PATTERN ANALYSIS IN A BENTHIC BACTERIA-NUTRIENT SYSTEM

Daniel Wetzel∗

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Oldenburg 26111 Oldenburg, Germany and Fachbereich 3 - Mathematik, Universität Bremen 28359 Bremen, Germany

(Communicated by Hermann J. Eberl)

ABSTRACT. We study steady states in a reaction-diffusion system for a benthic bacteria-nutrient model in a marine sediment over 1D and 2D domains by using Landau reductions and numerical path following methods. We point out how the system reacts to changes of the strength of food supply and ingestion. We find that the system has a stable homogeneous steady state for relatively large rates of food supply and ingestion, while this state becomes unstable if one of these rates decreases and Turing patterns such as hexagons and stripes start to exist. One of the main results of the present work is a global bifurcation diagram for solutions over a bounded 2D domain. This bifurcation diagram includes branches of stripes, hexagons, and mixed modes. Furthermore, we find a number of snaking branches of stationary states, which are spatial connections between homogeneous states and hexagons, homogeneous states and stripes as well as stripes and hexagons in parameter ranges, where both corresponding states are stable. The system under consideration originally contains some spatially varying coefficients and with these exhibits layerings of patterns. The existence of spatial connections between different steady states in bistable ranges shows that spatially varying patterns are not necessarily due to spatially varying coefficients.

The present work gives another example, where these effects arise and shows how the analytical and numerical observations can be used to detect signs that a marine bacteria population is in danger to die out or on its way to recovery, respectively.

We find a type of hexagon patches on a homogeneous background, which seems to be new discovery. We show the first numerically calculated solutionbranch, which connects two different types of hexagons in parameter space. We check numerically for bounded domains whether the stability changes for hexagons and stripes, which are extended homogeneously into the third dimension. We find that stripes and one type of hexagons have the same stable range over bounded 2D and 3D domains. This does not hold for the other type of hexagons. Their stable range is shorter for the bounded 3D domain, which we used here. We find a snaking branch, which bifurcates when the hexagonal prisms loose their stability. Solutions on this branch connects spatially between hexagonal prisms and a genuine 3D pattern (balls).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 65P30, 92B99.

Key words and phrases. Stationary fronts, localized patterns, hexagonal spots, stripes, marine sediment, bacteria-nutrient model, Landau reduction, 2D and 3D Turing patterns.

1. Introduction. In this paper we study Turing patterns for a bacteria-nutrient system. It was shown by Turing [\[47\]](#page-29-0) in 1952 that nonhomogeneous steady states arise in reaction-diffusion systems, when a homogeneous state is unstable for the full system and stable for the kinetics. This discovery was followed by a large number of works, where systems of different scientific disciplines such as biology [\[23,](#page-28-0) [38\]](#page-29-1), chemistry $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$ $[6, 55, 30, 2]$, ecology $[51, 35, 56]$ $[51, 35, 56]$ $[51, 35, 56]$ $[51, 35, 56]$ $[51, 35, 56]$, and physics $[45, 24]$ $[45, 24]$ $[45, 24]$ are studied for so-called Turing patterns. As discussed in [\[46,](#page-29-6) [9,](#page-28-4) [15,](#page-28-5) [10\]](#page-28-6) also microorganisms form patterns, and it is well known that microorganisms play an important role in marine sediments. For example, it is pointed out in $[44, 50]$ $[44, 50]$ $[44, 50]$ and $[22]$ that extracellularpolymeric-substance secretions of diatoms and benthic bacteria stabilize sediments, respectively. Other experimental investigations of benthic microorganisms can be found in [\[37,](#page-29-9) [8,](#page-27-2) [33\]](#page-28-8).

In order to find Turing patterns in standard reaction-diffusion systems, two different species with different rates of diffusion are required. This makes it difficult to find Turing patterns in chemical experiments, since simple chemicals have almost the same diffusion coefficients, and it took almost 40 years to find the first chemical Turing pattern experimentally [\[16\]](#page-28-9).

During the last 30 years, a great interest arose in localized Turing patterns. It was already understood by Pomeau [\[40\]](#page-29-10) in 1986 that standing fronts which connect a Turing pattern with a homogeneous state and also standing pulses on homogeneous backgrounds which pass near a Turing pattern can be found in reaction-diffusion systems, when both corresponding states are stable. Interestingly, these states do not only exist if the conserved quantities of both states are equal, but their branches move back and forth in parameter space and pass stable and unstable ranges. This scenario is referred to as homoclinic snaking [\[54\]](#page-29-11). There are a lot of works, which investigate this effect over 1D domains (see e.g. $[11, 12, 5]$ $[11, 12, 5]$ $[11, 12, 5]$ $[11, 12, 5]$ $[11, 12, 5]$). For a detailed analysis by using the Ginzburg-Landau formalism and beyond all order asymptotics see [\[17,](#page-28-12) [20\]](#page-28-13). Fronts and pulses correspond to unbounded domains so that one cannot find these states on bounded domains. What remains are stationary states, which are periodic in space and for which the corresponding orbits pass near the homogeneous state and the Turing pattern. We call such states periodic connections. Their branches also show a snaking behavior (see [\[7,](#page-27-4) [18,](#page-28-14) [19,](#page-28-15) [29,](#page-28-16) [27\]](#page-28-17) for further details).

The most famous 2D Turing patterns are stripes and hexagons. It was also understood by Pomeau [\[40\]](#page-29-10) that standing fronts and pulses should exist in bistable ranges between hexagons and stripes. Periodic connections between hexagons and homogeneous states and between stripes and hexagons are observed in [\[26\]](#page-28-18) by using numerical time integrations. Investigations of snaking for stationary connections between hexagons and homogeneous states and between hexagons and stripes can be found in [\[32,](#page-28-19) [31\]](#page-28-20) and [\[48\]](#page-29-12), respectively.

1.1. The model. The system, which is discussed in the present work, is a reactiondiffusion system for a simplified benthic bacteria-nutrient model in a marine sediment. It was set up in [\[3\]](#page-27-5), models some realistic features, which are motivated by experimental studies, and in dimensionless form is given by

$$
\partial_t u = \left(\gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} - mu + \varepsilon + \delta_u \Delta u,
$$

$$
\partial_t v = -\left(\gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} + \sigma(v_0 - v) + \delta_v \Delta v.
$$
 (1)

Here $u = u(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ denotes the population density of one bacteria population and $v = v(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ the concentration of its (only) nutrient, where \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} are the horizontal and vertical spatial coordinates in the sediment, respectively. t is the time. σ , γ , k, m, ε , $v_0 \, \delta_u$, δ_v are parameters, which are all positive. The terms $\delta_u \Delta u$ and $\delta_v \Delta v$ are used to describe the diffusion of bacteria and the nutrient, respectively. The bacteria are larger and heavier than its nutrient, so the nutrient diffuses faster than the bacteria. This can be modeled by setting $\delta_u < \delta_v$. The ratio of active bacteria is described by

$$
\left(\gamma + (1-\gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right).
$$

By this approach the following two features are modeled: a certain part $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ of bacteria is always active, which means that they search for nutrients and the active bacteria send signal molecules to activate dormant bacteria. This communication works well for a high population density, i.e., the half saturation term $u/(k+u)$ is approximately one so that almost all bacteria are active, while $u/(k+u)$ is smaller than one for a small density of bacteria so that not all dormant bacteria can be activated. The half saturation term $v/(1 + v)$ tells us that not all bacteria are able to find nutrients for small nutrient concentrations. That a communication between bacteria and the use of half saturation terms for describing the growth of bacteria is realistic was already pointed out in [\[53,](#page-29-13) [52\]](#page-29-14) and [\[36\]](#page-29-15), respectively. The linear term mu models the mortality of bacteria and ε is the rate of bacteria inflow. The nutrient concentration in the sea water is given by v_0 . To understand how the term $\sigma(v_0 - v)$ enriches the model, we consider the system

$$
\partial_t v = \sigma(v_0 - v). \tag{2}
$$

The unique solution of [\(2\)](#page-2-0) is given by

$$
v = (v(0) - v_0)e^{-\sigma t} + v_0,
$$

which converges to v_0 for $t \to \infty$. Thus the parameter σ determines the rate at which the nutrient concentration in the sediment adapts to v_0 . In [\[3\]](#page-27-5) it is pointed out that this adaptation of the nutrient concentration comes from a transport of nutrients, which occurs as a result of burrow and pump activities by worms, shells and other animate beings in the sediment. This process is referred to as bioirrigation. In the following we call σ the balancing rate.

Since Turing's fundamental paper [\[47\]](#page-29-0) it has been known that some reactiondiffusion systems possess spatially non-homogeneous solutions. The most famous ones in 2D are stripe and hexagonal spot patterns. Sometimes spot patterns are classified into cold and hot, which means that they have a minimum and maximum in the center of every spot, respectively. Because of the predator prey structure of [\(1\)](#page-1-0) we have a hot-spot pattern for u, when we have a cold-spot pattern for v and vice versa. Thus we always present the pattern of u only, and when we are saying that a solution of (1) is hot or cold, this means that this is the case for u.

Such so-called Turing patterns have also been found in $[3]$ for (1) . In Fig[.1](#page-3-0) we see the following quasi-stable patterns $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$: A homogeneous pattern with a low density of bacteria for $\sigma = 0.05$, hexagonal hot-spots for $\sigma = 0.08$, stripes for $\sigma = 0.1$, hexagonal cold-spots for $\sigma = 0.125$, and a homogeneous pattern with a high density of bacteria for $\sigma = 0.14$.

¹With this we mean solutions that appear to be stationary in time-domain simulations, but may actually change very slowly.

FIGURE 1. This figure is taken from [\[3\]](#page-27-5). Shown are quasi-stable solutions of [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for $\gamma = 0.25$, parameter set [\(3\)](#page-3-1), and different balancing rates σ .

The model [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is set up for 2D domains, where the horizontal and vertical dimension are considered, but the third spatial dimension is neglected. When we extend a stable solution on a bounded 2D domain homogeneously into the third dimension, it is also a solution for this extended 3D domain, but can be unstable for this domain. If this is the case, it is not observable in nature. Clearly, the stability results for this solution hold, when one considers a sediment, which is very thin in the third dimension and bounded for the first and second dimension, but this does not hold for most marine sediments.

However, not all marine bacteria populations live inside the sediment and form 3D colonies. For instance phototrophic bacteria live on thin films on the marine sediment for practicing photosynthesis. For such films one can also use system [\(1\)](#page-1-0) to model population densities of such bacteria. The parameter v_0 can be seen as the nutrient concentration in the sea water, sediment, or both. Another way to vindicate the investigation of system [\(1\)](#page-1-0) over 2D domains is that we treat 3D domains and consider 2D domains as a first step for a better understanding.

The goal of this paper is to continue the investigations of [\[3\]](#page-27-5) by understanding the bifurcation scenarios of (1) and to find and investigate more stationary patterns via bifurcation analyses. We do this over 1D domains, before we start to consider 2D domains.

