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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ be the unit ball of a complex Banach space $X$, which may be infinite dimensional. The authors characterize the boundedness of the product of the radial derivative operator and the weighted composition operator from the natural Bloch space (or the little Bloch-type space) into the weighted-type space (or the little weighted-type space) on $\mathbb{B}_{X}$.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $X$ be a complex Banach space. Let $\mathbb{B}_{X}:=\{z \in X:\|z\|<1\}$ be the unit ball of $X$, and $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ be the algebra of holomorphic functions from $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Let $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ denote the class of holomorphic mappings from $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ into $\mathbb{B}_{X}$.

We shall consider one class of Banach spaces, $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, defined in [29], which is defined as follows:
The natural Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is defined to be the space of all $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{\text {nat }}:=\sup \left\{\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|: z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}\right\}<\infty,
$$

where $f^{\prime}(z)=D f(z) \in X^{*}$ (the dual space of $X$ ) denotes the Fréchet derivative of $f$ at the point z. Endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}=|f(0)|+\|f\|_{\text {nat }}$, the natural Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ becomes a Banach space. When $X=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{X}=\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is the classical Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ defined in $[1,32]$. If $X$ is a Hilbert space $H$, we have that the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right), \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right), \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right), \mathcal{B}_{\text {weak }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$, and $\mathcal{B}_{\text {inv }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ defined in [4] coincide. One has studied the Bloch space on some homogeneous domains of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ in $[3,4,46,51]$. The definition of a complex-valued Bloch function on the infinite-dimensional bounded symmetric domains was later given in $[5,9]$.

The weighted-type space $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ [47] consists of all functions $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ such that $\|f\|_{\omega, \infty}=$ $\sup \left\{\omega(z)|f(z)|: z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}\right\}$ is finite, and the little weighted-type space $H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (the closed subspace of $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ ) consists of all functions $f \in H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ with $\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)|f(z)|=0$. It is clear that both $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ are Banach spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\omega, \infty}$, where $\omega$ denotes a normal function on $[0,1)[35]$, and $\omega$ can be extended to a function on $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ by $\omega(z)=\omega(\|z\|)$.

Given $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. The weighted composition operator with symbols $\psi$ and $\varphi$ is defined, for $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, by $W_{\psi, \varphi} f=\psi(f \circ \varphi)$ (see [47]). The operator with symbol $\psi$ is defined, $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, by $M_{\psi} f=\psi f$, usually called the multiplication operator, and the operator with symbol $\varphi$ is defined, $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, by $C_{\varphi} f=f \circ \varphi$, usually called the composition operator. $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ is a product-type operator, as $W_{\psi, \varphi}=M_{\psi} C_{\varphi}$.

An extensive study concerning the theory of weighted composition operators on Banach and Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions has been established during the past four decades. It plays a central role in the study of the isometries on several spaces of holomorphic functions. The study of the weighted composition operators on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ began with the work of Ohno and Zhao in [31]. They characterized the weighted composition operators between spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$. More results on weighted composition operators in various settings can be found in $[6,8,10,20,24,27,28,30]$ and the references therein. Product-type operators on some spaces of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ or $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ have become a subject of increasing interest in the last twenty years (see, e.g., $[15,16,21-23,34,36-38,42-45,49,50,52]$ and the related references therein). On a sum of more complex product-type operators from Bloch-type spaces to the weighted-type spaces, Huang and Jiang in [18] completely characterized the boundedness and compactness of the sum operator from Bloch-type spaces to weighted-type spaces on $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ (also see $[17,19,39-41,48]$ and the related references therein).
F. Colonna and M. Tjani [7] characterized the bounded weighted composition operators from a large class of Banach spaces of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ into weighted Banach spaces. H . Hamada [13] characterized the bounded weighted composition operator $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ from the space of bounded holomorphic functions $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ into the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ of infinite-dimensional bounded symmetric domains. Some studies have also been devoted to the situation when $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ is the open unit ball of a Banach space $X$ (see, e.g., $[2,11,12,14,26,33,47]$ ). There has been a huge interest in the operators on subspaces of $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. The radial derivative operator on $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{R} f(z)=D f(z) z, z \in \mathbb{B}_{X} .
$$

Motivated by some of the above-mentioned investigations, and using some modifications of the methods and ideas therein, the primary purpose of this paper is to bring the current results on the boundedness of the product of $\mathcal{R}$ and $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ from the natural Bloch spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (or the little Bloch-type spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ ) into the weighted-type space $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (or the little weighted-type space $\left.H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)\right)$. There are still many open questions on this topic. Thus, our hope is that our study will inspire more work in this area. Before we formulate the main theorem, we need the following auxiliary result [25].

