



Research article

Weighted  $L^p$  boundedness of maximal operators with rough kernels

Hussain Al-Qassem<sup>1,\*</sup> and Mohammed Ali<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Jordan University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 3030, Irbid 22110, Jordan

\* Correspondence: Email: husseink@qu.edu.qa.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the weighted spaces  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of certain class of maximal operators when their kernels belong to the space  $L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ ,  $q > 1$ . Our results in this paper are improvements and extensions of some previously known results.

**Keywords:** maximal functions;  $L^p$  boundedness; rough kernels; surfaces of revolution; extrapolation

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 42B20, 42B25

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be the unit sphere in the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 2$ ) which is equipped with the normalized Lebesgue surface measure  $d\sigma = d\sigma(\cdot)$ .

Let  $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a radial function satisfying

$$\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})} = \left( \int_0^\infty |h(s)|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2} \leq 1,$$

and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a homogeneous function of degree zero on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathcal{U} \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathcal{U}(x') d\sigma(x') = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $x' = x/|x|$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ .

For a Schwartz function  $f \in (\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we consider the maximal operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(f)(x) = \sup_{h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iP(u)} f(x-u) \frac{\mathcal{U}(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^d} du \right|, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $P : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued polynomial.

We notice that if  $P(y) \equiv 0$ , then the operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  is reduced to be the classical maximal operator denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , which was introduced by Chen and Lin in [1]. The authors of [1] proved the boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $2d/(2d-1) < p < \infty$  if  $\mathcal{U} \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ , and they showed that the range of  $p$  is the best possible. This result was extended in [2] in which the author confirmed the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  for all  $p \in [2, \infty)$  whenever  $\mathcal{U} \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ , and that the condition  $\mathcal{U} \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  is optimal in the sense that the operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  may fail to be bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  when  $\mathcal{U} \in L(\log L)^r(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  for any  $r \in (0, 1/2)$ . On the other hand, the author of [3] proved that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $p \geq 2$  if  $\mathcal{U}$  lies in the block spaces  $B_q^{(0,-1/2)}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ , and they also proved that if the kernel  $\mathcal{U}$  belongs to  $B_q^{(0,r)}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  for some  $r \in (-1, -1/2)$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  may not be bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In [4], the author generalized the above results. In fact, he proved that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \geq 2$  provided that  $\mathcal{U} \in B_q^{(0,-1/2)}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \cup L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ . Subsequently, the investigation of the boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  under various conditions has attracted the attention of many authors: For background information [5–8], importance and the development [9–11], and recent advances and studies [12, 13].

On the other hand, in [14] Y. Ding and H. Qingzheng proved the weighted  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$  as described in the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ . Assume  $\mathcal{U} \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  satisfies (1.1). Then,*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (1.3)$$

if  $p$  and  $\omega$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

(a)  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ;

(b)  $2d/(2d-1) < p < 2$ ,  $\omega(x) = |x|^\alpha$ , and  $\frac{1}{2}(1-d)(2-p) < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}(2dp-2d-p)$ , where  $A_p$  is the Muckenhoupt's weight class, and the weighted  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\omega \geq 0$  is defined by

$$L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f : \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^p \omega(y) dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Subsequently, Al-Qassem in [15] generalized the above result as in the following theorem:

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  for some  $q > 1$  and it satisfies (1.1). Then,*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

if  $p$  and  $\omega$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

(a)  $\delta \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ ;

(b)  $2d\delta/(2d+d\delta-2) < p < 2$ ,  $\omega(x) = |x|^\alpha$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(1-d)(2-p) < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}(2dp-2d-p)$ , where  $\delta = \max\{2, q'\}$  and  $q'$  is the dual exponent of  $q$ .

In view of the results in [4] concerning the  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  and of the results in [15] concerning the weighted  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , it is natural to ask whether the weighted  $L^p$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}$  holds under the same conditions as assumed in Theorem B. We shall obtain an answer to this question in the affirmative as described in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ . Suppose that  $P : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$ , then the estimate

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (1.4)$$

holds for  $\delta \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ , where  $\delta = \max\{2, q'\}$ .

