



## Research article

# DDT Theorem over ideal in quadratic field

Zhishan Yang and Zongqi Yu\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qingdao University, 308 Ningxia Road, Shinan District, Qingdao, Shandong, China

\* **Correspondence:** Email: yuzongqi314@outlook.com.

**Abstract:** Let  $K$  be a quadratic field and  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a fixed integral ideal of  $O_K$ . In this paper, we investigate the distribution of ideals that divide  $\mathfrak{a}$  using the Selberg-Delange method. This is a natural variation of a result studied by Deshouillers, Dress, and Tenenbaum (often referred to as the DDT Theorem), and we find that this distribution converges to the arcsine distribution.

**Keywords:** Selberg-Delange method; the distribution; quadratic field; the arcsine distribution

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11M06, 11N99, 11R04

## 1. Introduction

For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $\tau(n)$  denote the number of divisors of  $n$ . We define the random variable  $D_n$  to take the value  $(\log d)/\log n$ , where  $d$  runs through the set of divisors of  $n$ , with each divisor having a uniform probability of  $1/\tau(n)$ . The distribution function  $F_n$  of  $D_n$  is given by the following:

$$F_n(t) = P(D_n \leq t) = \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{d|n, d \leq n^t} 1 \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1).$$

It is evident that the sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  does not converge pointwise on  $[0, 1]$ , since

$$F_p(t) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ 1, & t = 1, \end{cases} \quad F_{p^2}(t) = \begin{cases} 1/3, & 0 \leq t < 1/2, \\ 2/3, & 1/2 \leq t < 1, \\ 1, & t = 1. \end{cases}$$

However Deshouillers, Dress, and Tenenbaum [11] proved that its Cesàro means is uniformly convergent on  $[0, 1]$ . Remarkably, this limit corresponds to the distribution function of a probability

law well-known to specialists the arcsine law with a density of  $1/(\pi \sqrt{u(1-u)})$ . More precisely,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} F_n(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

holds uniformly for  $x \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and the error term in (1.1) is optimal. The main tool is the Selberg-Delange method, which was developed by Selberg [10] and Delange [2, 3]. This method has found application in various arithmetic problems, as demonstrated in works such as [4, 5, 7, 8].

Recently, Cui and Wu [1] considered the generalization of (1.1) for short intervals. More specifically, they proved the following theorem.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrarily small positive constant. Then,

$$\frac{1}{y} \sum_{x < n \leq x+y} F_n(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O_\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right)$$

holds uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 2$ , and  $x^{\frac{62}{77}+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$ , where the implied constant only depends on  $\varepsilon$ .

In this paper, we consider a similar problem within the context of quadratic fields. Let  $O_K$  denote the ring of integers of the quadratic field  $K$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  represent an integral ideal of  $O_K$ , and  $N(\mathfrak{a})$  represent the norm of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We introduce the following arithmetic function:

$$\tau_K(\mathfrak{a}) := \sum_{\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}} 1, \quad (1.2)$$

which is obviously multiplicative.

Let  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{\mathfrak{b} \in O_K : \mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{a}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{a}}$  be the set of all subsets of  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , and let  $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}$  be the following uniform probability:

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{\tau_K(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

It can be readily verified that  $(\Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{a}})$  forms a probability space. Now, let's consider the following random variable  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{a}} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{a}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathfrak{b} &\mapsto \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{b})}{\log N(\mathfrak{a})}. \end{aligned}$$

The distribution function of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is given by the following:

$$F_{K,\mathfrak{a}}(t) = P(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{a}} \leq t) = \frac{1}{\tau_K(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a} \\ \frac{\log N(\mathfrak{b})}{\log N(\mathfrak{a})} \leq t}} 1 = \frac{1}{\tau_K(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a} \\ N(\mathfrak{b}) \leq N(\mathfrak{a})^t}} 1.$$