From the discussion above one can see that σ and γ correlate with the strength of food supply and ingestion for the bacteria-nutrient system, respectively, which clarifies that these parameters play an important role for the system. In this paper we study how the system reacts, when σ and γ change their values. Mostly we treat γ as a given and fixed parameter, while we use σ as a bifurcation parameter, i.e., we examine how solutions and their types of stability change and what kind of new solutions bifurcate by varying σ . For all other parameters we use the main parameter set of [\[3\]](#page-27-5), which is given by

$$
k = 1
$$
, $v_0 = 4.125$, $\varepsilon = 0.005$, $m = 0.3175$, $\delta_u = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$, $\delta_v = 10^{-3}$. (3)

We use the Landau reduction to understand bifurcation scenarios for unbounded domains locally. To get a more global bifurcation diagram, we use the continuation and bifurcation software pde2path [\[49\]](#page-29-16). It uses numerical methods such as the finite element method, so we are not able to treat unbounded domains. Instead we consider bounded domains with Neumann boundary conditions, so all found solutions can be extended periodically over the unbounded domain.

A stationary solution of a reaction-diffusion system over bounded and unbounded domains is spectrally, linearly, and nonlinearly stable in L^2 if all real parts of points in the spectrum for the linearization are negative. We use the discretized Jacobian to find results about the stability for solutions which we find via the finite element method and call such a solution stable if all real parts of the Jacobian are negative. We call them unstable if at least one real part is positive. In the following stability refers to this setting. For more mathematical information about stability for PDEs we refer the reader to $[42]$. We do not consider stability at bifurcation points, where the real part of eigenvalues vanishes. A solution which is stable over a bounded domain, is not necessarily stable over larger domains. If a solution is unstable over a bounded domain, then it is also the case for larger domains, for which the unstable modes fit into the domain.

One of the main results of this paper is a global bifurcation diagram (see Fig[.12\)](#page-18-0), which can be seen as a continuation of Fig[.1](#page-3-0) and from which we can read off the existence and stability of hexagon, stripe, mixed mode, and homogeneous solutions. Thus we can determine if the bacteria population is in danger of extinction.

Furthermore, we find bistable ranges between two different types of solutions. For such a bistable range one can show analytically that a necessary condition for a heteroclinic connection is fulfilled (see [\[40\]](#page-29-10)). Homo- and heteroclinics are solutions on unbounded domains, so we cannot find those by using the finite element method, but as an approximation we find spatially periodic connections with large periods between both stable solutions. This means that a layering of two patterns is not necessarily an effect of space dependent parameters but can occur for homogeneous balancing rates.

1.2. Outline. In Section [2](#page-4-0) we give analytical formulas for the homogeneous solutions of [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and study their stabilities. In Section [3](#page-8-0) we recall how to reduce a general two species reaction-diffusion system to the Landau amplitude equation system on a hexagonal lattice. In Section [4](#page-12-0) we study non trivial patterns over 1D domains and show global bifurcation diagrams for $\gamma = 0.3$. We find that some stripe solutions bifurcate from the homogeneous branch and terminate in a different bifurcation on the homogeneous branch by holding their wavelength. Moreover, we find stripe branches of the same wavelength which are not connected, but change their wavelength and connect to other stripe branches. Using the Landau reduction, we choose a γ -value where stripes bifurcate subcritically, to generate bifurcation diagrams with snaking branches of localized stripes on homogeneous backgrounds.

In Section [5](#page-17-0) we investigate 2D patterns and generate some global bifurcation diagrams. As in [\[48\]](#page-29-12) we find solutions and solution branches of localized hexagonal spots with a planar interface to striped backgrounds. Furthermore, we find patches of localized hexagons on homogeneous backgrounds. Some of these patches are already shown in [\[32\]](#page-28-19), while others are not mentioned in the literature before.

In [\[3\]](#page-27-5) a main point is to consider the system for space dependent parameters. In Section [6](#page-21-0) we discuss what this means and show some layering of patterns for such a system. In Section [8](#page-24-0) we discus the relevance of the stationary states which we found for the bacteria-nutrient system [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

2. Homogeneous solutions and Turing instabilities. It is shown in [\[3\]](#page-27-5) that the problem of determining the homogeneous solutions can be reduced to computing the zeros of a cubic polynomial. The coefficients are given with some typos: the first γ of a_1 must be σ in [\[3,](#page-27-5) p.115]. The zeros of this polynomial are not derived

analytically in [\[3\]](#page-27-5) because of their complex terms. In this section we show the reduction to the cubic polynomial again and sort the coefficients with respect to σ and γ, because we investigate [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in the following for different values of σ and γ , while we use the set [\(3\)](#page-3-1) for all other parameters of [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Furthermore, we apply analytical formulas for the three zeros of the cubic polynomial to determine the regions of a bounded domain of the σ - γ -plane, where the homogeneous solutions are real to see for which combinations of σ and γ exist only one or rather three homogeneous states.

Rescaling [\(1\)](#page-1-0) with $x = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\sqrt{\delta_u}}$ and $y = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\sqrt{\delta_u}}$, yields

$$
\partial_t u = \left(\gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} - mu + \varepsilon + \Delta u,
$$

$$
\partial_t v = -\left(\gamma + (1 - \gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} + \sigma(v_0 - v) + \delta \Delta v,
$$
 (4)

where $\delta = \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_u} = 50$. In order to simplify the notation we set $w = (u, v), D = (\frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{\delta}),$ and

$$
f(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} g(u,v) \\ h(u,v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\gamma + (1-\gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} - mu + \varepsilon \\ -\left(\gamma + (1-\gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)u\frac{v}{1+v} + \sigma(v_0 - v) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

The function f is called the reaction term or kinetic of the full system (4) . With this simplifications we can write (4) as

$$
\partial_t w = f(w) + D\Delta w. \tag{6}
$$

Homogeneous steady states are solutions of $f(u, v) = 0$ which are space and time independent. For a nonzero rate of bacteria inflow ε and nonzero product of the balancing rate σ and the nutrient concentration v_0 in the sea water it holds that

$$
u \neq 0, \quad v \neq 0 \tag{7}
$$

for homogeneous solutions of (4) . Adding the first to the second equation of (5) , yields the linear relationship

$$
v = v_0 - \frac{mu - \varepsilon}{\sigma}.
$$
\n(8)

From (7) , (8) , and the fact that the population density of the bacteria u and the concentration of the nutrient v cannot be smaller than zero follows

$$
u \in \left(0, \frac{\varepsilon + \sigma v_0}{m}\right), \quad v \in \left(0, v_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma}\right).
$$

Substituting [\(8\)](#page-5-3) into $f(u, v) = 0$, reduces the problem of finding homogeneous solutions of [\(4\)](#page-5-0) to the problem of finding the zeros of the polynomial

$$
u^3 + bu^2 + cu + d = 0 \tag{9}
$$

with

$$
b = b_g \gamma + b_s \sigma + b_0, \quad c = c_g \gamma + c_s \sigma + c_{sg} \sigma \gamma + c_0, \quad d = d_s \sigma + d_0,
$$

where

$$
b_g = -\frac{k}{m-1} \approx 1.47, \ b_s = \frac{v_0 - v_0 m - m}{m(m-1)} \approx -11.53, \ b_0 = \frac{m^2 k + \varepsilon - 2m\varepsilon}{m(m-1)} \approx -0.47,
$$

$$
c_g = \frac{\varepsilon k}{m(m-1)} \approx -0.02, \ c_s = \frac{(v_0 + 1)(\varepsilon - mk)}{m(m-1)} \approx 7.39, \ c_{sg} = \frac{v_0 k}{m(m-1)} \approx -19.04,
$$

$$
c_0 = \frac{-2m\varepsilon k + \varepsilon^2}{m(m-1)} \approx 0.01, \ d_s = \frac{\varepsilon k(v_0 + 1)}{m(m-1)} \approx -0.12, \ d_0 = \frac{\varepsilon^2 k}{m(m-1)} \approx -10^{-4}.
$$

The zeros of [\(9\)](#page-5-4) are given by

$$
u_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{4p}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-\frac{q}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{27}{p^3}}\right)\right) - \frac{b}{3},
$$

\n
$$
u_2 = -\sqrt{-\frac{4p}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-\frac{q}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{27}{p^3}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{b}{3},
$$

\n
$$
u_3 = -\sqrt{-\frac{4p}{3}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(-\frac{q}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{27}{p^3}}\right) - \frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{b}{3},
$$

where

$$
p = c - \frac{b^2}{3}
$$
, $q = \frac{2b^3}{27} + d - \frac{bc}{3}$.

The polynomial [\(9\)](#page-5-4) can have one or three real zeros for fixed σ and γ . The regions of the σ - γ -plane, where a homogeneous solution is real, can be calculated analytically. Determining analytically, where the population density of the bacteria and the nutrient concentration of such a solution is also positive, seems not so trivial. In Fig[.2\(](#page-6-0)a) we show the regions on a bounded domain of the σ - γ -plane, where homogeneous solutions are real. They are also positive for the regions shown in Fig[.2\(](#page-6-0)b). Comparing (a) and (b), we see that the positivity condition is not fulfilled for all homogeneous solutions (u, v) of (9) . Here (u_2, u_3) and (v_1, v_2) are negative in the upper horizontal gray band and the lower left gray region of $Fig.2(a)$ $Fig.2(a)$ $Fig.2(a)$, respectively.

FIGURE 2. (a) (u_1, v_1) , (u_2, v_2) , and (u_3, v_3) are real in red, green, and blue regions, respectively. All three are real in the gray regions. This also holds for (b), where, in addition, the three homogeneous states are positive in the gray regions. To compute these figures, we discretize the σ - γ -domain (0,0.25) \times $(0, 0.6)$ in 1000×1000 points and checked the corresponding conditions for every point.

We verified that the conditions

 $u_1 > u_2 > u_3$ and $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$

hold for all discretization points used to generate Fig[.2](#page-6-0) if all three homogeneous states are real. Thus (u_1, v_1) is the state with the highest population density of bacteria and lowest concentration of nutrient of these three homogeneous states, while this is opposite for (u_3, v_3) . The equilibrium (u_2, v_2) lies in the middle.