Now let us give some results which follow as a consequence of Theorem 1.1. For  $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ , we let  $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})$  be the set of all measurable functions  $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|h\|_{L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})} = \left( \int_0^\infty |h(s)|^\gamma \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq 1.$$

Consider the maximal operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x) = \sup_{h \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iP(u)} f(x-u) \frac{\mathcal{U}(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^d} du \right|, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $P : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued polynomial,  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ .

The study of the boundedness of the operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  started in [1] in which the authors proved that if  $\mathcal{U} \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  and  $h \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})$  for some  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ , then the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of the operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},0}^{(\gamma)}$  is satisfied for  $(\gamma d)' < p < \infty$ . For more information about the investigation of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$ , under various conditions and some past studies, readers are referred to see [16–18] and the references therein. In this work, an extension and improvement over the result in [1] shall be obtained by proving the weighted  $L^p$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  when the condition  $\mathcal{U} \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  is replaced by the weaker condition  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ . Precisely, we have the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ . Let  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$  and  $h \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})$  with  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ . Then, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (1.6)$$

for  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$ .

Concerning the boundedness of a certain class of oscillatory singular integrals, we have the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ . Let  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$  and  $h \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})$  for some  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ . Then, the oscillatory singular integral operator  $T_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  given by

$$T_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x) = p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iP(u)} f(x-u) \frac{\mathcal{U}(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^d} du,$$

is bounded on  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$ , and it is bounded on  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 < p \leq (\frac{\delta\gamma'}{2})'$  and  $\omega^{1-p'} \in A_{p'/\delta}$ .

For background information and related work about the operator, see [19–24].

We point out that the generalized Marcinkiewicz operator concerning the operator  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \left| \frac{1}{s} \int_{|u| \leq s} e^{iP(u)} f(x-u) \mathfrak{U}(u) |u|^{-d+1} du \right|^{\gamma'} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/\gamma'}. \quad (1.7)$$

As an immediate consequence of the fact

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x) \leq C \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x)$$

for  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ , we obtain the following result:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $P$ , and  $\gamma$  be given as in Theorem 1.2. Then, the generalized Marcinkiewicz integral  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$  with  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ .*

It is clear that for the special case  $P = 0$  and  $\gamma = 2$ , the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{U},0}^{(2)}$  reduces to the classical Marcinkiewicz integral operator, which was introduced in [25], in which the author proved that the operator is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  only for  $1 < p \leq 2$  whenever  $\mathfrak{U} \in Lip_\eta(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  for some  $0 < \eta \leq 2$ . Thereafter, the study of the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  under several conditions has been discussed by many mathematicians (see, for instance [4, 26–30]).

Throughout the rest of the paper, the letter  $C$  stands for a positive constant which is independent of the essential variables and its value is not necessary the same at each occurrence.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we give some preliminary lemmas to prove our main results. Let us start with the following lemma, which is found in [4].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ ,  $q > 1$  be a homogeneous function of degree zero. Suppose that*

$$P(x) = \sum_{|\eta| \leq k} \lambda_\eta x^\eta,$$

*is a polynomial of degree  $k > 1$  such that  $|u|^k$  is not one of its terms. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $I_{j,\mathfrak{U}} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$I_{j,\mathfrak{U}}(\xi) = \int_{2^{-(j+1)}}^{2^{-(j-1)}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathfrak{U}(u) e^{-i[P(su)+su \cdot \xi]} d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Then, there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  such that*

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} I_{j,\mathfrak{U}}(\xi) \leq C 2^{(j+1)/4q'} \left( \sum_{|\eta|=m} |\lambda_\eta| \right)^{-\epsilon/q'}.$$

We need the following lemma from [15].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  for some  $q > 1$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/q'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ . Assume that the maximal function  $M_{\mathfrak{U}}$  is given by

$$M_{\mathfrak{U}}f(x) = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j \leq |u| \leq 2^{j+1}} |f(x-u)| \frac{|\mathfrak{U}(u)|}{|u|^d} du.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\|M_{\mathfrak{U}}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for any  $f \in L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $q' \leq p < \infty$ .

