



Research article

Quasilinearization method for an impulsive integro-differential system with delay

Bing Hu*, Zhizhi Wang, Minbo Xu and Dingjiang Wang*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China

* Correspondence: Email: djhubingst@163.com, wangdingj@126.com.

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain solution sequences converging uniformly and quadratically to extremal solutions of an impulsive integro-differential system with delay. The main tools are the method of quasilinearization and the monotone iterative. The results obtained are more general and applicable than previous studies, especially the quadratic convergence of the solution for a class of integro-differential equations, which have been involved little by now.

Keywords: impulsive integro-differential system; delay; quasilinearization; uniform convergence; quadratic convergence

1. Introduction

In this paper, we employ the monotone iterative [1,2] and quasilinearization method [3,4] to discuss the existence, uniform and quadratic convergence of solution sequences for an antiperiodic boundary value problem (BVP) of impulsive integro-differential system with delay [5]:

$$\begin{cases} y'(h) = f(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) & h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta y(h_k) = I_k(y(h_k)) & k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ y(0) = -y(T) \\ y(h) = y(0) & h \in [-r, 0], \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $f \in C(I \times R^4, R)$, $I = [0, T]$, $I^+ = [-r, T]$, $r > 0$, $h - r \leq \tau(h) \leq h$, $h_0 = 0 < h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_m < T = h_{m+1}$, $I_k \in C(R, R)$, $\Delta y(h_k) = y(h_k^+) - y(h_k^-)$,

$$[\Gamma y](h) = \int_0^h K(h, s)y(s)ds, \quad [\delta y](h) = \int_0^T H(h, s)y(s)ds,$$

$K \in C(L, R^+)$, $L = \{(h, s) \in I \times I : h \geq s\}$, $H \in C(I \times I, R^+)$, $R^+ = [0, \infty)$. We denote $k_0 = \max \{K(h, s) : (h, s) \in L\}$, $h_0 = \max \{H(h, s) : (h, s) \in I \times I\}$, $\rho = \max \{h_{u+1} - h_u\}$, $u = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Impulsive differential equation is a basic mathematical model to describe real world phenomena which suddenly alter states at some moments [6, 7]. It is widely used in physics, population dynamics, ecology, industrial robotic, etc [8–10]. Note that, in recent years, there are many authors interest in impulsive integro-differential equations [11–13]. And, the existence and approximate controllability for neutral differential equations with delay have been widely concerned [14–17]. Nisar and Vijayakumar discussed approximate controllability for a class of Sobolev-type Hilfer fractional neutral delay differential equations [18]. The controllability result for a fuzzy delay differential system can refer to [19]. The existence and controllability for fractional integro-differential delay equations of order $1 < r < 2$ have been considered in [20] and [21].

The monotone iterative method is effective to get solution sequences, which uniformly converge to extreme solutions of equations [1]. Moreover, the quasilinearization(QSL) method is often used to get solution sequences, which are square convergent [3, 22–24]. The QSL method, whose iterations are constructed to yield rapid convergence, has been used for solving a series of problems and obtained many excellent results [25–27]. The application of the QSL method in functional differential equations, can see [3, 28, 29]. However, the application of the QSL method in impulsive integro-differential systems with delay has been little discussed.

Similar to previous studies [1, 3, 5], we introduce some spaces for the following use:

Letting $I^- = I^+ \setminus \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$, $PC(I^+, R) = \{y : I^+ \rightarrow R; y(h) \text{ is a continuous function in } I^-, y(h_k^+) \text{ and } y(h_k^-) \text{ exist at } h_k, \text{ and } y(h_k^-) = y(h_k)\};$

$PC'(I^+, R) = \{y \in PC(I^+, R); y' \text{ is continuous in } I^-, y'(h_k^+), y'(h_k^-), y'(0^+) \text{ and } y'(T^-) \text{ exist}\};$

$E_0 = \{y \in PC(I^+, R) : y(h) = y(0), h \in [-r, 0]\}$, then the norm of E_0 is defined as $\|y\|_{E_0} = \sup_{h \in I^+} |y(h)|$;

$E = PC(I^+, R) \cap PC'(I^+, R)$. Then $y \in E$ is a solution of system (1.1) if and only if y satisfies system (1.1).

2. Some basic concepts and conclusions

Firstly, we give the definition of upper and lower solutions.

