



---

*Research article*

## Unilateral global interval bifurcation and one-sign solutions for Kirchhoff type problems

Wenguo Shen\*

College of General Education, Guangdong University of Science and Technology, Dongguan 523083, Guangdong, China

\* **Correspondence:** Email: shenwg369@163.com.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the following Kirchhoff type problems:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \lambda u^3 + g(u, \lambda), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a parameter. Under some natural hypotheses on  $g$  and  $\Omega$ , we establish a unilateral global bifurcation result from interval for the above problem. By applying the above result, under some suitable assumptions on nonlinearity, we shall investigate the existence of one-sign solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type problems.

**Keywords:** unilateral global interval bifurcation; one-sign solutions; Kirchhoff type problems

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35B32, 35P05

---

### 1. Introduction

Consider the following Kirchhoff type problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \lambda u^3 + g(u, \lambda), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a parameter. The problem (1.1) is nonlocal as the appearance of the term  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$  which implies that it is not a pointwise identity. This causes some mathematical difficulties which make the study for the problem (1.1) particularly interesting. The main difficulties when dealing with this problem lie in the presence of the nonlocal terms which arises in nonlinear vibrations and the analogous to the stationary case of equations that arise in the study of string or membrane vibrations.

The problem (1.1) is related to the stationary problem of a model introduced by Kirchhoff in 1883 [1]. More precisely, Kirchhoff proposed a model given by the equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = f(x, u),$$

where  $\rho, \rho_0, h, E$  and  $L$  are constants,  $f$  is the external force, by considering the effect of the changing in the length of the string during the vibration. After the famous article by Lions [2], Eq (1.1) received much attention, and some important and interesting results have been obtained, for example, see [3–5]. Recently, by using mountain pass theorem, and so on, the authors [6–8] have studied the existence of weak solution, etc. for the Kirchhoff equations. Meanwhile, by applying the bifurcation techniques, there are some papers to study Kirchhoff type problems, see for example [9–13].

Assume  $\Omega$  and  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy the following conditions:

(H0)  $\Omega$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (i)  $\Omega$  is an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for  $N = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- (ii)  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$ , and convex in the  $x$  and  $y$  directions or
- (iii)  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is convex.

(H1) There exist  $c > 0$  and  $p \in (1, 2^*)$  such that  $|g(s, \lambda)| \leq c(1 + |s|^{(p-1)})$ , where

$$2^* = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2}, & N > 2, \\ +\infty, & N \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s, \lambda)}{s^3} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

uniformly for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $E := H_0^1(\Omega)$  with the norm  $\|u\| = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{1/2}$ . Let  $Q^+ = \{u \in E | u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$  and set  $Q^- = -Q^+$  and  $Q = Q^+ \cup Q^-$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$  denote the closure in  $\mathbb{R} \times Q^{\pm}$  of the set of nontrivial solutions of (1.1).

From [13], obviously, the problem (1.1) can be equivalently written as  $u = F(\lambda u^3 + H(\lambda, u)) := G_{\lambda}(u)$ , where  $H(\lambda, \cdot)$  denotes the usual Nemytskii operator associated with  $g$ . From condition (H1) and noting  $4 < 2^*$ , we can see that  $G_{\lambda} : E \rightarrow E$  is completely continuous and  $G_{\lambda}(0) = 0, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By Rabinowitz [14], Dai [13] obtained the following global bifurcation lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** (See [13]) Let (H0), (H1) and (1.2) hold. Then  $(\lambda_1, 0)$  is a bifurcation point of problem (1.1) and the associated bifurcation continuum  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , whose closure contains  $(\lambda_1, 0)$ , is either unbounded or contains a pair  $(\mu, 0)$ , where  $\mu$  is another eigenvalue of problem (1.3), and  $\lambda_1$  is the principal eigenvalue of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = \lambda u^3, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

By [12, Theorem 1.2],  $\lambda_1$  is simple, isolated and is the unique principal eigenvalue of the problem, and  $\varphi_1$  is the principal eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

By Dancer [15, Theorem 2.1], there are two continua  $\mathcal{C}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-$  consisting of the bifurcation branch  $\mathcal{C}$  emanating from  $(\lambda_1, 0)$ , which satisfy:

**Lemma 1.2.** Both  $\mathcal{C}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-$  are unbounded and

$$\mathcal{C}^\nu \subset (\mathbb{R} \times P^\nu \cup \{(\lambda_1, 0)\}),$$

where  $\nu \in \{+, -\}$ .