It is evident that the sequence  $\{F_{K,\mathfrak{a}}\}$  does not converge pointwise on  $[0, 1]$ . However, we shall see that

$$S(x, y; t) = \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq x+y} P(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{a}} \leq t)$$

is uniformly convergent on  $[0, 1]$ , where  $L(1, \chi')$  is a Dirichlet  $L$ -function with respect to the real primitive character modulo  $|d(K)|$ , and obtains the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\tau_K(\alpha)$  be defined in (1.2), and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrarily small positive constant. We have

$$\frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(\alpha) \leq x+y} F_{K, \alpha}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left((\log x)^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-1}\right),$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 2$ , and  $x^{19/24+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$ , where the implied constant only depends on  $\varepsilon$ .

Based on the results from the short interval, we can derive the following conclusion.

**Theorem 1.2.** For  $\alpha \in O_K$ , we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{L(1, \chi') x} \sum_{N(\alpha) \leq x} F_{K, \alpha}(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left((\log x)^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-1}\right),$$

which uniformly holds  $x \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us fix some notation:

- $\Gamma(s)$  is the Gamma function;
- $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function;
- $\log_k$  stands for the  $k$ -fold logarithm;
- $L(s, \chi)$  is the Dirichlet  $L$ -function of  $\chi$ ;
- $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrarily small positive constant;
- $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $A \geq 0$ ,  $M > 0$  (constants);
- $\mathbf{z} := (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$  and  $\mathbf{w} := (w_1, \dots, w_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ ;
- $\boldsymbol{\kappa} := (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^{++})^r$  with  $1 \leq \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_r \leq 2\kappa_1$ ;
- $\boldsymbol{\chi} := (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  with  $\chi_i$  non principal Dirichlet characters;
- $\mathbf{B} := (B_1, \dots, B_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^{++})^r$  and  $\mathbf{C} := (C_1, \dots, C_r) \in (\mathbb{R}^{++})^r$ ;
- The notation  $|\mathbf{z}| \leq \mathbf{B}$  means that  $|z_i| \leq B_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an arithmetic function and its corresponding Dirichlet series is given by the following:

$$\mathcal{F}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}.$$

A Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{F}(s)$  is said to be of the type  $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \alpha, \delta, A, M)$  if the following conditions are verified:

- (a) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have the following:

$$|f(n)| \ll_{\varepsilon} Mn^{\varepsilon} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ;

- (b) We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|n^{-\sigma} \leq M(\sigma - 1/\kappa_1)^{-\alpha} \quad (\sigma > 1/\kappa_1);$$

(c) The Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{F}(s)$  has the following expression:

$$\mathcal{F}(s) = \zeta(\kappa s)^z L(\kappa s; \chi)^w \mathcal{G}(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\kappa s)^z &:= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \zeta(\kappa_i s)^{z_i}, \\ L(\kappa s; \chi)^w &:= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} L(\kappa_i s, \chi_i)^{w_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and the Dirichlet series  $\mathcal{G}(s)$  is a holomorphic function in (some open set containing)  $\sigma \geq (2\kappa_1)^{-1}$ . In this region,  $\mathcal{G}(s)$  satisfies the bound

$$|\mathcal{G}(s)| \leq M(|\tau| + 1)^{\max\{\delta(1-\kappa_1\sigma), 0\}} \log^A(|\tau| + 3),$$

uniformly for  $|z| \leq B$  and  $|w| \leq C$ . In the sequel, we implicitly define the real numbers  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  by the relation  $s = \sigma + i\tau$  and choose the principal value of the complex logarithm.

Usually, we remember that  $N(\sigma, T)$  is the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the region  $\Re s \geq \sigma$  and  $|\Im s| \leq T$ . It is well known that there are two constants  $\psi$  and  $\eta$  such that

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{\psi(1-\sigma)} (\log T)^\eta$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$  and  $T \geq 2$ . In [6] Huxley showed that  $\psi = \frac{12}{5}$  and  $\eta = 9$  are admissible.

