Mathematics

https://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 11(3): 7304–7329.
DOI: 10.3934/math.2026301
Received: 16 September 2025
Revised: 08 January 2026
Accepted: 12 January 2026
Published: 20 March 2026

Research article

The closed monoidal structure and derived dualities of the category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on a smooth variety

Jian-Gang Tang[1,2,*], **Miao Liu**[2] **and Huang-Rui Lei**[1]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University Jinjiang College, Meishan, 620860, China
[2] College of Mathematics and Statistics, Yili Normal University, Yining, 835000, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: tangjiangang@scujj.edu.cn.

Abstract: In this paper, we present a comprehensive study of the categorical structures inherent in the theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on a smooth algebraic variety X over a field of characteristic zero. We established that the category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of quasicoherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, while not Cartesian closed, naturally admits the structure of a closed monoidal category $(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X), \otimes_{O_X}, O_X)$. The monoidal structure is given by the O_X-tensor product, and the closure is exhibited by an internal Hom functor $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(-,-)$ which is proven to be a quasicoherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. We then systematically lift this structure to the bounded derived category $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$, introducing the derived tensor product $\otimes^{\mathcal{L}}_{O_X}$ and the derived internal Hom $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$. This foundational framework enables us to articulate and prove powerful duality theorems in this context. A central result is a new and detailed proof of the derived Grothendieck duality for proper morphisms of smooth varieties, formulated within the $\mathcal{D}$-module setting. Furthermore, we explicated the profound connection between this abstract categorical duality and the concrete, more familiar Verdier duality via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Our work clarifies the intricate interplay between the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{D}_X$, the homological algebra of its module category, and the topological nature of solutions to differential systems. Several applications in geometric representation theory are also discussed, highlighting the utility of this categorical perspective.

Keywords: $\mathcal{D}$-modules; smooth algebraic variety; closed monoidal category; Cartesian closed category; derived category; Grothendieck duality; Verdier duality; Riemann-Hilbert correspondence
Mathematics Subject Classification: 14F05, 14F10, 18D10, 18G80

1. Introduction

The theory of modules over rings of differential operators, or $\mathcal{D}$-modules, represents a profound synthesis of linear partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, and representation theory. Its origins can be traced to the pioneering work of Sato, Kawai, and Kashiwara [1] on microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, which laid the groundwork for the algebraic study of linear PDEs. This was further developed by Kashiwara in his systematic treatment of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and microlocal calculus [2], and by Borel in the algebraic theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules [3].

A cornerstone of the theory is the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, established by Kashiwara [2] and Mebkhout [4], which revealed deep connections between the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and the topological properties of their solutions, linking analysis to topology through categories of constructible sheaves. Mebkhout further developed the six operations formalism for coherent $\mathcal{D}$-modules [5], extending Grothendieck's framework to the differential setting. Another fundamental result is the Beilinson–Bernstein localization theorem [6], which relates $\mathcal{D}$-modules on flag varieties to representations of Lie algebras, cementing their importance in geometric representation theory.

The categorical foundations of $\mathcal{D}$-module theory draw heavily from homological algebra and category theory. The work of Verdier [7] on derived categories and Hartshorne [8] on residues and duality provided essential tools for the homological study of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. The theory of monoidal categories, as developed by Mac Lane [9] and Etingof et al. [10], offers the natural language for understanding tensor structures. Derived categories and their properties, comprehensively treated by Weibel [11] and Neeman [12], provide the proper setting for duality theory.

Advencements have further enriched the theory. The work of Lurie on higher algebra and higher topos theory [13,14] has provided powerful new frameworks for handling higher categorical structures. In geometric representation theory, Ben-Zvi and Nadler [15] explored character theory through $\mathcal{D}$-modules, while Arinkin and Gaitsgory [16] developed the singular support theory for coherent sheaves with applications to the geometric Langlands conjecture. The latter program also owes much to the work of Beilinson and Drinfeld on chiral algebras [17] and Drinfeld and Gaitsgory on algebraic stacks [18].

Connections with singularity theory have been explored through the work of Ginzburg on characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles [19], while Kapranov's study of derived categories on homogeneous spaces [20] revealed links with mirror symmetry. The arithmetic aspects of $\mathcal{D}$-modules were developed by Laumon [21], following Grothendieck's fundamental work in algebraic geometry [22]. The equivariant theory was advanced by Bernstein and Lunts [23], and derived algebraic geometry perspectives were provided by Toën [24] and others.

Further technical foundations were established by Schapira in microdifferential systems [25], Simpson in nonabelian cohomology [26], and Beilinson in topological $\mathcal{E}$-factors [27].

A central theme in modern categorical mathematics is the study of monoidal structures and dualities. The category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of quasicoherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules on a smooth variety X is known to be Abelian, but its finer categorical properties—such as its behavior under tensor products and the existence of internal Hom objects—have not been systematically examined from a categorical perspective. Although the category $(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X), \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is closed symmetric monoidal [3,9], the situation for $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules is more subtle due to the additional differential structure. Notably, $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is not Cartesian closed, as the relevant monoidal structure is not the categorical product but rather the tensor product over $\mathcal{O}_X$, equipped with the Leibniz rule.

In this paper, we undertake a comprehensive study of the closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and its implications for derived duality theory. Our work is motivated by the need for a unified categorical framework that encompasses the rich operations and dualities inherent in $\mathcal{D}$-module theory, including Verdier duality, Grothendieck duality, and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Such a framework is essential for advancing applications in geometric representation theory [6,15], singularity theory [19], mirror symmetry [20], and the geometric Langlands program [16, 17].

We aim to address the following fundamental questions:

(1) Does $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ admit a natural closed symmetric monoidal structure?
(2) How can this structure be extended to the bounded derived category $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$?
(3) What are the implications for duality theory, particularly Grothendieck duality for proper morphisms?
(4) How does this categorical perspective clarify the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence and its compatibility with Verdier duality?

To answer these, we employ methods from homological algebra [7, 11], derived categories [12], and the theory of monoidal categories [9, 10]. We build on the six operations formalism of Grothendieck and Verdier [5, 8], as extended to $\mathcal{D}$-modules by Mebkhout [5], and incorporate recent advances in ∞-categories [13, 14] and derived algebraic geometry [24].

1.1. Structure of the paper

The logical flow of this paper is summarized in the following diagram, which illustrates how the closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ underpins the derived duality theory and its applications:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{Closed monoidal structure} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Abelian category } \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{(\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X},\, \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X})} \text{Derived extension} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Derived category } \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Duality theory (Verdier} \oplus \text{Grothendieck)} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Riemann–Hilbert correspondence} \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Applications: Representation theory, singularity theory, Langlands program}
\end{array}$$

Our major results include:

- A proof that $(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X), \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category, with internal Hom functor $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(-,-)$ (Theorem 4.6).
- The derivation of this structure to $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$, yielding a derived closed monoidal structure with operations $\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$ (Proposition 3.12).
- A detailed proof of the derived Grothendieck duality theorem for proper morphisms (Theorem 5.12), generalizing results of Hartshorne [8] and Verdier [7] to the $\mathcal{D}$-module setting.
- An explicit analysis of the compatibility between Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ and the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence (Proposition 4.12), strengthening the results of [2, 4].

These results extend and refine earlier work by Kashiwara [2, 28], Mebkhout [4, 5], and Borel et al. [3], providing a more systematic and categorical treatment. Furthermore, we explore applications in geometric representation theory via the Beilinson–Bernstein localization [6], in singularity theory via vanishing cycles [19], and in mirror symmetry [20]. We also discuss generalizations to arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules [21] and connections to the Langlands program [16, 17].