However, among the above papers, the nonlinearities are differentiable at the origin. In [16], Berestycki established an important global bifurcation theorem from intervals for a class of second-order problems involving non-differentiable nonlinearity. Recently, Dai and Ma [17] also considered a class of high-dimensional p-Laplacian problems involving non-differentiable nonlinearity, and the author [18,19] studied interval bifurcation for the Monge-Ampere equations and Kirchhoff type problems involving non-differentiable nonlinearity, respectively. In 2018, Dai [20] established interval bifurcation theorem from the trivial solutions axis by a new method.

Motivated by above papers, we shall consider the Kirchhoff type problem (1.1). We assume that  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the following conditions:

(H2)  $g(s, \mu)s > 0$  for any  $s \neq 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(H3) There exist  $\underline{g}_0, \bar{g}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\underline{g}_0 \neq \bar{g}_0$  such that

$$\underline{g}_0 = \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s, \mu)}{s^3}, \quad \bar{g}_0 = \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s, \mu)}{s^3}$$

uniformly for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(H4) There exist  $\underline{g}_\infty, \bar{g}_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\underline{g}_\infty \neq \bar{g}_\infty$  such that

$$\underline{g}_\infty = \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(s, \mu)}{s^3}, \quad \bar{g}_\infty = \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(s, \mu)}{s^3}$$

uniformly for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Under (H0)–(H4), we shall establish the Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 for the problem (1.1), which bifurcates from the trivial solutions axis or from infinity, respectively.

Furthermore, by applying the above results (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2), we shall investigate the existence of one-sign solutions for the following Kirchhoff type problems:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = rf(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $r$  is a parameter. Let  $f$  satisfies:

(H5)  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(s)s > 0$  for any  $s \neq 0$ ;

(H6) There exist  $\underline{f}_0, \bar{f}_0, \underline{f}_\infty, \bar{f}_\infty \in (0, +\infty)$  with  $\bar{f}_\infty < \underline{f}_0$  or  $\bar{f}_0 < \underline{f}_\infty$  such that

$$\underline{f}_0 = \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s^3}, \quad \bar{f}_0 = \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s^3},$$

$$\underline{f}_\infty = \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s^3}, \quad \bar{f}_\infty = \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s^3}.$$

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we establish the unilateral global bifurcation results for the problem (1.1) from the trivial solutions axis or from infinity, respectively. In Section 3, on the basis of the unilateral global interval bifurcation results, we shall investigate the existence of one-sign solutions for the Kirchhoff type problems (1.4).

## 2. Unilateral global bifurcation from an interval at 0 and $\infty$

We have our first result on (1.1):

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that (H0)–(H3) hold. Let  $I_0 = [\lambda_1 - \bar{g}_0, \lambda_1 - \underline{g}_0]$ . The component  $\mathcal{C}^v$  of  $\mathcal{S}^v \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$ , containing  $I_0 \times \{0\}$  is unbounded and lies in  $(\mathbb{R} \times P^v) \cup (\bar{I}_0 \times \{0\})$ .

To prove Theorem 2.1, we introduce the following auxiliary approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = \lambda u^3 + g(u|u|^\epsilon, \lambda), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The next lemma provides the a priori bounds for the solutions of problem (2.1) near the trivial solution.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\epsilon_n$ ,  $0 < \epsilon_n < 1$ , be a sequence converging to 0. If there exists a sequence  $(\lambda_n, u_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times P^v$  such that  $(\lambda_n, u_n)$  is a nontrivial solution of problem (2.1) corresponding to  $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$ , and  $(\lambda_n, u_n)$  converges to  $(\lambda, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times X$ , then  $\lambda \in I_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$ , then  $v_n$  is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) \Delta v_n = \lambda_n v_n^3 + \frac{g(u_n |u_n|^{\epsilon_n}, \lambda_n)}{\|u_n\|^3}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\underline{g}_0 \leq \frac{g(u_n |u_n|^{\epsilon_n}, \lambda_n)}{\|u_n\|^3} \leq \bar{g}_0. \quad (2.2)$$

So, up to a subsequence, there exists  $\alpha \in [\underline{g}_0, \bar{g}_0]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u_n |u_n|^{\epsilon_n}, \lambda_n)}{\|u_n\|^3} = \alpha$$

uniformly for  $n$  large enough.

