



Research article

Theoretical analysis of a class of φ -Caputo fractional differential equations in Banach space

Ma'mon Abu Hammad¹, Oualid Zentar^{2,3}, Shameseddin Alshorm^{1,*}, Mohamed Ziane^{2,3} and Ismail Zitouni²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Al Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman 11733, Jordan

² Department of Mathematics, University of Tiaret, Tiaret, Algeria

³ Laboratoire de Recherche en Intelligence Artificielle et Systèmes (LRIAS), University of Tiaret, Algeria

* Correspondence: Email: alshormanshams@gmail.com; Tel: +962788465690.

Abstract: A study of a class of nonlinear differential equations involving the φ -Caputo type derivative in a Banach space framework is presented. Weissinger's and Meir-Keeler's fixed-point theorems are used to achieve some quantitative results. Two illustrative examples are provided to justify the theoretical results.

Keywords: φ -Caputo derivative; fixed point theorem; Hausdorff measure of noncompactness

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 47H08

1. Introduction

The present paper is devoted to analyzing the following problem with a constant coefficient $\varpi > 0$ of the form:

$$\begin{cases} ({}^c\mathcal{D}_{a^+}^{\alpha;\varphi} + \varpi {}^c\mathcal{D}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1;\varphi})y(t) = g(t, y(t)), & t \in \mathfrak{J} := [a, b], \\ y(a) = y'(a) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, ${}^c\mathcal{D}_{a^+}^{\theta;\varphi}$ is the Caputo fractional derivatives concerning φ of order $\theta \in \{\alpha, \alpha - 1\}$, $g : I \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a function satisfying some hypotheses that will be precise later and $(\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a real Banach space.

The study of differential equations involving integer or non-integer order derivatives has emerged as a pivotal tool for modeling complex phenomena across diverse scientific and engineering domains.

Extensive exploration by various authors, as reflected in references such as [1–4], underscores the multifaceted nature of this theoretical framework. In contemporary research, the incorporation of non-integer order derivatives, particularly through the φ -Caputo type introduced in [5], has gained prominence in concrete modeling. Applications range from anomalous diffusions, including ultra-slow processes [6], to financial models such as the Heston model [7], random walks [8], financial crises [9], and the Verhulst model [10]. The focus has intensified on both quantitative and qualitative properties of solutions for differential problems governed by φ -Caputo type derivatives, as evident in works like [11–13]. In this context, the present research seeks to advance the findings from [14] to a more general setting. Specifically, the investigation addresses scenarios where nonlinear forcing terms operate within infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. Employing a Weissinger type fixed point theorem, the study strategically sidesteps certain additional hypotheses identified in [14, Theorem 7]. Furthermore, through the integration of fixed point techniques and the measure of noncompactness (MNC) under specific growth and compactness assumptions, the research extends the existence result initially established in [14, Theorem 6]. This comprehensive approach aims to deepen the understanding of differential equations with non-integer order derivatives, offering insights into their behavior in complex and infinite-dimensional settings [15].

The current paper is divided into four sections: We collect in Section 2 the basic background needed in the remainder of the paper. In Section 3, Weissinger's and Meir-Keeler's fixed point theorems are used to obtain a new existence criterion. Finally, two illustrative examples are presented.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we endow the space $C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ of continuous functions $z : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ by the norm

$$\|z\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \|z(t)\|, \quad \text{for all } z \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X}).$$

$L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ denotes the Banach space of Bochner integrable functions $z : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ normed by

$$\|z\|_{L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})} = \int_a^b \|z(t)\| dt, \quad \text{for all } z \in L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X}).$$

Set

$$\mathbb{S}_{a^+}^{1,+}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R}) = \{\varphi : \varphi \in C^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \varphi'(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathfrak{J}\}.$$

Letting $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}_{a^+}^{1,+}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R})$ for $s, t \in \mathfrak{J}$, ($s < t$), we define

$$\varphi(t, s) = \varphi(t) - \varphi(s) \text{ and } \varphi(t, s)^\alpha = (\varphi(t) - \varphi(s))^\alpha.$$