The following result is Corollary 1.2 of [12], which constitutes one of the key tools.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that the Dirichlet  $\mathcal{F}(s)$  is of the type  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa, z, w, \chi, B, C, \alpha, \delta, A, M)$ ; for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x + x^{1-1/\kappa_1} y} f(n) = y' (\log x)^{z-1} \left\{ \lambda_0(\kappa, z, w, \chi) + O\left(\frac{M}{\log x}\right) \right\},$$

uniformly for

$$x \geq 2, \quad x^{(1-1/(\psi+\delta))/\kappa_1+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x^{1/\kappa_1}, \quad |z| \leq B, \quad |w| \leq C,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y' &:= \kappa_1 \left( \left( x + x^{1-1/\kappa_1} y \right)^{1/\kappa_1} - x^{1/\kappa_1} \right), \\ \lambda_0(\kappa, z, w, \chi) &:= \frac{\mathcal{G}(1/\kappa_1)}{\kappa_1^{z_1} \Gamma(z_1)} \prod_{2 \leq i \leq r} \zeta(\kappa_i/\kappa_1)^{z_i} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} L(\kappa_i/\kappa_1, \chi_i)^{w_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and the implied constant in the  $O$ -term depends only on  $A, B, C, \alpha, \delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{x < N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq x+y} \frac{1}{\tau_K(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a})} = \frac{hy}{\sqrt{(\log x)}} \left\{ g(\mathfrak{c}) + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{(3/4)^{\omega(\mathfrak{c})}}{\log x} \right) \right\},$$

uniformly for  $N(\mathfrak{c}) \geq 1$ ,  $x \geq 2$  and  $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$ , where  $\omega(\mathfrak{c})$  denotes the number of distinct prime ideal divisors of  $\mathfrak{c}$  and

$$h := \delta \prod_{p|d(K)} p^{1/2} \sqrt{p-1} \log(1-1/p)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} p^{3/2} \sqrt{p-1} \log^2(1-1/p) \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} p^{3/2} \sqrt{p-1} \log(1-1/p^2)^{-1}.$$

$$\delta := \begin{cases} 2^{3/2} \log^2 2, & d \equiv 1(\bmod 8), \\ 2^{3/2} \log(4/3)^{-1}, & d \equiv 5(\bmod 8), \\ 1, & d \equiv 2, 3(\bmod 8). \end{cases}$$

$$g(c) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Use the following formula:

$$\tau_K(ca) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) + 1),$$

where  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuation of  $a$ . We write for  $\Re s > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_c(n) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{N(a)=n} \tau_K(ca)^{-1}}{n^s} = \sum_a \tau_K(ca)^{-1} N(a)^{-s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)+1} \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid c} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1.**  $d \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_c(n) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p \mid d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . According to the [9, Theorem 8, p. 194], for  $\Re s > 1$ , we have the following:

$$L(s, \chi') = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus, we can write the following:

$$\mathcal{F}_c(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(2s)^{-\frac{1}{6}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} L(2s, \chi')^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{G}_c(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_c(s) = \mathcal{G}_1(s)\mathcal{G}_2(s)\mathcal{G}_3(s)\mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_1(s) &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_2(s) &= \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_3(s) &= \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{4s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s) &= \prod_{p^v \parallel c} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+v+1}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+1}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series that absolutely converges for  $\Re s > \frac{1}{3}$ . For  $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we easily see that

$$\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+1}\right| = \left|\frac{\log(1 - N(p)^{-s})}{N(p)^{-s}}\right| \geq \frac{\log(1 + N(p)^{-\sigma})}{N(p)^{-\sigma}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + N(p)^{-1/2}}.$$

This implies

$$|\mathcal{G}_c(s)| \ll \prod_{p^v \parallel c} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+v} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N(p)}}\right) \right\} \leq C \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\omega(c)},$$

for  $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant.

Consequently,  $\mathcal{F}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series of the type  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa, \mathbf{z}, \omega, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, 1, 0, 0, M)$ , where  $\kappa = (1, 2)$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\omega = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\chi = (\chi', \chi')$  and  $M = C \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\omega(c)}$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying Lemma 2.1.