Concept 2.1. ^{1001[5]} A function $\phi_0 \in E \cap E_0$ is a lower solution of system (1.1) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \phi'_0(h) \leq f(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h))) & h \neq h_k, h \in I = [0, T] \\ \Delta\phi_0(h_k) \leq I_k(\phi_0(h_k)) & k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \phi_0(0) \leq -\varphi_0(T) \\ \phi_0(h) \leq \phi_0(0) & h \in [-r, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Concept 2.2. ^{1001[5]} A function $\varphi_0 \in E \cap E_0$ is an upper solution of system (1.1) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'_0(h) \geq f(h, \varphi_0(h), [\Gamma\varphi_0](h), [\delta\varphi_0](h), \varphi_0(\tau(h))) & h \neq h_k, h \in I = [0, T] \\ \Delta\varphi_0(h_k) \geq I_k(\varphi_0(h_k)) & k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \varphi_0(0) \geq -\phi_0(T) \\ \varphi_0(h) \geq \varphi_0(0) & h \in [-r, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Then, we give some lemmas [5] to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} y'(h) + Ay(h) = \sigma(h) - B_1[\Gamma y](h) - B_2[\delta y](h) - By(\tau(h)), & h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta y(h_k) = -L_k y(h_k) + I_k(\eta(h_k)) + L_k(\eta(h_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ y(0) = -y(T) \\ y(h) = y(0), & h \in [-r, 0], \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $A > 0, B_1, B_2, B \geq 0, 0 \leq L_k < 1$ and $\sigma(h) \in PC(I, R), \eta(h) \in PC'(I^+, R)$.

Lemma 2.1. ^{1001[5]} Let $A > 0, B_1, B_2, B \geq 0$ and $0 \leq L_k < 1$ satisfy the inequality:

$$\frac{(B_1 k_0 T + B_2 h_0 T + B)(e^{AT} - 1)}{A(e^{AT} + 1)} + \frac{e^{AT}}{e^{AT} + 1} \sum_{k=1}^m L_k < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $y \in E$ is the unique solution of system (2.1) if $y \in E_0$ satisfies:

$$y(h) = \begin{cases} \int_0^T F(h, s)[\sigma(s) - B_1[\Gamma y](s) - B_2[\delta y](s) - By(\tau(s))]ds \\ + \sum_{k=1}^m F(h, h_k)[-L_k y(h_k) + I_k(\eta(h_k)) + L_k \eta(h_k)] & h \in I \\ \int_0^T F(0, s)[\sigma(s) - B_1[\Gamma y](s) - B_2[\delta y](s) - By(\tau(s))]ds \\ + \sum_{k=1}^m F(0, h_k)[-L_k y(h_k) + I_k(\eta(h_k)) + L_k \eta(h_k)] & h \in [-r, 0], \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$F(h, s) = \frac{1}{e^{AT} + 1} \begin{cases} e^{A(T-h+s)}, & 0 \leq s \leq h \leq T, \\ -e^{A(s-h)}, & 0 \leq h < s \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. ^{1001[5]} Suppose that $y \in E$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y'(h) + Ay(h) + B_1[\Gamma y](h) + B_2[\delta y](h) + By(\tau(h)) \leq 0, & h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta y(h_k) \leq -L_k y(h_k) & k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ y(0) \leq 0 \\ y(h) = y(0), & h \in [-r, 0], \end{cases}$$

where constants $A > 0, B_1, B_2, B \geq 0, 0 \leq L_k < 1$, with

$$\sum_{k=1}^m L_k + \rho(m+1)(A + B_1 k_0 T + B_2 h_0 T + B) \leq 1.$$

Then $y(h) \leq 0$ on I^+ .

Lemma 2.3. ^{1001[5]} If the functions ϕ_0, φ_0 are lower and upper solutions of BVP system (1.1), which satisfy $\phi_0(h) \leq \varphi_0(h)$ in I^+ , and both the f and I_k satisfy one-sided Lipschitz condition, then we can find sequences $\phi_n, \varphi_n \subset [\phi_0, \varphi_0]$ that uniformly converge to minimal and maximal solutions of the BVP system (1.1).