Definition 2.1. [16] *The Mittag-Leffler function $\mathbb{M}_\alpha(\cdot)$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{M}_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)}, \quad (\alpha > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [5, 17] The φ -fractional integral of a function f of order $\alpha > 0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha, \varphi} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \varphi(t, s)^{\alpha-1} \varphi'(s) f(s) ds, \quad t > a,$$

with $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}_{a^+}^{1,+}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2.1. [5, 17] Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha; \varphi} \varphi(t, a)^{\gamma-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \gamma)} \varphi(t, a)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}.$$

Lemma 2.2. [18] Let $\alpha > 1$ and $\varsigma > 0$. Then, for all $t \in \mathfrak{J}$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} e^{\varsigma \varphi(t, a)} \leq \frac{1}{\varsigma^{\alpha-1}} e^{\varsigma \varphi(t, a)}.$$

Definition 2.3. [5] Let $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}_{a^+}^{1,+}(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R})$. The left-sided φ -Caputo FDs of a function f of order α is defined as

$$({}^c \mathcal{D}_{a^+}^{\alpha; \varphi} f)(t) = \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{n-\alpha; \varphi} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n f(t).$$

Definition 2.4. [19] Let $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathbb{X}$ be a bounded set. The Hausdorff MNC of \mathbb{O} is defined by

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{O}) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : \mathbb{O} \text{ has a finite } \epsilon - \text{net in } \mathbb{X} \}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [19] Let $\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{X}$ be bounded. Then, Λ satisfies:

- (1) $\Lambda(\mathbb{O}) = 0 \iff \mathbb{O}$ is relatively compact.
- (2) $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathbb{V} \implies \Lambda(\mathbb{O}) \leq \Lambda(\mathbb{V})$.
- (3) $\Lambda(\mathbb{O} \cup \mathbb{V}) = \max\{\Lambda(\mathbb{O}), \Lambda(\mathbb{V})\}$.
- (4) $\Lambda(\mathbb{O}) = \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{O}}) = \Lambda(\text{conv}(\mathbb{O}))$, where $\text{conv } \mathbb{O}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ denote the convex hull and the closure of \mathbb{O} , respectively.
- (5) $\Lambda(\mathbb{O} + \mathbb{V}) \leq \Lambda(\mathbb{O}) + \Lambda(\mathbb{V})$.
- (6) $\Lambda(\lambda \mathbb{O}) \leq |\lambda| \Lambda(\mathbb{O})$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.4. [20] Let $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathbb{X}$ be bounded. Then, for all ϵ , there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{O}$ such that

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{O}) \leq 2\Lambda(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) + \epsilon.$$

The set $\mathbb{O} \subset L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ is called uniformly integrable if for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}$ we have

$$\|\zeta(t)\| \leq \delta(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathfrak{J},$$

with $\delta \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Lemma 2.5. [21] Assume that $\{\zeta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ is uniformly integrable, the map $t \mapsto \Lambda(\{\zeta_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ is measurable, and furthermore

$$\Lambda\left(\left\{\int_a^t \zeta_n(s) ds\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) \leq 2 \int_a^t \Lambda(\{\zeta_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) ds.$$

We now recall respectively the theorems of Weissinger and Meir-Keeler that we will use in the following.

Theorem 2.1. [3] *Let $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $\Theta_n \geq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta_n$ converges. If the operator $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ satisfies*

$$d(\mathcal{N}^n u, \mathcal{N}^n v) \leq \Theta_n d(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{E},$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathcal{N} has a uniquely defined fixed point u^* . Additionally, for any $v_0 \in \mathbb{E}$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{N}^n v_0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to u^* .

Definition 2.5. [22] *Let $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{X}$ be a nonempty set. We say that $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a Meir-Keeler condensing operator, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\epsilon \leq \Lambda(\mathbb{A}) < \epsilon + \eta \implies \Lambda(\mathcal{N}\mathbb{A}) < \epsilon,$$

for any bounded subset \mathbb{A} of \mathbb{B} .

