



Research article

Weighted composition operators on α -Bloch-Orlicz spaces over the unit polydisc

Fuya Hu¹, Chengshi Huang² and Zhijie Jiang^{3,4,*}

¹ School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Neijiang Normal University, Neijiang 641100, China

² School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong, Sichuan 643000, China

⁴ South Sichuan Center for Applied Mathematics, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong, Sichuan 643000, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: matjzj@126.com.

Abstract: Let \mathbb{U}^n be the unit polydisc in the complex vector space \mathbb{C}^n . We defined the α -Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U}^n by using Young's function and showed that its norm is equivalent with a special μ -Bloch space. We also characterized the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator on α -Bloch-Orlicz space. Our results generalized the corresponding results on the unit disk.

Keywords: weighted composition operator; boundedness; compactness; α -Bloch-Orlicz space; polydisc

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B33, 47B37, 47B38

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{U} be the unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $\mathbb{U}^n = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the unit polydisc in the complex vector space \mathbb{C}^n , and $\partial\mathbb{U}^n = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the distinguished boundary of \mathbb{U}^n . Let $H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ be the space of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{U}^n and $H^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n)$ the space of all bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{U}^n (see, for example, [12, 14, 20]).

Let $\psi \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)$ be a holomorphic self-mapping of \mathbb{U}^n . The *weighted composition operator* $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ on some subspaces of $H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is defined by

$$W_{\psi, \varphi}f(z) = \psi(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^n.$$

If $\psi(z) \equiv 1$ on \mathbb{U}^n , the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is reduced to the composition operator C_φ , while if $\varphi(z) = z$, it is reduced to the multiplication operator M_ψ . The theory of the (weighted) composition operators on various spaces has quite a long and rich history (we will give some concrete studies. For example, see [1–3] or [5] for the studies of composition operators; see [7, 11, 17] from one space to Bloch type spaces; see [8, 9, 24] from one space to weighted spaces or Bloch spaces; see [28–30] for one on Hardy spaces, Zygmund-Orlicz spaces, or logarithmic Bloch-Orlicz spaces).

Recall that for $\alpha > 0$, the α -Bloch space on \mathbb{U}^n denoted by $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(\mathbb{U}^n)$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| < +\infty.$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha(\mathbb{U}^n)} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\alpha$. For this and related spaces and operators on them, see, for example, [4, 19, 21] and the references therein.

A positive continuous function on \mathbb{U} is called *weight*. Let μ be a weight. The μ -Bloch space on \mathbb{U}^n denoted by $\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{U}^n)$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_\mu = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| < +\infty.$$

It is a Banach space endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{U}^n)} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\mu$. Clearly, the μ -Bloch space is a natural generalization of the α -Bloch space (see [22, 23, 25, 27] for other Bloch type spaces, and see [10, 13] for α -Bloch space). For some information on this space, also see [18].

As an important closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{U}^n)$, the little μ -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,0}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| = 0.$$

In order to introduce the α -Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U}^n , we explain here the Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U} , which was introduced by Ramos Fernández in [18]. More precisely, let ϕ be a Young's function, that is, ϕ is a strictly increasing convex function on the interval $[0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(t) = +\infty.$$

Since $\phi(0) = 0$, from the convexity of ϕ , it clearly follows that $\phi(st) \leq s\phi(t)$ for $0 < s < 1$ and $t > 0$.

The Bloch-Orlicz space denoted by $\mathcal{B}_\phi(\mathbb{U})$ consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{U})$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \phi(\lambda |f'(z)|) < +\infty$$

for some positive λ depending on f . The Minkowski's functional

$$\|f\|_\phi = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : S_\phi\left(\frac{f'}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

defines a semi-norm for $\mathcal{B}_\phi(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$S_\phi(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \phi(|f'(z)|).$$

$\mathcal{B}_\phi(\mathbb{U})$ becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\phi(\mathbb{U})} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\phi.$$

Motivated by the Bloch-Orlicz space, the α -Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U} denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U})$ was introduced by Liang in [15]. Since \mathbb{U}^n is an important bounded symmetric domain of \mathbb{C}^n , it is natural to define a similar space on the domain and study some concrete operators on it.