The Jacobian of f is given by

$$
J_f(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} g_u & g_v \\ h_u & h_v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi - m & \vartheta \\ -\xi & -\vartheta - \sigma \end{pmatrix},
$$

where

$$
\xi = \left(\gamma + (1-\gamma)\frac{2ku + u^2}{(k+u)^2}\right)\frac{v}{1+v}, \qquad \vartheta = \left(\gamma + (1+\gamma)\frac{u}{k+u}\right)\frac{u}{(1+v)^2}.
$$

The linearization of [\(6\)](#page-5-5) in a homogeneous state w^* is given by $\partial_t w = L(\Delta)(w - w^*)$, where $L(\Delta) = J_f + D\Delta$. It holds

$$
L(\Delta)e^{i(x,y)\cdot \mathbf{k}} = \hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|)e^{i(x,y)\cdot \mathbf{k}} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|) = J_f - D|\mathbf{k}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2.
$$

This yields the eigenvalue problem

$$
\hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|)\phi(|\mathbf{k}|) = \mu(|\mathbf{k}|)\phi(|\mathbf{k}|),\tag{10}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{\pm}(|\mathbf{k}|) = \frac{\mathrm{tr}\hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}\hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|)}{2}\right)^2 - \mathrm{det}\hat{L}(|\mathbf{k}|)}.
$$
(11)

In Section [1](#page-1-1) we already recalled that a homogeneous solution w^* is stable if $\text{Re}[\mu_{\pm}(|{\bf k}|)] < 0$ for all $|{\bf k}|$ and unstable if there is a ${\bf k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\text{Re}[\mu_{+}(|{\bf k}|)] > 0$ or Re[μ _−(|k|)] > 0. Furthermore, w^* is called Turing-unstable if w^* is unstable in the full system [\(6\)](#page-5-5), but stable in $\partial_t w = f(w)$. It can be shown easily that w^{*} is Turing-unstable if the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- i) Re[$\mu_{+}(0)$] < 0 and Re[$\mu_{-}(0)$] < 0.
- ii) There is a k such that $\text{Re}[\mu_+(|\mathbf{k}|)] > 0$ or $\text{Re}[\mu_-(|\mathbf{k}|)] > 0$.

Notice that the conditions i) and ii) are not necessary for Turing instabilities. We call w^{*} space-independent unstable if w^{*} is unstable in $\partial_t w = f(w)$. Let

$$
b_1 = -g_u - h_v
$$
, $b_2 = g_u h_v - g_v h_u$,

$$
b_3 = \delta g_u + h_v, \quad b_4 = (\delta g_u + h_v)^2 - 4\delta (g_u h_v - g_v h_u).
$$

It holds that a homogeneous state of [\(6\)](#page-5-5) is

- stable if $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > 0$ and $(b_3 < 0 \text{ or } b_4 < 0)$, (12)
- space-independent unstable if $b_1 < 0$ or $b_2 < 0$, (13)
- Turing unstable if $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > 0$ and $b_3 > 0$ and $b_4 > 0$. (14)

The first and second conditions can be shown easily. The third one is shown in [\[38\]](#page-29-1). We call a solution a Turing endpoint and Turing bifurcation point if it lies at a transition from Turing-unstable to space-independent unstable and from Turing-unstable to stable, respectively. Turing bifurcation points occur if $\det L(|\mathbf{k}|)$ vanishes. We call the corresponding σ and $|\mathbf{k}|$ critical balancing rate σ_c and critical wavenumber k_c , respectively.

In Fig[.3](#page-8-1) we illustrate the stabilities of the three different homogeneous states in the σ - γ -domain of Fig[.2.](#page-6-0) First of all, we can see in Fig[.3\(](#page-8-1)c) that (u_3, v_3) is always stable if it is real in our chosen region. By using σ as bifurcation parameter and the

activity stimulation γ as a fixed parameter, we can classify the bifurcation scenarios into five different types. We do this by partitioning the γ -interval into the following five sections

 $I_1 = (0, 0.14], I_2 = (0.14, 0.28], I_3 = (0.28, 0.34], I_4 = (0.34, 0.47], I_5 = (0.47, 0.6].$

FIGURE 3. Illustrated are the stabilities for (u_1, v_1) , (u_2, v_2) , and (u_3, v_3) in (a), (b), and (c), respectively. We use the same σ - γ -domain and discretization as in Fig[.2](#page-6-0) to check the corresponding conditions (12) , (13) , and (14) . The red lines are the boundaries of the intervals I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 , and I_5 . The color bar for (a), (b), and (c) is shown in (d). The abbreviations compl., Tur.u., and SIU stand for complex, Turing-unstable, and space-independent unstable, respectively.

The least interesting interval is I_5 . Here the state (u_1, v_1) is always real, positive, and stable, while the other two are not real or not positive. For all other intervals we have Turing-unstable ranges.

For I_3 and I_4 we always have one homogeneous solution. The Turing-unstable range is continuous for I_4 , while it is not continuous for I_3 so that we have two Turing-unstable ranges, which are separated by a space-independent-unstable range, which is bounded by Turing endpoints.

For I_1 and I_2 we always have ranges, where three homogeneous solutions exist. On the left boundary of these ranges is a fold, where (u_1, v_1) equals (u_2, v_2) . The Turing-unstable range for I_1 starts at this fold, while the Turing-unstable range begins for I_2 in a Turing endpoint on the right side of this fold.

Turing patterns branch from Turing bifurcation points as discussed below. At Turing endpoints we have $\text{Re}[\mu_{\pm}(0)] = 0$, while $\text{Im}[\mu_{\pm}(0)] \neq 0$ such that a necessary condition for Hopf bifurcations is fulfilled at Turing endpoints. Currently the software pde2path [\[49\]](#page-29-16) does not handle Hopf bifurcations and we do not consider solution branches, which bifurcate from these Turing endpoints.

Example bifurcation diagrams for I_1 and I_3 can be seen in Fig[.4](#page-9-0) (a) and (d), respectively. Fig[.4](#page-9-0) (b) and (c) show diagrams for I_2 .

3. Landau reduction. First we recall how the Landau reduction, which can also be found in [\[39,](#page-29-18) [28\]](#page-28-21) and is a center manifold reduction on a lattice, works in general for systems of the form (6) .

Let $w^* = (u^*, v^*)$ be a homogeneous solution of f and i, j two non-negative integers. We write $\partial_u^i \partial_v^j f(w^*)$ as $f_u \dots u_s$ $\sum_{i \text{ times}}$ $v \dots v$ j times . We use an analogous notation for

g and h. Taylor-expanding f around (u^*, v^*) to third order and setting $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) =$

Figure 4. Bifurcation diagrams of homogeneous positive real solutions for $\gamma = 0.01, 0.25, 0.275, \text{ and } 0.3 \text{ in (a), (b), (c), and (d), respectively. Red, green,}$ and blue lines represent u_1, u_2 , and u_3 in the upper diagrams and v_1, v_2 , and v_3 in the lower ones, respectively. Thick, medium, and thin lines represent stable, Turing-unstable, and space-independent-unstable solutions, respectively.

 $(u, v) - (u^*, v^*)$, we obtain

$$
f(w) \approx \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ a+b \le 3}} \partial_u^a \partial_v^b f(w^*) \frac{\tilde{u}^a \tilde{v}^b}{a! \ b!}
$$

=
$$
\underbrace{f_u \tilde{u} + f_v \tilde{v}}_{J_f(w^*)w^T} + \frac{1}{2} f_{uu} \tilde{u}^2 + f_{uv} \tilde{u} \tilde{v} + \frac{1}{2} f_{vv} \tilde{v}^2 + \frac{1}{6} (f_{uuu} \tilde{u}^3 + f_{vvv} \tilde{v}^3) + \frac{1}{2} (f_{uuv} \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} + f_{uvv} \tilde{u} \tilde{v}^2).
$$

Here we stop at order three, because we use the Landau reduction only up to third order. Substituting the expansion above into (6) , the system becomes

$$
\partial_t w = L(\Delta)w + B(w, w) + C(w, w, w), \tag{15}
$$

where $L(\Delta) = J_f(w^*) + (\frac{\Delta}{0} \frac{0}{\delta \Delta})$. B and C are symmetric bilinear and trilinear forms, respectively. For $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}^2$ they have the form:

$$
B(p,q) = \frac{1}{2} f_{uv}(p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1) + \frac{1}{2} (f_{uu} p_1 q_1 + f_{vv} p_2 q_2),
$$

\n
$$
C(p,q,r) = \frac{1}{6} (f_{uuu} p_1 q_1 r_1 + f_{vvv} p_2 q_2 r_2)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{6} (f_{uuv} (p_1 q_1 r_2 + r_1 p_1 q_2 + q_1 r_1 p_2) + f_{uvv} (p_1 q_2 r_2 + r_1 p_2 q_2 + q_1 r_2 p_2)).
$$

The eigenvalue μ _− is negative in Turing-unstable ranges, while the eigenvalue μ ₊ has non-negative parts. Thus we always consider μ ₊ in the following and write μ for simplicity.

The spot patterns shown in Fig[.1](#page-3-0) have a hexagonal structure, because every spot has six direct neighbors. Thus we start our Landau reduction on hexagonal lattices with the following ansatz

$$
w = \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i e_i \Phi + c.c. = (A_1 e_1 + A_2 e_2 + A_3 e_3) \Phi + c.c.
$$
 (16)

to reduce [\(15\)](#page-9-1) to a system of space-independent amplitudes. Here $\Phi = \phi(k)$ is the eigenvector of $\hat{L}(k)$ which correspond to μ , $e_j = e^{i(x,y)\cdot \mathbf{k_j}}$, $A_j = A_j(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3,$

$$
\mathbf{k_1} = k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad\n \mathbf{k_2} = \frac{k}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad\n \text{and} \quad\n \mathbf{k_3} = \frac{k}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

FIGURE 5. Sketch of the vectors k_1 , k_2 , k_3 .

We choose the length (wavenumber k) of the wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3$ such that there is a bifurcation parameter value σ_k for which the curve of eigenvalues has a zero in k . It holds

$$
\partial_t w = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_t A_i e_i \Phi + c.c., \quad L(\Delta)w = \mu(k) \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i e_i \Phi + c.c.,
$$

$$
B(w, w) = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 A_i^2 e_i^2 \right) B(\Phi, \Phi) + \left(2\overline{A_1 A_2} e_3 + 2\overline{A_1 A_3} e_2 + 2\overline{A_2 A_3} e_1 \right) B(\overline{\Phi}, \overline{\Phi}) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 |A_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} 2A_i \overline{A_j} e_i \overline{e_j} \right) B(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) \right) + c.c.,
$$

and

$$
C(w, w, w) = ((A_1e_1 + A_2e_2 + A_3e_3)^3 C(\Phi, \Phi, \Phi) + 3(A_1e_1 + A_2e_2 + A_3e_3)(\overline{A_1e_1 + A_2e_2 + A_3e_3})^2 C(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}, \overline{\Phi})) + c.c..
$$

To eliminate quadratic terms from the residual Res $(w) = -\partial_t w + L(\Delta)w + B(w, w) +$ $C(w, w, w)$ which do not correspond to modes e_1, e_2 , or e_3 , we extend the ansatz (16) to

$$
w = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i e_i \Phi + \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i^2 e_i^2 \phi_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} |A_i|^2 \phi_0 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} A_i \overline{A}_j e_i \overline{e_j} \phi_{ij}\right) + c.c. \tag{17}
$$

Notice that ϕ_{ii} and ϕ_{ij} are independent of i and j. Using this notation, one can see that ϕ_{ii} and ϕ_{ij} correspond to A_i^2 and $A_i \overline{A_j}$, respectively. Substituting [\(17\)](#page-10-0) into [\(15\)](#page-9-1) and sorting with respect to e_m^n , yields