Theorem 2.2. [22] *Let \mathbb{B} be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space \mathbb{X} . If $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a continuous and Meir-Keeler condensing operator, then \mathcal{N} has at least one fixed point and the set of all fixed points of \mathcal{N} in \mathbb{B} is compact.*

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *We impose the assumptions:*

(A1) $g : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a continuous function.

(A2) There exists a constant $L_g > 0$ such that

$$\|g(t, u_1) - g(t, u_2)\| \leq L_g \|u_1 - u_2\|, \quad (3.1)$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{X}$ and $t \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Then, problem (1.1) admits a unique solution on \mathfrak{J} .

Proof. According to [14, Theorem 1], let us introduce $\mathcal{L} : C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}y(t) = (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t e^{-\varpi\varphi(t,s)} \left(\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(\tau, y(\tau)) \right) (s) \varphi'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (3.2)$$

Evidently, the solutions of problem (1.1) can be regarded as the fixed point of \mathcal{L} . We will show, with the aid of Theorem 2.1 and a suitably selected equivalent norm, that \mathcal{L} admits a unique fixed point.

In this respect, let $y, z \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$. Then, for every $t \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $0 < e^{-\varpi\varphi(t,s)} < 1$ for $a < s < t < b$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}y(t) - \mathcal{L}z(t)\| \leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, y(\tau)) - g(\tau, z(\tau))\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds.$$

Using (A2) and Lemma 2.1, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}y(t) - \mathcal{L}z(t)\| &\leq (\alpha - 1)L_g\|y - z\| \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)L_g\|y - z\| \int_a^t \frac{\varphi'(s)\varphi(s,a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &\leq \frac{L_g(\alpha-1)\varphi(t,a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\|y - z\|.\end{aligned}$$

Again, by (A2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}^2y(t) - \mathcal{L}^2z(t)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}y(t)) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}z(t))\| \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, \mathcal{L}y(\tau)) - g(\tau, \mathcal{L}z(\tau))\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)L_g \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|\mathcal{L}y(\tau) - \mathcal{L}z(\tau)\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha-1)^2 L_g^2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\|y - z\| \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \varphi(\tau, a)^\alpha d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 entails

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}^2y(t) - \mathcal{L}^2z(t)\| &\leq (\alpha - 1)^2 L_g^2\|y - z\| \int_a^t \frac{\varphi(s,a)^{2\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{L_g^2(\alpha-1)^2 \varphi(t,a)^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)}\|y - z\|.\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the process for $n = 3, 4, \dots$, for each $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, it remains to show that

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n y(t) - \mathcal{L}^n z(t)\| \leq \frac{(L_g(\alpha-1)\varphi(t,a)^\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}\|y - z\|. \quad (3.3)$$

By induction, assume that (3.3) holds for some n and let us prove it for $n + 1$.

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}^{n+1}y(t) - \mathcal{L}^{n+1}z(t)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^n y(t)) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^n z(t))\| \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, \mathcal{L}^n y(\tau)) - g(\tau, \mathcal{L}^n z(\tau))\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)L_g \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|\mathcal{L}^n y(\tau) - \mathcal{L}^n z(\tau)\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{(L_g(\alpha-1))^{n+1}}{\Gamma(n\alpha+1)}\|y - z\| \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \varphi(\tau, a)^{n\alpha} d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}^{n+1}y(t) - \mathcal{L}^{n+1}z(t)\| &\leq (L_g(\alpha - 1))^{n+1} \|y - z\| \int_a^t \frac{\varphi(s,a)^{(n+1)\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(n+1))} \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{(L_g(\alpha-1)\varphi(t,a)^\alpha)^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(n+1)+1)}\|y - z\|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, inequality (3.3) holds.

Therefore, we conclude that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n y - \mathcal{L}^n z\| \leq \frac{(L_g(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)} \|y - z\|, \quad y, z \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X}).$$

By putting

$$\Theta_n = \frac{(L_g(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)}, \quad (3.4)$$

we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(L_g(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)} = \mathbb{M}_\alpha (L_g(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha).$$

Finally, Theorem 2.1 entails that \mathcal{L} admits a unique fixed point which is the unique global solution of problem (1.1). \square

Now, we prove another existence result, which is based on Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that assumption (A1) holds. Furthermore, we suppose:*

(A3) *There exist continuous functions $\xi, \kappa : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$\|g(t, u)\| \leq \xi(t) + \kappa(t)\|u\|, \quad u \in \mathbb{X},$$

for all $t \in \mathfrak{J}$.