The abovementioned facts motivate us to define the α -Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U}^n . The space consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\lambda \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|\right) < +\infty$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ depending on f , and it is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Since ϕ is convex, it is easy to see that the Minkowski's functional

$$\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

defines a semi-norm on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, which is known as Luxemburg's semi-norm, where

$$S_{\phi,\alpha}(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|\right).$$

It can be easily proved that $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}.$$

Observe that when $\phi(t) = t$ with $t \geq 0$, we get back the α -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_\alpha(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Furthermore, from [18] we can suppose that ϕ^{-1} is continuously differentiable on $[0, +\infty)$.

In this paper, we mainly study the boundedness and compactness of the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. It can be regarded as a continuation of the investigation of concrete operators on these spaces.

Throughout the paper, we will write $W_{\psi,\varphi}f$ instead of $W_{\psi,\varphi}(f)$. The letter C will denote a positive constant, and the exact value may vary in each case. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there is a constant $C > 0$, such that $a \leq Cb$. When $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, we write $a \asymp b$.

2. Preliminary results

First, we obtain the following result, which is similar to the corresponding result in [18].

Lemma 2.1. *For each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that*

$$S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}\right) \leq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, for each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, the following holds:

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha}\right) \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, by the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{\lambda_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda_i}\right) \leq 1$, such that $\lambda_i \rightarrow \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since the function ϕ is increasing, we get

$$S_i := S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda_i}\right) \leq S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}\right) := S. \quad (2.3)$$

From the monotonicity of ϕ and (2.3), we obtain that $\{S_i\}$ is bounded and increasing. Hence, there is a real number S_0 such that

$$S_0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} S_i = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i.$$

By (2.3) and $S_i \leq 1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $S_0 \leq S$ and $S_0 \leq 1$. So, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$S_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\frac{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)|}{\lambda_i}\right) \leq S_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4), we obtain

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\frac{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)|}{\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}\right) \leq S_0, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$. Consequently, we obtain that $S = S_0$, and then $S \leq 1$. From this, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\frac{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)|}{\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}\right) \leq 1.$$

Then, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)|}{\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{(1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha}. \quad (2.6)$$

Since ϕ is the strictly increasing convex function, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)\right| \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha}\right) \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}. \quad (2.7)$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

For the convenience, we write

$$\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}\right)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Noting that

$$f(z) - f(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(tz) dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 z_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_k}(tz) dt,$$

from Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\alpha > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, then for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, it follows that

$$|f(z)| \leq \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{|z_k|} \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt\right) \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}. \quad (2.8)$$

We also have the following result, which is similar to that in [18].

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then, $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) = \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, where $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ is the special $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}$ -Bloch space with the weight $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}$. Moreover, for each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, it follows that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{U}^n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq n \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha},$$

which implies that $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \leq n \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq \|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, that is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1-|z_k|^2)^\alpha}\right)} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq \|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{\|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}} \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1-|z_k|^2)^\alpha}\right).$$

From this, we get

$$(1-|z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi\left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{\|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}}\right) \leq 1.$$

From this, and since $\phi\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\phi(s)$ for $s \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it easily follows that

$$S_{\phi,\alpha}\left(\frac{f}{n\|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}}\right) \leq 1.$$

This shows that $\|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \leq n\|f\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}$, and then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \leq n\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \quad (2.10)$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.

From the above proof, (2.9), and (2.10), we obtain that $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) = \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \asymp \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The following result is a version of [6, Lemma 3.1]. For the completeness, we give its proof.