 $A_i^2 e_i^2$: $B(\Phi, \Phi) + L(2k)\phi_{ii} = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_{ii} = -L(2k)^{-1}B(\Phi, \Phi)$

$$
|A_i|^2: \qquad 2B(\Phi,\overline{\Phi})+L(0)\phi_0=0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \phi_0=-2L(0)^{-1}B(\Phi,\overline{\Phi})
$$

$$
A_i \overline{A_j} e_i \overline{e_j} : \qquad 2B(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) + L(\sqrt{3k}) \phi_{ij} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \phi_{ij} = -2L(\sqrt{3k})^{-1} B(\Phi, \overline{\Phi})
$$

To remove terms of order e_i from the residual, we extend the ansatz [\(17\)](#page-10-0) to $\tilde{w} =$ $w + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \phi_{3i} e_i$. Substituting \tilde{w} into [\(15\)](#page-9-1) and sorting with respect to e_1 , e_2 , e_3 yields

$$
e_1: \quad -\hat{L}(k)\phi_{31} = -\partial_t A_1 + d_1 A_1 + d_2 \overline{A_2 A_3} + d_3 A_1 |A_1|^2
$$

$$
+ d_4 A_1 (|A_2|^2 + |A_3|^2) + R_1,
$$

$$
e_2: \quad -\hat{L}(k)\phi_{32} = -\partial_t A_2 + d_1 A_2 + d_2 \overline{A_1 A_3} + d_3 A_2 |A_2|^2
$$

$$
+ d_4 A_2 (|A_1|^2 + |A_3|^2) + R_2,
$$

$$
e_3: \quad -\hat{L}(k)\phi_{33} = -\partial_t A_3 + d_1 A_3 + d_2 \overline{A_1 A_2} + d_3 A_3 |A_3|^2
$$

$$
+ d_4 A_3 (|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2) + R_3
$$

$$
(18)
$$

with

$$
d_1 = \mu(k)\Phi,
$$

\n
$$
d_2 = 2B(\overline{\Phi}, \overline{\Phi}),
$$

\n
$$
d_3 = 3C(\Phi, \Phi, \overline{\Phi}) + 2B(\overline{\Phi}, \phi_{ii}) + 2B(\Phi, \phi_0),
$$

\n
$$
d_4 = 6C(\Phi, \Phi, \Phi) + 2B(\Phi, \phi_{ij}) + 2B(\Phi, \phi_0).
$$

The summands R_1 , R_2 , R_3 represent all higher order terms, e.g., $A_1|A_2|^4$ is a term of R_1 . By the Fredholm alternative there exists a solution for (18) iff every equation of [\(18\)](#page-11-0) is an element of $\ker(\hat{L}(k)^{H})^{\perp}$. Let Φ^* be the adjoint eigenvector of $\hat{L}(k)$ to the eigenvalue $\mu(k)$ evaluated in σ_k , i.e., $\hat{L}(k)^H \Phi^* = \overline{\mu(k)} \Phi^*$, and let Φ^* be normalized such that $\langle \Phi, \Phi^* \rangle = 1$. Multiplying [\(18\)](#page-11-0) with Φ^* and setting $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$, yields

$$
\partial_t A_1 = c_1 A_1 + c_2 \overline{A_2 A_3} + c_3 A_1 |A_1|^2 + c_4 A_1 (|A_2|^2 + |A_3|^2),
$$

\n
$$
\partial_t A_2 = c_1 A_2 + c_2 \overline{A_1 A_3} + c_3 A_2 |A_2|^2 + c_4 A_2 (|A_1|^2 + |A_3|^2),
$$

\n
$$
\partial_t A_3 = c_1 A_3 + c_2 \overline{A_1 A_2} + c_3 A_3 |A_3|^2 + c_4 A_3 (|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2),
$$
\n(19)

where $c_i = \langle d_i, \Phi^* \rangle$. The classical Landau reduction evaluates $c_2, c_3, c_4, \Phi, \phi_{ii}, \phi_{ij}$, ϕ_0 in σ_c and c_1 in σ . One can see in [\[48\]](#page-29-12) that including the σ -dependence can give better approximations. However, in the following we use the Landau reduction to predict the existence and bifurcation directions of stationary states which branch from homogeneous solutions. To approximate solutions and follow their branches we use pde2path.

This method yields the same system [\(19\)](#page-11-1) and Landau coefficients if we use phase shifted space coordinates in the ansatz, i.e., using $(x + \psi, y + \psi)$ with $\psi \in (0, 2\pi)$ instead of (x, y) . Later we will use numerical methods to find solutions of (1) on bounded domains with Neumann boundary conditions. Because of the Neumann boundary conditions we are not able to find all phase shifts of a solution.

It is possible to perform a reduction to [\(19\)](#page-11-1), which is valid in the sense of the center manifold theorem if we find a point in the parameter space for which $c_2 = 0$. In this case one is able to give consistent results for small c_2 via a codimension-two bifurcation. The problem is that this is an unnatural case in applications. Normally one is interested in a codimension-one bifurcation for which the coefficient c_2 is not small.

It is not shown yet that this method gives an approximation of a solution of the full PDE [\(6\)](#page-5-5). Furthermore, it is unclear whether one can conclude the stability from

the reduced system [\(19\)](#page-11-1). Comparisons between solutions found via this presented Landau reduction and the finite element method can be found in [\[48\]](#page-29-12). One can see there that the Landau reduction gives acceptable approximations for the specific reaction diffusion system, which is considered there if the amplitudes are small. In the following we will use the Landau reduction to predict existence and stability of states near the onset and will see that these predictions fit well to the numerical results.

4. 1D patterns. First we consider solutions over one dimensional domains. Here the modes e_2 and e_3 do not exist. Hence, the system (19) reduces to

$$
\partial_t A = c_1 A + c_3 |A|^2 A. \tag{20}
$$

Stationary amplitudes solve

$$
c_1 A + c_3 |A|^2 A = 0.
$$
\n(21)

Clearly, $A = 0$ solves [\(21\)](#page-12-1). Inserting this solution into the ansatz [\(17\)](#page-10-0), yields the homogeneous solution. More interesting are the second type of solutions which we obtain from [\(21\)](#page-12-1). They fulfill

$$
|A| = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu(k)}{c_3}}\tag{22}
$$

and generate periodic solutions by substituting $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (A, 0, 0)$ into [\(17\)](#page-10-0). This type of solution exists also in 2D and we call these solutions stripes because of their 2D-density plot. When we use numerical methods to determine the stripes in the following, we use Neumann boundary conditions. Stripes which fulfill Neumann boundary conditions over a domain $(-l\pi/k, l\pi/k)$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$ correspond to the amplitudes

$$
S_{\pm}=\pm\sqrt{-\frac{\mu(k)}{c_3}}.
$$

If the bacteria density u has its maximum (minimum) in $x = 0$ for such a stripe solution, we call it hot (cold) stripes. Notice that we do not automatically have hot and cold stripes for S_+ and S_- , respectively. All other amplitudes which fulfill [\(22\)](#page-12-2) generate phase shifts of the hot resp. cold stripes.

Let σ_k be a balancing rate and $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ a wavenumber such that the curve of eigenvalues μ for σ_k has a single zero in k. Let σ_s and σ_l be two balancing rates, which are sufficiently smaller and larger than σ_k , respectively. It holds that the curve of eigenvalues μ is positive in k for σ_s or σ_l , while it is negative for the other. The stripes can only exist, where (22) is fulfilled such that the algebraic sign of c_3 evaluated in σ_k tells us in which direction the stripes bifurcate. For σ_c we have a double zero in k_c . For a bifurcation of stripes it is necessary that $\mu(k_c) > 0$ in σ_l or σ_r .

4.1. Changing wavelength. Let us consider the system [\(4\)](#page-5-0) for $\gamma = 0.3$. From our analysis above we already know that we have only one homogeneous solution for all $\sigma \in (0, 0.25)$ with an unstable range bounded by Turing bifurcation points. Furthermore, we know that there are two Turing endpoints in this unstable range. We use our data set of Fig[.3](#page-8-1) to find out that the right and left Turing bifurcation points are given by $\sigma_c^r \approx 0.11$ and $\sigma_c^l \approx 0.025$ with corresponding critical wave

numbers $k_c^r \approx 0.187$ and $k_c^l \approx 0.067$, respectively. The curve of eigenvalues μ_{\pm} (see [\(11\)](#page-7-3)) has a zero in k, when $\det L(k) = 0$. This is the case for

$$
k_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{dg_u + h_v}{2d} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{dg_u + h_v}{2d}\right)^2 + \frac{g_v h_u - g_u h_v}{d}}}.
$$

(a) global 1D bifurcation diagram

FIGURE 6. All plots are for $\gamma = 0.3$ and the domain $\Omega^r = (-4\pi/k_c^r, 4\pi/k_c^r)$. (a) From the first, second, third, and fourth bifurcation point (counting from the right side) of the homogeneous branch (black) bifurcate branches of periodic solutions with 4 (green), 3.5 (blue), 4.5 (red), and 3 (gray) periods, which we call R4, R35, R45, and R3, respectively. Example solutions of R4, R35, R45, and $R3$ are shown in (b), (c), (d), and (e), respectively. All these example solutions are stable. Here and for all coming calculations for which we used pde2path we always use Neumann boundary conditions, and thick and thin parts of the branches represent stable and unstable solutions, respectively.