3. A main theorem

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the following assumptions are true:

- (S_1) Functions $\phi_0(h), \varphi_0(h)$ are lower and upper solutions of the BVP system (1.1), which satisfy $\phi_0(h) \leq \varphi_0(h)$ in I^+ ;
- (S_2) f satisfies $f_y(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) < 0, f_{\Gamma y}(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \leq 0, f_{\delta y}(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \leq 0$, and $f_{y\tau}(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \leq 0$. And, the quadratic form $K(f(h, y, k, z, p))$ is

$$\begin{aligned} K(f) = & (y - v)^2 f_{yy}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + (k - u)^2 f_{\Gamma y \Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + (z - w)^2 f_{\delta y \delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\ & + (p - q)^2 f_{y \tau y \tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2(y - v)(k - u)f_{y \Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\ & + 2(y - v)(z - w)f_{y \delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2(y - v)(p - q)f_{y y \tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\ & + 2(k - u)(z - w)f_{\Gamma y \delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2(k - u)(p - q)f_{\Gamma y y \tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\ & + 2(z - w)(p - q)f_{\delta y y \tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4), \end{aligned}$$

and $K(f) \leq 0$ on $I \times R^4$, where $\phi_0 \leq v \leq y_1 \leq y \leq \varphi_0, \phi_0 \leq u \leq y_2 \leq k \leq \varphi_0, \phi_0 \leq w \leq y_3 \leq z \leq \varphi_0, \phi_0 \leq q \leq y_4 \leq p \leq \varphi_0, h \neq h_k, h \in I$;

- (S_3) The functions I_k satisfy $-1 \leq I'_k(.) \leq 0$ and $I''_k(.) \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Then we can find monotone solution sequences $\{\phi_n(h)\}$ and $\{\varphi_n(h)\}$, which quadratically and uniformly converge to extremal solutions of system (1.1) on $[\phi_0, \varphi_0]$.

Proof: By using (S_2) and the Taylor's formula, we can obtain

$$f(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \leq Q(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h)); v(h)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h)); v(h)) = & f(h, v(h), [\Gamma v](h), [\delta v](h), v(\tau(h))) \\ & + f_y(h, v(h), [\Gamma v](h), [\delta v](h), v(\tau(h)))(y(h) - v(h)) + f_{\Gamma y}(h, v(h), [\Gamma v](h), [\delta v](h), v(\tau(h)))([\Gamma y](h) - [\Gamma v](h)) \\ & + f_{\delta y}(h, v(h), [\Gamma v](h), [\delta v](h), v(\tau(h)))([\delta y](h) - [\delta v](h)) \\ & + f_{y\tau}(h, v(h), [\Gamma v](h), [\delta v](h), v(\tau(h)))(y(\tau(h)) - v(\tau(h))), \end{aligned}$$

and employing the Taylor's formula together with (S_3), we obtain

$$\Delta a(h_k) \geq I_k(b(h_k)) + I'_k(b(h_k))(a(h_k) - b(h_k)),$$

where $\phi_0(h_k) \leq b(h_k) \leq a(h_k) \leq \varphi_0(h_k)$.

Now, solution sequences $\phi_i(h)$ and $\varphi_i(h)$ are constructed to satisfy:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi'_i(h) - f_y(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_i(h) \\ - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_i](h) \\ - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_i](h) \\ - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_i(\tau(h)) \\ = f(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h))) \\ - f_y(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_{i-1}(h) \\ - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h) \\ - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_{i-1}](h) \\ - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)) \quad h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta\phi_i(h_k) = I_k(\phi_{i-1}(h_k)) + I'_k(\phi_{i-1}(h_k))(\phi_i(h_k) - \phi_{i-1}(h_k)) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \phi_i(0) = -\varphi_{i-1}(T) \\ \phi_i(h) = \phi_i(0) \quad h \in [-r, 0], \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi'_i(h) - f_y(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\varphi_i(h) \\ - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varphi_i](h) \\ - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\varphi_i](h) \\ - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\varphi_i(\tau(h)) \\ = f(h, \varphi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\varphi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\varphi_{i-1}](h), \varphi_{i-1}(\tau(h))) \\ - f_y(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\varphi_{i-1}(h) \\ - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varphi_{i-1}](h) \\ - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\varphi_{i-1}](h) \\ - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{i-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{i-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{i-1}](h), \phi_{i-1}(\tau(h)))\varphi_{i-1}(\tau(h)) \quad h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta\varphi_i(h_k) = I_k(\varphi_{i-1}(h_k)) + I'_k(\phi_{i-1}(h_k))(\varphi_i(h_k) - \varphi_{i-1}(h_k)) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \varphi_i(0) = -\phi_{i-1}(T) \\ \varphi_i(h) = \varphi_i(0) \quad h \in [-r, 0]. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