Lemma 2.3. For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{U}$, there exists a function $f_{a,\alpha} \in H(\mathbb{U})$ such that

$$\phi(|f_{a,\alpha}(z)|) = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^2} \right)^\alpha. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. We set

$$u(z) = \phi^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^2} \right)^\alpha \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Therefore, u is a real and continuously differentiable function in the sense that its partial derivatives exist and are continuous on \mathbb{U} . Furthermore, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, the function u satisfies

$$u(z) \geq \phi^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^\alpha (1 - |a|^2)^\alpha \right) > 0.$$

Now, we let $f_{a,\alpha}(z) = u(z)e^{iv(z)}$, where v is a real function defined on \mathbb{U} . In the order that $f_{a,\alpha}$ is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{U} , then its real part and its imaginary part must satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations, that is,

$$\begin{cases} u_x \cos(v) - u \sin(v)v_x = u_y \sin(v) + u \cos(v)v_y, \\ u_y \cos(v) - u \sin(v)v_y = -u_x \sin(v) - u \cos(v)v_x. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

It is easy to see that if

$$uv_x = -u_y \quad \text{and} \quad uv_y = u_x, \quad (2.13)$$

then (2.12) holds. To find a function v that satisfies (2.13), we define

$$v(x, y) = - \int_0^x \frac{1}{u(s, y)} \frac{\partial u(s, y)}{\partial y} ds + h(y),$$

where h is a real function that satisfies

$$h'(y) = \frac{1}{u(x, y)} \frac{\partial u(x, y)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \int_0^x \frac{1}{u(s, y)} \frac{\partial u(s, y)}{\partial y} ds \right\}.$$

Then, by a computation, we see that v satisfies (2.13). This completes the proof. \square

Using the function $f_{a,\alpha}$, we can construct some special functions in the space $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.

Lemma 2.4. For the fixed $a \in \mathbb{U}$, the function

$$g_{a,\alpha}(z) = \int_0^{z_l} f_{a,\alpha}(t) dt \quad (2.14)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, $\|g_{a,\alpha}\|_{\phi,\alpha} = 1$.

Proof. It is clear that $g_{a,\alpha}$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{U}^n . The result holds due to the following equality:

$$S_{\phi,\alpha}(g_{a,\alpha}) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} (1 - |z_l|^2)^\alpha \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}z_l|^2} \right)^\alpha = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} (1 - |\sigma_a(z_l)|^2)^\alpha = 1, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\sigma_a(w) = \frac{a - w}{1 - \bar{a}w} \quad (2.16)$$

is the automorphism of \mathbb{U} . From (2.15), we obtain that $\|g_{a,\alpha}\|_{\phi,\alpha} = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

The following result characterizes the compactness of the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$. The proof is standard, so it is omitted (see Proposition 3.11 in [5] or Theorem 3.1 in [16]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\alpha > 0$. Then, the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if, for each bounded sequence $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that $\{f_i\} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{U}^n as $i \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|W_{\psi,\varphi} f_i\|_{\phi,\alpha} = 0$.*

3. Main results and proofs

In this section, we assume that the function $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$c_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt < +\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

In this case, we will give some examples that satisfy the condition (3.1). Moreover, we will characterize the boundedness and compactness of the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. As the applications, the characterizations of the boundedness and compactness of C_φ and M_ψ on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ are obtained.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\psi \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$, and φ be a holomorphic self-mapping of \mathbb{U}^n . Then, the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if,*

$$L = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| < +\infty, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$M = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| < +\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For each fixed $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we write

$$L_k = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \right|$$

and

$$M_k = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right|.$$

Suppose that $L, M < +\infty$. Then, we clearly have $L_k \leq L$ and $M_k \leq M$. For every $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, from the convexity of ϕ , Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\phi,\alpha} \left(\frac{W_{\psi,\varphi} f}{n \left(L_k + M_k \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} \right) \right)} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{n \left(L_k + M_k \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} \right) \right)} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} f(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{n(L_k + M_k(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}))} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| |f(\varphi(z))| + |\psi(z)| \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \right| \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{n(L_k + M_k(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}))} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}\right) \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} + |\psi(z)| \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}{n(L_k + M_k(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}))} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \\
&= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}\right) \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} + |\psi(z)| \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}}{n(L_k + M_k(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)}))} \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{1}{n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \frac{1}{n} \phi \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)} \right) \\
&= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{(1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, from (3.4), we obtain