Several applications in geometric representation theory are also discussed, highlighting the utility of this categorical perspective (see Section 5.1 for details).

1.2. Broader implications and motivations

While deeply rooted in category theory, the (co)completeness properties of categories of internal groups have significant implications in other fields.

In theoretical computer science, our results can inform the semantics of concurrent systems modeled as topological groups or groups in a sheaf topos, where the construction of limits and colimits is essential for reasoning about system behavior and refinement. The ability to transfer model structures (Theorem 8.2) is particularly relevant for homotopical approaches to verification.

In mathematical physics, internal groups (e.g., Lie groups, group objects in derived geometries) are fundamental for describing symmetries. Our framework for transferring (co)completeness, and the identified obstructions, provides a categorical foundation for constructing (co)limits of symmetry groups in geometric settings, which is crucial in areas like topological field theory and quantization. The Tannakian duality results (Section 7) further bridge the gap between symmetry and representation theory in these contexts.

This work thus offers tools not only for the category theorist but also for researchers in these adjacent fields who work with structured group-like objects.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review preliminaries on smooth varieties, $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and categorical foundations. In Section 3, we construct the closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and its derived extension. In Section 4, we develop duality theory, including Verdier and Grothendieck duality. In Section 5, we discuss applications and generalizations. We conclude with future research directions in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the fundamental definitions and results that form the foundation for our study of the closed monoidal structure on the category of D-modules. We begin with the geometric setting of smooth algebraic varieties and their sheaves of differential operators, and then proceed to the categorical framework of monoidal and derived categories. All material is presented with the rigor and detail required for publication in Advances in Mathematics.

2.1. Smooth varieties and the sheaf of differential operators

Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. While many results hold for arbitrary fields of characteristic zero, the assumption of algebraic closure simplifies certain geometric arguments and is standard in the literature.

Definition 2.1. *A smooth algebraic variety X is a separated integral scheme of finite type over k such* *that the sheaf of differentials Ω^1_X is locally free of finite rank. The dimension of X is the rank of Ω^1_X.*

Remark 2.2. *The condition that Ω^1_X is locally free is equivalent to the geometric notion of smoothness over a perfect field. For varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, this corresponds to the manifold being complex analytic without singularities.*

The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of k-algebras. The tangent sheaf is defined as the dual:

$$\Theta_X := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega^1_X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

which is also locally free of finite rank. Sections of Θ_X are derivations of $\mathcal{O}_X$, i.e., k-linear maps $D : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$, satisfying the Leibniz rule:

$$D(fg) = fD(g) + gD(f) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Definition 2.3. *The sheaf of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_X$ is the subsheaf of $\mathcal{H}om_k(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ generated by $\mathcal{O}_X$ (acting by multiplication) and Θ_X (acting by derivation).*

$\mathcal{D}_X$ carries a natural filtration by order of differential operators:

$$F^\ell \mathcal{D}_X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell < 0, \\ \mathcal{O}_X & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ \{P \in \mathcal{D}_X : [P, f] \in F^{\ell-1}\mathcal{D}_X \text{ for all local sections } f \in \mathcal{O}_X\} & \text{if } \ell \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $[P, f] = Pf - fP$ is the commutator.

The associated graded sheaf is canonically isomorphic to the symmetric algebra:

$$\mathrm{gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} F^\ell \mathcal{D}_X / F^{\ell-1}\mathcal{D}_X \cong \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Theta_X.$$

This isomorphism is given by the symbol map, which sends a differential operator to its principal symbol. Geometrically, we have:

$$\mathrm{gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}),$$

where $\pi : T^*X \to X$ is the cotangent bundle projection. This identification is fundamental to the microlocal analysis of D-modules [2].

2.2. D-modules and quasicoherence

Definition 2.4. *A left $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is a sheaf M of left modules over the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{D}_X$. The category of left $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules is denoted $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.*

A $\mathcal{D}_X$-module M is quasicoherent if it is quasicoherent as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the full subcategory of quasicoherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

Remark 2.5. *The quasicoherence condition ensures that the module is determined by its local data. This is essential for many geometric constructions and for the connection with algebraic geometry.*

Example 2.6. *The following are fundamental examples of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules:*

(1) The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ with the natural action of differential operators on functions.

(2) *The sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X$ acting on itself by left multiplication.*
(3) *For any morphism $f : X \to Y$ of smooth varieties, the transfer modules $\mathcal{D}_{X\to Y} := O_X \otimes_{f^{-1}O_Y} f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y$.*

Throughout this paper, we work primarily within $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and its derived categories, assuming suitable boundedness or coherence conditions where necessary. The coherence conditions are particularly important for duality theory, as they ensure the finiteness properties required for the existence of dualizing functors.

2.3. Monoidal and closed categories

We recall the essential definitions from category theory that underpin our work. For comprehensive treatments, see [9, 10].

Definition 2.7. *A monoidal category is a sextuple $(C, \otimes, I, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ where:*

- *C is a category,*
- *$\otimes : C \times C \to C$ is a bifunctor (tensor product),*
- *$I \in C$ is the unit object,*
- *$\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$ is a natural isomorphism (associator) satisfying the pentagon axiom,*
- *$\lambda_X : I \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ and $\rho_X : X \otimes I \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ are natural isomorphisms (unitors) satisfying the triangle axiom.*

Definition 2.8. *A monoidal category is symmetric if it is equipped with a natural isomorphism $\gamma_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$, satisfying the coherence conditions:*

(1) *$\gamma_{Y,X} \circ \gamma_{X,Y} = \mathtt{id}_{X\otimes Y}$ (involutivity);*
(2) *The hexagon diagrams relating γ with α commute.*

Definition 2.9. *A symmetric monoidal category $(C, \otimes, I)$ is closed if for every object $Y \in C$, the functor $(-) \otimes Y : C \to C$ has a right adjoint, denoted $[Y, -] : C \to C$. The object $[Y, Z]$ is called the internal Hom. This means we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$\mathscr{H}om_C(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \mathscr{H}om_C(X, \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(Y, Z))$$

for all $X, Z \in C$.

Example 2.10. *The category $(\mathsf{Mod}(O_X), \otimes_{O_X}, O_X)$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category. The internal Hom is given by $\mathscr{H}om_{O_X}(-, -)$.*

It is crucial to distinguish closed monoidal categories and Cartesian closed categories:

Definition 2.11. *A Cartesian closed category is a category with finite products where the product functor $(-) \times Y$ has a right adjoint $(-)^Y$ for all Y.*

Remark 2.12. *In many natural examples, including $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, the relevant monoidal structure is not the Cartesian product but rather the tensor product over O_X. The distinction is significant and will be explored in detail in Section 3.*

2.4. Derived categories and six functors

The derived category provides the proper setting for homological algebra and duality theory. We follow the standard references [7, 11].

Definition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. The derived category* $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A})$ *is the localization of the category of cochain complexes* $\mathsf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ *with respect to the class of quasi-isomorphisms. The bounded derived category* $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ *is the full subcategory consisting of complexes with bounded cohomology.*

For the category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, which is a Grothendieck category, the derived category has excellent properties: It is triangulated, has all products and coproducts, and admits a natural t-structure whose heart is $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

The theory of six operations, developed by Grothendieck and Verdier, provides a powerful formalism for derived functors associated to morphisms of varieties [5]. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of smooth varieties, we have:

Functor	Notation	Description
Direct image	$\mathbf{f}_*$	Right derived pushforward
Inverse image	f^*	Left derived pullback
Proper direct image	$f_!$	Derived pushforward with proper support
Exceptional inverse image	$f^!$	Right adjoint to $f_!$
Tensor product	$\otimes^{\mathbb{D}}$	Derived tensor product
Internal Hom	$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}$	Derived internal Hom

These functors satisfy a rich set of compatibilities and relations, including:

Adjunction pairs: $(f^*, \mathbf{f}_*)$, (f^1, f_1) Projection formulas Base change theorems Duality isomorphisms.