Lemma 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Then

$$
|f(z)| \leq C \log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.

## 2. The boundedness of the product-type operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$

In this section, we consider the boundedness of the product of the radial derivative operator and the weighted composition operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition. For $x \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, let the set

$$
T(x)=\left\{\ell_{x} \in X^{*}: \ell_{x}(x)=\|x\|,\left\|\ell_{x}\right\|=1\right\}
$$

then $T(x)$ is nonempty by the Hahn-Banach theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.
(1) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded.
(2) If $\sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|$, for $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$ with $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded, then (2.2) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1\right\}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$.
Proof. (1) First, assume (2.1) and (2.2). Using the chain rule, it is easy to see that for $f \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, $z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f(z)\right|=\omega(z)\left|D\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z) z\right|=\omega(z)\left|(\psi f \circ \varphi)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi ( z ) \| \| ( f \circ \varphi ) ^ { \prime } ( z ) z \left\|+\omega(z)\left|f(\varphi(z)) \| \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right.\right. \\
& =\omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left\|\left|\left\|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right\|+\omega(z)\right| f(\varphi(z))\right\| \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi ( z ) \left\|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\left|\left\|\left|\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|+\omega(z)\right| f(\varphi(z))\right\| \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 1.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right\|_{\omega, \infty}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f(z)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}}\left(\omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left\|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right\|\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|+\omega(z)\right| f(\varphi(z)) \| \psi^{\prime}(z) z \mid\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\| \| \varphi^{\prime}(z) \|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}\|f\|_{\text {nat }} \\
& +C \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }} \\
& +C \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By conditions (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4), it follows that the operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded.
(2) Now suppose that $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. Then there is a positive constant $C$, for which

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right\|_{\omega, \infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }},
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Choose $f(z)=1$, then $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}=1$, from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|=\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right\|_{\omega, \infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}=C<\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (2.3) holds, fix $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$; if $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, let $w=\varphi(a)$ and $\ell_{w} \in T(w)$ be fixed. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}(z)=\frac{1}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}(z)}, z \in \mathbb{B}_{X} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
f_{a}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\|\varphi(a)\|}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}(z)\right)^{2}} \ell_{w}^{\prime}(z),
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}^{\prime}(\varphi(a))=\frac{\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}^{\prime}(\varphi(a))}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \frac{\|\varphi(a)\|}{\left|1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}(z)\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{(1-\|\varphi(a)\|\|z\|)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1-\|\varphi(a)\|)} \frac{1}{(1-\|z\|)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $f_{a} \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ with $\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{\text {nat }} \leq \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|} \leq \frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}$. Hence, using the triangle inequality, (2.6) and (2.7), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\| \geq\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\| \\
& \geq\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f_{a}\right\|_{\omega, \infty}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& =\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(z) z+\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)(z) \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \geq \omega(a)\left|\psi(a)\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(a) a+\omega(a)\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)(a) \psi^{\prime}(a) a\right| \\
& \geq \omega(a)\left|\psi(a)\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(a) a\right|-\omega(a)\left|\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)(a) \psi^{\prime}(a) a\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\omega(a)\left|\psi(a)\left\|f_{a}^{\prime}(\varphi(a)) \varphi^{\prime}(a) a|-\omega(a)| f_{a}(\varphi(a))\right\| \psi^{\prime}(a) a\right| \\
& =\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi(a)\|\varphi(a)\|\left\|\mid \ell_{w} \varphi^{\prime}(a) a\right\|\right.}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi^{\prime}(a) a\right|}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\omega(a) \mid \psi(a)\|\varphi(a)\|}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{w}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|-\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi^{\prime}(a) a\right|}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi(a)\|\varphi(a)\|\left\|\mid \varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|\right.}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi^{\prime}(a) a\right|}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.5) and (2.8), we easily get for $r \in(0,1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1\right\}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r} \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)\|\varphi(z)\|\|(z)\| \varphi^{\prime}(z) \|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\left(5-r^{2}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|+\sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1\right\}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\left(5-r^{2}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right) \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (2.3) holds.
Next, we will prove (2.2). For given $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$ if $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, consider the function