Clearly, in the Turing unstable range it holds $k_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$. We checked that this is also the case between the Turing endpoints. The Landau formalism above predicts that periodic solutions of the type

$$
(u, v) = (u^*, v^*) + 2A\cos(kx)\Phi + \text{h.o.t.}
$$
\n(23)

bifurcate from (u^*, v^*) at σ_c^r with $k = k_c^r$ if we consider the problem over the 1D domain $\Omega^r = (-4\pi/k_c^r, 4\pi/k_c^r)$. We are able to prove analytically that there are balancing rates σ_{35} , σ_{45} , σ_3 with $\sigma_c^r > \sigma_{35} > \sigma_{45} > \sigma_3$, where branches R35, R45, and R3 of periodic solutions of the type [\(23\)](#page-13-0) bifurcate with $k = 3.5k_c^r/4$, $4.5k_c^r/4$, and $3k_c^r/4$, respectively. These branches and some example solutions are shown in Fig[.6.](#page-13-1)

Let $s \in \{\sigma_c^r, \sigma_{35}, \sigma_{45}, \sigma_3\}$ and κ be the corresponding wavenumber. In Fig[.7\(](#page-14-0)a) we see that a balancing rate $s_2 \neq s$ in the unstable range exists for which the eigenvalue curve μ_{+} has a real zero in κ such that a stripe solution with wavenumber κ also branches in s_2 . By using numerical methods to follow the branches, which bifurcate in s, we see that they terminate in s_2 (see Fig[.6\)](#page-13-1). One may conjecture that stripe branches which correspond to the same wavenumber are connected, but

FIGURE 7. (a) The blue and red curves represent $k_-\,$ and $k_+\,$ for $\gamma = 0.3$. The coefficients c_3 and $c_f = c_2^2/(4(c_3 + 2c_4)^2)$ evaluated in σ_c as function of γ are shown in (b) and (c). They are used to predict the strength of the subcriticality of stripes and hexagons, respectively. Hexagon patterns and the role of c_f will be introduced in Section [5.](#page-17-0)

FIGURE 8. All plots are for $\gamma = 0.3$ and the domain $\Omega^l = (-4\pi/k_c^l, 4\pi/k_c^l)$. (a) On L8 and L16 we always have solutions of 8 and 16 periods, respectively. Both branches bifurcate and terminate on the homogeneous solution branch (black). L4 and L4[∗] bifurcate from the homogeneous solution branch as periodic solutions with 4 periods and terminate on L8 and L16, respectively. Example solutions of L4 and L4[∗] are shown in (b) and (c), respectively.

this is not always the case. We also computed the branch L4 which bifurcates in σ_c^l with the critical wavenumber k_c^l over the domain $\Omega^l = (-4\pi/k_c^l, 4\pi/k_c^l)$. In Fig[.7\(](#page-14-0)a) we see that there is a $\sigma \neq \sigma_c^l$, where stripe solutions of the wavelength k_c^l bifurcate. We call the corresponding solution branch L4^{*}. In Fig[.8](#page-14-1) we see that L4 and L4^{*} are not connected, but they connect to bifurcations on L8 and L16, which are stripe solutions of 8 and 16 periods, respectively. One might guess that this depends on the Turing endpoints. However, we also compute the branches for $\gamma = 0.4$ (not shown). Here we have no Turing endpoints, but the same effects.

4.2. Localized patterns and snaking. The Landau coefficient c_3 evaluated in σ_c is positive for $\gamma \in (0, 0.209)$ (see Fig[.7\(](#page-14-0)b)) and thus stripes bifurcate subcritically for these active stimulations. We calculate the branch s of hot stripes (u_s, v_s) by using pde2path for $\gamma \approx 0.004$ over the domain $\Omega = (-24\pi/k_c, 24\pi/k_c)$ with $k_c = 0.212$ (see Fig[.9\)](#page-15-0). s bifurcates subcritically from the homogeneous solution $(u^*, v^*) = (u_1, v_1)$ at $\sigma_c \approx 0.196$, as predicted by the Turing and Landau analysis above. A fold occurs at $\sigma \approx 0.1985$ and the stripes become stable such that there is a bistable range between (u^*, v^*) and (u_s, v_s) .

There are 10 bifurcation points on s on the way from its bifurcation to the fold. The first and 10th, second and 9th, third and 8th, 4th and 7th, 5th and 6th are connected pairwise by branches of stationary states, which we call l1, l2, l3, l4, and l5, respectively (see Fig[.9,](#page-15-0) [10,](#page-15-1) and [11\)](#page-16-0).

FIGURE 9. (a) Bifurcation diagram for 1D patterns over the domain $\Omega =$ $(-24\pi/k_c, 24\pi/k_c)$ for $\gamma = 0.004$ including the homogeneous solution (black), hot stripes (gray), and a branch of periodic connections between stripes and the homogeneous state (red) which bifurcates from the first bifurcation point of the hot stripes. The right and left horizontal boundaries of the zooming-in of the snake are 0.197889 and 0.197891 . (b) Plots of u for solutions which are labeled in (a). The other branches which bifurcate from the hot stripe branch are illustrated Fig[.10](#page-15-1) and Fig[.11.](#page-16-0) Here and in the following it holds that $||u||_8 = (\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(z)|^8 \mathrm{d}z)^{1/8}$, where Ω is the considered domain and z represents the spatial coordinates. This norm is used to obtain bifurcation diagrams with separated branches.

Figure 10. (a) Bifurcation diagram for the branch l2, which bifurcates from the second bifurcation point of the hot stripes. Plots of u for solutions of l2 at points 150 and 600 are shown in (b) and (d), respectively. (c) u at point 150 by using the negative tangent of the one we used to bifurcate on l2.

Solutions on these branches are of the form

$$
u = u^* + A\cos(k_c x) + \text{h.o.t.}, \qquad v = v^* + B\cos(k_c x) + \text{h.o.t.}, \tag{24}
$$

Figure 11. (a) Bifurcation diagram for the branches l3, l4, and l5, which bifurcates from the third, fourth, and fifth bifurcation point of the hot stripes, respectively. (b) u on 13, 14, and 15.

where A and B are space-dependent amplitudes. It holds that $A \in (0, A_s)$ and $B \in$ $(0, B_s)$, where A_s and B_s are the amplitudes of the corresponding stripe solution. We can extend such solutions into the right and left spatial direction periodically, since we use Neumann boundary conditions. Thus these solutions move spatially to and fro between the homogeneous and stripe solution on the entire real line. We call such solutions periodic connections. Let $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The wavelength of A and B is $2|\Omega|/n$ for solutions on ln. For simplicity we only describe the behavior of A and u .

The amplitude A is constant for solutions on s. By following l1 from the first bifurcation point on s to the first fold, which lies near the 171st solution, A transforms to a nonhomogeneous state, which looks on Ω like a front between 0 and A_s . After this l1 'snakes' back and forth by changing its stability. Along the snake the inflection point of the overlying function A moves from the right to the left, and the position of the inflection point of A shifts by $\pi/(2k_c)$ between two successive folds. Beyond the last fold A starts to grow at the left boundary and l1 returns to s.

A shift symmetry also exists for every solution of \ln (see Fig. 10(b) and (c)). We call the corresponding branch \ln . Clearly, the illustration of \ln is congruent with ln and both branches together generate a loop from one bifurcation point to the other and back again.

Splitting the domain Ω into $\Omega_l = (-24\pi/k_c, 0)$ and $\Omega_r = (0, 24\pi/k_c)$, we can describe solutions on l2 as two front-like connections between the homogeneous and the striped solution on Ω_l and Ω_r , where the one on Ω_r is a reflection of the one on Ω_l . Here the snake is shorter with fewer wiggles, because the lengths of the domains Ω_l and Ω_r are shorter than the length of Ω such that the inflection point of A reaches the boundaries of Ω_l and Ω_r earlier. We can split the domain Ω into 3, 4, and 5 parts and use front-like connections as above to describe solutions of l3, l4, and l5, respectively. Here the branches do not show any snaking behavior, because the partitions of Ω are too small. The same branches for connections between cold stripes and the homogeneous solutions can be found on the cold-stripe branch.

For more details on localized patterns and snaking over bounded domains see [\[7,](#page-27-4) [18,](#page-28-14) [19,](#page-28-15) [29,](#page-28-16) [27\]](#page-28-17). Seminal results for localized patterns over unbounded domains can be found in [\[11,](#page-28-10) [12,](#page-28-11) [5\]](#page-27-3). For a detailed analysis by using the Ginzburg-Landau formalism and beyond all order asymptotics see [\[17,](#page-28-12) [20\]](#page-28-13).

With respect to the results of for instance $[5]$ we expect that additional localized stripes of the form

$$
u = u^* + A\sin(k_c x) + \text{h.o.t.}
$$
 (25)

exist for periodic boundary conditions. Let us call the corresponding branches d1, d2, d3, d4, and d5. The illustrations of these branches are not congruent with l1, l2, l3, l4, and l5. The folds of l1 and d1,..., l5 and d5 are connected pairwise by branches, which are called rungs.

Furthermore, we can expect from these numerical results that the following solutions exist over the entire real line: a heteroclinic connection between stripes and the homogeneous solution, a homoclinic connection from the homogeneous solution to stripes and back to the homogeneous state, and a homoclinic connection from stripes to the homogeneous solution, and back to stripes.

5. 2D patterns. The stripe patterns also exist over two dimensional domains. Here we present additionally some genuine 2D patterns, which can be analyzed via the Landau system [\(19\)](#page-11-1). Setting $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 =: A$, the system (19) reduces to

$$
\partial_t A = c_1 A + c_2 \overline{A}^2 + (c_3 + 2c_4)A|A|^2.
$$

Stationary amplitudes fulfill

$$
c_1A + c_2\overline{A}^2 + (c_3 + 2c_4)A|A|^2 = 0.
$$
 (26)

If

$$
A = H_{\pm} := -\frac{c_2}{2(c_3 + 2c_4)} \pm \sqrt{\frac{c_2^2}{4(c_3 + 2c_4)^2} - \frac{\mu(k_c)}{c_3 + 2c_4}}
$$
(27)

are real, then [\(27\)](#page-17-1) solves [\(26\)](#page-17-2). These amplitudes generate hexagon patterns. All other solutions of [\(26\)](#page-17-2) generate phase shifts of these hexagon patterns. We already mentioned that we classify the hexagons in hot and cold, which means that the hexagon pattern, which corresponds to the bacteria, has maximums and minimums in the center of the hexagonal spots, respectively. Inserting $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (A, B, B)$ into [\(19\)](#page-11-1) one can find mixed mode solutions. A solution, for which $|A| \neq |B|$ and $A \neq 0$ holds, is called rectangle in [\[24,](#page-28-3) [28\]](#page-28-21). We classify them into bean and rectangle patterns, which fulfill $|A| > |B|$ and $|A| < |B|$, respectively. We choose these names because of their 2D density plots (see Fig[.12\)](#page-18-0) and their different roles in the bifurcation diagram. These roles will be described below. We classify beans and rectangles into hot and cold in a similar way as for hexagons. There are a lot of studies, which show bifurcation diagrams over 2D domains, which are generated by using amplitude equations (see e.g. [\[24,](#page-28-3) [40,](#page-29-10) [34,](#page-28-22) [21,](#page-28-23) [28\]](#page-28-21)). This method works only well near the onset. To obtain good results further away from the onset, one can use numerical path following methods. This is done in a few works for problems over 2D domains (see e.g. [\[32,](#page-28-19) [1,](#page-27-6) [49,](#page-29-16) [48\]](#page-29-12)). We used the numerical bifurcation and continuation software pde2path to generate Fig[.12.](#page-18-0) Shown is a bifurcation diagram, which contains branches of homogeneous solutions, hexagons, stripes, rectangles, and beans. We see that stripes and hexagons bifurcate from the homogeneous solution, when the homogeneous solution becomes unstable. Their branches have stable parts. Decreasing σ from 0.15 to 0 we see that cold hexagons are stable before stripes and stripes before hot hexagons. Thus a change from the homogeneous solution to hot hexagons by passing cold hexagons and stripes is expected if the balancing rate σ decreases slowly. We conclude that such a change of patterns can

FIGURE 12. Shown is a bifurcation diagram and density plots of u for some special solutions. Here $\gamma = 0.25$. For a better illustration we plot the solutions on a large domain, but the domain, which is used for the numerics, is $\Omega =$ $(-l_x, l_x) \times (-l_y, l_y)$, where $l_x = 2\pi/kc$, $l_y = 2\pi/(\sqrt{3k_c})$, and $k_c \approx 0.19$. The domain Ω is marked in the density plot of the cold hexagons for $\sigma = 0.127$ (green box).

arise if the food influx decreases and thus it can be seen as a possible signal that the bacteria is in danger to die out. This is an often observed order of patterns (see e.g. [\[51,](#page-29-3) [56,](#page-29-4) [48\]](#page-29-12)). It is shown on a Landau level on a hexagonal lattice that this is in general a robust pattern sequence in [\[25\]](#page-28-24), but using the Landau formalism means that this holds only near the onset for small amplitudes so that it is unclear if this robustness also holds in general.