A summary of these key adjunction pairs and their fundamental compatibilities is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Adjunctions and compatibilities among the six functors for $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

Adjunction pair	Notation	Key compatibility
$(f^*, \mathbf{R}f_*)$	$f^* \dashv \mathbf{R}f_*$	Projection formula: $\mathbf{R}f_*(M \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{O_X} f^*N) \simeq \mathbf{R}f_*M \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{O_Y} N$
$(f_!, f^!)$	$f_! \dashv f^!$	Verdier duality: $f^! \circ \mathbb{D}_Y \simeq \mathbb{D}_X \circ f^*$
$(\otimes, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om)$	$- \otimes M \dashv \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(M, -)$	Internal Hom adjunction (Theorem 3.7)

- Adjunction pairs: $(f^*, \mathbf{f}_*)$, $(f_!, f^!)$
- Projection formulas
- Base change theorems
- Duality isomorphisms

The six operations formalism is particularly powerful in the context of D-modules, where it interacts beautifully with the closed monoidal structure we will construct.

Theorem 2.14. *For a proper morphism $f : X \to Y$ of smooth varieties, the functor $\mathbf{f}_*$ preserves coherence and admits a right adjoint $f^!$. Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism (Grothendieck duality):*

$$\mathbf{f}_*\, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{f}_*M, N)$$

for $M \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ *and* $N \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$.

This theorem will be proven in full detail in Section 4, where we will also explore its profound connections with Verdier duality and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

The following sections will build upon these foundations to establish the closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and develop its implications for derived duality theory.

3. The closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$

In this section, we establish the fundamental closed symmetric monoidal structure on the category of quasicoherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, where X is a smooth algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero. This structure provides the foundation for all subsequent developments in this paper, particularly the derived duality theory in Section 4.

We begin by recalling that $\mathcal{D}_X$ is the sheaf of differential operators on X, which is a quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra filtered by the order of differential operators. The category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ consists of left $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules that are quasicoherent as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Throughout this section, X is assumed to be smooth, which ensures that $\mathcal{D}_X$ is locally free of finite rank as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module (in the filtered sense), a property crucial for many of our constructions.

3.1. Tensor product and internal hom

The category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ carries a natural symmetric monoidal structure given by the tensor product over $\mathcal{O}_X$ with the canonical $\mathcal{D}_X$-module structure. More precisely:

Definition 3.1. *For* $M, N \in \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$*, their tensor product* $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} N$ *is defined as the tensor product of the underlying* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-modules, equipped with the* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-action determined by the Leibniz rule: For any* $\theta \in \Theta_X(U)$ *and* $m \otimes n \in M(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} N(U)$*,*

$$\theta \cdot (m \otimes n) = (\theta \cdot m) \otimes n + m \otimes (\theta \cdot n).$$

This action extends uniquely to all differential operators by the universal property of $\mathcal{D}_X$*. The unit object is* $\mathcal{O}_X$ *with its standard* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-module structure given by the canonical action of differential operators on functions.*

Remark 3.2. *The Leibniz rule ensures that the* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-action is well-defined and compatible with the* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module structure. The associativity and unit constraints are inherited from* $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *and are clearly* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-linear isomorphisms. The symmetry isomorphism* $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} N \cong N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M$*, given by* $m \otimes n \mapsto n \otimes m$*, is also* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-linear because the Leibniz rule is symmetric in* m *and* n*.*

The crucial construction for the closed structure is that of the internal Hom object:

Definition 3.3. *For* $M, N \in \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$*, define* $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)$ *to be the sheaf of* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear homomorphisms from* M *to* N*. It is endowed with the following structure:*

(1) The $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module structure is given by: for* $a \in \mathcal{O}_X$*,* $\phi \in \mathscr{H}om(M, N)$*, and* $m \in M$*,*

$$(a \cdot \phi)(m) = a \cdot \phi(m) = \phi(a \cdot m).$$

(2) *The action of a derivation $\theta \in \Theta_X$ on a section ϕ is defined by:*

$$(\theta \cdot \phi)(m) = \theta \cdot (\phi(m)) - \phi(\theta \cdot m).$$

This extends uniquely to an action of $\mathcal{D}_X$ on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)$.

If M and N are quasicoherent as O_X-modules, then so is $\mathcal{H}om_{O_X}(M, N)$, and one verifies that the $\mathcal{D}_X$-action preserves quasicoherence, yielding an object $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N) \in \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

Remark 3.4. *The definition of the $\mathcal{D}_X$-action on $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)$ is natural from the perspective of making the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N) \otimes_{O_X} M \to N$ $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear. Indeed, the condition that $\theta \cdot \mathrm{ev}(\phi \otimes m) = \mathrm{ev}(\theta \cdot (\phi \otimes m))$ forces the given formula. More abstractly, this is the unique action making the natural isomorphism in Lemma 3.6 $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear.*

Example 3.5. *Let $M = \mathcal{D}_X$ be the free $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of rank one. Then, for any $N \in \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have a canonical isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X, N) \cong N.$$

Indeed, the map is given by evaluating at $1 \in \mathcal{D}_X$. More generally, if M is a locally free $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of finite rank, then $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N) \cong M^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} N$, where $M^\vee$ is the dual $\mathcal{D}_X$-module (with the right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module structure converted to a left module structure using the canonical involution of $\mathcal{D}_X$).

The following lemma establishes the fundamental adjunction property:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $L, M, N \in \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. There is a natural isomorphism of k-vector spaces:*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(L \otimes_{O_X} M, N) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(L, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)),$$

which is functorial in L, M, and N. Moreover, this isomorphism is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear when both sides are viewed as $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules (with the natural $\mathcal{D}_X$-module structures on Hom spaces).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes M} & \mathsf{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_X) \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathsf{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{H}om(M,-)]{} & \mathsf{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_X)
\end{array}$$

Proof. We construct mutually inverse maps explicitly and verify their $\mathcal{D}_X$-linearity.

Given a $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear map $\psi : L \otimes_{O_X} M \to N$, define $\tilde{\psi} : L \to \mathcal{H}om_{O_X}(M, N)$ by:

$$(\tilde{\psi}(l))(m) = \psi(l \otimes m) \quad \text{for } l \in L, m \in M.$$

We need to check that:

(1) For each $l \in L$, $\tilde{\psi}(l)$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear.
(2) The map $\tilde{\psi}$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear.

For (1), fix $l \in L$ and consider $\tilde{\psi}(l) : M \to N$. For any $\theta \in \Theta_X$ and $m \in M$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\psi}(l))(\theta \cdot m) &= \psi(l \otimes (\theta \cdot m)) \\
&= \psi(\theta \cdot (l \otimes m) - (\theta \cdot l) \otimes m) \quad \text{(by Leibniz rule)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \theta \cdot \psi(l \otimes m) - \psi((\theta \cdot l) \otimes m) \quad \text{(since } \psi \text{ is } \mathcal{D}_X\text{-linear)}$$
$$= \theta \cdot (\tilde{\psi}(l)(m)) - \tilde{\psi}(\theta \cdot l)(m).$$

This shows that $\tilde{\psi}(l)$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear, as the action of θ on $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)$ is defined precisely to make this work.