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{a}(z) & =2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)} \\
& -\left(\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}\right)^{2} / \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{a}^{\prime}(z) \\
& =\frac{2\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}^{\prime}(z)}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}-\frac{2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}} \frac{\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}^{\prime}(z)}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)} \\
& =\frac{2\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}-\frac{2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}} \frac{\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|g_{a}(0)\right| \leq 3 \log 2  \tag{2.11}\\
g_{a}^{\prime}(\varphi(a))=\theta \text { (null operator) and } g_{a}(\varphi(a))=\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \frac{2\left\|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\right\|}{1-\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)\right|}+\left|\frac{2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \varphi_{\varphi(a)(z)}}}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}}\right| \frac{2\left\|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\right\|}{1-\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)\right|} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-\|z\|}+\frac{4\left(\log \left|\frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)}\right|+\frac{2}{2}\right.}{(1-\|z\|) \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-\|z\|}+\frac{4\left(\log \frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{(1-\|z\|) \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-\|z\|}+\frac{4\left(2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{(1-\|z\|) \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-\|z\|}+\frac{4}{1-\|z\|}\left(2+\frac{\pi}{2 \log 2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{1-\|z\|^{2}}, \text { for } z \in \mathbb{B}_{X},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $g_{a} \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\sup _{\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}}\left\|g_{a}\right\|_{n a t} \leq C$. By (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi(z) g_{z}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime}(z) z+g_{z}(\varphi(z)) \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{z}\right)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{z}(z)\right| \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{z}\right\|\left\|_{\omega, \infty} \leq\right\| \mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\| \|\left\|g_{z}\right\|_{\text {nat }- \text { Bloch }} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|\left(\left\|g_{z}\right\|_{n a t}+\left|g_{z}(0)\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|(C+3 \log 2)<\infty \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\|\varphi(z)\|>r>0$. If $\|\varphi(z)\| \leq r<1$, using (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \log \frac{2}{1-r^{2}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \log \frac{2}{1-r^{2}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (2.13), proves that the condition in (2.2) is necessary.
Remark 2.2. For $\lambda \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{X}$, set $\varphi(z)=\frac{1}{2}(z-\lambda), \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$. Then $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right), \varphi^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{2} I_{d}$, and

$$
\sup _{z \in \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{X}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2} \sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}(z)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\right\|=\frac{1}{2}=\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|,
$$

for $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$.

Corollary 2.3. Suppose $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. If $\sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|$, for $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$ with $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and for $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\sup _{\mid \varphi(z) \leq r} \omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq C<\infty
$$

then $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty .
$$

Since taking $\varphi(z)=z, \sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{w}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=1=\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|$, for $w=\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.4. Suppose $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Then the operator $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Necessity. Assume that $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty
$$

and for $r \in(0,1)$

$$
\sup _{\{z \in \mathbb{B}: x|x| z \| \mid<1\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \leq C<\infty .
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\| \|^{2}} & \leq \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}:\|z\| \mid \leq r\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}+\sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\| \| z \|<1\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\max _{r \in[0, r]}}{1-r^{2}} \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}:\|z\| \mid \leq r\right\}}|\psi(z)|+C \\
& <\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (2.15) holds.
Sufficiency. It is clear.

When $X=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{X}=\mathbb{D}$, we find

$$
\sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=\left|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right|\left\|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\right\|=\left|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right|,
$$

for $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $D_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(z)| \leq r\}(0<r<1)$ and $h(z)=\omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|$. By the fact that the derivative of an analytic function is itself analytic, we have $h$ is a continuous function on the compact subset $D_{r}$ of $\mathbb{D}$. Thus

$$
\sup _{z \in D_{r}} \omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C<\infty .
$$

So we have the following corollary:
Corollary 2.5. Let $\psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic self-map. Then $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is a bounded operator if and only if