Obviously, by Lemma 2.1, we know that system (3.1) or (3.2) has an unique solution, respectively. We will finish our proof in four steps:

1. We prove that $\phi_0 \leq \phi_1$ and $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_0$.

Let $i = 1$, then by system (3.1), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi'_1(h) - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_1](h) \\ - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_1](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(\tau(h)) \\ = f(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h))) - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(h) \\ - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_0](h) - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_0](h) \\ - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(\tau(h)) \quad h \neq h_k, h \in I \\ \Delta\phi_1(h_k) = I_k(\phi_0(h_k)) + I'_k(\phi_0(h_k))(\phi_1(h_k) - \phi_0(h_k)) \\ \phi_1(0) = -\varphi_0(T) \\ \phi_1(h) = \phi_1(0) \quad h \in [-r, 0]. \end{array} \right.$$

Setting $\varpi(h) = \phi_0(h) - \phi_1(h)$, we get

$$\varpi'(h) = f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\varpi(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi](h)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\varpi(\tau(h)) \\
& = \phi'_0(h) - \phi'_1(h) - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(h) \\
& + f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_0](h) \\
& + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_1](h) - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_0](h) \\
& + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_1](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(\tau(h)) \\
& + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(\tau(h)) \leq f(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h))) \\
& - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_1](h) \\
& - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_1](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(\tau(h)) \\
& - f(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h))) + f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(h) \\
& + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_0](h) + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_0](h) \\
& + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(\tau(h)) - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(h) \\
& + f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_0](h) \\
& + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_1](h) - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_0](h) \\
& + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_1](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_0(\tau(h)) \\
& + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\phi_1(\tau(h)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily prove that

$$\Delta\varpi(h_k) \leq I'_k(\phi_0(h_k))\varpi(h_k), \quad \varpi(0) \leq 0, \quad \varpi(h) = \varpi(0), h \in [-r, 0].$$

So it is clear that $\varpi(h) \leq 0$ (from Lemma 2.2), i.e., $\phi_0 \leq \phi_1$. Similarly, we can get that $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_0$ for all $h \in I^+$.

2. We show that $\phi_1 \leq \varphi_1$ on I^+ .

Letting $\varpi(h) = \phi_1 - \varphi_1$ and by $(S_1) - (S_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi'(h) & = f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\varpi(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi](h) \\
& - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi](h) - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))\varpi(\tau(h)) \\
& = f(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h))) - f(h, \varphi_0(h), [\Gamma\varphi_0](h), [\delta\varphi_0](h), \varphi_0(\tau(h))) \\
& - f_y(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))(\phi_0(h) - \varphi_0(h)) \\
& - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))([\Gamma\phi_0](h) - [\Gamma\varphi_0](h)) \\
& - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))([\delta\phi_0](h) - [\delta\varphi_0](h)) \\
& - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_0(h), [\Gamma\phi_0](h), [\delta\phi_0](h), \phi_0(\tau(h)))(\phi_0(\tau(h)) - \varphi_0(\tau(h))) \leq 0, \\
\Delta\varpi(h_k) & = I_k(\phi_0(h_k)) - I_k(\varphi_0(h_k)) + I'_k(\phi_0(h_k))\varpi(h_k) - I'_k(\phi_0(h_k))(\phi_0(h_k) - \varphi_0(h_k)) \\
& \leq I'_k(\phi_0(h_k))\varpi(h_k), \\
\varpi(0) & \leq 0, \quad \varpi(h) = \varpi(0) \quad h \in [-r, 0].
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2, we get $\varpi(h) \leq 0$, i.e., $\phi_1 \leq \varphi_1$ for all $h \in I^+$. So we have $\phi_0(h) \leq \phi_1(h) \leq \varphi_1(h) \leq \varphi_0(h)$ in I^+ . Then, by mathematical induction, we obtain $\phi_n(h)$ and $\varphi_n(h)$ satisfying

$$\phi_0(h) \leq \phi_1(h) \leq \cdots \leq \phi_n(h) \leq \cdots \varphi_n(h) \leq \cdots \varphi_1(h) \leq \varphi_0(h), \quad h \in I^+,$$

and each $\phi_i(h), \varphi_i(h) \in E \cap E_0 (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ satisfy system (3.1) or (3.2), respectively. We can easily prove that the sequences $\phi_n(h)$ and $\varphi_n(h)$ are uniformly bounded and equi-continuous, then by Ascoli-Arzela criterion [6], they uniformly converge to two solutions of system (1.1):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(h) = \varsigma(h), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(h) = q(h).$$

3. We verify that $\varsigma(h)$ and $q(h)$ are minimum and maximum solutions of system (1.1) in $[\phi_0, \varphi_0]$, respectively.