Using this fact with (2.2), we have that  $\lambda_n v_n^3 + \frac{g(u_n |u_n|^{\epsilon_n}, \lambda_n)}{\|u_n\|^3}$  is bounded for  $n$  large enough. By the completely continuous of  $G_\lambda$  implies that  $v_n$  is strong convergence in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  with  $\|v\| = 1$ . Clearly, we have  $v \in \bar{P}$ .

So, up to a subsequence, we obtain that

$$v = \lambda v^3 + \alpha v^3.$$

It follows that  $\lambda + \alpha = \lambda_1$ , which implies  $\lambda \in I_0$ .

Therefore, we have that  $\lambda \in I_0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We only prove the case of  $\mathcal{C}^+$  since the case of  $\mathcal{C}^-$  is similar.

We divide the rest of proofs into two steps.

**Step 1.** We show that  $\mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathbb{R} \times P^+ \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$ .

For any  $(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{C}^+$ , there are two possibilities: (i)  $u \geq 0$  but  $u \not\equiv 0$ , or (ii)  $u \equiv 0$ . If the case (ii) occurs, there exists a sequence  $(\lambda_n, u_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times P^+$  such that  $(\lambda_n, u_n)$  is a solution of problem (1.1), and  $(\lambda_n, u_n)$  converges to  $(\lambda, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times X$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have that  $\lambda \in I_0$ , i.e.,  $(\lambda, u) \in I_0 \times \{0\}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathbb{R} \times P^+ \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$  in the case of (ii). If the case (i) occurs, by the strong maximum principle [21, Theorem 8.19], we know that  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathbb{R} \times P^+ \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$ .

**Step 2.** Similar to the argument of Theorem 3.1 in [18], we prove that  $\mathcal{C}^+$  is unbounded.

We add the points  $\{(\lambda, \infty) | \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  to space  $\mathbb{R} \times E$ . Let  $S_N$  denote the spectral set of problem (1.3). Let  $\bar{I}_\infty = [\bar{\lambda} - \bar{g}_\infty, \bar{\lambda} - \underline{g}_\infty]$ , where  $\bar{\lambda} \in S_N \setminus \{\lambda_1\}$ . By Rabinowitz [22], our second main result for (1.1) is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (H0), (H1), (H2) and (H4) hold. Let  $I_\infty = [\lambda_1 - \bar{g}_\infty, \lambda_1 - \underline{g}_\infty]$ . There exists a connected component  $\mathcal{D}^v$  of  $\mathcal{S}^v \cup (I_\infty \times \{\infty\})$ , containing  $I_\infty \times \{\infty\}$ . Moreover, if  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval such that  $\Lambda \cap (\cup_{\bar{\lambda} \in S_N \setminus \{\lambda_1\}} (\bar{I}_\infty \cup I_\infty)) = I_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a neighborhood of  $I_\infty \times \{\infty\}$  whose projection on  $\mathbb{R}$  lies in  $\Lambda$  and whose projection on  $E$  is bounded away from 0, then either

- (1)  $\mathcal{D}^v - \mathcal{M}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R} \times E$  in which case  $\mathcal{D}^v - \mathcal{M}$  meets  $\mathcal{R} = \{(\lambda, 0) | \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  or
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}^v - \mathcal{M}$  is unbounded.

If (2) occurs and  $\mathcal{D}^v - \mathcal{M}$  has a bounded projection on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^v - \mathcal{M}$  meets  $\bar{I}_\infty$ .

*Proof.* The idea is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.6 of [22], but we give a rough sketch of the proof for readers convenience. If  $(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{S}^v$  with  $\|u\| \neq 0$ , dividing (2.1) by  $\|u\|^6$  and setting  $v = u/\|u\|^2$  yield

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx) \Delta v = \lambda v^3 + \frac{g(u|u|^\epsilon, \lambda)}{\|u\|^6}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Define

$$\tilde{g}(v, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \|v\|^6 g(\frac{v}{\|v\|^2}, \lambda), & \text{if } v \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } v = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \lambda v^3 + \tilde{g}(v|v|^\epsilon, \lambda) \Omega, \\ v = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

It is obvious that  $(\lambda, 0)$  is always the solution of (2.4). Now, applying Theorem 2.1 to the problem (2.4), we have a connected component  $\mathcal{C}^v$  of  $\mathcal{S}^v \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$ . Under the inversion  $v \rightarrow \frac{v}{\|v\|^2} = u$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^v \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^v$  satisfying the problem (1.1). Clearly,  $\mathcal{D}^v$  satisfy the conclusions of this theorem.