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty .
$$

Example. Suppose $\omega(z)=1-\|z\|$. We will give an example of a holomorphic mapping $\psi$ from $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic mapping $\varphi$ from $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ into $\mathbb{B}_{X}$ such that $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ into $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, but the operator $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}$ is not bounded from $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ into $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and define the function $\psi(z)=\log \frac{1}{1-\ell_{a}(z)}$ and $\varphi(z)=\frac{1}{2}(a-z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$. Then $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. The operator $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}$ is not bounded from $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ into $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ by Corollary 2.4 since $\psi \notin H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. On the other hand, it is straightforward to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& =\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left\|\frac{\ell_{a}^{\prime}(z)}{1-\ell_{a}(z)}\right\| \log \frac{2}{1-\frac{\|a-z\|^{2}}{4}} \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{1}{1-\|z\|} \log \frac{8}{4-\|a-z\|^{2}} \\
& \leq 2 \log \frac{4}{1-\|a\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left|\log \frac{1}{1-\ell_{a}(z)}\right|}{1-\frac{\|a-z\|^{2}}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\left(\log \left|\frac{1}{1-\ell_{a}(z)}\right|+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1-\|a\|} \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\left(\log \frac{1}{1-\| \| \|}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1-\|a\|} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{1-\|a\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded by Theorem 2.1.

## 3. The boundedness of the product-type operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat,0 }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$

The classical Bloch space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ plays an important role in geometric function theory, and it has been studied by many authors. In this section, we will also be interested in the generalization of the little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{0}(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of functions $f$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ with $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0$. Thus, we first introduce the little Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, },}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Then we study the boundedness of the product-type operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.
Definition 3.1. The little Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (the subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ ) consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for which

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1}\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|=0
$$

Next, we formulate and prove several auxiliary results.
Lemma 3.2. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, then

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|f(z)|}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}}=0
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, then $\forall \epsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{3\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|f(0)|+\log 2\|f\|_{\text {nat }}}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}}<\frac{\epsilon}{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z$ with $\delta<\|z\|<1$. Using the following limit again:

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}}=0
$$

there is a $\tau \in(\delta, 1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}}<\frac{\epsilon}{3 \log \frac{2}{1-\delta}\|f\|_{n a t}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z$ with $\tau<\|z\|<1$. By (3.1-3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(z)| \leq|f(0)|+\int_{0}^{1}\left\|f^{\prime}(t z)\right\|\|z\| d t \\
& =|f(0)|+\int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\|z\|}}\left\|f^{\prime}(t z)\right\|\|z\| d t+\int_{\frac{\delta}{\| k \mid}}^{1}\left\|f^{\prime}(t z)\right\|\|z\| d t \\
& \leq|f(0)|+\|z\| \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{|z|}} \frac{\|f\|_{\text {nat }}}{1-\|t z\|^{2}} d t+\int_{\frac{\delta}{\|z\|}}^{1} \frac{\epsilon\|z\|}{3\left(1-t^{2}\|z\|^{2}\right)} d t \\
& \leq|f(0)|+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}\|f\|_{n a t}+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\|z\|}{1-\|z\|} \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
& \leq|f(0)|+\log \frac{2}{1-\delta}\|f\|_{\text {nat }}+\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
& <\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \frac{\epsilon}{3}+\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \frac{\epsilon}{3}+\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\
& =\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z$ with $\tau<\|z\|<1$, that is

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \frac{|f(z)|}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}}=0 .
$$

Proposition 3.3. The little Bloch-type space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ with

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{j}-f\right\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}=0, \text { for } f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)
$$

$\forall \epsilon>0$, there is a $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{j}-f\right\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

for all $j$ with $j \geq j_{0}$. Since $f_{j_{0}} \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text { for } \delta<\|z\|<1
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)-f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\|+\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}}\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)-f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\|+\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|f_{j_{0}}-f\right\|_{\text {nat }- \text { Bloch }}+\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \\
& <\epsilon, \text { for } \delta<\|z\|<1,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.