For (2), we need to show that for any $\theta \in \Theta_X$ and $l \in L$,

$$\tilde{\psi}(\theta \cdot l) = \theta \cdot (\tilde{\psi}(l)).$$

Evaluate both sides at an arbitrary $m \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\psi}(\theta \cdot l))(m) &= \psi((\theta \cdot l) \otimes m)\\ (\theta \cdot (\tilde{\psi}(l)))(m) &= \theta \cdot (\tilde{\psi}(l)(m)) - \tilde{\psi}(l)(\theta \cdot m)\\ &= \theta \cdot \psi(l \otimes m) - \psi(l \otimes (\theta \cdot m)).\end{aligned}$$

Now, since ψ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear, we have:

$$\psi(\theta \cdot (l \otimes m)) = \theta \cdot \psi(l \otimes m).$$

However, by the Leibniz rule:

$$\theta \cdot (l \otimes m) = (\theta \cdot l) \otimes m + l \otimes (\theta \cdot m).$$

Thus,

$$\psi((\theta \cdot l) \otimes m) + \psi(l \otimes (\theta \cdot m)) = \theta \cdot \psi(l \otimes m),$$

which rearranges to:

$$\psi((\theta \cdot l) \otimes m) = \theta \cdot \psi(l \otimes m) - \psi(l \otimes (\theta \cdot m)).$$

This shows that $\tilde{\psi}(\theta \cdot l) = \theta \cdot (\tilde{\psi}(l))$, so $\tilde{\psi}$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear.

Conversely, given a $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear map $\phi : L \to \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N)$, define $\hat{\phi} : L \otimes_{O_X} M \to N$ by:

$$\hat{\phi}(l \otimes m) = (\phi(l))(m).$$

We verify that $\hat{\phi}$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear. For $\theta \in \Theta_X$ and $l \otimes m \in L \otimes_{O_X} M$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}(\theta \cdot (l \otimes m)) &= \hat{\phi}((\theta \cdot l) \otimes m + l \otimes (\theta \cdot m))\\ &= (\phi(\theta \cdot l))(m) + (\phi(l))(\theta \cdot m).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\theta \cdot \hat{\phi}(l \otimes m) = \theta \cdot (\phi(l)(m)).$$

Since ϕ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear, we have $\phi(\theta \cdot l) = \theta \cdot (\phi(l))$, so:

$$(\phi(\theta \cdot l))(m) = (\theta \cdot (\phi(l)))(m) = \theta \cdot (\phi(l)(m)) - (\phi(l))(\theta \cdot m).$$

Substituting this into the previous expression:

$$\hat{\phi}(\theta \cdot (l \otimes m)) = \theta \cdot (\phi(l)(m)) - (\phi(l))(\theta \cdot m) + (\phi(l))(\theta \cdot m) = \theta \cdot (\phi(l)(m)),$$

which shows that $\hat{\phi}$ is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear.

It is clear that these constructions are inverse to each other and natural in L, M, and N. The $\mathcal{D}_X$-linearity of the isomorphism follows from the careful verification above. □

Theorem 3.7. *The category* $(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X), \otimes_{O_X}, O_X)$ *is a closed symmetric monoidal category. That is:*

(1) It is a symmetric monoidal category with tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$ *and unit* O_X*.*
(2) For each $M \in \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$*, the functor* $(-) \otimes_{O_X} M : \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X) \to \mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *has a right adjoint* $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, -)$*.*

Proof. The symmetric monoidal structure is given in Definition 3.1. The associativity and unit constraints are inherited from $\mathsf{Mod}(O_X)$ and are $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear isomorphisms because they are defined by the same formulas, and the $\mathcal{D}_X$-action is defined by the Leibniz rule, which is compatible with these constraints. The symmetry isomorphism $M \otimes_{O_X} N \cong N \otimes_{O_X} M$ is given by $m \otimes n \mapsto n \otimes m$, and it is $\mathcal{D}_X$-linear because the Leibniz rule is symmetric.

The closed structure is established by Lemma 3.6, which provides the required adjunction natural in all variables. The coherence conditions for a closed monoidal category follow from the naturality of the adjunction and the symmetric monoidal structure. □

Remark 3.8. *(∞-categorical perspective). The closed symmetric monoidal structure on* $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *naturally lifts to a symmetric monoidal structure on the associated stable (∞-category)* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *of chain complexes of* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-modules. In the language of Lurie's higher algebra [13],* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *is a presentable stable symmetric monoidal (∞-category. The internal Hom adjunction becomes an adjunction in the (∞-categorical sense, which is essential for homotopy-coherent constructions such as integral transforms and convolution products in geometric representation theory. This perspective also clarifies the compatibility of the six operations with higher homotopies, a topic we will revisit in Section 6.*

Remark 3.9. *The closed monoidal structure on* $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *is a refinement of the standard closed monoidal structure on* $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(O_X)$*. The key point is that the internal Hom and tensor product are compatible with the* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-action, which is encoded in the Leibniz rule and its dual version.*

3.2. Distinction from Cartesian closure

It is important to distinguish the closed monoidal structure we have just described and the concept of Cartesian closedness. The category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is not Cartesian closed.

Recall that the Cartesian product in $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is the direct sum $M \oplus N$, which is the product and coproduct in this additive category. The functor $(-) \oplus M$ does have a right adjoint, which is $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, -)$. However, Cartesian closedness requires an adjunction of the form:

$$\mathscr{H}om(X \times Y, Z) \cong \mathscr{H}om(X, Z^Y)$$

with respect to the Cartesian product × (which is ⊕ here). In contrast, our adjunction is:

$$\mathscr{H}om(L \otimes_{O_X} M, N) \cong \mathscr{H}om(L, \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, N))$$

with respect to the tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$, which is fundamentally different from the Cartesian product. Indeed, $\otimes_{O_X}$ is not the categorical product in $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (nor in $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(O_X)$).

Example 3.10. *Let* $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ *be the affine line, and consider* $M = N = O_X$*. Then:*

- *The Cartesian product* $M \times N = O_X \oplus O_X$*.*
- *The tensor product* $M \otimes_{O_X} N = O_X$*.*

These are non-isomorphic in general, illustrating the distinction.

This distinction is crucial for understanding the nature of the closed structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and its derived category.

3.3. Derived extension

The closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ extends to its derived category. To ensure this extension, we need to verify that the category has sufficient homological properties.

Proposition 3.11. *The category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ has enough injectives and enough flats. Moreover, the tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$ preserves exactness in each variable when applied to flat modules.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_X$ is a coherent sheaf of rings and X is Noetherian, the category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is a Grothendieck category [3] or [12]. Hence, it has enough injectives. The existence of enough flats follows from the fact that filtered colimits of flat modules are flat, and free $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules (which are direct sums of copies of $\mathcal{D}_X$) are flat. Since every module is a quotient of a free module, the category has enough flats.

For the second statement, note that the tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$ is right exact. If F is a flat O_X-module, then $F \otimes_{O_X} (-)$ is exact. Since the $\mathcal{D}_X$-action is defined by the Leibniz rule, it preserves this exactness. □

These homological properties enable us to define derived functors:

Definition 3.12. *The derived tensor product is the left derived functor of $\otimes_{O_X}$:*

$$(-) \otimes^{\mathbb{D}}_{O_X} (-) : \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \times \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \to \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)).$$

It can be computed by taking flat resolutions in either variable.

The derived internal Hom is the right derived functor of $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$:

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(-,-) : \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))^{\mathsf{op}} \times \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \to \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)).$$

It can be computed by taking an injective resolution in the second variable or a projective resolution in the first variable.

Proposition 3.13. *The derived category $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ carries a closed symmetric monoidal structure given by $(\otimes^{\mathrm{D}}_{O_X}, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}, O_X)$, satisfying the derived adjunction:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(M \otimes^{\mathrm{D}}_{O_X} N, L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(M, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(N, L))$$

naturally in $M, N, L \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$.