### 3. One-sign solutions for Kirchhoff type problems

Let  $\Sigma^\pm$  denote the closure in  $K^\pm$  of the set of nontrivial solutions of (1.4).

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following Sturm-type comparison result.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let (H0) hold. Assume that  $b_i(x)$  are two weight functions such that  $b_i(x) \in C(\Omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Also let  $u_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be weak solution of the following differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\|u\|^2 \Delta u = b_i(x) u^3, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

respectively,  $u_1 \neq 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $b_1(x)u_1^2 \leq b_2(x)u_2^2$  for  $x \in \Omega$ , then  $u_2$  must change sign.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $u_1 > 0, u_2 > 0$ . Then, by [23, (1.1)] the following Picones identity:

$$0 \leq |\nabla u_1|^2 + |\frac{u_1}{u_2} \nabla u_2|^2 - 2\nabla u_1 (\frac{u_1}{u_2} \nabla u_2) = |\nabla u_1|^2 - \nabla (\frac{u_1^2}{u_2}) \nabla u_2.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u_1|^2 - \nabla \left( \frac{u_1^2}{u_2} \right) \nabla u_2 \right] dx = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{u_1}{u_2} (u_2 L[u_1] - u_1 L[u_2]) \right] = \int_{\Omega} [b_1(x)u_1^2 - b_2(x)u_2^2]u_1^2 \leq 0,$$

where  $L[u] = -\Delta u$ .

Moreover, we have

$$|\nabla u_2|^2 + \left| \frac{u_2}{u_1} \nabla u_1 \right|^2 - 2 \nabla u_2 \left( \frac{u_2}{u_1} \nabla u_1 \right) \equiv 0,$$

so  $\nabla \left( \frac{u_2}{u_1} \right) = 0$ , a.e.  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $u_1 = ku_2$  for some constant  $k$  in each component of  $\Omega$ . But this is impossible since  $b_2(x) \neq b_1(x)$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ . This accomplishes the proof.

The main results of this section are the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (H0), (H5) and (H6) hold. For all

$$r \in \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{f_{-0}}, \frac{\lambda_1}{f_{\infty}} \right) \cup \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{rf_{-\infty}}, \frac{\lambda_1}{rf_0} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

problem (1.4) possesses at least two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  such that  $\nu u^\nu$  is positive for  $\nu = +, -$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let (H0) and (H5) hold. Suppose that  $f_{-0} = +\infty, f_{-\infty}, \bar{f}_{\infty} \in (0, +\infty)$ . For all

$$r \in \left( 0, \frac{\lambda_1}{f_{\infty}} \right),$$

problem (1.4) possesses at least two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  such that  $\nu u^\nu$  is positive for  $\nu = +, -$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let (H0) and (H5) hold. Suppose that  $f_{-\infty} = +\infty, f_{-0}, \bar{f}_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ . For all

$$r \in \left( 0, \frac{\lambda_1}{f_0} \right),$$

problem (1.4) possesses at least two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  such that  $\nu u^\nu$  is positive for  $\nu = +, -$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let (H0) and (H5) hold. Suppose that  $\bar{f}_0 = 0, f_{-\infty}, \bar{f}_{\infty} \in (0, +\infty)$ . For all

$$r \in \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{f_{-\infty}}, +\infty \right),$$

problem (1.4) possesses at least two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  such that  $\nu u^\nu$  is positive for  $\nu = +, -$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let (H0) and (H5) hold. Suppose that  $\bar{f}_{\infty} = 0, f_{-0}, \bar{f}_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ . For all

$$r \in \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{f_{-0}}, +\infty \right),$$

problem (1.4) possesses at least two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  such that  $\nu u^\nu$  is positive for  $\nu = +, -$ .