The next lemma can be proved by employing the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [15].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$  and  $\mathfrak{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  with  $q > 1$ . Then, there is a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U}}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a smooth partition of unity in  $(0, \infty)$  with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j &\in C^\infty, \quad \text{supp } \psi_j \subseteq [2^{-(j+1)}, 2^{-(j-1)}], \quad 0 \leq \psi_j \leq 1, \\ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_j(s) &= 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{d^k \psi_j(s)}{ds^k} \right| \leq \frac{C_k}{s^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the operator  $\Upsilon_j$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$(\widehat{\Upsilon_j(f)})(\xi) = \psi_j(|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U}}(f)(x) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{U},k}(f)(x), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{U},k}(f)(x) = \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{-(j+1)}}^{2^{-j-1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (\Upsilon_{k+j}f)(x-su) \mathfrak{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By following the same argument utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [15], along with invoking Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{U},k}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p 2^{-\tau|k|} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.5)$$

for some constant  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  and for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$ . Consequently, by (2.4) and (2.5), we get (2.2) for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We shall use some of the ideas from [4]. Precisely, we use the induction on the degree of the polynomial  $P$ . It is clear that if the degree of  $P$  is 0, then by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$ . Now, if the degree of  $P$  is 1, then we deduce that there is  $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  so that  $P(u) = \vec{c} \cdot u$ . Hence, if we set  $g(u) = e^{-iP(u)} f(u)$ , then by (3.1) we get that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(g)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Next, suppose that (1.4) holds for any polynomial  $P$  whose degree is less than or equal to  $k \geq 1$ . We need to prove that the inequality (1.4) is also satisfied for any polynomial of degree  $k + 1$ . Let

$$P(u) = \sum_{|\eta| \leq k+1} \lambda_\eta u^\eta$$

be a polynomial of degree  $k + 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $P$  does not contain  $|u|^{k+1}$  as one of its terms, and  $\sum_{|\eta|=k+1} |\lambda_\eta| = 1$ .

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\{\psi_j\}$  and  $\Upsilon_j$  be chosen as those in (2.3). Set

$$\Gamma_\infty(s) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 \psi_j(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_0(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j(s).$$

Then,  $\Gamma_\infty(s) + \Gamma_0(s) = 1$ ,  $\text{supp}(\Gamma_\infty(s)) \subseteq [2^{-1}, \infty)$ , and  $\text{supp}(\Gamma_0(s)) \subseteq (0, 1]$ . Hence, we get by Minkowski's inequality that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}(f)(x) \leq \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)(x) + \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,0}(f)(x), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)(x) = \left( \int_{2^{-1}}^{\infty} \left| \Gamma_\infty(s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(su)} f(x - su) \mathcal{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,0}(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^1 \left| \Gamma_0(s) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(su)} f(x - su) \mathcal{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us estimate  $\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)(x) = \left( \int_{2^{-(j+1)}}^{2^{-(j-1)}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(su)} f(x - su) \mathcal{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, by the generalized Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)(x) \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)(x). \quad (3.3)$$

**Case 1.** When  $q \geq 2$ . In this case, we have  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$ . Let us consider first the case  $p > 2$ . By duality, there is  $g \in L^{(p/2)'}(\omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|g\|_{L^{(p/2)'}, \omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d} \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{-iP(2^{-(j+1)}su)} \mathcal{U}(u) f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} |g(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su)|^{q'} d\sigma(u) \right)^{2/q'} \frac{ds}{s} |g(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su)|^2 d\sigma(u) \right) \frac{ds}{s} |g(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^2 \int_1^4 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |g(y + 2^{-(j+1)}su)| d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} dy \\ &\leq C_p \| |f|^2 \|_{L^{(p/2)}(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \|M^*(\bar{g})\|_{L^{(p/2)'}, \omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d} \\ &\leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\bar{g}\|_{L^{(p/2)'}, \omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{g}(y) = g(-y)$  and  $M^*(f)$  is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Thus,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (3.4)$$

for  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$ .