(A4) *There exists a continuous function $\sigma : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for each bounded set $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{X}$, and each $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, we have*

$$\Lambda(g(t, \mathbb{U})) \leq \sigma(t)\Lambda(\mathbb{U}).$$

(A5) *The following inequality holds:*

$$(\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^* R) \frac{\varphi(b, a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \leq R,$$

with

$$R > 0, \quad \kappa^* = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \kappa(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^* = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \xi(t).$$

Then Eq (1.1) admits at least one solution.

Proof. Introduce again the operator \mathcal{L} represented by (3.2) and define the ball

$$\mathbf{B}_R = \{y \in C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X}) : \|y\|_\infty \leq R\}.$$

Step 1. \mathcal{L} is a self-mapping from \mathbf{B}_R to \mathbf{B}_R . By (A3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}y(t)\| &\leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, y(\tau))\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} (\xi(\tau) + \kappa(\tau)\|y(\tau)\|) d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*\|y\|) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 2.1 and (A5), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}y(t)\| &\leq (\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*\|y\|)\frac{\varphi(b,a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*R)\frac{\varphi(b,a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq R.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{L}y\| \leq R. \quad (3.5)$$

This shows that \mathcal{L} is a self-mapping from \mathbf{B}_R to \mathbf{B}_R .

Step 2. \mathcal{L} is continuous. Let the sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ in \mathbf{B}_R . For all $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\mathcal{L}y_n)(t) - (\mathcal{L}y)(t)\| \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, y_n(\tau)) - g(\tau, y(\tau))\| d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \|g(\cdot, y_n) - g(\cdot, y)\| \int_a^t \left(\int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1) \frac{\varphi(b,a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|g(\cdot, y_n) - g(\cdot, y)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since g is continuous, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}y_n - \mathcal{L}y\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 3. $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}_R)$ is equicontinuous. Letting $y \in \mathbf{B}_R$ and $a < t_1 < t_2 < b$, we get

$$\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t_2) - (\mathcal{L}y)(t_1)\| \leq S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = (\alpha - 1) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi'(s) \left| e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_2,s)} \right| \int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \|g(\tau, y(\tau))\| d\tau ds,$$

and

$$S_2 = (\alpha - 1) \int_a^{t_1} \varphi'(s) \left| e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_2,s)} - e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_1,s)} \right| \left\| \left(\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(\tau, y(\tau)) \right) (s) \right\| ds.$$

Since $e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_2,s)} < 1$, making use of (A3), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}S_1 &\leq (\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*\|y\|) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi'(s) \int_a^s \frac{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s,\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau ds \\ &\leq (\alpha - 1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*\|y\|) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \varphi'(s) \frac{\varphi(s,a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha-1)(\xi^* + \kappa^*\|y\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (\varphi(t_2, a)^\alpha - \varphi(t_1, a)^\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$S_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } t_2 \rightarrow t_1. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other side,

$$S_2 = (\alpha - 1) \left(e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_1)} - e^{-\varpi\varphi(t_2)} \right) \int_a^{t_1} e^{\varpi\varphi(s)} \left\| \left(\mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(\tau, y(\tau)) \right) (s) \right\| \varphi'(s) ds.$$

Thus,

$$S_2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t_2 \longrightarrow t_1. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), the equicontinuity of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}_R)$ results immediately.

Step 4. Now, we prove that $\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{B}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_R$ satisfies Definition 2.5.