**Case 2.**  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_c(n) &= \prod_p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{p^v \parallel c} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+v+1}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= 2^{2s} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2s}}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1}\right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1} \prod_{p^v \parallel c} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+v+1}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(p)^{-js}}{j+1}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character of modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . For  $\Re s > 1$ , we have the following:

$$L(s, \chi') = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Thus, we can write the following:

$$\mathcal{F}_c(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(2s)^{-\frac{1}{6}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} L(2s, \chi')^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{G}_c(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_c(s) = \mathcal{G}_1(s) \mathcal{G}_2(s) \mathcal{G}_3(s) \mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s)$ , and

$$\mathcal{G}_1(s) = 2^{2s} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{4s}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2(s) = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_3(s) = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{4s}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{c}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1},$$

$\mathcal{G}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series that absolutely converges for  $\Re s > \frac{1}{3}$ , and

$$|\mathcal{G}_c(s)| \ll \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{c}} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+v} + O\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(\mathfrak{p})}} \right) \right\} \leq C \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{\omega(\mathfrak{c})},$$

for  $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant.

Consequently,  $\mathcal{F}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series of the type  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa, \mathbf{z}, \omega, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, 1, 0, 0, M)$ , where  $\kappa = (1, 2)$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\omega = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\chi = (\chi', \chi')$  and  $M = C \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\omega(\mathfrak{c})}$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying Lemma 2.1.

**Case 3.**  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_c(n) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{c}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{c}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character of modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . For  $\Re s > 1$ , we have the following:

$$L(s, \chi') = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus, we can write the following:

$$\mathcal{F}_c(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta(2s)^{-\frac{1}{6}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} L(2s, \chi')^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{G}_c(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_c(s) = \mathcal{G}_1(s) \mathcal{G}_2(s) \mathcal{G}_3(s) \mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1(s) &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_2(s) &= \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_3(s) &= \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2js}}{j+1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{4s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{4,c}(s) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-js}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series that absolutely converges for  $\Re s > \frac{1}{3}$ , and

$$|\mathcal{G}_c(s)| \ll \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^v \parallel c} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+v} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N(\mathfrak{p})}}\right) \right\} \leq C \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\omega(c)},$$

for  $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant.

Consequently,  $\mathcal{F}_c(s)$  is a Dirichlet series of the type  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa, \mathbf{z}, \omega, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, 1, 0, 0, M)$ , where  $\kappa = (1, 2)$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\omega = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\chi = (\chi', \chi')$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $g(\mathfrak{a})$  be defined as in Lemma 2.2. Then,*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq x} g(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{L(1, \chi') x}{h \sqrt{\pi \log x}} \left\{ 1 + O_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\log x} \right) \right\},$$

uniformly for  $x \geq 2$ , where  $h$  is defined as in Lemma 2.2.

*Proof.* Note that  $g$  is the multiplicative function defined by the following:

$$g(\mathfrak{p}^v) := \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}.$$

We write for  $\Re s > 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(n) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a})=n} g(\mathfrak{a})}{n^s} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} g(\mathfrak{a})^{-1} N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}.$$



**Case 1.**  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(n) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-2vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character of modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . For  $\Re s > 1$ , we have

$$L(s, \chi') = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(s)$  absolutely converges for  $\Re s > 1/2$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying the Selberg-Delange theorem [11, Theorem 5.2, p. 281] with  $N = 0$ .

**Case 2.**  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(n) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-2vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \times \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-2j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-2j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . For  $\Re s > 1$ , we have

$$L(s, \chi') = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(s)$  absolutely converges for  $\Re s > 1/2$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying the Selberg-Delange theorem [11, Theorem 5.2 p.281] with  $N = 0$ .