Suppose $y(h)$ is an arbitrary solution of system (1.1), which satisfies $\phi_0(h) \leq y(h) \leq \varphi_0(h)$ in I^+ . Now, we assume that $\phi_n(h) \leq y(h) \leq \varphi_n(h)$ hold for a positive integer n , in what follows we prove that $\phi_{n+1}(h) \leq y(h) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(h)$.

Letting $\varpi(h) = \phi_{n+1}(h) - y(h)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi'(h) &= \phi'_{n+1}(h) - y'(h) \\ &= f_y(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\phi_{n+1}(h) \\ &\quad + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_{n+1}](h) \\ &\quad + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_{n+1}](h) \\ &\quad + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\phi_{n+1}(\tau(h)) + f(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h))) \\ &\quad - f_y(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\phi_n(h) - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_n](h) \\ &\quad - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_n](h) \\ &\quad - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\phi_n(\tau(h)) - f(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \\ &= f_y(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\varpi(h) + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi](h) \\ &\quad + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi](h) + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\varpi(\tau(h)) \\ &\quad + f(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h))) - f_y(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))(\phi_n(h) - y(h)) \\ &\quad - f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_n](h) - [\Gamma y](h)) \\ &\quad - f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_n](h) - [\delta y](h)) \\ &\quad - f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))(\phi_n(\tau(h)) - y(\tau(h))) - f(h, y(h), [\Gamma y](h), [\delta y](h), y(\tau(h))) \\ &\leq f_y(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\varpi(h) + f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi](h) \\ &\quad + f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi](h) \\ &\quad + f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_n(h), [\Gamma\phi_n](h), [\delta\phi_n](h), \phi_n(\tau(h)))\varpi(\tau(h)) \quad h \neq h_k, \quad h \in I, \\ \Delta\varpi(h_k) &= I_k(\phi_n(h_k)) - I_k(y(h_k)) + I'_k(\phi_n(h_k))\varpi(h_k) - I'_k(\phi_n(h_k))(\phi_n(h_k) - y(h_k)) \\ &\leq I'_k(\phi_n(h_k))\varpi(h_k), \\ \varpi(0) &\leq 0, \quad \varpi(h) = \varpi(0) \quad h \in [-r, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\varpi(h) \leq 0$, i.e., $\phi_{n+1}(h) \leq y(h)$ on I^+ . Similarly, it can be proved that $y(h) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(h)$ on I^+ . So $\phi_{n+1}(h) \leq y(h) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(h)$. Then, by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is clear that $\varsigma(h) \leq y(h) \leq q(h)$.

4. Finally, we prove that the quadratic convergence of ϕ_n and φ_n .

First of all, letting $\varpi_n(h) = \varsigma(h) - \phi_n(h) \geq 0$, then

$$\varpi_n'(h) = \varsigma'(h) - \phi'_n(h)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f(h, \varsigma(h), [\Gamma\varsigma](h), [\delta\varsigma](h), \varsigma(\tau(h))) - f_y(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_n(h) \\
&- f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_n](h) \\
&- f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_n](h) \\
&- f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_n(\tau(h)) \\
&- f(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) \\
&+ f_y(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_{n-1}(h) \\
&+ f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h) \\
&+ f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\phi_{n-1}](h) \\
&+ f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)) \\
&= f_y(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\varpi_n(h) \\
&+ f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi_n](h) \\
&+ f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi_n](h) \\
&+ f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\varpi_n(\tau(h)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[\varpi_{n-1}^2(h)f_{yy}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h)f_{\Gamma y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ [\delta\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h)f_{\delta y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + (\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))^2f_{y\tau y\tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{yy\tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{\Gamma y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{\Gamma y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{\delta y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)].
\end{aligned}$$