We construct the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = r(u^3 + g(u)), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $g = f - u^3$ . Clearly, problem (3.2) is equivalent to problem (1.4). Furthermore, we have that  $\underline{g}_0 = \underline{f}_0 - 1, \bar{g}_0 = \bar{f}_0 - 1, \underline{g}_\infty = \underline{f}_\infty - 1, \bar{g}_\infty = \bar{f}_\infty - 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \mu ru^3 + rg(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $I_0 = [\frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \bar{f}_0 + 1, \frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \underline{f}_0 + 1]$ . By Theorem 2.1, There is a distinct unbounded component  $\mathcal{C}^\nu$  of  $\mathcal{S}^\nu \cup (I_0 \times \{0\})$ , containing  $\bar{I}_0 \times \{0\}$  and lying in  $(K^\nu \cup (I_0 \times \{0\}))$ .

Set  $I_\infty = [\frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \bar{f}_\infty + 1, \frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \underline{f}_\infty + 1]$ . By Theorem 2.2, There is a distinct unbounded component  $\mathcal{D}^\nu$  of  $\mathcal{S}^\nu \cup (I_\infty \times \{\infty\})$ , which satisfy the alternates of Theorem 2.2.

We claim that  $\mathcal{C}^\nu = \mathcal{D}^\nu$ .

Firstly, we shall show that  $2^o$  of Theorem 2.2 does not occur.

On the contrary, we suppose that  $(\mu_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{D}^\nu - \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \pm\infty.$$

It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(\mu_n + \frac{g(u)}{u^3}) = \pm\infty$ .

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(\mu_n + \frac{g(u)}{u^3}) = -\infty$ , applying Lemma 3.1 to  $v_n$  and  $\varphi_1$ , we can get that  $\varphi_1$  must change its sign for  $n$  large enough. While, this is impossible. So we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(\mu_n + \frac{g(u)}{u^3}) = +\infty$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 to  $\varphi_1$  and  $v_n$ , we get that  $v_n$  must change its sign for  $n$  large enough, and this contradicts the fact that  $v_n \in P^\nu$ .

So case (1) of Theorem 2.2 must happen, i.e., there exist some point  $(\mu_*, 0) \in \mathcal{D}^\nu$ . By Lemma 2.1, one obtain that  $\mu_* \in I_0$ , and it follows that  $\mathcal{C}^\nu = \mathcal{D}^\nu$ .

Obviously, for any solution  $(1, v)$  of (3.3), it yields a solution  $v$  of (3.2). By (H6) and (3.1), we have that  $I_0 \cap I_\infty = \emptyset$  and  $\frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \underline{f}_0 + 1 < 1 < \frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \bar{f}_\infty + 1$  or  $\frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \underline{f}_\infty + 1 < 1 < \frac{\lambda_1}{r} - \bar{f}_0 + 1$ . It follows that the subsets  $I_0 \times E$  and  $I_\infty \times E$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times E$  can be separated by the hyperplane  $\{1\} \times E$ . Furthermore, we have  $\mathcal{C}^\nu$  cross the hyperplane  $\{1\} \times E$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times E$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* By [24], define  $f$  as the following:

$$f^{[n]}(s) := \begin{cases} ns, & s \in [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}], \\ [f(\frac{2}{n}) - 1](ns - 2) + f(\frac{2}{n}), & s \in (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}), \\ -[f(-\frac{2}{n}) + 1](ns + 2) + f(-\frac{2}{n}), & s \in (-\frac{2}{n}, -\frac{1}{n}), \\ f(s), & s \in (-\infty, -\frac{2}{n}] \cup [\frac{2}{n}, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \mu r f^{[n]}(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, we can see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{[n]}(s) = f(s), (f^{[n]})_0 = n, \underline{f}_\infty^{[n]} = \underline{f}_\infty, \bar{f}_\infty^{[n]} = \bar{f}_\infty$ .

By Theorem 2.1, there exists an unbounded continuum  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$  of solutions of the problem (3.4) emanating from  $(\frac{\lambda_1}{m}, 0)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]} \subset ((\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^\nu) \cup \{(\frac{\lambda_1}{m}, 0)\})$ .

Taking  $z^* = (0, 0)$ , we easily obtain that  $z^* \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$ . We obtain that  $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}) \cap \bar{B}_R$  is pre-compact.

Therefore, by [25, Lemma 2.1],  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$  is unbounded closed connected such that  $z^* \in \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$ .