For stripes and both types of hexagons we show density plots for bacteria near the endpoints of their stable ranges (see Fig[.12\)](#page-18-0). We see that the hot part of the solution becomes smaller for all three pattern types if σ decreases. This means for cold and hot hexagons that the size of hexagons become larger and smaller, respectively. So another indicator for the decrease of the balancing rate (and with that the food influx) is a change of the pattern size itself. Such ideas are already pointed out in [\[56\]](#page-29-4) for a vegetation model.

There are bistable ranges between the homogeneous state and cold hexagons, cold hexagons and stripes, and stripes and hot hexagons. Branches of hot and cold beans bifurcate at the left and right endpoints of the stable range of stripes, which form a connection to hot and cold hexagons, respectively. All solutions of both bean branches are unstable, which also holds by using the Landau reduction. The rectangles build a connection between cold and hot hexagons in parameter space.

It is claimed in [\[28,](#page-28-21) p.153] and in [\[25\]](#page-28-24) (see table I) that the Landau reduction on hexagonal lattice predicts that the rectangles are always unstable. This is in contrast to the results in [\[48\]](#page-29-12). There it is found that rectangles are always stable on hexagonal lattices. For the system, which is considered in the present work, the Landau reduction on a hexagonal lattice predicts again that all solutions on the rectangle branch are stable. We see in Fig[.12](#page-18-0) that this does not hold, when we use the finite element method. We see that the rectangles are stable at the outer ranges, but unstable in the middle. By increasing the domain size we find that this unstable range become greater. If the rectangle branch is completely unstable or if a stable part remains on an unbounded domain, remains open in the present work.

FIGURE 13. Shown are the bistable ranges of the bifurcation diagram of Fig[.12.](#page-18-0) Here we denote $||u||_1$ and $||v||_1$ on the vertical axis instead of $||u||_8$. It holds $||u||_1 = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(z)| dz$, where Ω is the considered domain and z represents the spatial coordinates. Notice that $u(z)$ is alway nonnegative so that $||u||_1$ equals the average of u . We use the same colors for the branches as in Fig[.12.](#page-18-0)

We have seen that two different stable solutions exist in some σ -ranges. To see which of these is richer in bacteria or nutrient, we show the bistable ranges with respect to the normalized L_1 -norm in Fig[.13.](#page-19-0) We have also seen that by decreasing σ the different solution types become stable in the following order: Homogeneous solution, cold hexagons, stripes, cold rectangles, hot rectangles, hot hexagons. In Fig[.13](#page-19-0) we see that the solution type, which becomes stable, has fewer bacteria and more nutrient in contrast to the solution, which was already stable. Similar changes of the average for species by changing the type of solution in bistable ranges can also be seen in $[51, 35]$ $[51, 35]$ $[51, 35]$.

5.1. Localized patterns and snaking. Over the small domain, which is used for Fig[.12,](#page-18-0) the hot-bean branch has 4 bifurcation points (not indicated). By increasing the horizontal direction by a factor of 4, the number of bifurcation points on the hot-bean branch also increases by a factor of 4 (see Fig. 14). Periodic connections between stripes and hot hexagons branch from these bifurcation points. Here most things are similar to the 1D case described above. The bifurcation points are connected in the same way. Snaking branches of solutions bifurcate from the first and second ones, which look like front connections between hot stripes and hot hexagons and pulses of hexagons on homogeneous backgrounds, respectively. These states are actually periodic connections between stripes and hot hexagons, when we extend this solutions periodically.

FIGURE 14. Density plots and bifurcation diagrams of solutions of (4) for $\gamma = 0.25$ over the domain $(-l_x, l_x) \times [-l_y, l_y]$, where $l_x = 8\pi/kc$ and $l_y =$ $2\pi/(\sqrt{3k_c})$. a) and b) b is the hot-bean branch (violet). b1 and b2 are the branches which bifurcate from the first and second bifurcation points of the hot-bean branch. c) and d) density plots of solutions labeled in a) and b), respectively.

In contrast to the 1D case the snake does not snake around a vertical line but in a slanted manner. Such localized patterns can also be found on cold bean branches (see Fig. 15(a)). It can be seen in (27) that the hexagons bifurcate in a disturbed pitchfork such that there is a bistable range between the homogeneous and hexagon solution.

We use a triangular domain to calculate branches of localized hexagons on homogeneous backgrounds for $\gamma = 0.25$ (see Fig[.15\)](#page-22-0). The solutions which bifurcate from the first and second bifurcation points of the cold hexagon branch are a single localized patch and multi localized patches of hexagons, respectively. Single patches are already observed and studied in [\[32\]](#page-28-19). Multi patches are not mentioned in the literature before. We see that the patches themselves have a hexagonal structure. To understand the difference between single and multi patches one should have in mind that we can extend the solutions periodically. If we do this for both, we see that the patches of the single patches lie edge to edge, while the multi patches lie corner to corner. Here only the single patch exhibits a snaking behavior with only one wiggle. By increasing the domain size, the number of wiggles should increase for both.

Under the assumption that the Landau coefficients c_2, c_3, c_4 change much slower than c_1 by varying σ away from σ_c , we can see in [\(27\)](#page-17-1) that the subcriticality increases if $c_f := c_2^2/(4(c_3 + 2c_4)^2)$ increases. c_f evaluated in σ_c as function of γ is shown in Fig[.7\(](#page-14-0)c). For $\gamma \approx 0.08$ it holds $c_3+2c_4=0$ such that we assume that we can increase the strength of subcriticality and with that the steepness of the connection and the width of snaking ranges by choosing a γ -value closer to 0.08. A similar prediction of the strength of subcriticality and width of the snaking branch for bean branches on a Landau level can be found in [\[48\]](#page-29-12).

In Fig[.16\(](#page-23-0)a) we see that the branch of single patches already shows a snaking behavior with more than one wiggle for $\gamma = 0.12$ over a domain which is smaller than the domain which we used for $\gamma = 0.25$. The envelop function of the 574th solution becomes so steep that it looks like one single spot. From this point bifurcates a branch of a single hexagon patch which is rotated by $\pi/6$. Between the 574th and 900th solutions the branches in Fig[.16\(](#page-23-0)a) and (b) seem to be congruent. Beyond the 900th solution the boundary affects the rotated patches and the branch moves to a branch of stretched hexagons.

Investigations of localized stripes on homogeneous backgrounds over 2D domains can be found in [\[1\]](#page-27-6). The main result there is that branches of localized stripes do not snake if the stripes spread into the homogeneous stripe directions.

6. Layering of patterns. It is pointed out in [\[3\]](#page-27-5) that it is realistic to consider a system for which the balancing rate decreases by increasing depth. This is done in [\[3\]](#page-27-5) by using depth-dependent balancing-rate functions of the form

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{y}) = \alpha e^{(-\tilde{y}/\mu)}
$$

for system [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Quasi-stationary layering of patterns involving stripes, spots and homogeneous states are observed (see Fig.11 and 12 of [\[3\]](#page-27-5)). We assume that the exponential growth must decay so that a balancing rate function of the form

$$
\sigma(y) = \frac{0.128}{1 + e^{0.011(y - 480)}}
$$
\n(28)

is more realistic. Using this function we obtain a quasi-stationary solution involving all five pattern types shown in Fig[.1](#page-3-0) (see Fig[.17\)](#page-24-1). Here we used time-iteration methods. It is possible that there is a stable steady state which looks like the patterns shown in Fig[.17,](#page-24-1) since the solution changes radically from $t = 0$ to $t = 1200$, while it does not change its general pattern from $t = 1200$ to $t = 6200$. Using the Landau analysis described below, we predict a transition of stability between cold spots and stripes and between stripes and hot spots in the ranges $y \in [265, 296]$ and $y \in [380, 418]$, respectively. One can see in Fig[.17](#page-24-1) that this is a sensible prediction.

7. 3D patterns. Investigations of 3D Turing patterns via amplitude equations and snaking branches of localized 3D patterns on homogeneous backgrounds can be found in $\left[13, 14\right]$ $\left[13, 14\right]$ $\left[13, 14\right]$ and $\left[4\right]$, respectively. In Fig[.12](#page-18-0) we show stripe and hexagon patterned solutions of [\(1\)](#page-1-0). We already mentioned above that these are the typical patterns, which are stable over 2D domains. Stripes and hexagons, which are extended homogeneously into the third dimension, are referred to as lamellae and hexagonal prisms. We also call them stripes and hexagons if it is clear that we consider a 3D domain. They are also solutions over 3D domains, but can change

(d) 60th solution of the red branch in (b) (e) 40th solution of the blue branch in (b)

FIGURE 15. All plots are for $\gamma = 0.25$. (a) Bifurcation diagram over the domain $(-l_x, l_x) \times (-l_y, l_y)$, where $l_x = 16\pi/kc$, $l_y = 2\pi/(\sqrt{3k_c})$, and $k_c \approx$ 0.19. The violet and black lines represent the branches of cold beans and localized cold hexagons on a striped background. (b) Bifurcation diagram over a triangular domain, which is given by the vertexes $(0,0)$, $(32\pi/k_c,0)$, and $(32\pi/k_c, 32\pi/(\sqrt{3}k_c))$. The black line represents the branch of cold hexagons, while the red and blue lines are the branches which bifurcate at the first and second bifurcation points after the fold of the cold hexagons, respectively. (c), (d), (e) Density plots of the solutions which are labeled in (a) and (b). For (d) and (e) we reflected and rotated the triangular domain to get a hexagonal domain. We call patterns, which are shown in (d) and (e), single and multi patches of hexagons, respectively.

their stability from stable to unstable. pde2path uses MATLAB's PDE-Toolbox for the FEM, which only works for 2D domains, so one cannot consider PDEs over 3D domains with pde2path alone. We use the continuation and bifurcation methods of pde2path and the FEM of U. Prüfert's PDE toolbox OOPDE [\[41\]](#page-29-19) to study the stability of stripes and hexagons over bounded 3D domains.

(a) Bifurcation diagram for regular hexagons (b) Bif. diagram for stretched hexagons

FIGURE 16. All plots are for $\gamma = 0.12$. (a) Bifurcation diagram over a triangular domain, which is given by the vertexes $(0,0)$, $(16\pi/k_c,0)$, and $(16\pi/k_c, 16\pi/(\sqrt{3}k_c))$. The black and gray lines in (a) and (b) represent the branches of regular and stretched cold hexagons, while the red and blue lines are branches which bifurcate from the first bifurcation point after the fold of the cold hexagons, respectively. (c), (d) Density plots of regular and stretched hexagons on the triangular domain. (e), (f), (g) Density plots of solutions which are labeled in (a) and (b). Here we reflected and rotated the triangular domain to obtain a hexagonal domain.