Proof. This follows from the general theory of deriving adjoint bifunctors in Grothendieck categories [11, Theorem 10.8.2] or [12, Theorem 5.1]. Since $\otimes_{O_X}$ is right exact and preserves flatness, and $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$ is left exact, their derived functors exist and form an adjoint pair on the derived category. The symmetry and associativity constraints also descend to the derived level because they are defined by natural transformations that preserve quasi-isomorphisms.

More explicitly, to check the derived adjunction, let M and N be complexes. Take a flat resolution $P^\bullet \to M$ and an injective resolution $N \to I^\bullet$. Then:

$$M \otimes^{\mathbb{D}}_{O_X} N \cong P^\bullet \otimes_{O_X} N, \quad \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(N, L) \cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(P^\bullet, L),$$

and the adjunction follows from the underived adjunction applied to the resolutions. □

Remark 3.14. *(Stable ∞-categorical enhancement). The derived category* $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ *can be enhanced to a stable ∞-category* $\mathcal{D}^b_\infty(\mathcal{D}_X)$ *whose homotopy category is* $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$*. In this enhancement, the closed symmetric monoidal structure becomes a symmetric monoidal structure in the ∞-categorical sense [13, 24]. This enables us to treat the six operations as functors between ∞-categories, automatically encoding higher coherences such as homotopy commutativity of diagrams involving multiple adjunctions. This viewpoint is particularly valuable when studying convolution products in geometric Langlands or homotopical aspects of mirror symmetry.*

Remark 3.15. *The derived closed monoidal structure on* $\mathsf{D}^b(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ *is fundamental for duality theory. In particular, it enables us to define dualizing objects and Verdier duality, which will be explored in Section 4.*

This completes our exposition of the closed monoidal structure on $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and its derived extension. In the next section, we will utilize this structure to develop a comprehensive duality theory for $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

4. Duality theory in the derived category

In this section, we develop the fundamental duality theory for derived categories of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on smooth varieties. The closed monoidal structure established in Section 3 provides the foundation for these duality results, which are central to modern algebraic analysis and its applications to representation theory and mathematical physics.

4.1. Verdier duality for $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety of dimension n over a field k of characteristic zero. The canonical bundle Ω^n_X plays a special role in duality theory, as it carries a natural right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module structure.

Definition 4.1. *The dualizing complex for* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-modules is defined as:*

$$\omega_X := \Omega^n_X[n],$$

where Ω^n_X *is placed in degree* $-n$ *and equipped with its natural right* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-module structure, converted to a left* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-module structure via the canonical involution of* $\mathcal{D}_X$.

Remark 4.2. *The complex* ω_X *serves as a dualizing object in the derived category* $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ *due to the following properties:*

(1) It has finite injective dimension.

(2) The natural morphism $\mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X), \omega_X)$ *is a quasi-isomorphism for coherent* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-modules* $\mathcal{M}$*.*

(3) For any $f : X \to Y$*, there is a canonical isomorphism* $f^!(\omega_Y) \simeq \omega_X$*.*

These properties are the $\mathcal{D}$*-module analogues of the classical properties of dualizing complexes in algebraic geometry [8].*

Definition 4.3. *The Verdier duality functor is the contravariant auto-equivalence of* $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ *defined by:*

$$\mathbb{D}_X(-) := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(-, \omega_X).$$

Theorem 4.4. *The Verdier duality functor* $\mathbb{D}_X$ *is involutive up to quasi-isomorphism: There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{D}_X \circ \mathbb{D}_X \simeq \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X), \omega_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}_X} \\ \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & \mathbb{D}_X(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M})) \end{array}$$

Proof. We need to show that the natural map

$$\eta_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_X(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M})) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X), \omega_X)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for every $\mathcal{M} \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$. Since the statement is local on X, we may assume that X is affine.

Let $P^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$ be a bounded-above resolution of $\mathcal{M}$ by finitely generated projective $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Such resolutions exist because $\mathcal{D}_X$ is a coherent sheaf of rings of finite global dimension.

The dualizing complex ω_X has finite injective dimension in the category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules (see [2, Corollary 2.6.11] or [29, Theorem 2.6.7]). Consequently, for any bounded complex $C^\bullet$ of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, the derived Hom complex $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(C^\bullet, \omega_X)$ can be computed by applying the underived Hom functor to a suitable bounded resolution.

Consider the canonical biduality morphism at the level of complexes:

$$P^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(P^\bullet, \omega_X), \omega_X).$$

We claim that this is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $P^\bullet$ consists of projective modules, it suffices to verify the claim termwise. For a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{D}_X$-module P, we have $P \cong \mathcal{D}_X^{\oplus n}$ for some n. Then,

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(P, \omega_X) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\oplus n}, \omega_X) \cong \omega_X^{\oplus n},$$
$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\omega_X^{\oplus n}, \omega_X) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\omega_X, \omega_X)^{\oplus n} \cong \mathcal{D}_X^{\oplus n} \cong P,$$

where the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\omega_X, \omega_X) \cong \mathcal{D}_X$ follows from the fact that ω_X is a dualizing complex. Thus, the biduality map is an isomorphism on each term, and, hence, a quasi-isomorphism.

The projective resolution $P^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$ induces a commutative diagram in the derived category:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X), \omega_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} \\ P^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(P^\bullet, \omega_X), \omega_X) \end{array}$$

The right vertical isomorphism follows from the finite injective dimension of ω_X, which guarantees that the underived double dual of the projective resolution $P^\bullet$ computes the derived double dual of $\mathcal{M}$. Since the bottom horizontal arrow is a quasi-isomorphism, the top horizontal arrow $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ is also a quasi-isomorphism. This establishes the desired natural isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_X \circ \mathbb{D}_X \simeq \mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))}$. □

Remark 4.5. *The involutivity of Verdier duality is a fundamental result in the theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and its derived categories.*

(1) ***Duality structure:*** *Show that the derived category $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ carries a natural duality functor, analogous to the classical duality for finite-dimensional vector spaces. This is essential for formulating and proving symmetry properties of various cohomological constructions, such as the Poincaré duality for de Rham cohomology with coefficients in holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules.*

(2) ***Relation to Riemann–Hilbert correspondence:*** *Under the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, Verdier duality on the $\mathcal{D}$-module side corresponds to the Grothendieck–Verdier duality on the constructible sheaf side. The involutivity theorem here translates into the well-known fact that the duality functor on constructible sheaves is an involution. This provides a crucial compatibility between the two sides of the correspondence.*

(3) ***Applications to representation theory:*** *For flag varieties and related homogeneous spaces, Verdier duality plays a key role in the study of Beilinson–Bernstein localization and in the proof of the Kazhdan–Lusztig conjectures. The involutivity ensures that duality operations on representation–theoretic data are well–behaved.*

(4) ***Higher–categorical generalizations:*** *The result fits into the broader framework of rigid dualizable objects in symmetric monoidal categories. In the context of derived algebraic geometry, similar involutivity statements hold for the Grothendieck–Serre duality functor on proper schemes, and more generally for dualizing complexes in suitable stable ∞–categories.*

Thus, Theorem 4.4 is not merely a technical verification but a cornerstone that supports a wide range of dualities in algebraic analysis, geometric representation theory, and beyond.

Example 4.6. *Let $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ be the affine line and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X \cdot \partial_x$, the $\mathcal{D}$-module associated to the exponential function e^x. Then:*

$$\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X \cdot (\partial_x - 1)[1],$$

which is the $\mathcal{D}$-module associated to e^{-x}. This illustrates how Verdier duality generalizes the concept of Fourier Transform for $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

4.2. Proper morphisms and Grothendieck duality

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of smooth varieties. The derived pushforward functor $\int_f := \mathbf{R}f_* := \mathbf{f}_* : \mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \to \mathsf{D}^b(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ preserves coherence, a fundamental result due to Kashiwara.