For any  $(\mu, u) \in \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$ , by [26, P. 7], it follows that  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$ . So we have that  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu} \subset ((\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}^{\nu}) \cup \{(0, 0)\})$ .

For any sequence  $(\mu_n, u_n) \in \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\| = +\infty$ . By  $\bar{f}_{\infty}, \underline{f}_{\infty} \in (0, +\infty)$ , for  $n$  large enough, one can obtain that  $\frac{f(u_n)}{u_n^3}$  is bounded. In the following, we have  $\alpha \in [\bar{f}_{\infty}, \underline{f}_{\infty}]$  such that

$$\frac{f(u_n)}{u_n^3} = \alpha$$

for  $n$  large enough. Similar the proof of Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.1 implies that  $\mu_n$  is bounded. So, up to a sequence, we have that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then reasoning as that of Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\mu \in \left[ \frac{\mu_1}{rf_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_1}{r\underline{f}_{\infty}} \right].$$

So,  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu}$  joins  $(0, 0)$  to  $[\frac{\mu_1}{rf_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_1}{r\underline{f}_{\infty}}] \times \{\infty\}$ . By the structure of  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu}$ , there exist two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* We define the cut-off function of  $f$  as the following:

$$f^{[n]}(s) := \begin{cases} ns, & s \in (-\infty, -2n] \cup [2n, +\infty), \\ \frac{2n^2+f(-n)}{n}(s+n) + f(-n), & s \in (-2n, -n), \\ \frac{2n^2-f(n)}{n}(s-n) + f(n), & s \in (n, 2n), \\ f(s), & s \in [-n, n]. \end{cases}$$

We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = \mu r f^{[n]}(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Clearly, we can see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{[n]}(s) = f(s)$ ,  $(f^{[n]})_{\infty} = n$ ,  $\underline{f}_0^{[n]} = \underline{f}_0$ ,  $\bar{f}_0^{[n]} = \bar{f}_0$ .

By Theorem 2.2, there exists an unbounded continuum  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$  of solutions of the problem (3.5) emanating from  $(\frac{\lambda_1}{m}, \infty)$ , such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]} \subset ((\mathbb{R} \times P^{\nu}) \cup \{(\frac{\lambda_1}{m}, \infty)\})$ ,  $\nu \in \{+, -\}$ .

Taking  $z_n = (\frac{\lambda_1}{m}, \infty)$  and  $z_n \rightarrow z^* = (0, \infty)$ , we easily obtain that  $z^* \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$  with  $\|z^*\| = +\infty$ .

Therefore, by [25, Theorem 2.2], there exists an unbounded component  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu}$  in  $E := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]}$  and  $z^* \in \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$ ,  $\nu \in \{+, -\}$ .

From  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{[n]}(s) = f(s)$ , (3.5) can be converted to the equivalent equation (1.4). Since  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu[n]} \subset (\mathbb{R} \times P^{\nu})$ , we conclude  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu} \subset (\mathbb{R} \times P^{\nu})$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{D}^{\nu} \subset \Sigma^{\nu}$  by (1.4).

For any sequence  $(\mu_n, u_n) \in \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n\| = 0$ . In view of  $\bar{f}_0, \underline{f}_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ , we can see that  $\frac{f(u_n)}{u_n^3}$  is bounded for  $n$  large enough.



For  $n$  large enough, one can obtain that  $\frac{f(u_n)}{u_n^3}$  is bounded. In the following, we have  $\alpha \in [\bar{f}_0, \underline{f}_0]$  such that

$$\frac{f(u_n)}{u_n^3} = \alpha$$

for  $n$  large enough. If  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , by Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\mu \in \left[ \frac{\mu_1}{rf_0}, \frac{\mu_1}{rf_{-0}} \right].$$

So,  $\mathcal{D}^v$  joins  $\left[ \frac{\mu_1}{rf_0}, \frac{\mu_1}{rf_{-0}} \right] \times \{0\}$  to  $(0, \infty)$ .

By the structure of  $\mathcal{D}^v$ , there exist two one-sign solutions  $u^+$  and  $u^-$ .

*Proof of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.* Similar the proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we can obtain Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, I establish a unilateral global bifurcation result for the Kirchhoff type problem (1.1) from an interval lying on trivial solution axis or an interval at infinity, respectively. By applying the above results, under some suitable assumptions on nonlinearity, I shall investigate the existence of one-sign solutions for a class of Kirchhoff type problem (1.4).