The following theorem describes the boundedness of the product-type operator $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}$ : $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ and $\varphi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}, \mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$.
(1) If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|=0,  \tag{3.4}\\
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|=0,  \tag{3.5}\\
M:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, },}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded.
(2) If $\sup _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_{X}}}\left|\ell_{\varphi(a)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a) z\right)\right|=\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right\|$, for $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$ with $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat,0 }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded, then (3.4), (3.6) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\{z \in \mathbb{B}:: r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1\}} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$.
Proof. (1) First, assume that (3.4)-(3.7) holds. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. Since $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right), \mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, },}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. Therefore, from the closed graph theorem, we only need to prove that $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. For an arbitrarily small positive number $\epsilon$, if $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a $0<\delta_{1}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 L} \\
\left(\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}\right)^{-1}|f(z)|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 M}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $z$ with $\delta_{1}<\|z\|<1$. If $\|\varphi(z)\|>\delta_{1}$, it from (3.6) and (3.7) follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R}\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z)\right|=\omega(z)\left|\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi ( z ) \| | | f ^ { \prime } ( \varphi ( z ) ) \| \left\|\left|\varphi ^ { \prime } ( z ) z \left\|+\omega(z)\left|f(\varphi(z)) \| \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leq \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\| \epsilon}{2 L\left(1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}\right)}+\frac{\epsilon \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}}}{2 M} \\
& <\epsilon \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z$ with $\delta_{1}<\|z\|<1$. Choose a constant $K_{1}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq K_{1}$ for all $\|z\| \leq \delta_{1}$. Since for $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, we have $\|f\|_{\text {nat }}=\sup \left\{\left(1-\|z\|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|: z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}\right\}<\infty$, therefore

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\text {nat }}}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{n a t}}{1-\delta_{1}^{2}}
$$

for all $\|z\| \leq \delta_{1}$. Choose a constant $K=\max \left\{K_{1}, \frac{\|f\|_{\text {nat }}}{1-\delta_{1}^{2}}\right\}$, then $|f(z)| \leq K$ and $\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq K$ for all $\|z\| \leq \delta_{1}$. Using (3.4) and (3.5), there is a $\delta_{2} \in\left(\delta_{1}, 1\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 K}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z) \left\lvert\, \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 K}\right. \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z$ with $\delta_{2}<\|z\|<1$. If $\|\varphi(z)\| \leq \delta_{1}$, using (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R}\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z)\right| \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left\|\left|f^{\prime}(\varphi(z))\right|\right\|\right| \varphi^{\prime}(z) z \|+\omega(z)|f(\varphi(z))|\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq K \omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left\|| | \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|+K \omega(z)\right| \psi^{\prime}(z) z \mid \\
& <\epsilon \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z$ with $\delta_{2}<\|z\|<1$. From (3.9) and (3.12), we conclude that

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R}\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z)\right|=0
$$

Hence $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. So $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded.
(2) Suppose $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}^{\omega}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. That means that $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. If we choose $f(z)=1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, },}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|=\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right\|_{\omega, \infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }}=C<\infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|=\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f(z)\right|=0
$$

that is, (3.4) holds.
To prove (3.8) holds, fix $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$; if $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, let $w=\varphi(a)$ and $\ell_{w} \in T(w)$ be fixed. We take $f_{a}: \mathbb{B}_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}($ see (2.6)). Then

$$
f_{a}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\|\varphi(a)\|}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}(z)\right)^{2}} \ell_{w}^{\prime}(z)
$$

therefore,

$$
\left\|f_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{\|\varphi(a)\|}{\left|1-\|\varphi(a)\| \ell_{w}(z)\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{(1-\|\varphi(a)\|)^{2}}
$$