**Case 3.**  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(n) &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\mathfrak{p})^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p|d(K)} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} p^{-2vs} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+v+1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-2j}}{j+1} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\chi'$  be the real primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $|d(K)|$  and  $L(s, \chi')$  be the Dirichlet  $L$ -function corresponding to  $\chi'$ . For  $\Re s > 1$ , we have

$$L(s, \chi') = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=1}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid d(K) \\ p \geq 3, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \zeta(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(s, \chi')^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}(s),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(s)$  absolutely converges for  $\Re s > 1/2$ . The proof for this case can be concluded by applying the Selberg-Delange theorem [11, Theorem 5.2, p. 281] with  $N = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{K,a}(t) &= P(\mathfrak{D}_a \leq t) = \frac{1}{\tau_K(a)} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{b}|a \\ N(\mathfrak{b}) \leq N(a)^t}} 1 = \frac{1}{\tau_K(a)} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{c}|a \\ N(\mathfrak{c}) \geq N(a)^{1-t}}} 1 = P(\mathfrak{D}_a \geq 1-t) \\ &= 1 - P(\mathfrak{D}_a < 1-t) = 1 - F_{K,a}(1-t) + O\left(\tau_K(a)^{-1/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $x < N(a) \leq x+y$ , we handle the  $O$ -term using Lemma 2.1 in a similar manner to the proof of Lemma 2.2. We find that

$$S(x, y; t) + S(x, y; 1-t) = 1 + O\left((\log x)^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-1}\right) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

where

$$S(x, y; t) := \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(a) \leq x+y} F_{K, a}(t).$$

On the other hand, we have the following identity:

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{1-t} = 1 \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1 for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we can write

$$S(x, y; t) = \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(a) \leq x+y} \frac{1}{\tau_K(a)} \sum_{b|a, N(b) \leq N(a)^t} 1 =: S_1(x, y; t) - S_2(x, y; t), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$S_1(x, y; t) := \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(a) \leq x+y} \frac{1}{\tau_K(a)} \sum_{b|a, N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} 1,$$

$$S_2(x, y; t) := \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x < N(a) \leq x+y} \frac{1}{\tau_K(a)} \sum_{b|a, N(a)^t < N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} 1.$$

First, we evaluate  $S_1(x, y; t)$ . By changing the order of summations, we have the following:

$$S_1(x, y; t) = \frac{1}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \sum_{x/N(b) < N(c) \leq (x+y)/N(b)} \frac{1}{\tau_K(bc)}.$$

For  $N(b) \leq (x+y)^t \leq (2x)^{1/2}$  and  $y \geq x^{19/24+\varepsilon}$ , it is easy to verify that

$$(y/N(b)) \geq (x/N(b))^{7/12+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we can apply Lemma 2.2 with  $(x/N(b), y/N(b))$  in place of  $(x, y)$  to write

$$S_1(x, y; t) = \frac{h \sqrt{\pi}}{L(1, \chi')} \sum_{N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \frac{1}{N(b) \sqrt{\log(x/N(b))}} \left\{ g(b) + O_\varepsilon \left( \frac{(3/4)^{\omega(b)}}{\log x} \right) \right\},$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x \geq 2$  and  $x \geq y \geq x^{19/24+\varepsilon}$ . Bounding  $(3/4)^{\omega(d)}$  by 1, in the summation over  $d$ , we see that the contribution of the error terms is  $\ll 1/\sqrt{\log x}$ . The main term is handled by a partial integration. Let us write the following:

$$\mathcal{G}(t) := \sum_{N(a) \leq e^t} g(a) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^t}{4h \sqrt{t}} \left\{ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \right\}, \quad (t > 1).$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{h\sqrt{\pi}}{L(1, \chi')} \sum_{N(b) \leq x^u} \frac{g(b)}{N(b) \sqrt{\log x / N(b)}} &= \frac{h\sqrt{\pi}}{L(1, \chi')} \int_{0-}^{u \log x} \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{\log x - t}} d\mathcal{G}(t) \\
 &= \frac{h\sqrt{\pi}}{L(1, \chi')} \int_0^{u \log x} \mathcal{G}(t) \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{\log x - t}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2(\log x - t)} \right\} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{u \log x} \frac{1 + O(1/(t+1))}{\sqrt{t(\log x - t)}} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^u \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v(1-v)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{u} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{h\sqrt{\pi}}{L(1, \chi')} \sum_{N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \frac{g(b)}{N(b) \sqrt{\log(x/N(b))}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right),$$

since

$$\sum_{x^t < N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \frac{g(b)}{N(b) \sqrt{\log(x/d)}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}} \sum_{x^t < N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \frac{1}{N(b)} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}.$$