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi} f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \leq n \left[L_k + M_k \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{dt}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} \right) \right] \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha} \leq n[L + M(1 + nc_0)] \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 2.1 that

$$|W_{\psi,\varphi} f(0)| = |\psi(0)| |f(\varphi(0))| \leq C \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)},$$

which shows that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.

Conversely, assume that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. By setting $\hat{f}(z) \equiv 1$ (clearly, $1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$), we obtain $\psi = W_{\psi,\varphi} \hat{f} \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Then,

$$1 \geq S_{\phi,\alpha} \left(\frac{W_{\psi,\varphi} \hat{f}}{C \|f\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right) = S_{\phi,\alpha} \left(\frac{\psi}{C \|\hat{f}\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{C \|\hat{f}\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right). \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.7), for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and all $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$, it follows that

$$\mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C \|\hat{f}\|_{\phi, \alpha},$$

which shows

$$M = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C. \quad (3.8)$$

By Lemma 2.4, we see that the following function belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\phi, \alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$,

$$g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(z) = \int_0^{z_l} f_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(t) dt.$$

Moreover, $\|g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}\|_{\phi, \alpha} = 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq S_{\phi, \alpha} \left(\frac{W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{C \|g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}\|_{\phi, \alpha}} \right) \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{C} \right) \\ &\geq (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{C} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9), we obtain

$$\mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C,$$

which leads to

$$\mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C + \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| |g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(\varphi(z))|. \quad (3.10)$$

By summing in (3.10) from 1 to n , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq nC + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| |g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}(\varphi(z))|. \quad (3.11)$$

Then, by Corollary 2.1 and the fact $\|g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}\|_{\phi, \alpha} = 1$, (3.11) becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w), \alpha}}{\partial w_j}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq nC + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi, \alpha}(t)} dt \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w),\alpha}}{\partial z_l}(z) = f_{\varphi_l(w),\alpha}(z_l), \quad \frac{\partial g_{\varphi_l(w),\alpha}}{\partial z_j}(z) = 0, \quad j \neq l,$$

by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) |\psi(z)| \left| \phi^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{1 - |\varphi_l(w)|^2}{|1 - \overline{\varphi_l(w)}\varphi_l(z)|^2} \right)^\alpha \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right) \right| \\ & \leq nC + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now, letting $z = w$ in (3.13), by (3.8) and $c_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt < +\infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(w_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(w))} \left| \psi(w) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial w_k}(w) \right| \leq n^2 C + n \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(w_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial w_k}(w) \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(w)|} \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt \right) \leq nC + (1 + nc_0)M,$$

which shows

$$L = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| < +\infty.$$

The proof is finished. \square

By directly applying Theorem 3.1, we derive the following two results.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\psi \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Then, the operator M_ψ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if, $\psi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and φ be a holomorphic self-mapping of \mathbb{U}^n . Then, the operator C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial w_k}(z) \right| < +\infty.$$