Now, for the case  $p = 2$  and  $\omega \in A_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^2(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{-iP(2^{-(j+1)}su)} \mathcal{U}(u) f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{U}\|_q^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \left( \int_1^4 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \omega(x + 2^{-(j+1)}su) d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} \right) \omega(x) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 M^*(\tilde{\omega})(-x) dx, \text{ with } \tilde{\omega}(x) = \omega(-x) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 \omega(x) dx = C \|f\|_{L^2(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the last inequality is obtained by the fact that  $M^*\omega(x) \leq C\omega(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Since for any  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$  there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\omega^{1+\alpha} \in A_{p/2}$ , by (3.4) and (3.5), we get that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega^{1+\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega^{1+\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (3.6)$$

for  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$ .

Now we will obtain a sharp unweighted  $L^2$  estimate of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)$ . By Fubini's theorem, Plancherel's theorem and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 I_{j,\mathcal{U}}(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C 2^{\frac{(j+1)}{8q'}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, using the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem with change of measure [31], we may interpolate between (3.6) and (3.7) to obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p 2^{\frac{\varepsilon(j+1)}{8q'}} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.8)$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$ , and for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Consequently, by (3.3) and (3.8), we conclude that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.9)$$

for  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/2}$ .

**Case 2.** When  $1 < q < 2$ . In this case, we have  $q' \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/q'}$ . Since  $p > 2$ , by duality, there exists  $F \in L^{(p/2)'}(\omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|F\|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{-iP(2^{-(j+1)}su)} \mathcal{U}(u) f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} |F(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_1^4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\mathcal{U}(u)|^{2-q} |f(x - 2^{-(j+1)}su)|^2 d\sigma(u) \right) \frac{ds}{s} |F(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^2 \int_1^4 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\mathcal{U}(u)|^{2-q} |F(y + 2^{-(j+1)}su)| d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} dy \\ &\leq C \| |f|^2 \|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \|M_{\mathcal{U}^{(2-q)}}(\widetilde{F})\|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\widetilde{F}\|_{L^{(p/2)'}(\omega^{1-(p/2)'}, \mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widetilde{F}(y) = F(-y)$ . The last inequality holds since  $(p/2)' > q/(2-q)$  and by invoking Lemma 2.2. Therefore, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty,j}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.10)$$

for  $q' \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/q'}$ . By the last inequality and (3.3), we have that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.11)$$

for  $\delta \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ .

Now, let us estimate the  $\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,0}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega,\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . Take  $Q(x) = \sum_{|\eta| \leq k} \lambda_\eta x^\eta$ , and let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},Q,0}(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,Q,0}(f)$  be given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},Q,0}(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iQ(sw)} f(x - su) \mathcal{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2},$$



and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,Q,0}(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (e^{iP(su)} - e^{iQ(su)}) f(x - su) \mathcal{U}(u) d\sigma(u) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,0}(f)(x) \leq \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},Q,0}(f)(x) + \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,Q,0}(f)(x). \quad (3.12)$$