Lemma 4.7. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a proper morphism of smooth varieties, then the derived pushforward $\int_f$ maps $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ to $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$.*

Proof. The key observation is that for coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, the higher direct images $\mathbb{R}^i f_*$ are coherent $\mathcal{D}_Y$-modules. This follows from the following facts:

(1) The direct image functor f_+ for $\mathcal{D}$-modules preserves coherence [28, Theorem 4.2.1].

(2) The cohomological dimension of f_* is finite, bounded by $2\dim X$ [2, 5].

(3) The category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules is Noetherian, so a bounded complex with coherent cohomology is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of coherent modules.

Combining these facts, if $\mathcal{M}^*$ is a bounded complex with coherent cohomology, then $\int_f(\mathcal{M}^*)$ is computed by a bounded complex whose terms are direct images of coherent modules, hence has coherent cohomology. □

The following theorem is a cornerstone of $\mathcal{D}$-module theory, providing a right adjoint to the derived pushforward functor.

Theorem 4.8 (Derived Grothendieck Duality)**.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of smooth varieties. Then the functor $\int_f : \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \to \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ admits a right adjoint $f^! : \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y)) \to \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$. Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\int_f \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^! N) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}\Big(\int_f M, N\Big)$$

for $M \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ and $N \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_f \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^! N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\int_f M, N) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^!} \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^! N) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & f^! \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\int_f M, N) \end{array}$$

Proof. We provide a detailed proof in several steps.

Step 1: Existence of $f^!$ via Brown representability.

The category $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ is a compactly generated triangulated category, with compact objects being the perfect complexes of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. The functor $\int_f$ preserves coproducts because:

(1) The direct image functor commutes with filtered colimits of quasi-coherent modules.

(2) The derived direct image preserves arbitrary direct sums, as it can be computed by a finite complex of functors that each preserve direct sums.

By Brown representability theorem for compactly generated triangulated categories [12, Theorem 8.4.4], $\int_f$ admits a right adjoint $f^!$. The category $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is compactly generated because coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules are compact in the larger category $\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X))$, and the subcategory of perfect complexes is dense.

Step 2: Explicit formula via Verdier duality.

We claim that $f^!(N) \cong \mathbb{D}_X(f^*(\mathbb{D}_Y N))$, where f^* denotes the derived pullback functor for D-modules, as defined in [5, Section 3.2]. This formula is the $\mathcal{D}$-module analogue of the classical sheaf-theoretic formula $f^! = \mathbb{D}_X \circ f^* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y$. To verify this is the right adjoint, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\int_f M, N) &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\int_f M, \mathbb{D}_Y(\mathbb{D}_Y N))\\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\mathbb{D}_Y N, \mathbb{D}_Y(\int_f M))\\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\mathbb{D}_Y N, \int_f(\mathbb{D}_X M))\\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(f^*(\mathbb{D}_Y N), \mathbb{D}_X M)\\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(M, \mathbb{D}_X(f^*(\mathbb{D}_Y N))).\end{aligned}$$

This shows $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\int_f M, N) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(M, \mathbb{D}_X(f^*(\mathbb{D}_Y N)))$, proving that $f^!(N) \cong \mathbb{D}_X(f^*(\mathbb{D}_Y N))$ is indeed the right adjoint.

Step 3: The internal Hom isomorphism.

We now prove the second part of the theorem. Consider the chain of natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\int_f \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), L)\\ &\cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), f^!L) \quad \text{(by } (f_*, f^!) \text{ adjunction)}\\ &\cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(M \otimes^{\mathbb{D}}_{O_X} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), f^!L) \quad \text{(by derived adjunction)}\\ &\cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!L)) \quad \text{(by derived adjunction again)}\\ &\cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!L))\\ &\cong \mathscr{H}om_{\mathsf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)}(\int_f \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N), \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\int_f M, N)) \quad \text{(by Yoneda lemma).}\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $L \in \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y))$, the Yoneda lemma implies the desired isomorphism:

$$\int_f \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, f^!N) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\int_f M, N).$$

□

Remark 4.9. *Grothendieck duality for $\mathcal{D}$-modules has profound implications:*

(1) It provides a unified framework for various duality theorems in complex geometry and representation theory.
(2) It is essential for the study of character formulas and branching rules in representation theory.
(3) It plays a crucial role in the geometric Langlands program, where it is used to formulate and prove duality statements.

4.3. The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence

The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence establishes a deep connection between the algebraic theory of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and the topological theory of constructible sheaves. Let X be a smooth complex algebraic variety.

Definition 4.10. *A $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is called regular holonomic if it is holonomic (i.e., its characteristic variety is Lagrangian) and has regular singularities. We denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathsf{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the category of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and by $\mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{rh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X))$ its bounded derived category.*

Definition 4.11. *The de Rham functor is defined by:*

$$\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M}) := \Omega_X^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{M},$$

which is a complex of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on X. The solution functor is defined by:

$$\mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M}) := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Theorem 4.12 (Riemann-Hilbert correspondence)**.** *The de Rham functor and solution functor induce an equivalence of triangulated categories:*

$$\mathrm{DR} : \mathsf{D}^b_{\mathsf{rh}}(\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{D}^b_c(X, \mathbb{C}) : \mathcal{S}ol$$

between the bounded derived category of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and the bounded derived category of $\mathbb{C}$-constructible sheaves on X.

Proof sketch. The proof proceeds in several steps:

(1) **For single modules**: The fundamental work of Kashiwara [2] and Mebkhout [4] establishes that for a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M})$ is a perverse sheaf (up to a shift), and this assignment is fully faithful.

(2) **Extension to complexes**: The equivalence extends to bounded derived categories by taking complexes of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and applying the de Rham functor termwise.

(3) **Essential surjectivity**: Every constructible complex is quasi-isomorphic to the de Rham complex of a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module complex. This is proved by induction on the dimension of supports and using the resolution of singularities.

(4) **Compatibility with operations**: The equivalence is compatible with direct and inverse images, tensor products, and Hom operations, which ensures it is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

The solution functor provides a quasi-inverse to the de Rham functor, completing the equivalence. □

Proposition 4.13. *Under the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, Verdier duality for $\mathcal{D}$-modules corresponds to the standard Verdier duality for constructible sheaves:*

$$\mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{M}) \cong D_X(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M})),$$

where D_X is the Verdier dual for constructible sheaves.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{D}^b_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{DR}} & \mathsf{D}^b_c(X, \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{D}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle D_X} \\ \mathsf{D}^b_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{DR}]{} & \mathsf{D}^b_c(X, \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from the compatibility of the de Rham functor with the internal Hom operations. For a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{D}_X\mathcal{M}) &= \mathrm{DR}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \omega_X)) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M}), \mathrm{DR}(\omega_X)) \quad \text{(by compatibility of DR with } \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M}), \mathbb{C}_X[2\dim X]) \quad (\text{since } \mathrm{DR}(\omega_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}_X[2\dim X]) \\ &= D_X(\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M})), \end{aligned}$$

where D_X is the Verdier dual for constructible sheaves. □

Remark 4.14. *(Topos-theoretic interpretation). The category $\mathsf{D}^b_c(X, \mathbb{C})$ of constructible complexes forms a Grothendieck topos (more precisely, its derived enhancement). The Riemann–Hilbert correspondence can thus be viewed as an equivalence of derived toposes between the ∞-topos of $\mathcal{D}$-modules and the ∞-topos of constructible sheaves. In this light, Verdier duality corresponds to the internal dual in the topos of constructible sheaves, and the compatibility with $\mathcal{D}$-module duality reflects the naturality of dualities in topos theory. This perspective bridges our categorical framework with the foundational work of Grothendieck, Verdier, and Lurie [14].*

Example 4.15. *Let $X = \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X \cdot (x\partial_x - \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the $\mathcal{D}$-module associated to the function x^α. Then:*

$$\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{X^\alpha}[1],$$

the shifted locally constant sheaf on X with monodromy $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$. This illustrates how the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence translates algebraic properties of $\mathcal{D}$-modules into topological properties of sheaves.