We find that stripes and cold hexagons have the same stable ranges for the domains $\Omega_{3D} = (-l_x, l_x) \times (-l_y, l_y) \times (-l_z, l_z)$ and $\Omega = (-l_x, l_x) \times (-l_y, l_y)$. Here l_x and l_y are as defined in Fig[.12](#page-18-0) and $l_z = 200$. The stable range for hot hexagons starts in the same point ($\sigma \approx 0.1$) for Ω and Ω_{3D} . The endpoint is different. The stability of hot hexagons over the 2D domain Ω ends at $\sigma \approx 0.04$, while it ends at $\sigma \approx 0.06$ for hot hexagons over Ω_{3D} with $l_z = 10$. We tried to answer what kind of solutions bifurcate from this endpoint over 2D domains, but cannot give a precise result so far. By using a time integration method we found that hot hexagons, which correspond to a smaller wavenumber and which are stable on the used bounded domain, exist beyond this endpoint.

However, we found a branch of solutions, which seems to bifurcate from the left boundary of the stable range for hot hexagons over Ω_{3D} with $l_z = 10$. This branch

FIGURE 17. Density plots of u at times $t = 1200$ and 6200, which we found by using time-integration methods for a small random perturbation of $(u, v) \equiv$ (1, 1). Here we use [\(4\)](#page-5-0) for $\gamma = 0.25$ and parameters [\(3\)](#page-3-1). The balancing rate σ is described by [\(28\)](#page-21-1).

and some solutions are shown in Fig[.18.](#page-25-0) One can see that the branch has a snaking behavior. The 1st solution is the bifurcation point, which also lies on the hexagon branch. Following the snaking branch, one can see that the outer hexagonal prisms deform one by one to genuine 3D patterns, which look like balls. One can see in Fig[.18\(](#page-25-0)h) that three outer hexagonal prisms are already deformed to balls for the 129th solution. Beyond the 129th solution the branch turns around and its solutions become unstable (not shown). A bit later there is fold and the last outer hexagonal prism is deformed completely to a ball. Beyond this fold the branch does not become stable and the balls deform back to prisms one by one. The corresponding branch has a snaking behavior without stable ranges.

Here we guess that one can have better results by using another domain. However, this is only an outlook for 3D Turing patterns. It shows that most of the stable 2D patterns are also stable in 3D, that genuine 3D patterns play an important role, and that periodic connections between 2D patterns and 3D patterns exist.

8. Discussion. In this paper we investigated the bacteria-nutrient system [\(4\)](#page-5-0) with respect to σ and γ , which are the balancing rate of the nutrient and activity strength of bacteria and which regulate the strength of food supply and ingestion, respectively. To understand the long time behavior of this system, we studied time independent solutions, which are also called equilibria, steady states, or stationary solutions.

In Section [2](#page-4-0) we studied such solutions with a spatial homogeneous distribution of bacteria and nutrients and also the stability of those stationary homogeneous states. We saw that there is only one homogeneous steady state for large values of σ and γ and two stable homogeneous steady states if γ decreases. One has a high bacteria population density and low nutrient concentration, while this is the opposite case for the other one. This is a useful information, because if the bacteria population density is near the bacteria-poor homogeneous steady state, it can be possible to reach the bacteria-rich homogeneous steady state by putting bacteria

Figure 18. (a) Shown is a branch, which bifurcates, when the hot hexagons loose their stability over the domain $\Omega_{3D} = (-l_x, l_x) \times (-l_y, l_y) \times (-l_z, l_z)$ with $l_z = 10$. (b)-(h) Shown are isoface plots of solutions, which are labeled in (a). The first, second, and third entries of the level vector represent dark gray, red, and yellow faces, respectively.

into the sediment. Analogously it can be expected that a change from the bacteriarich homogeneous steady state to the bacteria-poor one can be achieved by taking some bacteria away. These ideas do not hold for great values of γ and σ . If we put bacteria into the system, we cannot expect that the system stays in this state for

a long time, but falls back to the stable homogeneous steady state or to another stable steady state, which is not homogeneous.

Our analyses has shown that there is only one stable positive stationary homogeneous state for all positive σ and large values of γ . Thus we can conclude that very active bacteria populations track the homogeneous steady state for a slowly decreasing balancing rate σ and furthermore that in this case the bacteria population density decreases, while the nutrient concentration increases. For smaller values of γ we cannot predict this behavior from our analysis of homogeneous steady states, because the solution branch of the homogeneous steady state has Turing-unstable ranges.

It is known that inhomogeneous stationary solutions bifurcate from Turingunstable states. We used the Landau formalism (see Section [3\)](#page-8-0) to describe the structure via formulas and to understand the bifurcation behavior of these so-called Turing patterns. The Landau formalism works locally and fails globally in parameter space. Thus we used numerical path following methods to generate global bifurcation diagrams.

As an introduction to Turing patterns we studied in Section [4.1](#page-12-3) the system [\(4\)](#page-5-0) for $\gamma = 0.3$ over the bounded 1D domain Ω^r . Here we saw in the bifurcation diagram of the homogeneous state that there is still one homogeneous steady state for all σ (see Fig[.4](#page-9-0) d)), which is stable for small and large values of σ and Turing-unstable in the middle. The domain Ω^r has a length such that a 1D Turing pattern with 4 periods can bifurcate directly, when the homogeneous steady state changes its stability from stable to Turing-unstable by decreasing σ . This pattern is stable directly after its bifurcation. Decreasing σ , it becomes unstable, while Turing patterns with 3.5 and 3 periods continue stably. Increasing σ a bit more, the Turing pattern with 3.5 periods becomes unstable before the pattern with 3 periods will do the same. Thus there is a selection of larger wavelengths, when the food supply decreases. Such a behavior is already observed in [\[43\]](#page-29-20) for an extended version of the Klausmeier model.

Let us assume that we are observing a real natural bacteria-nutrient system, which can be modeled by system [\(4\)](#page-5-0) for the parameter set [\(3\)](#page-3-1) and $\gamma = 0.3$ on a quasi 1D domain for which two spatial directions are very small and the third direction has the same length like Ω^r . If we observe for a long time that the bacteria population density does not change and is also spatially homogeneous, then we can assume that the system stays in a stable homogeneous steady state. If we are able to measure the bacteria population density, then we know also, whether we are on the right or left side of the Turing-unstable range. Let us assume that we are on the right side and after a certain time the spatial distribution of the bacteria population changes to a patterned state with 4 periods, then we can assume that the balancing rate σ has decreased and the bacteria population is in danger of dying out.

If we are not able to measure the bacteria population density and we observe that the state changes from a homogeneous state to periodic states and if we furthermore see that the number of periods decreases, then we can conclude that σ has decreased and that the bacteria population is in danger. If the system is situated in a homogeneous state on the left side beyond the Turing-unstable range and we try to increase σ to reactivate the bacteria population, then we know that we are doing the right thing, when we see that the bacteria distribution becomes periodic and that the number of periods increases after a certain time.

In Section [5](#page-17-0) we studied the system [\(4\)](#page-5-0) for $\gamma = 0.25$ over a small 2D domain. Our analysis of the homogeneous states has already shown that we have two stable homogeneous steady states for large values of σ . Decreasing σ the bacteria-rich homogeneous steady state becomes Turing-unstable, and Turing-patterns bifurcate, which we call stripes, hot and cold hexagons (see Fig. 12).

Let us assume again that we observe a real bacteria-nutrient scenario on a quasi 2D domain, which has the same size as the one we use in Fig[.12](#page-18-0) and let us see what we can learn from our numerical investigations. A change from a homogeneous steady state to cold hexagons indicates the possibility that the balancing rate σ has decreased. Furthermore, we see that if the decrease of σ continues, the next stable steady states are stripe patterns followed by hot hexagons. For all three patterns of the bacteria population density we have a signal that σ decreases, when the hot part of the pattern shrinks. For instance when the size of hexagonal hot spots becomes smaller.

One main point of this paper is the investigation of bistable ranges between two steady stats for which at least one of the states is a Turing pattern. In all these bistable ranges we found solutions numerically, which change their patterns along the horizontal spatial direction. The branches of these solutions snake back and forth and have stable and unstable ranges. It is important to know that such stable mixed patterns exist, because if our system is in a bistable range and we want to bring it into another state by using any methods, it can happen that the solution gets caught on a mixed pattern.

In Section [6](#page-21-0) we considered layering of patterns, which occur by space dependent parameters. We also learn from this paper that a layering of two different patterns for any reaction-diffusion system is not necessarily an effect of space dependent parameters, but may be due to the system being in a bistable range.

Acknowledgments. I am indebted to H. Uecker, J. Rademacher, S. U. Gerbersdorf, P. Harmand, U. Prüfert, M. Herrmann, H. Susanto and U. Feudel for stimulating and enlightening discussions. This work is founded by the DFG, the University of Oldenburg, and the University of Bremen.

REFERENCES

- [\[1\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2665448&return=pdf) D. Avitabile, D. Lloyd, J. Burke, E. Knobloch and B. Sandstede, [To snake or not to snake in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100782747) [the planar Swift-Hohenberg equation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100782747) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 9 (2010), 704–733.
- [\[2\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2807661&return=pdf) T. Bánsági, V. K. Vanag and I. R. Epstein, [Tomography of reaction-diffusion microemulsions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1200815) [reveals three-dimensional turing patterns,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1200815) Science, 331 (2011), 1309–1312.
- [\[3\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2130160&return=pdf) M. Baurmann, W. Ebenhöh and U. Feudel, [Turing instabilities and pattern formation in a](http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2004.1.111) [benthic nutrient-microorganism system,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2004.1.111) Math. Biosci. Eng., 1 (2004), 111–130.
- [4] C. Beaume, E. Knobloch and A. Bergeon, [Nonsnaking doubly diffusive convectons and the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4826978) [twist instability,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4826978) *Physics of Fluids*, **25** (2013), 114102.
- [\[5\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2529953&return=pdf) M. Beck, J. Knobloch, D. Lloyd, B. Sandstede and T. Wagenknecht, [Snakes, ladders, and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080713306) [isolas of localized patterns,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080713306) SIAM J. Math. Anal., 41 (2009), 936–972.
- [6] I. Berenstein, L. Yang, M. Dolnik, A. M. Zhabotinsky and I. R. Epstein, [Superlattice turing](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.058302) [structures in a photosensitive reaction-diffusion system,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.058302) Physical review letters, 91 (2003), 058302.
- [\[7\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2529587&return=pdf) A. Bergeom, J. Burke, E. Knobloch and I. Mercader, [Eckhaus instability and homoclinic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.046201) [snaking,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.046201) Phys.Rev.E, 78 (2008), 046201, 16 pp.
- [8] K. Bosselmann, M. E. Böttcher, M. Billerbeck, E. Walpersdorf, A. Theune, D. De Beer, M. Hüttel, H. J. Brumsack and B. B. Jørgensen, Iron-sulfur-manganese dynamics in intertidal surface sediments of the north sea, Ber. Forschungsz. Terramare, 12 (2003), 32-35.