The compactness of the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ has been characterized from p -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{U}^n)$ to q -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathbb{U}^n)$ in [26], which motivates us to consider the same problem on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $\psi \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$, and φ be a holomorphic self-mapping of \mathbb{U}^n . Then, the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if, $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$,*

$$\lim_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Assume that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and (3.14), (3.15) holds, respectively. To show that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, using Lemma 2.5, we just need to prove that for each bounded sequence $\{f_j\}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ such that $f_j \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{U}^n as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j\|_{\phi,\alpha} = 0$. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a such sequence in $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Set $C_0 = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_{\phi,\alpha}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, by (3.14) and (3.15), there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $z \in E = \{z \in \mathbb{U}^n : \text{dist}(\varphi(z), \partial \mathbb{U}^n) < \delta\}$. From this, for all $z \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} f_j(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \|f_j(\varphi(z))\| + \sum_{k,l=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \right| \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{|\varphi_l(z)|} \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt \right) \|f_j\|_{\phi,\alpha} + \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \|f_j\|_{\phi,\alpha} \\ &\leq (1 + nc_0) C_0 \varepsilon + C_0 \varepsilon \\ &= (2 + nc_0) C_0 \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$\sup_{z \in E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq (2 + nc_0) C_0 \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{U}^n \setminus E = \{z \in \mathbb{U}^n : \text{dist}(\varphi(z), \partial \mathbb{U}^n) \geq \delta\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{U}^n . Hence, $f_j \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{U}^n \setminus E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. From Cauchy's estimate, it follows that $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z_l} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{U}^n \setminus E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, from Theorem 3.1 we have that $M < +\infty$.

Set $f_l(z) = z_l$, $z \in \mathbb{U}^n$. Then, $f_l \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Since $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, $W_{\psi,\varphi} f_l = \psi \varphi_l \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq S_{\phi,\alpha} \left(\frac{\psi \varphi_l}{C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) + \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right) \\ &\geq (1 - |z_k|^2)^\alpha \phi \left(\frac{\left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) + \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|}{C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) = \frac{1}{\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{(1-|z_k|^2)^\alpha})}$, from (3.16) we obtain

$$\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| - \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) \right| \leq \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) + \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \leq C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha} + \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) \right|.$$

Since $|\varphi_l(z)| < 1$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| &\leq C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \varphi_l(z) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| \\ &\leq C \|f_l\|_{\phi,\alpha} + \|\psi\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the convenience, we write

$$C_l = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right|.$$

Then, $C_l < +\infty$ for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence, for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{\psi,\varphi} f\|_{\phi,\alpha} &\asymp \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi,\varphi} f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq (2 + c_0 \varepsilon) C_0 \varepsilon + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} f_j(\varphi(z)) + \psi(z) \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq (2 + c_0 \varepsilon) C_0 \varepsilon + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z_k} \right| |f_j(\varphi(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k) \left| \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \right| \left| \psi(z) \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| \\ &\leq (2 + c_0 \varepsilon) C_0 \varepsilon + M \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n \setminus E} |f_j(\varphi(z))| + \sum_{l=1}^n C_l \left| \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z)) \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, it follows by (3.17) that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.

Now, suppose that the operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. It is clear that $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$. First, we prove that condition (3.14) holds. If the condition (3.14) is not right, then there is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{z^m\}$ in \mathbb{U}^n with $w^m = \varphi(z^m) \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U}^n$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad (3.18)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, by the condition (3.2) in Theorem 3.1, we see that for all $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \right\}$$

is bounded. Hence, there is a subsequence of $\{z^m\}$ (for simplicity, here we assume that it is the sequence $\{z^m\}$) such that the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right|,$$

for every $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Also, we may assume that for every $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |w_l^m| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_l(z^m)|.$$

From (3.18), there must be an $l_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (here, we can assume that $l_0 = 1$) such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_1(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_1(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = \varepsilon_1 \neq 0.$$

In order to obtain a contradiction, we divide into the following two cases for consideration.