We write the above expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi'_n(h) &= f_y(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\varpi_n(h) \\
&= f_{\Gamma y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\Gamma\varpi_n](h) \\
&+ f_{\delta y}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))[\delta\varpi_n](h) \\
&+ f_{y\tau}(h, \phi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))\varpi_n(\tau(h)) \\
&+ \sigma(\varpi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))), \quad h \neq h_k \quad h \in I,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_{n-1}(h) \leq y_1 \leq \varsigma(h), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](h) \leq y_2 \leq [\Gamma\varsigma](h), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](h) \leq y_3 \leq [\delta\varsigma](h), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(h)) \leq y_4 \leq \varsigma(\tau(h)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\varpi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[\varpi_{n-1}^2(h)f_{yy}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h)f_{\Gamma y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ [\delta\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h)f_{\delta y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + (\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))^2f_{y\tau y\tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2\varpi_{n-1}(h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{yy\tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)f_{\Gamma y\delta y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \\
&+ 2[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{\Gamma y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) + 2[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{\delta y\Gamma y}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))f_{\delta yy\tau}(h, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\varpi_n(h_k) &= \Delta\varsigma(h_k) - \Delta\phi_n(h_k) \\ &= I_k(\varsigma(h_k)) - I_k(\phi_{n-1}(h_k)) - I_k'(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))[\phi_n(h_k) - \phi_{n-1}(h_k)] \\ &= I_k(\phi_{n-1}(h_k)) + I_k'(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))[\varsigma(h_k) - \phi_{n-1}(h_k)] + \frac{1}{2}I_k''(\xi)[\varsigma(h_k) - \phi_{n-1}(h_k)]^2 \\ &\quad - I_k(\phi_{n-1}(h_k)) - I_k'(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))[\phi_n(h_k) - \phi_{n-1}(h_k)] \\ &= I_k'(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))\varpi_n(h_k) + \frac{1}{2}I_k''(\xi)\varpi_{n-1}^2(h_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \varpi_n(0) &= \varsigma(0) - \phi_n(0) = -\varpi_n(T) + \eta, \\ \varpi_n(h) &= \varsigma(h) - \phi_n(h) = \varsigma(0) - \phi_n(0) = \varpi_n(0), \quad h \in [-r, 0],\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{n-1}(h_k) \leq \xi \leq \varsigma(h_k)$, $\eta = \varphi_{n-1}(T) - \phi_n(T)$. By Lemma 2.1, the solution of the above system is

$$\varpi_n(h) = \begin{cases} \int_0^T F(h, s)[\sigma(\varpi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](s), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(s))) \\ + f_{\Gamma y}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))[\Gamma\varpi_n](s) \\ + f_{\delta y}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))[\delta\varpi_n](s) \\ + f_{y\tau}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))\varpi_n(\tau(s))]ds + \frac{e^{M(T)-M(h)}}{1+e^{M(T)}}\eta \\ + \sum_{k=1}^m F(h, h_k)[I'_k(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))\varpi_n(h_k) + \frac{1}{2}I_k''(\xi)\varpi_{n-1}^2(h_k)] \quad h \in I, \\ \int_0^T F(0, s)[\sigma(\varpi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](s), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(s))) \\ + f_{\Gamma y}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))[\Gamma\varpi_n](s) \\ + f_{\delta y}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))[\delta\varpi_n](s) \\ + f_{y\tau}(s, \phi_{n-1}(s), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](s), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](s), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(s)))\varpi_n(\tau(s))]ds + \frac{e^{M(T)}}{1+e^{M(T)}}\eta \\ + \sum_{k=1}^m F(0, h_k)[I'_k(\phi_{n-1}(h_k))\varpi_n(h_k) + \frac{1}{2}I_k''(\xi)\varpi_{n-1}^2(h_k)] \quad h \in [-r, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $M(h) = -\int_0^h f_y(v, \phi_{n-1}(v), [\Gamma\phi_{n-1}](v), [\delta\phi_{n-1}](v), \phi_{n-1}(\tau(v)))dv$. Letting $|f_{yy}| \leq \delta_1$, $|f_{\Gamma y\Gamma y}| \leq \delta_2$, $|f_{\delta y\delta y}| \leq \delta_3$, $|f_{y\tau y\tau}| \leq \delta_4$, $|f_{y\Gamma y}| \leq \delta_5$, $|f_{y\delta y}| \leq \delta_6$, $|f_{yy\tau}| \leq \delta_7$, $|f_{\Gamma y\delta y}| \leq \delta_8$, $|f_{\delta yy\tau}| \leq \delta_9$, $|f_{\delta yy\tau}| \leq \delta_{10}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\varpi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\delta_1\varpi_{n-1}^2(h) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_3[\delta\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_4(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))^2 \\ &\quad + \delta_5\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h) + \delta_6\varpi_{n-1}(h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h) + \delta_7\varpi_{n-1}(h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) \\ &\quad + \delta_8[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h) + \delta_9[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) + \delta_{10}[\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h)(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))) \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_5 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_6 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_7)\varpi_{n-1}^2(h) + (\frac{1}{2}\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_5 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_8 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_9)[\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h) \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{2}\delta_3 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_6 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_8 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{10})[\delta\varpi_{n-1}]^2(h) \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{2}\delta_4 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_7 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_9 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{10})(\varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h)))^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the norm of ϖ_{n-1} on I^+ is $\|\varpi_{n-1}\|_{E_0} = \max_{I^+}\{\varpi_{n-1}(h), [\Gamma\varpi_{n-1}](h), [\delta\varpi_{n-1}](h), \varpi_{n-1}(\tau(h))\}$. Obviously, from the expression of $\varpi_n(h)$ we know that the follow formula is established for a constant ζ :