By combining these estimates, we obtain

$$S_1(x, y; t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x \geq 2$  and  $x \geq y \geq x^{19/24+\varepsilon}$ . Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2(x, y; t) &\leq \frac{h}{L(1, \chi') y} \sum_{x^t < N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \sum_{x/d < N(c) \leq (x+y)/N(b)} \frac{1}{\tau_K(c)} \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}} \sum_{x^t < N(b) \leq (x+y)^t} \frac{1}{N(b)} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By inserting (3.2) and (3.3) into (3.1), we find that

$$S(x, y; t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O_\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right),$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x \geq 2$  and  $x \geq y \geq x^{19/24+\varepsilon}$ .

Finally, we prove that Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 with  $y = x$ . Since  $0 \leq F_a(t) \leq 1$ , we have the following:

$$\sum_{N(a) \leq x} F_{K,a}(t) = \sum_{\sqrt{x} < N(a) \leq x} F_{K,a}(t) + O(\sqrt{x}) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq (\log x)/(2 \log 2)} \sum_{x/2^{k+1} < N(a) \leq x/2^k} F_{K,a}(t) + O(\sqrt{x}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with  $y = x$  to the inner sum, we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{N(a) \leq x} F_{K,a}(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{[(\log x)/(2 \log 2)]} \left\{ L(1, \chi') \frac{x}{2^{k+1}} \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left( \frac{x/2^{k+1}}{(\log(x/2^{k+1}))^{1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}} \right) \right\} + O(\sqrt{x}) \\ &= L(1, \chi') \frac{2x}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} + O\left( x (\log x)^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-1} \right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

### Author contributions

Zhishan Yang: Conceptualization, methodology; Zongqi Yu: Writing-original draft, writing-review and editing.

### Use of Generative-AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

### References

1. Z. Cui, J. Wu, The Selberg-Delange method in short intervals with an application, *Acta Arith.*, **163** (2014), 247–260. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa163-3-4>
2. H. Delange, Sur des formules dues à Atle Selberg, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **83** (1959), 101–111.
3. H. Delange, Sur les formules de Atle Selberg, *Acta Arith.*, **19** (1971), 105–146. <https://doi.org/10.4064/AA-19-2-105-146>
4. B. Feng, J. Wu, The arcsine law on divisors in arithmetic progressions modulo prime powers, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **163** (2021), 392–406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-020-01105-7>
5. G. Hanrot, G. Tenenbaum, J. Wu, Moyennes de certaines fonctions arithmetiques sur les entiers friables, 2, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **96** (2008), 107–135.
6. M. Huxley, On the difference between consecutive primes, *Invent. Math.*, **15** (1971), 164–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01418933>
7. Y. Lau, Summatory formula of the convolution of two arithmetical functions, *Mh. Math.*, **136** (2002), 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s006050200032>
8. Y. Lau, J. Wu, Sums of some multiplicative functions over a special set of integers, *Acta Arith.*, **101** (2002), 365–394. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa101-4-5>
9. C. D. Pan, C. B. Pan, *Algebraic number theory (Chinese)*, Shandong: Shandong University Press, 2011.

10. A. Selberg, Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe, *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, **18** (1954), 83–87. <https://doi.org/10.18311/jims/1954/17018>
11. G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
12. J. Wu, Q. Wu, Mean values for a class of arithmetic functions in short intervals, *Math. Nachr.*, **293** (2020), 178–202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201800276>



AIMS Press

© 2025 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)