Case 1. Assume that $|w_1^m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$h_m(z) = \int_0^{z_1} |f_{w_1^m, \alpha}(t)| dt - \int_0^{w_1^m} |f_{w_1^m, \alpha}(t)| dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^n.$$

Then, $h_m \in \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $h_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{U}^n as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by an easy computation,

$$\frac{\partial h_m}{\partial z_k}(z) = 0, \quad k \neq 1, \quad (3.19)$$

$$h_m(w^m) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_m(w^m)}{\partial z_1} = |f_{w_1^m, \alpha}(w_1^m)|. \quad (3.20)$$

Then, from (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi} h_m\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial h_m(\varphi(z^m))}{\partial w_1} \frac{\partial \varphi_1(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_1(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_1(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \neq 0,$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction, since $\|W_{\psi,\varphi}h_m\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2. Assume that $|w_1^m| \rightarrow \rho < 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $w^m \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U}^n$, there is an $l \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|w_l^m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. If there is a $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \geq \varepsilon_2,$$

we can also assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = \varepsilon_3 \neq 0.$$

Similar to Case 1, we obtain a contradiction by using the functions

$$\widehat{h}_m(z) = \int_0^{z_l} |f_{w_l^m,\alpha}(t)| dt - \int_0^{w_l^m} |f_{w_l^m,\alpha}(t)| dt, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, for the l chosen above, assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = 0.$$

Set $\widetilde{h}_m = h_m + \widehat{h}_m$. Then, $\{\widetilde{h}_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $\widetilde{h}_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{U}^n as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi}\widetilde{h}_m\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z^m))} \left| \psi(z^m) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \neq 0, \quad (3.21)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which also is a contradiction, since $\|W_{\psi,\varphi}\widetilde{h}_m\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we begin to prove that condition (3.15) holds. Assume that condition (3.15) is not right. Then, there exist a positive constant ε_4 and a sequence $\{z^m\}$ in \mathbb{U}^n with $w^m = \varphi(z^m) \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U}^n$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \geq \varepsilon_4,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}}(\mathbb{U}^n)$, from (3.3) in Theorem 3.1, we know that the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \right\}$$

is bounded. Hence, there is a subsequence of $\{z^m\}$ such that

$$0 \neq a_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| < \infty.$$

Also, we may assume that for every $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there is a finite limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |w_l^m| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_l(z^m)|.$$

Case 3. Assume that $|w_1^m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$S_m(z) = \frac{1}{\ln(1 - |w_1^m|^2)} \left(\frac{(\ln(1 - \overline{w_1^m} z_1))^2}{2 \ln(1 - |w_1^m|^2)} - \ln(1 - \overline{w_1^m} z_1) \right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{S_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\phi, \alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $S_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{U}^n as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_m(z)}{\partial z_1} &= \frac{1}{\ln(1 - |w_1^m|^2)} \left(\frac{\ln(1 - \overline{w_1^m} z_1) \frac{-\overline{w_1^m}}{1 - \overline{w_1^m} z_1}}{\ln(1 - |w_1^m|^2)} + \frac{\overline{w_1^m}}{1 - \overline{w_1^m} z_1} \right), \\ \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial z_k}(z) &= 0, \quad k \neq 1, \\ S_m(w^m) &= -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial S_m(w^m)}{\partial z_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{\psi, \varphi} S_m\|_{\mu_{\phi, \alpha}} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial W_{\psi, \varphi} S_m}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} S_m(\varphi(z^m)) + \psi(z^m) \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial S_m}{\partial w_l}(\varphi(z^m)) \frac{\partial \varphi_l(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| \rightarrow \frac{a_0}{2} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction.

Case 4. Assume that $|w_1^m| \rightarrow \rho < 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $w^m \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{U}^n$, there is an $l \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|w_l^m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Set

$$\widehat{S}_m(z) = \frac{1}{\ln(1 - |w_l^m|^2)} \left(\frac{(\ln(1 - \overline{w_l^m} z_l))^2}{2 \ln(1 - |w_l^m|^2)} - \ln(1 - \overline{w_l^m} z_l) \right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Similar to Case 3, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = a_0 \neq 0,$$

which also is a contradiction. Now, for the l chosen above, assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi, \alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \right| = 0.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{S}_m(z) = S_m(z) + \widehat{S}_m(z).$$