Since the degree of the polynomial  $Q$  is less than or equal to  $k$ , we have that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},Q,0}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ . By noticing that

$$|e^{iP(su)} - e^{iQ(su)}| \leq s^{(d+1)} \left| \sum_{|\eta|=d+1} \lambda_\eta u^\eta \right| \leq s^{(d+1)}$$

and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,Q,0}(f)(x) &\leq C \left( \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} s^{2(k+1)} |\mathcal{U}(u) f(x - su)|^2 d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j\ell 2(k+1)} \int_{2^{-\ell}}^{2^{-\ell+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\mathcal{U}(u) f(x - su)|^2 d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by following the same arguments as above, we obtain that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,Q,0}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $\delta \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ . Hence, by (3.13) and (3.14), we deduce that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P,0}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Consequently, by (3.2), (3.9), (3.11) and (3.15), the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By duality, it is easy to get that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(sv)} f(x - sv) \mathcal{U}(v) d\sigma(v) \right|^{\gamma'} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/\gamma'}$$

for all  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ . Hence,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|S(f)\|_{L^p(L^{\gamma'}(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s}), \omega, \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $S : L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(L^{\gamma'}(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s}), \omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a linear operator given by

$$S(f)(x, s) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(sv)} f(x - sv) \mathcal{U}(v) d\sigma(v).$$

Now, if  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})$ , then we have that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(su)} f(x - su) \mathfrak{U}(u) h(s) d\sigma(u) \frac{ds}{s} \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\mathfrak{U}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})},$$

and, hence,

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(1)}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(1)}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|S(f)\|_{L^\infty(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s}), \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \omega) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(1)}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|S(f)\|_{L^\infty(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s}), \omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(2)}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|S(f)\|_{L^p(L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s}), \omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for  $\delta \leq p < \infty$ . Therefore, by applying the interpolation theorem for the Lebesgue mixed normed spaces to (3.16) and (3.17), we deduce that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$  with  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* To begin, we notice that  $(T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)} f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)} f(x)$ , where  $T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)}$  is the truncated singular integral operator given by

$$T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)} f(x) = \int_{|u|>\varepsilon} e^{iP(u)} f(x - u) \frac{\mathfrak{U}(u)h(|u|)}{|u|^d} du. \quad (3.18)$$

By Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)} f(x)| &\leq \int_\varepsilon^\infty |h(s)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(sv)} f(x - sv) \mathfrak{U}(v) d\sigma(v) \right| \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq \|h\|_{L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, dr/r)} \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{iP(sv)} f(x - sv) \mathfrak{U}(v) d\sigma(v) \right|^{\gamma'} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/\gamma'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|T_{\mathfrak{U},P,\varepsilon}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x)| \leq \|h\|_{L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{ds}{s})} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}(f)(x). \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, we get that  $T_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ . On the other hand, by a standard duality argument, we get that  $T_{\mathfrak{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  is bounded on  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 < p \leq (\frac{\delta\gamma'}{2})'$  and  $\omega^{1-p'} \in A_{p'/\delta}$ . The proof is complete.

## 4. Conclusions

In this work, we studied the mapping properties of the maximal integral operators  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$ . In fact, we proved the weighted space  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  for all  $(\delta\gamma')/2 \leq p < \infty$  whenever  $\omega \in A_{p/\delta}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ , and  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ . Then, as consequence of the this result, we confirmed the weighted  $L^p(\omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  boundedness of the operators  $T_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U},P}^{(\gamma)}$ . The results of this paper are substantial extensions and improvements of the main results in [4] and [15].

## Author contributions

Mohammed Ali: Writing-original draft, Formal Analysis, Commenting; Hussain Al-Qassem: Writing-original draft, Commenting. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the editor for handling the full submission of the manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in this paper.

## References

1. L. Chen, H. Lin, A maximal operator related to a class of singular integral, *Illinois J. Math.*, **34** (1990), 120–126. <https://doi.org/10.1215/IJM/1255988497>
2. A. Al-Salman, On maximal functions with rough kernels in  $L(\log L)^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , *Collect. Math.*, **56** (2005), 47–56.
3. H. M. Al-Qassem, Maximal operators related to block spaces, *Kodai Math. J.*, **28** (2005), 494–510. <https://doi.org/10.2996/kmj/1134397763>
4. A. Al-Salman, A unifying approach for certain class of maximal functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2006** (2006), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/JIA/2006/56272>
5. P. Sjolin, Convolution with oscillating kernels, *Indiana U. Math. J.*, **30** (1981), 47–55.
6. F. Ricci, E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals I. Oscillatory integrals, *J. Func. Anal.*, **73** (1987), 179–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(87\)90064-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(87)90064-4)
7. S. Z. Lu, Y. Zhang, Criterion on  $L^p$ -boundedness for a class of oscillatory singular integrals with rough kernels, *Revista Matem. Iber.*, **8** (1992), 201–219. <https://eudml.org/doc/39425>
8. Y. Pan,  $L^2$  estimates for convolution operators with oscillating kernels, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **113** (1993), 179–193.
9. D. S. Fan, Y. B. Pan, Boundedness of certain oscillatory singular integrals, *Studia Math.*, **114** (1995), 105–116.