$$\|\varpi_n\|_{E_0} \leq \zeta \|\varpi_{n-1}\|_{E_0}^2.$$

Therefore, the ϖ_n is quadratic convergent.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we mainly use the monotone iterative and quasilinearization method to study the quadratic convergence of the extremal solution for a class of integro-differential equations with delay. The results obtained are new and more general than previous studies, which can be applied to several special cases: 1) If $\tau(h) = h$, then the Bvp(1.1) is an impulsive integro-differential system with anti-periodic boundary value conditions; 2) If $\tau(h) = h + \theta$, $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, then the Bvp(1.1) becomes a delay impulsive integro-differential equation; 3) If $I_k(y(h_k)) = 0$, then the Bvp(1.1) is a non-impulsive integro-differential equation; 4) If $K(h, s) = 0$, $H(h, s) = 0$, then the Bvp(1.1) is reduced to an impulsive functional differential equation with delay; 5) If $K(h, s) = 0$, $H(h, s) = 0$, $\tau(h) = h$, then the Bvp(1.1) becomes an impulsive ordinary differential equation.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 11602092); the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2018M632184).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Z. He, X. He, Monotone iterative technique for impulsive integro-differential equations with periodic boundary conditions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **48** (2004), 73–84. doi: 10.1155/S1687120004020052.
2. R. Chaudhary, D. N. Pandey, Monotone iterative technique for impulsive Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations, *Filomat*, **32** (2018), 3381–3395. doi: 10.2298/FIL1809381C.
3. B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, Existence and approximation of solutions for a class of nonlinear impulsive functional differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. Theor.*, **69** (2008), 3291–3298. doi: 10.1016/j.na.2007.09.018.
4. P. Wang, C. Li, J. Zhang, Quasilinearization method for first-order impulsive integro-differential equations, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, **46** (2019), 2019.
5. B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, Existence of solutions for anti-periodic boundary value problems of nonlinear impulsive functional integro-differential equations of mixed type, *Nonlinear Anal-Hybri.*, **3** (2009), 501–509. doi: 10.1016/j.nahs.2009.03.007.