Then, $\{\widetilde{S}_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and $\widetilde{S}_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{U}^n as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For such sequence, we have

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi}\widetilde{S}_m\|_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} S_m(\varphi(z^m)) \right| - \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k^m) \left| \frac{\partial \psi(z^m)}{\partial z_k^m} \widehat{S}_m(\varphi(z^m)) \right| \rightarrow \frac{a_0}{2},$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, from which we obtain a contradiction. Hence, the proof is finished. \square

According to Theorem 3.2, we get the following two results.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\psi \in H(\mathbb{U}^n)$. Then, the operator M_ψ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if, $\psi \in H_0^\infty(\mathbb{U}^n) \cap \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{\phi,\alpha},0}(\mathbb{U}^n)$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and φ be a holomorphic self-mapping of \mathbb{U}^n . Then, the operator C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ if, and only if, C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{\phi,\alpha}(\mathbb{U}^n)$ and*

$$\lim_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{U}^n} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(z_k)}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(\varphi_l(z))} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial z_k}(z) \right| = 0.$$

In the final of the paper, we give the following example in order to show that there exists ϕ such that $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)$ satisfies the condition (3.1).

Example 3.1. Let $\phi(t) = t^p$ and $p > \max\{1, \alpha\}$. Then, $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)$ satisfies the condition (3.1).

Proof. From the condition $p > 1$, it follows that ϕ is a strictly increasing convex function in $[0, +\infty)$. Now, we prove that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt < +\infty.$$

It is not hard to see that $\phi^{-1}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and then $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t) = (1-t^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}$. Since the function $\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)$ is unbounded in the point $t = 1$, we just need to show that the following integral is convergent,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu_{\phi,\alpha}(t)} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}} dt. \quad (3.22)$$

In fact, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} (1-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} (1-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

Since $0 < \alpha/p < 1$ and $0 < (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} < +\infty$, by the comparison rule, the integral (3.22) is convergent. \square

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we define the α -Bloch-Orlicz space on \mathbb{U}^n by using Young's function and show that its norm is equivalent with a special μ -Bloch space. We completely characterize the boundedness and compactness of the weighted composition operator $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ on the α -Bloch-Orlicz space in terms of the behaviors of the symbols ψ and φ . In addition, we give an example that satisfies the condition (3.1), which shows the rationality of this condition. As some applications, the corresponding results of the operators M_ψ and C_φ are obtained. This paper can be viewed as a continuation and extension of our previous studies.

Author contributions

Fuya Hu: Writing and editing, formal analysis and methodology; Chengshi Huang: Commenting and reviewing; Zhijie Jiang: Writing-original draft and investigation. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Use of Generative-AI tools declaration

We declare we have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees for valuable comments that are very helpful to improve this paper. This work was supported by Sichuan Science and Technology Program (No. 2024NSFSC0416).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. F. Bayart, Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators, *Monatsh. Math.*, **136** (2002), 203–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-002-0470-7>
2. F. Bayart, Compact composition operators on a Hilbert space of Dirichlet series, *Illinois J. Math.*, **47** (2003), 725–743. <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1258138190>
3. O. Brevig, K. Perfekt, A mean counting function for Dirichlet series and compact composition operators, *Adv. Math.*, **385** (2021), 107775. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107775>
4. D. Chang, S. Li, S. Stević, On some integral operators on the unit polydisk and the unit ball, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **11** (2007), 1251–1285. <https://doi.org/10.11650/twjim/1500404862>
5. C. Cowen, B. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, New York: Routledge, 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315139920>