#### Use of AI tools declaration

The author declares he has not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

#### Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

#### References

1. G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Leipzig: Teubner, 1883.
2. J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, *North Holland Math. Stud.*, **30** (1978), 284–346. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208\(08\)70870-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208(08)70870-3)
3. C. Chen, Y. Kuo, T. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problem involving sign-changing weight functions, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **250** (2011), 1876–1908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2010.11.017>
4. S. Liang, S. Shi, Soliton solutions to Kirchhoff type problems involving the critical growth in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **81** (2013), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2012.12.003>
5. G. Autuori, F. Colasuonno, P. Pucci, On the existence of stationary solutions for higher-order p-Kirchhoff problems, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **16** (2014), 1450002. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199714500023>

6. S. Gupta, G. Dwivedi, Ground state solution to N-Kirchhoff equation with critical exponential growth and without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. 2*, **2023** (2023), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12215-023-00902-7>
7. P. H. Lv, G. G. Lin, X. J. Lv, The asymptotic behaviors of solutions for higher-order  $(m_1, m_2)$ -coupled Kirchhoff models with nonlinear strong damping, *Demonstratio Math.*, **56** (2023), 20220197. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2022-0197>
8. E. Toscano, C. Vetro, D. Wardowski, Systems of Kirchhoff type equations with gradient dependence in the reaction term via subsolution-supersolution method, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S*, **16** (2023), 2213–2229. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2023070>
9. F. Y. Li, J. P. Shi, Z. P. Liang, Positive solutions to Kirchhoff type equations with nonlinearity having prescribed asymptotic behavior, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **31** (2014), 155–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2013.01.006>
10. G. M. Figueiredo, C. Morales-Rodrigo, J. R. S. Júnior, A. Suárez, Study of a nonlinear Kirchhoff equation with non-homogeneous material, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **416** (2014), 597–608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.02.067>
11. G. W. Dai, H. Y. Wang, B. X. Yang, Global bifurcation and positive solution for a class of fully nonlinear problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **69** (2015), 771–776. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2015.02.020>
12. G. W. Dai, Eigenvalue, global bifurcation and positive solutions for a class of nonlocal elliptic equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **48** (2016), 213–233. <https://doi.org/10.12775/TMNA.2016.043>
13. G. W. Dai, Some global results for a class of homogeneous nonlocal eigenvalue problems, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **21** (2019), 1750093. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199717500936>
14. P. H. Rabinowitz, Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **7** (1971), 487–513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(71\)90030-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(71)90030-9)
15. E. N. Dancer, On the structure of solutions of non-linear eigenvalue problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **23** (1974), 1069–1076. <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1974.23.23087>
16. H. Berestycki, On some nonlinear Sturm-Liouville problems, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **26** (1977), 375–390. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(77\)90086-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(77)90086-9)
17. G. W. Dai, R. Y. Ma, Global bifurcation, Berestycki’s conjecture and one-sign solutions for p-Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **91** (2013), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2013.06.003>
18. W. G. Shen, Unilateral global interval bifurcation for Kirchhoff type problems and its applications, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **17** (2018), 21–37. <https://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2018002>
19. W. G. Shen, Global interval bifurcation and convex solutions for the Monge-Ampere equations, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, **2018** (2018), 1–15.
20. G. W. Dai, Global structure of one-sign solutions for problem with mean curvature operator, *Nonlinearity*, **31** (2018), 5309. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aadf43>
21. D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61798-0>

22. P. H. Rabinowitz, On bifurcation from infinity, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **14** (1973), 462–475. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(73\)90061-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(73)90061-2)
23. W. Allegretto, Y. X. Huang, A Picone's identity for the p-Laplacian and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **32** (1998), 819–830. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(97\)00530-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(97)00530-0)
24. A. Ambrosetti, R. M. Calahorrano, F. R. Dobarro, Global branching for discontinuous problems, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **31** (1990), 213–222.
25. G. W. Dai, Two Whyburn type topological theorems and its applications to Monge-Ampere equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **55** (2016), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-016-1029-0>
26. G. T. Whyburn, *Topological analysis*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1958.



AIMS Press

©2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)