so $f_{a} \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ with $\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{n a t} \leq \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|} \leq \frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}$. Hence, using the triangle inequality and (2.6)-(2.8), we get for $0<r<\|\varphi(a)\|<1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n a t, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)} \\
& \geq\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{n a t-B l o c h}\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n a t, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)} \geq\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f_{a}\right\|_{\omega, \infty} \\
& =\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& =\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi(z)\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(z) z+\left(f_{a} \circ \varphi\right)(z) \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \geq \frac{\omega(a) \mid \psi(a)\|\varphi(a)\|\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(a) a\right\|}{\left(1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\omega(a)\left|\psi^{\prime}(a) a\right|}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1} \frac{\omega(z) \mid \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r} \sup _{r<\|(z)\|<1} \frac{\omega(z)\|\varphi(z)\| \psi(z)\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right\|}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\left(5-r^{2}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n a t, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)}+\sup _{r<\|\varphi(z)\|<1} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z z\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\left(5-r^{2}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{n a t, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)}+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (3.8) holds.
Next, we will prove (3.6). For given $a \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$ if $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$, consider the function $g_{a}$ given by (2.9). Using (2.10), we have for $z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|g_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|}+\left|\frac{2 \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \varphi((a)}(z)}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}}\right| \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|} \\
&\left.\leq \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|}+\frac{4\left(\log \left|\frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\| \varphi_{\varphi(a)}(z)}\right|\right.}{}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\
&(1-\|\varphi(a)\|) \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|}+\frac{4\left(\log \frac{4}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{(1-\|\varphi(a)\|) \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(a)\|^{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, $g_{a} \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ for $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\sup _{\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}}\left\|g_{a}\right\|_{\text {nat }} \leq C$. By (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \omega(z)\left|\psi(z) g_{z}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime}(z) z+g_{z}(\varphi(z)) \psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\left(\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{z}\right)(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} g_{z}\right\|_{\omega, \infty} \leq\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)}\left\|g_{z}\right\|_{\text {nat-Bloch }} \\
& \leq\left\|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)}(C+3 \log 2)<\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi(z) \in \mathbb{B}_{X} \backslash\{0\}$. If $\varphi(z)=0$, using (3.13) and $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|\varphi(z)\|^{2}} \\
& =\log 2 \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \leq \log 2 \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which and (3.15) prove that the condition in (3.6) is necessary, finishing the proof of the theorem.
Corollary 3.5. Suppose $\psi \in H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded if and only if $\psi \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right|=0,  \tag{3.16}\\
J:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty, \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Sufficiency. It is clear.
Necessity. Assume that $\mathcal{R} M_{\psi}: \mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is bounded. Then $\psi \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right),(3.17)$ holds and

$$
\sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\||z \||<1\}\right.} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}<\infty,
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$. From which we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}} & \leq \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}:\|z\| \mid \leq r\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}+\sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}: r<\| \| z \|<1\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \sup _{\left\{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}:\|z\| \leq \leq r\right\}} \frac{\omega(z)|\psi(z)|}{1-\|z\|^{2}}+C<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is condition (3.18) holds. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}_{X}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{J}{\log \frac{2}{1-\|z\|^{2}}} \rightarrow 0,(\|z\| \rightarrow 1),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (3.16) holds.

When $X=\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{X}=\mathbb{D}$, we have the following corollary:
Corollary 3.6. Let $\psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{0}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if and only if $\psi^{\prime} \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|=0,  \tag{3.19}\\
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty,
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \| \varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}<\infty .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we only need to prove that if $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi}: \mathcal{B}_{0}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded, (3.19) holds. Taking $f(z)=z$, we have $f \in \mathcal{B}_{0}(\mathbb{D})$, so $\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$. In addition, we have for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)\left|\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f(z)\right|=\omega(z)\left|\left(W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
= & \omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) \varphi(z) z+\psi(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
\geq & \omega(z)\left|\psi(z) \varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right|-\omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) \varphi(z) z\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\psi^{\prime} \in H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(z)|\psi(z)|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z) z\right| \\
\leq & \omega(z)\left|\left(\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z)\right|+\omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z) \| \varphi(z) z\right| \\
\leq & \omega(z)\left|\left(\mathcal{R} W_{\psi, \varphi} f\right)(z)\right|+\omega(z)\left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
\rightarrow & 0(|z| \rightarrow 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (3.19) holds.

## 4. Conclusions

There has been huge interest in the operators on subspaces of $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Up to now, there have been fewer results on the product of the weighted composition operator and the radial derivative operator on subspaces of $H\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$. Thus, our hope is that this exposition will inspire more work in this area. In this study, our aim is to investigate the boundedness of the product of the radial derivative operator and the weighted composition operator from the natural Bloch spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (or the little Bloch spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat, } 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ ) into the weighted-type spaces $H_{\omega}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ (or the little weighted-type spaces $H_{\omega, 0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ ). This provides a good starting point for discussion and further research. Of course, working with operators on the unit ball of Banach spaces $X$ has some difficulties compared to the product of operators on the subspace of all holomorphic functions on the open unit disc or the unit ball. Mainly because the test function in the natural Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ or $\mathcal{B}_{\text {nat }, 0}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ is not easy to obtain. Just because of this, this is an interesting topic for future work.

## Author contributions

Xiaoman Liu: Investigation; validation; supervision; writing-review and editing. Yongmin Liu: Proposed the investigation in the paper; project administration; writing-review and editing. All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. They also read and approved the final manuscript.

## Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare that they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

## Acknowledgments

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771184, 11771188), Basic Research Program of Jiangsu Province (Grant Nos. BK20210380, BK20221508) and High Level Personnel Project of Jiangsu Province of China (Grant No. JSSCBS20210277). These authors gratefully acknowledge the support of these organizations.

## Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## References

1. J. Anderson, J. Clunie, C. Pommerenke, On Bloch functions and normal functions, J. Reine Angew. Math., 270 (1974), 12-37. https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1974.270.12
2. R. M. Aron, P. Galindo, M. Lindström, Compact homomorphisms between algebras of analytic functions, Studia Math., 123 (1997), 235-247.
3. O. Blasco, P. Galindo, M. Lindström, A. Miralles, Composition operators on the Bloch space of the unit ball of a Hilbert space, Banach J. Math. Anal., 11 (2017), 311-334. https://doi.org/10.1215/17358787-0000005X
4. O. Blasco, P. Galindo, A. Miralles, Bloch functions on the unit ball of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, J. Funct. Anal., 267 (2014), 1188-1204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.04.018
5. C. H. Chu, H. Hamada, T. Honda, G. Kohr, Bloch functions on bounded symmetric domains, J. Funct. Anal., 272 (2017), 2412-2441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2016.11.005
6. F. Colonna, New criteria for boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators mapping into the Bloch space, Centr. Eur. J. Math., 11 (2013), 55-73. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-012-0097-4
7. F. Colonna, M. Tjani, Operator norms and essential norms of weighted composition operators between Banach spaces of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 434 (2016), 93-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.08.073
8. C. C. Cowen, B. D. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1995.
9. F. Deng, C. Ouyang, Bloch spaces on bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, Sci. China Ser. A, 49 (2006), 1625-1632. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-006-2050-0
10. Z. S. Fang, Z. H. Zhou, New characterizations of the weighted composition operators between Bloch type spaces in the polydisk, Can. Math. Bull., 57 (2014), 794-802. https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2013-043-4
11. D. García, M. Maestre, P. Rueda, Weighted spaces of holomorphic functions on Banach spaces, Studia Math., 138 (2000), 1-24.
12. D. García, M. Maestre, P. Sevilla-Peris, Composition operators between weighted spaces of holomorphic functions on Banach spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 29 (2004), 81-98.
13. H. Hamada, Weighted composition operators from $H^{\infty}$ to the Bloch space of infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domains, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 12 (2018), 207-216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-016-0624-6
14. H. Hamada, Bloch-type spaces and extended Cesàro operators in the unit ball of a complex Banach space, Sci. China Math., 62 (2019), 617-628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-017-9183-5
15. R. A. Hibschweiler, Products of composition, differentiation and multiplication from the Cauchy spaces to the Zygmund space, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 60 (2023), 1061-1070. https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b220471
16. R. A. Hibschweiler, N. Portnoy, Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 35 (2005), 843-855. https://doi.org/10.1216/rmjm/1181069709
17. C. S. Huang, Z. J. Jiang, Product-type operators from weighted Bergman-Orlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 519 (2023), 126739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2022.126739
18. C. S. Huang, Z. J. Jiang, On a sum of more complex product-type operators from Bloch-type spaces to the weighted-type spaces, Axioms, 12 (2023), 566. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12060566
19. C. S. Huang, Z. J. Jiang, Y. F. Xue, Sum of some product-type operators from mixednorm spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, AIMS Math., 7 (2022), 18194-18217. https://doi.org/10.3934/math. 20221001
20. O. Hyvärinen, I. Nieminen, Weighted composition followed by differentiation between Bloch-type spaces, Rev. Mat. Complut., 27 (2014), 641-656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13163-013-0138-y
21. S. Li, S. Stević, Composition followed by differentiation between $H^{\infty}$ and $\alpha$-Bloch spaces, Houston J. Math., 35 (2009), 327-340.
22. S. Li, S. Stević, Products of integral-type operators and composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 349 (2009), 596-610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.09.014
23. S. Li, S. Stević, Products of composition and differentiation operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch spaces and Bers spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 217 (2010), 3144-3154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.08.047
24. S. Li, J. Zhou, A note of weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 52 (2015), 1711-1719. https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2015.52.5.1711
25. X. Liu, Y. Liu, Weighted composition operators between the Bloch type space and the Hardy space on the unit ball of complex Banach spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 43 (2022), 1578-1590. https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2022.2112599