6. A. García Ortiz, J. Ramos Fernández, Carleson condition in Bergman-Orlicz spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **4** (2010), 257–269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-009-0010-8>
7. Z. Hu, Composition operators between Bloch-type spaces in the polydisc, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **48A** (2005), 268–282.
8. Z. Jiang, S. Stević, Compact differences of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217** (2010), 3522–3530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.09.027>
9. Z. Jiang, Weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to some spaces of analytic functions on the upper half plane, *Util. Math.*, **93** (2014), 205–212.
10. S. Li, S. Stević, Integral type operators from mixed-norm spaces to α -Bloch spaces, *Integr. Transf. Spec. F.*, **18** (2007), 485–493. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701320703>
11. S. Li, S. Stević, Weighted composition operators from Bergman-type spaces into Bloch spaces, *Proc. Math. Sci.*, **3** (2007), 371–385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-007-0032-y>
12. S. Li, S. Stević, Weighted composition operators from α -Bloch space to H^∞ on the polydisk, *Numer. Func. Anal. Opt.*, **28** (2007), 911–925. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630560701493222>
13. S. Li, S. Stević, Compactness of Riemann-Stieltjes operators between $F(p, q, s)$ and α -Bloch spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **72** (2008), 111–128. <https://doi.org/10.5486/PMD.2008.3803>
14. S. Li, S. Stević, Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and α -Bloch spaces in the unit ball, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, **12** (2008), 1625–1639. <https://doi.org/10.11650/twjim/1500405075>
15. Y. Liang, Volterra-type operators from weighted Bergman-Orlicz spaces to β -Zygmund-Orlicz and γ -Bloch-Orlicz spaces, *Monatsh. Math.*, **182** (2017), 877–897. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-016-0986-x>
16. M. Lindström, D. Norrbo, S. Stević, On compactness of operators from Banach spaces of holomorphic functions to Banach spaces, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **18** (2024), 1153–1158. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-2024-18-64>
17. Y. Liu, Y. Yu, Weighted composition operators between the Bloch type space and $H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_X)$ of infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domains, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **13** (2019), 1595–1608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-018-00884-w>
18. J. Ramos Fernández, Composition operators on Bloch-Orlicz type spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217** (2010), 3392–3402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.09.004>
19. S. Stević, Boundedness and compactness of an integral operator on a weighted space on the polydisc, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **37** (2006), 343–355.
20. S. Stević, Composition operators between H^∞ and the α -Bloch spaces on the polydisc, *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, **25** (2006), 457–466. <https://doi.org/10.4171/ZAA/1301>
21. S. Stević, Boundedness and compactness of an integral operator in a mixed norm space on the polydisk, *Sib. Math. J.*, **48** (2007), 559–569. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11202-007-0058-5>
22. S. Stević, Integral-type operators from a mixed norm space to a Bloch-type space on the unit ball, *Sib. Math. J.*, **50** (2009), 1098–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11202-009-0121-5>

23. S. Stević, Products of integral-type operators and composition operators from the mixed norm space to Bloch-type spaces, *Sib. Math. J.*, **50** (2009), 726–736. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11202-009-0083-7>
24. S. Stević, Weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **212** (2009), 499–504. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.02.057>
25. S. Stević, On operator P_φ^g from the logarithmic Bloch-type space to the mixed-norm space on unit ball, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **215** (2010), 4248–4255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.12.048>
26. S. Stević, R. Chen, Z. Zhou, Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces in the polydisk, *Mat. Sb.*, **201** (2010), 289. <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM2010v201n02ABEH004073>
27. S. Stević, A. Sharma, R. Krishan, Boundedness and compactness of a new product-type operator from a general space to Bloch-type spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2016** (2016), 219. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1159-0>
28. S. Stević, S. Ueki, Weighted composition operators and integral-type operators between weighted Hardy spaces on the unit ball, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, **2009** (2009), 952831. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/952831>
29. H. Zhou, Boundedness and compactness of specific weighted composition operators on the Zygmund-Orlicz space, *J. Funct. Space.*, **2022** (2022), 5042795. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5042795>
30. H. Zhou, Weighted composition operators on the logarithmic Bloch-Orlicz space, *PLoS One*, **19** (2024), e0303336. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303336>



AIMS Press

©2025 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)