To do this, for every bounded subset $\mathbb{J} \subset C(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{X})$ we define the MNC as

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{J}) = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} e^{-\aleph t} \Lambda(\mathbb{J}(t)), \quad \aleph > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Next, fixing $\epsilon > 0$, we show the existence of $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\epsilon \leq \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}) < \epsilon + \eta \Rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{L}\mathbb{U}) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for any } \mathbb{U} \subset \mathbf{B}_R. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbf{B}_R$ and, using Lemma 2.4, it follows that for a given $\epsilon' > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{U}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathfrak{J}$,

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{U})(t)) = \Lambda(\{(\mathcal{L}(y))(t) : y \in \mathbb{U}\}) \leq 2\Lambda(\{(\mathcal{L}(y_n))(t)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) + \epsilon'. \quad (3.10)$$

Then, since $\varphi'(\cdot)\varphi(\cdot, a)^{\alpha-1} \in L^1(\mathfrak{J}, \mathbb{R})$, it is possible to choose \aleph such that

$$q(\aleph) := \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \frac{4\sigma^*}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_a^t \varphi'(s)\varphi(s, a)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\aleph(t-s)} ds < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\sigma^* = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \sigma(t)$. After that, from

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}(y_n))(t) &= (\alpha-1) \int_a^t e^{-\varpi\varphi(t,s)} \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(s, y_n(s)) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq (\alpha-1) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(s, y_n(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

we obtain

$$\Lambda(\{(\mathcal{L}(y_n))(t)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \leq \Lambda\left(\left\{(\alpha-1) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(s, y_n(s)) ds\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\right). \quad (3.13)$$

Next, using (A4), for all $\tau \in [a, s]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\left(\left\{\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} g(\tau, y_n(\tau))\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}\right) &\leq \varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} \sigma(\tau) \Lambda(\{y_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \\ &\leq \sigma(\tau)\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} e^{\aleph\tau} \sup_{a \leq \tau \leq s} e^{-\aleph\tau} \Lambda(\{y_n(\tau)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \\ &\leq \sigma(\tau)\varphi'(\tau)\varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} e^{\aleph\tau} \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.5, for all $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, $s \in [a, t]$, and $\tau \leq s$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda \left(\left\{ (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(s, y_n(s)) ds \right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{4(\alpha-1)\sigma^*}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \int_a^s \varphi'(\tau) \varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} e^{\mathfrak{N}\tau} d\tau ds \\ & \leq \frac{4(\alpha-1)\sigma^*}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) e^{\mathfrak{N}s} \int_a^s \varphi'(\tau) \varphi(s, \tau)^{\alpha-2} d\tau ds \\ & \leq \frac{4(\alpha-1)\sigma^*}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \varphi(s, a)^{\alpha-1} e^{\mathfrak{N}s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $e^{-\mathfrak{N}t}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} e^{-\mathfrak{N}t} \Lambda \left(\left\{ (\alpha - 1) \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \mathcal{I}_{a^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi} g(s, y_n(s)) ds \right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{4\sigma^*}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} \int_a^t \varphi'(s) \varphi(s, a)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\mathfrak{N}(t-s)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

So, by (3.11), (3.13), and (3.14), we have

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\{\mathcal{L}(y_n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \leq q(\mathfrak{N}) \widehat{\Lambda}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}) \leq q(\mathfrak{N}) \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}). \quad (3.15)$$

Next, by (3.10) and (3.15), fixing $\epsilon' > 0$, we have

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{U})) \leq 2q(\mathfrak{N}) \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}) + \epsilon'.$$

Then,

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{U})) \leq 2q(\mathfrak{N}) \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}). \quad (3.16)$$

Observe that, from the last estimates,

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{U})) \leq 2q(\mathfrak{N}) \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}) < \epsilon \Rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}) < \frac{1}{2q(\mathfrak{N})} \epsilon.$$

Letting

$$\eta = \frac{1 - 2q(\mathfrak{N})}{2q(\mathfrak{N})} \epsilon, \quad (3.17)$$

one gets

$$\epsilon \leq \widehat{\Lambda}(\mathbb{U}) < \epsilon + \eta,$$

which means that $\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{B}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_R$ satisfies Definition 2.5. Therefore, Theorem 2.2 entails that \mathcal{L} admits at least one unique fixed point in \mathbf{B}_R which is the solution of problem (1.1). \square