26. Y. Liu, Y. Yu, Weighted composition operators between the Bloch type space and $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}\right)$ of infinite dimensional bounded symmetric domains, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 13 (2019), 15951608. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-018-00884-w
27. X. Liu, S. Li, Norm and essential norm of a weighted composition operator on the Bloch space, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 87 (2017), 309-325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-017-2349-y
28. J. S. Manhas, R. Zhao, New estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch type spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 389 (2012), 32-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.11.039
29. A. Miralles, Bloch functions on the unit ball on a Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 149 (2021), 1459-1470. https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14966
30. S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff, R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 33 (2003), 191-215. https://doi.org/10.1216/rmjm/1181069993
31. S. Ohno, R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators on the Bloch space, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 63 (2001), 177-185. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700019250
32. C. Pommerenke, On Bloch functions, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 2 (1970), 689-695. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/2.Part_4.689
33. J. S. Manhas, Weighted composition operators and dynamical systems on weighted spaces of holomorphic functions on Banach spaces, Ann. Funct. Anal., 4 (2013), 58-71. https://doi.org/10.15352/afa/1399899525
34. B. Sehba, S. Stević, On some product-type operators from Hardy-Orlicz and BergmanOrlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 233 (2014), 565-581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.01.002
35. A. L. Shields, D. L. Williams, Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 162 (1971), 287-302. https://doi.org/10.2307/1995754
36. S. Stević, Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 16 (2009), 623-635. https://doi.org/10.36045/bbms/1257776238
37. S. Stević, Composition followed by differentiation from $H^{\infty}$ and the Bloch space to $n$th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk, Appl. Math. Comput., 216 (2010), 3450-3458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.03.117
38. S. Stević, On a new product-type operator on the unit ball, J. Math. Inequal., 16 (2022), 1675-1692. https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-2022-16-109
39. S. Stević, Note on a new class of operators between some spaces of holomorphic functions, AIMS Math., 8 (2023), 4153-4167. http://doi.org/10.3934/math. 2023207
40. S. Stević, Z. J. Jiang, Weighted iterated radial composition operators from weighted BergmanOrlicz spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 44 (2021), 86848696. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma. 7298
41. S. Stević, Z. J. Jiang, Weighted iterated radial composition operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 45 (2022), 3083-3097. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma. 7978
42. S. Stević, C. S. Huang, Z. J. Jiang, Sum of some product-type operators from Hardy spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 45 (2022), 11581-11600. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma. 8467
43. S. Stević, A. K. Sharma, On a product-type operator between Hardy and $\alpha$-Bloch spaces of the upper half-plane, J. Inequal. Appl., 2018 (2018), 273. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-018-1867-8
44. S. Stević, A. K. Sharma, A. Bhat, Essential norm of products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2011), 23862397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.06.055
45. S. Stević, A. K. Sharma, A. Bhat, Products of multiplication, composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman space, Appl. Math. Comput., 217 (2011), 8115-8125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.014
46. R. M. Timoney, Bloch functions in several complex variables, I, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 12 (1980), 241-267. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/12.4.241
47. Z. Tu, L. Xiong, Weighted space and Bloch-type space on the unit ball of an infinite dimensional complex Banach space, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 45 (2019), 1389-1406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-019-00204-8
48. S. Wang, M. Wang, X. Guo, Products of composition, multiplication and iterated differentiation operators between Banach spaces of holomorphic functions, Taiwanese J. Math., 24 (2020), 355376. https://doi.org/10.11650/tjm/190405
49. L. X. Zhang, Product of composition and differentiation operators and closures of weighted Bergman spaces in Bloch type spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2019 (2019), 310. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2259-4
50. J. Zhou, X. Zhu, Product of differentiation and composition operators on the logarithmic Bloch space, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014 (2014), 453. https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2014-453
51. K. Zhu, Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball, New York: Springer, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-27539-8
52. X. Zhu, Products of differentiation, composition and multiplication from Bergman type spaces to Bers type spaces, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 18 (2007), 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701210250
© 2024 Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)