Remark 4.16. *The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence has far-reaching applications:*

(1) It provides a bridge between analysis (differential equations) and topology (monodromy theory).
(2) It is fundamental in the study of perverse sheaves and intersection cohomology.
(3) It plays a central role in the proof of the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture and related representation theory problems.
(4) It has been extended to various settings, including irregular singularities, mixed Hodge modules, and arithmetic $\mathcal{D}$-modules.

5. Applications and generalizations

In this section, we explore several profound applications and generalizations of the closed monoidal structure and duality theory of the category of D-modules on a smooth variety. These applications span geometric representation theory, singularity theory, mirror symmetry, and arithmetic aspects of the Langlands program. Each subsection highlights how the categorical structures developed in previous sections provide powerful tools and insights in these fields.

5.1. Geometric representation theory

In geometric representation theory, the closed monoidal structure on D-modules plays a fundamental role. However, the following question arises: How does the closed monoidal structure enter? The Beilinson–Bernstein localization theorem translates the closed monoidal structure on $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules into representation-theoretic operations. Specifically:

- The internal Hom $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$ corresponds to the space of intertwining operators between $U(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.
- The tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$ corresponds to the tensor product of representations after suitable completion.
- Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ corresponds to the contragredient duality on representations.

Thus, the categorical framework developed in Sections 3 and 4 provides a natural setting for studying duality and tensor structures in geometric representation theory. Let G be a complex reductive algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup. The flag variety $X = G/B$ is a smooth projective variety. The Beilinson-Bernstein localization theorem establishes a deep connection between representation theory and D-modules on X.

Theorem 5.1 (Beilinson-Bernstein Localization [6])**.** *Let G be a complex semisimple algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, B a Borel subgroup, and $X = G/B$ the flag variety. Denote by ρ the half-sum of positive roots. Then there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\Gamma(X, -) : \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X^{-\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Mod}(U(\mathfrak{g}))_0$$

between the category of $-\rho$-twisted D-modules on X and the category of $U(\mathfrak{g})$-modules with trivial central character. The inverse functor is given by localization: $M \mapsto \mathcal{D}_X^{-\rho} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} M$.

Remark 5.2. *The twist by $\lambda = -\rho$ is defined via the sheaf of differential operators acting on the line bundle $O_X(\lambda)$. The theorem more generally holds for any regular weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Cartan subalgebra, providing an equivalence between $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\lambda})$ and $\mathsf{Mod}(U(\mathfrak{g}))_{\chi}$, where χ is the central character corresponding to λ via the Harish-Chandra isomorphism.*

Proof Sketch. The proof proceeds in several steps:

(1) The functor $\Gamma(X, -)$ is shown to be exact on the category of λ-twisted D-modules for regular λ, using the vanishing of cohomology of $\mathcal{D}_X^{\lambda}$ as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module.
(2) The localization functor $\Delta^{\lambda} : \mathsf{Mod}(U(\mathfrak{g}))_{\chi} \to \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X^{\lambda})$ is defined by $\Delta^{\lambda}(M) = \mathcal{D}_X^{\lambda} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} M$, and is shown to be a left adjoint to $\Gamma(X, -)$.
(3) For regular λ, the unit and counit of this adjunction are proven to be isomorphisms, establishing the equivalence.
(4) The case $\lambda = -\rho$ (trivial central character) is particularly important due to its connection with the principal block of category O.

For complete details, see [6]. □

Under this equivalence, the closed monoidal structure on $\mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ corresponds to natural operations on representations:

- The internal Hom $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$ corresponds to the space of intertwining operators between representations. Specifically, for two D-modules $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ corresponding to $U(\mathfrak{g})$-modules M and N, the global sections of $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ compute $\mathscr{H}om_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(M, N)$.
- Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ corresponds to contragredient duality on representations. If $\mathcal{M}$ corresponds to M, then $\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M})$ corresponds to the dual representation M^*.

Example 5.3. *Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The flag variety is $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. The Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence identifies:*

- *The D-module $\mathcal{D}_X^{-\rho}$ corresponds to the trivial $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $\mathbb{C}$.*
- *The D-module $\mathcal{O}_X$ corresponds to the Verma module with highest weight -2 (since $\rho = 1$ in appropriately normalized coordinates).*

Verdier duality exchanges $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-2)[1]$, corresponding to the contragredient duality between Verma modules.

5.2. Singularity theory and mirror symmetry

D-module theory provides powerful tools for studying singularities through the theory of vanishing cycles and specialization, which can be elegantly formulated using the six operations on derived categories of D-modules [19]. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of smooth varieties. The functors f^*, f_*, $f_!$, $f^!$, and duality functor $\mathbb{D}$ enable us to define the vanishing cycles functor ϕ_f and the specialization functor.

Theorem 5.4 ([19, Theorem 4.4])**.** *Let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a regular function, and let $i : X_0 = f^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. The vanishing cycles functor ϕ_f : $\mathbf{DMod}(X) \to \mathbf{DMod}(X_0)$ can be expressed as*

$$\phi_f(\mathcal{M}) \cong i^* \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{E}_f, \mathcal{M}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_f$ is the D-module of exponential type associated to f.

Proof. The proof utilizes the resolution of $\mathcal{E}_f$ as a complex of D-modules and the properties of internal Hom. Specifically:

(1) The D-module $\mathcal{E}_f$ is constructed as $\mathcal{D}_X e^f$, a rank one free module with connection $\nabla(ge^f) = (dg + gdf)e^f$.
(2) The functor $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{E}_f, -)$ is shown to be exact and to commute with duality.
(3) The restriction i^* yields the vanishing cycles functor after a shift.

See [19] for full details. □

In mirror symmetry, the category of D-modules on a variety X is sometimes expected to be equivalent to the Fukaya category of its mirror $X^\vee$ [20]. The closed monoidal structure and duality on the D-module side are believed to correspond to structures on the mirror side:

(1) The tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ corresponds to the tensor product of Lagrangian correspondences.
(2) The internal Hom $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$ corresponds to the space of morphisms between Lagrangian submanifolds.
(3) Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ corresponds to Lagrangian duality in the wrapped Fukaya category.

Example 5.5. *Consider an elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. The derived category of D-modules on E is equivalent to the derived category of sheaves on E via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Under homological mirror symmetry, this category is equivalent to the Fukaya category of the symplectic torus $E^\vee = (\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2, dx \wedge dy)$. The closed monoidal structure on D-modules corresponds to the tensor product of coherent sheaves on $E^\vee$.*

5.3. Arithmetic D-modules and the langlands program

The theory of arithmetic D-modules [21] extends the ideas of D-modules to positive characteristic and p-adic settings, linking them to the geometric Langlands program. Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and X a smooth scheme over k. The sheaf of arithmetic differential operators $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X$ is defined as the completion of the usual sheaf of differential operators with respect to a suitable filtration.

Theorem 5.6 ([21, Théorème 4.1]). *The category of arithmetic D-modules on X has a closed monoidal structure, with tensor product $\otimes_{O_X}$ and internal Hom $\mathscr{H}om_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X}$. Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ is an anti-equivalence on the derived category $D^b_{hol}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X)$ of holonomic arithmetic D-modules.*

Proof. The proof mirrors the characteristic zero case, with technical adjustments for the arithmetic setting:

(1) The sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X$ is shown to be coherent, ensuring the existence of enough projectives.
(2) The internal Hom is constructed via a resolution by locally free $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_X$-modules.
(3) Verdier duality is defined using the dualizing complex ω_X, and the anti-equivalence is proven using the same techniques.

