterra integral equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **36** (2014), 105–123. https://doi.org/10.1137/120904020
- 13. J. P. Berrut, G. Klein, Recent advances in linear barycentric rational interpolation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **259** (2014), 95–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2013.03.044
- E. Cirillo, H. Kai, On the Lebesgue constant of barycentric rational Hermite interpolants at equidistant nodes, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 349 (2019), 292–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2018.06.011

- 15. M. S. Floater, H. Kai, Barycentric rational interpolation with no poles and high rates of approximation, *Numer. Math.*, **107** (2007), 315–331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-007-0093-y
- 16. S. De Marchi, F. Dell'Accio, M. Mazza, On the constrained mock Chebyshev least-squares, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 280 (2015), 94–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.11.032
- F. Dell'Accio, F. Di Tommaso, F. Nudo, Generalizations of the constrained mock-Chebyshev least squares in two variables: tensor product vs total degree polynomial interpolation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **125** (2022), 107732. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2021.107732
- 18. F. Dell'Accio, F. Di Tommaso, F. Nudo, Constrained mock-Chebyshev least squares quadrature, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **134** (2022), 108328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2022.108328
- 19. A. Abdi, J. P. Berrut, S. A. Hosseini, The linear barycentric rational method for a class of delay Volterra integro-differential equations, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **75** (2018), 1757–1775. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-017-0608-3
- J. P. Berrut, S. F. Michael, G. Klein, Convergence rates of derivatives of a family of barycentric rational interpolants, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **61** (2011), 989–1000. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2011.05.001
- 21. G. Klein, J. P. Berrut, Linear rational finite differences from derivatives of barycentric rational interpolants, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **50** (2012), 643–656. https://doi.org/10.1137/110827156
- 22. G. Klein, J. P. Berrut, Linear barycentric rational quadrature, *BIT Numer. Math.*, **52** (2012), 407–424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10543-011-0357-x
- J. Li, Y. Cheng, Linear barycentric rational collocation method for solving second-order Volterra integro-differential equation, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **39** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-020-1114-z
- 24. S. Li, Z. Wang, High Precision Meshless Barycentric Interpolation Collocation Method-Algorithmic Program and Engineering Application, Science Publishing, Beijing, 2012.
- 25. Z. Wang, Z. Xu, J. Li, Mixed barycentric interpolation collocation method of displacement-pressure for incompressible plane elastic problems, *Chin. J. Appl. Mech.*, **35** (2018), 195–201.
- Z. Wang, L. Zhang, Z. Xu, J. Li, Barycentric interpolation collocation method based on mixed displacement-stress formulation for solving plane elastic problems, *Chin. J. Appl. Mech.*, 35 (2018), 304–309. https://doi.org/10.11776/cjam.35.02.D002
- 27. Z. Wang, S. Li, *Barycentric Interpolation Collocation Method for Nonlinear Problems*, National Defense Industry Press, Beijing, 2015.
- J. Li, Y. Cheng, Linear barycentric rational collocation method for solving heat conduction equation, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations*, **37** (2021), 533–545. https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22539
- 29. J. Li, X. Su, J. Qu, Linear barycentric rational collocation method for solving telegraph equation, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **44** (2021), 11720–11737. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7548
- J. Li, Linear barycentric rational collocation method for solving biharmonic equation, *Demonstr. Math.*, 55 (2022), 587–603. https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2022-0151

31. J. Li, X. Su, K. Zhao, Barycentric interpolation collocation solve algorithm to fractional differential equations, 340-367. Math. Comput. Simul., 205 (2023),https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2022.10.005



© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)