10. M. Ali, Q. Katatbeh,  $L^p$  bounds for rough parabolic maximal operators, *Heliyon*, **6** (2020), e05153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05153>
11. A. Al-Salman, Rough oscillatory singular integral operators of nonconvolution type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **299** (2004), 72–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.06.006>
12. S. G. Shi, Z. W. Fu, Q. Y. Wu, On the average operators, oscillatory integrals, singulars, singular integrals and their applications, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **14** (2024), 334–378. <https://doi.org/10.11948/20230225>
13. Z. W. Fu, E. Pozzi, Q. Y. Wu, Commutators of maximal functions on spaces of homogeneous type and their weighted, local versions, *Front. Math. China*, **17** (2022), 625–652. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-021-0912-y>
14. Y. Ding, Q. Z. He, Weighted boundedness of a rough maximal operator, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **20** (2000), 417–422. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(17\)30649-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(17)30649-5)
15. H. M. Al-Qassem, Weighted  $L^p$  estimates for a rough maximal operator, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **45** (2005), 255–272.
16. H. M. Al-Bataineh, M. Ali, Boundedness of maximal operators with mixed homogeneity associated to surfaces of revolution, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **119** (2018), 705–716.
17. H. M. Al-Qassem, On the boundedness of maximal operators and singular operators with kernels in  $L(\log L)^\alpha(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2006** (2006), 96732. <https://doi.org/10.1155/JIA/2006/96732>
18. M. Ali, O. Al-Mohammed, Boundedness of a class of rough maximal functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **305** (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-018-1900-y>
19. A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund, On the existence of certain singular integrals, *Acta Math.*, **88** (1952), 85–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392130>
20. A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund, On singular integrals, *Am. J. Math.*, **78** (1956), 289–309. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372517>
21. R. Fefferman, A note on singular integrals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **74** (1979), 266–270. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2043145>
22. J. Duoandikoetxea, J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, *Invent. Math.*, **84** (1986), 541–561. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388746>
23. J. Namazi, A singular integral, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **96** (1986), 421–424. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2046587>
24. S. G. Shi, L. Zhang, Norm inequalities for higher-order commutators of one-sided oscillatory singular integrals, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2016** (2016), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1025-0>
25. E. M. Stein, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **88** (1958), 430–466. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1993226>
26. H. Al-Qassem, L. Cheng, Y. Pan, On rough generalized parametric Marcinkiewicz integrals, *J. Math. Ineq.*, **11** (2017), 763–780. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-2017-11-60>
27. A. Benedek, A. P. Calderón, R. Panzone, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, *Mathematics*, **48** (1962), 356–365. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.48.3.356>

28. Y. Ding, D. S. Fan, Y. B. Pan,  $L^p$ -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernels, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **16** (2000), 593–600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s101140000015>
29. Y. Ding, S. Z. Lu, K. Yabuta, A problem on rough parametric Marcinkiewicz functions, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **72** (2002), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700003542>
30. M. Ali, H. Al-Qassem, Estimates for certain class of rough generalized Marcinkiewicz functions along submanifolds, *Open Math.*, **21** (2023), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2022-0603>
31. E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, Interpolation of operators with change of measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87** (1958), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-1958-0092943-6>



AIMS Press

©2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)