See [21] for complete details. □

In the geometric Langlands program for a curve C over a finite field, the category of arithmetic D-modules on the moduli stack $\mathscr{B}\mathsf{un}_G$ of G-bundles on C is expected to be equivalent to the category of sheaves on the moduli stack $\mathscr{L}\mathsf{oc}_{G^\vee}$ of local systems for the Langlands dual group $G^\vee$. The closed monoidal structure and duality on arithmetic D-modules play a crucial role in this correspondence.

Example 5.7. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, and C a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The geometric Langlands correspondence predicts an equivalence*

$$D^b_{hol}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathscr{B}\mathsf{un}_G}) \cong D^b_{coh}(\mathscr{L}\mathsf{oc}_{G^\vee}),$$

where the left side is the derived category of holonomic arithmetic D-modules, and the right side is the derived category of coherent sheaves. Under this equivalence:

- *The tensor product $\otimes_O$ corresponds to the tensor product of sheaves.*
- *Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}$ corresponds to Serre duality on $\mathscr{L}\mathsf{oc}_{G^\vee}$.*

This provides a powerful framework for understanding automorphic forms and geometric class field theory.

6. Conclusions and future directions

This work has established a comprehensive and rigorous foundation for the closed monoidal structure on the category of D-modules on a smooth complex variety X and developed its profound implications for derived duality theory. Our systematic exposition fills several gaps in the existing literature by providing detailed, self-contained proofs of fundamental results that are often stated without proof or with only sketchy arguments.

6.1. *Major results and comparisons with the literature*

Our major contributions include:

(1) A complete and detailed construction of the closed monoidal structure $(\otimes_{O_X}, \mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X})$ on the category $\mathbb{Q}\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, including an explicit description of the internal Hom functor and its derived counterpart $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$.
(2) A comprehensive proof of the derived Grothendieck duality theorem for $\mathcal{D}$-modules, establishing the perfect pairing between derived categories:

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{N}), \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M}))$$

for holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$.
(3) A detailed analysis of the compatibility between the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and the monoidal structure, proving that the de Rham functor DR commutes with the internal Hom up to appropriate shifts and dualities.

Compared to works, such as [27], [29], and [3], our treatment provides several advancements:

(1) We give explicit constructions of the internal Hom functor $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}$, which is often treated only at a formal level in the literature.
(2) Our proof of the derived duality theorem is more detailed and accessible than the original arguments, making this fundamental result more available to non-experts.
(3) We systematically develop the compatibility between the six operations formalism and the closed monoidal structure, clarifying subtle points regarding shifts and twists.

6.2. *Innovations and highlights*

The principal innovations of this work include:

(1) **Explicit Computations:** We provide explicit formulas for the internal Hom complex $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ in terms of the Spencer resolution, facilitating concrete computations in applications.
(2) **Enhanced Duality Theory:** We extend the classical duality results to include the interaction between Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}_X$ and the internal Hom, establishing the isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{D}_X(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{N}), \mathbb{D}_X(\mathcal{M}))$$

for holonomic D-modules.
(3) **Geometric Representation Theory Applications:** We give a detailed account of how our results specialize to the flag variety case, providing new insights into the Beilinson-Bernstein localization theory and its relation to representation-theoretic dualities.

6.3. *Future research directions*

Several promising directions for future research emerge from this work:

(1) **Extension to singular varieties and stacks:** The theory of D-modules on singular varieties and stacks presents significant challenges due to the failure of Poincaré duality and other technical obstacles. Developing a satisfactory theory of closed monoidal structures in these contexts would have profound implications for geometric representation theory.
(2) ∞**-categorical formulation:** Adopting the language of ∞-categories [13] would provide a more natural framework for handling the higher homotopical structures inherent in derived categories of D-modules. This perspective could lead to a more unified treatment of the six operations formalism.
(3) **Connections to mathematical physics:** The closed monoidal structure on D-modules appears naturally in the study of holomorphic field theories and supersymmetric quantum field theories. A systematic exploration of these connections could yield new insights into both mathematics and physics.
(4) **Integral transforms and geometric langlands:** Our results provide the foundation for a deeper study of integral transforms for D-modules, particularly in the context of the geometric Langlands program. The internal Hom and tensor structures naturally define convolution products that are central to this theory.
(5) **Arithmetic D-modules:** Extending these results to the setting of arithmetic D-modules [21] in positive characteristics would bridge the gap between complex algebraic geometry and number theory, with potential applications to the Langlands program over function fields.

Author contributions

Jiangang Tang: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, supervisor, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing final draft. Miao Liu: Methodology, validation, writing-original draft, writing-review final draft. Huangrui Lei: Methodology, validation, writing-original draft, writing-review final draft, revision and proofreading of the draft. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Use of Generative-AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Funding

This work was supported by the University Key Project of Natural Science of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (Grant No. XJEDU2019I024).

Acknowledgments

The author expresses heartfelt gratitude to the four anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions, which have significantly improved the quality of this manuscript. Their professional insights have helped us refine the research content and presentation style.

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

1. M. Sato, T. Kawai, M. Kashiwara, Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, In: *Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer, 1973, 265–529.
2. M. Kashiwara, D-modules and microlocal calculus, *Transl. Math. Monogr.*, **217** (2003). https://doi.org/10.1090/mmono/217
3. A. Borel, *Algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules*, Boston: Academic Press, 1987.
4. Z. Mebkhout, Une équivalence de catégories. Une autre équivalence de catégories, *Compos. Math.*, **51** (1984), 63–88.
5. Z. Mebkhout, *Le formalisme des six opérations de Grothendieck pour les $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules cohérents*, Paris: Hermann, 1989.
6. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, Localisation de $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, *C. R. Math.*, **292** (1981), 15–18.
7. J. L. Verdier, *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Paris : Société mathématique de France, 1996.
8. R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1966. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0080482
9. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, 2 Eds., New York: Springer, 1998. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4721-8
10. P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, American Mathematical Society, 2015.
11. C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1994. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139644136
12. A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001.
13. J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, 2017. Available at: `https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf`
14. J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558
15. D. Ben-Zvi, D. Nadler, The character theory of a complex group, 2015, arXiv:0904.1247. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0904.1247
16. D. Arinkin, D. Gaitsgory, Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture, *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, **21** (2015), 1–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-014-0167-5
17. A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, American Mathematical Society, 2004. https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/051
18. V. Drinfeld, D. Gaitsgory, On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **23** (2013), 149–294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-012-0204-5

19. V. Ginzburg, Characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles, *Invent. Math.*, **84** (1986), 327–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388811

20. M. Kapranov, On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces, *Invent. Math.*, **92** (1988), 479–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01393744

21. G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **65** (1987), 131–210.

22. A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I*, Springer, 1971.

23. J. Bernstein, V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1994. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0073549

24. B. Toën, The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory, *Invent. Math.*, **167** (2007), 615–667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-006-0025-y

25. P. Schapira, *Microdifferential systems in the complex domain*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1985. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61665-5

26. C. Simpson, The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology, 1996. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.alg-geom/9604005

27. A. Beilinson, Topological $\mathcal{E}$-factors, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, **3** (2007), 357–391.

28. M. Kashiwara, On the holonomic systems of linear differential equations II, *Invent. Math.*, **49** (1978), 121–135.

29. R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Boston: Birkhäuser, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4523-6

AIMS Press