6. V. Lakshmikantham, P. S. Simeonov, Theory of impulsive differential equations, *World Sci.*, 1989. doi: 10.1142/0906.
7. S. Tang, A. Zada, S. Faisal, Stability of higher Corder nonlinear impulsive differential equations, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9** (2016), 4713–4721. doi: 10.22436/jnsa.009.06.110.
8. X. J. Ran, M. Z. Liu, Q. Y. Zhu, Numerical methods for impulsive differential equation, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **48** (2008), 46–55. doi: 10.1016/j.mcm.2007.09.010.
9. H. Chen, J. Sun, An application of variational method to second-order impulsive differential equation on the half-line, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217** (2010), 1863–1869. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2010.06.040.
10. W. Zhang, M. Fan, Periodicity in a generalized ecological competition system governed by impulsive differential equations with delays, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **39** (2004), 479–493. doi: 10.1016/S0895-7177(04)90519-5.
11. X. Hao, L. Liu, Mild solution of semilinear impulsive integro-differential evolution equation in Banach spaces, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **40** (2017), 4832–4841. doi: 10.1002/mma.4350.
12. H. Khan, Z. A. Khan, H. Tajadodi, Existence and data-dependence theorems for fractional impulsive integro-differential system, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–11. doi: 10.1186/s13662-019-2438-0.
13. L. Zhang, Y. F. Xing, Extremal solutions for nonlinear first-order impulsive integro-differential dynamic equations, *Math. Notes*, **105** (2019), 123–131. doi: 10.1134/S0001434619010139.
14. C. Dineshkumar, R. Udhayakumar, V. Vijayakumar, K. S. Nisar, Results on approximate controllability of neutral integro-differential stochastic system with state-dependent delay, *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.*, 2020. doi: 10.1002/num.22698.
15. V. Vijayakumar, S. K. Panda, K. S. Nisar, Results on approximate controllability results for second-order Sobolev-type impulsive neutral differential evolution inclusions with infinite delay, *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.*, **37** (2021), 1200–1221. doi: 10.1002/num.22573.
16. K. Kavitha, V. Vijayakumar, R. Udhayakumar, Results on the existence of Hilfer fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay via measures of noncompactness, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **44** (2021), 1438–1455. doi: 10.1002/mma.6843.
17. N. Valliammal, C. Ravichandran, K. S. Nisar, Solutions to fractional neutral delay differential non-local systems, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, **138** (2020), 109912. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109912.
18. K. S. Nisar, V. Vijayakumar, Results concerning to approximate controllability of non-densely defined Sobolev-type Hilfer fractional neutral delay differential system, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2020. doi: 10.1002/mma.7647.
19. A. Kumar, M. Malik, K. S. Nisar, Existence and total controllability results of fuzzy delay differential equation with non-instantaneous impulses, *Alexandria Eng. J.*, **60** (2021), 6001–6012. doi: 10.1016/j.aej.2021.04.017.
20. C. Dineshkumar, R. Udhayakumar, V. Vijayakumar, A note on the approximate controllability of Sobolev type fractional stochastic integro-differential delay inclusions with order $1 < r < 2$, *Math. Comput. Simulat.*, **190** (2021), 1003–1026. doi: 10.1016/j.matcom.2021.06.026.

21. W. Kavitha Williams, V. Vijayakumar, R. Udhayakumar, Existence and controllability of nonlocal mixed Volterra CFredholm type fractional delay integro-differential equations of order $1 < r < 2$, *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.*, (2020), 1–21. doi: 10.1002/num.22697.
22. R. Khan, The generalized method of quasilinearization and nonlinear boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions, *Electron. J. Qual. Ther.*, **2003** (2003), 1–15. doi: 10.14232/EJQTDE.2003.1.19.
23. E. S. Lee, Quasilinearization, difference approximation, and nonlinear boundary value problems, *AICHE J.*, **14** (1968), 490–496. doi: 10.1002/aic.690140327.
24. C. V. Sreedhar, J. V. Devi, Generalized Quasilinearization using coupled lower and upper solutions for periodic boundary value problem of an integro differential equation, *Eur. J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **12** (2019), 1662–1675. doi: 10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v12i4.3529.
25. H. M. Srivastava, F. A. Shah, M. Irfan, Generalized wavelet quasilinearization method for solving population growth model of fractional order, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **43** (2020), 8753–8762. doi: 10.1002/mma.6542.
26. W. Ibrahim, Spectral quasilinearization method for solution of convective heating condition, *Eng. Trans.*, **68** (2020), 69–87. doi: 10.24423/EngTrans.1062.20200102.
27. V. A. Vijesh, A short note on the quasilinearization method for fractional differential equations, *Numer. Func. Anal. Opt.*, **37** (2016), 1158–1167. doi: 10.1080/01630563.2016.1188827.
28. B. Ahmad, R. A. Khan, S. Sivasundaram, Generalized quasilinearization method for nonlinear functional differential equations, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic. Anal.*, **16** (2003), 33–43. doi: 10.1155/S1048953303000030.
29. Z. Drici, F. A. McRae, J. V. Devi, Quasilinearization for functional differential equations with retardation and anticipation, *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory, Methods Appl.*, **70** (2009), 1763–1775. doi: 10.1016/j.na.2008.02.079.



AIMS Press

© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)