- [9] A. Bruns, H. Cypionka and J. Overmann, [Cyclic amp and acyl homoserine lactones increase](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.3978-3987.2002) [the cultivation efficiency of heterotrophic bacteria from the central baltic sea,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.3978-3987.2002) Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68 (2002), 3978–3987.
- [10] A. Bruns, U. Nübel, H. Cypionka and J. Overmann, [Effect of signal compounds and incubation](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.4.1980-1989.2003) [conditions on the culturability of freshwater bacterioplankton,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.4.1980-1989.2003) Applied and environmental microbiology, 69 (2003), 1980–1989.
- [\[11\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2242602&return=pdf) J. Burke and E. Knobloch, [Localized states in the generalized Swift-Hohenberg equation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.056211) Phys. Rev. E, 73 (2006), 056211, 15pp.
- [\[12\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2356977&return=pdf) J. Burke and E. Knobloch, [Homoclinic snaking: Structure and stability,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2746816) Chaos, 17 (2007), 037102, 15pp.
- [\[13\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1473379&return=pdf) T. Callahan and E. Knobloch, [Symmetry-breaking bifurcations on cubic lattices,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/10/5/009) Nonlinearity, 10 (1997), 1179–1216.
- [\[14\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1701288&return=pdf) T. Callahan and E. Knobloch, [Pattern formation in three-dimensional reaction–diffusion sys](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(99)00041-X)[tems,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(99)00041-X) Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 132 (1999), 339-362.
- [\[15\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2343706&return=pdf) S. Camazine, Self-organization in Biological Systems, Princeton University Press, 2003.
- [16] V. Castets, E. Dulos, J. Boissonade and P. De Kepper, [Experimental evidence of a sustained](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2953) [standing turing-type nonequilibrium chemical pattern,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2953) Phys. Rev. Lett., 64 (1990), 2953– 2956.
- [\[17\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2590452&return=pdf) S. Chapman and G. Kozyreff, [Exponential asymptotics of localised patterns and snaking](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2008.10.005) [bifurcation diagrams,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2008.10.005) Physica D, 238 (2009), 319–354.
- [\[18\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2399562&return=pdf) J. Dawes, [Localized pattern formation with a large-scale mode: Slanted snaking.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/06067794X) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., **7** (2008), 186-206.
- [\[19\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2533629&return=pdf) J. Dawes, [Modulated and localized states in a finite domain.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080724344) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 8 (2009), 909–930.
- [\[20\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2851954&return=pdf) A. Dean, P. Matthews, S. Cox and J. King, [Exponential asymptotics of homoclinic snaking,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/24/12/003) Nonlinearity, 24 (2011), 3323–3351.
- [\[21\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1970238&return=pdf) A. Doelman, B. Sandstede, A. Scheel and G. Schneider, [Propagation of hexagonal patterns](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S095679250200503X) [near onset,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S095679250200503X) European J. Appl. Math., 14 (2003), 85–110.
- [22] S. U. Gerbersdorf, W. Manz and D. M. Paterson, [The engineering potential of natural benthic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00586.x) [bacterial assemblages in terms of the erosion resistance of sediments,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00586.x) FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 66 (2008), 282–294.
- [23] A. Gierer and H. Meinhardt, [A theory of biological pattern formation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00289234) Biological Cybernetics, 12 (1972), 30–39.
- [\[24\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR763472&return=pdf) M. Golubitsky, J. W. Swift and E. Knobloch, [Symmetries and pattern selection in Rayleigh-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90179-9)[Bénard convection,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(84)90179-9) Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 10 (1984), 249–276.
- [25] K. Gowda, H. Riecke and M. Silber, [Transitions between patterned states in vegetation models](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.022701) [for semiarid ecosystems,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.89.022701) Phys. Rev. E, 89 (2014), 022701.
- [26] M. F. Hilali, S. Métens, P. Borckmans and G. Dewel, [Pattern selection in the generalized](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.2046) [Swift-Hohenberg model,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.51.2046) Phys. Rev. E, 51 (1995), 2046-2052.
- [27] S. M. Houghton and E. Knobloch, [Homoclinic snaking in bounded domains,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.026210) Phys.Rev.E, 80 (2009), 026210.
- [\[28\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2229391&return=pdf) R. Hoyle, [Pattern Formation](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616051), Cambridge University Press., Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- [29] G. Kozyreff, P. Assemat and S. Chapman, [Influence of boundaries on localized patterns,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.164501) Phys. Rev. Letters, **103** (2009), 164501.
- [30] M. Leda, V. K. Vanag and I. R. Epstein, [Instabilities of a three-dimensional localized spot,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.066204) Physical Review E, 80 (2009), 066204.
- [\[31\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR3045456&return=pdf) D. Lloyd and H. O'Farrell, [On localised hotspots of an urban crime model,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2013.02.005) Physica D, 253 (2013), 23–39.
- [\[32\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2443032&return=pdf) D. Lloyd, B. Sandstede, D. Avitabile and A. Champneys, [Localized hexagon patterns of the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070707622) [planar Swift-Hohenberg equation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/070707622) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 7 (2008), 1049–1100.
- [33] S. Madani, F. Meysman and J. Middelburg, Biogeochemical modeling of sediments from the santa barbara basin (california), BioGeoChemistry of Tidal Flats (J. Rullkötter, ed.). Forschungszentrum Terramare, Wilhelmshaven, 91–93.
- [\[34\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1085455&return=pdf) B. Malomed, A. Nepomnyashchy and M. Tribelsky, [Domain boundaries in convection patterns,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.42.7244) Phys.Rev.A, 42 (1990), 7244–7263.
- [35] E. Meron, E. Gilad, J. von Hardenberg, M. Shachak and Y. Zarmi, [Vegetation patterns along](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0779(03)00049-3) [a rainfall gradient,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0779(03)00049-3) Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals, 19 (2004), 367–376, URL [http://www.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960077903000493) [sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960077903000493](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960077903000493), Fractals in Geophysics.

- [36] J. Monod, The growth of bacterial cultures, Annual Review of Microbiology, 3 (1949), 371– 394.
- [37] Z. J. Mudryk, B. Podgorska, A. Ameryk and J. Bolalek, The occurrence and activity of sulphate-reducing bacteria in the bottom sediments of the gulf of gdańsk, Oceanologia, 42.
- J. Murray, *[Mathematical Biology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-08539-4)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [\[39\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR2235817&return=pdf) L. Pismen, Patterns and Interfaces in Dissipative Dynamics, Springer, 2006.
- [40] Y. Pomeau, [Front motion, metastability and subcritical bifurcations in hydrodynamics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(86)90104-1) Physica D, 23 (1986), 3-11.
- [41] U. Prüfert, Oopde - an object oriented toolbox for finite elements in matlab. quickstart guide. tu bergakademie freiberg. r 2015, [http://www.mathe.tu-freiberg.de/files/personal/255/](http://www.mathe.tu-freiberg.de/files/personal/255/oopde-quickstart-guide-2015.pdf) [oopde-quickstart-guide-2015.pdf](http://www.mathe.tu-freiberg.de/files/personal/255/oopde-quickstart-guide-2015.pdf).
- [\[42\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1901069&return=pdf) B. Sandstede, [Stability of travelling waves,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1874-575X(02)80039-X) Handbook of Dynamical Systems, Elsevier Science, $2(2002), 983-1055.$
- [43] K. Siteur, E. Siero, M. B. Eppinga, J. D. M. Rademacher, A. Doelman and M. Rietkerk, [Beyond Turing: The response of patterned ecosystems to environmental change,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2014.09.002) Ecological Complexity, 20 (2014), 81–96.
- [44] L. J. Stal, [Microphytobenthos, their extracellular polymeric substances, and the morphogen](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/713851126)[esis of intertidal sediments,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/713851126) Geomicrobiology Journal, 20 (2003), 463–478.
- [45] J. Swift and P. C. Hohenberg, [Hydrodynamic fluctuations at the convective instability,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.15.319) Physical Review A, 15 (1977), p319.
- [46] L. Tsimring, H. Levine, I. Aranson, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, O. Shochet and W. N. Reynolds, [Aggregation patterns in stressed bacteria,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1859) Physical review letters, 75 (1995), p1859.
- [47] A. M. Turing, The chemical basis of morphogenisis, Philosophical transaction of the Royal Society of London - B, 237 (1952), 37-72.
- [\[48\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR3159974&return=pdf) H. Uecker and D. Wetzel, [Numerical Results for Snaking of Patterns over Patterns in Some](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/130918484) [2D Selkov-Schnakenberg Reaction-Diffusion Systems.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/130918484) SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 13 (2014), 94–128.
- [\[49\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR3177461&return=pdf) H. Uecker, D. Wetzel and J. Rademacher, pde2path – a Matlab package for continuation and bifurcation in 2D elliptic systems, Numer. Math. Theor. Meth. Appl., 7 (2014), 58–106.
- [50] G. J. C. Underwood and D. M. Paterson, [The importance of extracellular carbohydrate pro](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296(05)40005-1)[ductionby marine epipelic diatoms,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296(05)40005-1) Advances in botanical research, 40 (2003), 183–240.
- [51] J. von Hardenberg, E. Meron, M. Shachak and Y. Zarmi, [Diversity of vegetation patterns and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.198101) [desertification,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.198101) Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001), 198101.
- [52] N. Wai-Leung and B. Bassler, Bacterial quorum-sensing network architectures, Annu. Rev. Genet., 43 (2009), 197–222.
- [53] P. Williams, K. Winzer, W. C. Chan and M. Cámara, [Look who's talking: Communication](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2039) [and quorum sensing in the bacterial world,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2039) Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 362 (2007), 1119–1134.
- [\[54\]](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=MR1687596&return=pdf) P. Woods and A. Champneys, [Heteroclinic tangles and homoclinic snaking in the unfolding](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)00309-1) [of a degenerate reversible Hamiltonian-Hopf bifurcation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(98)00309-1) Physica D, 129 (1999), 147–170.
- [55] L. Yang, M. Dolnik, A. M. Zhabotinsky and I. R. Epstein, [Turing patterns beyond hexagons](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2214167) [and stripes,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2214167) Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 16 (2006), 037114.
- [56] H. Yizhaq, E. Gilad and E. Meron, [Banded vegetation: Biological productivity and resilience,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.05.026) Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 356 (2005), 139–144, URL [http:](http://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:eee:phsmap:v:356:y:2005:i:1:p:139-144) [//EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:eee:phsmap:v:356:y:2005:i:1:p:139-144](http://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:eee:phsmap:v:356:y:2005:i:1:p:139-144).

Received August 05, 2014; Accepted October 10, 2015.

E-mail address: d.wetzel@uni-oldenburg.de