4. Examples

Example 4.1. Let

$$\mathbb{X}_1 := \{u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots) : u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$$

be the Banach space of real sequences converging to zero, equipped by

$$\|u\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} |u_n|.$$

Consider the following problem posed in \mathbb{X}_1 :

$$\begin{cases} ({}^c \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\alpha; \varphi} + \varpi {}^c \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi})y(t) = g(t, y(t)), & t \in \mathfrak{J} := [0, 1], \\ y(0) = y'(0) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Note that, problem (4.1) is a particular case of (1.1), where:

$$\varphi(t) = e^t, \quad [a, b] = [0, 1]$$

and $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_1$, given by

$$g(t, y) = \left\{ \frac{(1 + \sin(|y_n|))}{(e^t + 1)} + 13t^5 \cos(9t) \right\}_{n \geq 1}, \quad (4.2)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, $y = \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbb{X}_1$.

Condition (A1) is satisfied. Moreover, for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{X}_1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t, u_1) - g(t, u_2)\| &\leq \frac{1}{(e^t + 1)} \|u_1 - u_2\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, condition (A2) is satisfied with

$$L_g = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, with the assistance of Theorem 3.1, problem (4.1) has a unique solution $y \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{X}_1)$.

Example 4.2. Let

$$\mathbb{X}_2 := \left\{ u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n| < \infty \right\}$$

be the Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n|.$$

We recall that the Hausdorff MNC in $(\mathbb{X}_2, \|\cdot\|)$ is defined as follows (see [19]):

$$\Lambda(\mathbb{B}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{u \in \mathbb{B}} \left(\sum_{n \geq j} |u_n| \right) \right].$$

Consider the following problem posed in \mathbb{X}_2 :

$$\begin{cases} ({}^c \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\alpha; \varphi} + \varpi {}^c \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\alpha-1; \varphi})y(t) = g(t, y(t)), & t \in \mathfrak{J} := [0, b], 0 < b < \left(\frac{\zeta}{0.2}\right)^{1/\alpha} \\ y(0) = y'(0) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\zeta = \min_{\alpha \in (1,2)} \alpha \Gamma(\alpha - 1)$, and we take

$$[a, b] = [0, b], \quad \varphi(t) = t$$

and $g : [0, b] \times \mathbb{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_2$, given by

$$g(t, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(e^{5t} + 4)} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \ln(|y_n| + 1) \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}, \quad (4.4)$$

for $t \in [0, b]$, $y = \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbb{X}_2$.

Evidently, condition (A1) holds and

$$\|g(t, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{(e^{5t} + 4)} (\|y\| + 1), \quad y \in \mathbb{X}_2.$$

Thus, condition (A3) holds with $\xi(t) = \kappa(t) = \frac{1}{(e^{5t} + 13)}$, and one gets $\xi^* = \kappa^* = 0.2$. On the other side, for any bounded set $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{X}_2$, we obtain

$$\Lambda(g(t, \mathbb{U})) \leq \frac{1}{(e^{5t} + 13)} \Lambda(\mathbb{U}), \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, b].$$

Hence, (A4) is verified. Now, we can choose R such that

$$\frac{(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha \xi^*}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - \kappa^*(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha} \leq R.$$

This function satisfies condition (A5), and from $b < \left(\frac{\zeta}{0.2}\right)^{1/\alpha}$ we get

$$\Gamma(\alpha + 1) > \kappa^*(\alpha - 1)\varphi(b, a)^\alpha.$$

Finally, all the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are verified, and thus problem (4.3) has at least one solution $y \in C([0, b], \mathbb{X}_2)$.

5. Conclusions

We concluded that the quantitative study for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving φ -Caputo type of order $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ in an infinite-dimensional Banach space framework is achieved. In this context, the results proved in [14, 23] can be regarded as a special case. Our proof combines results from MNC, Weissinger's, and Meir-Keeler's fixed point theorems. In the future, new work may explore some qualitative aspects of solutions to problem (1.1). Also, for more about fractional functions, we recommend [23–27].

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their corrections and remarks, which have significantly contributed to the improvement of the paper. The valuable feedback provided by both reviewers has been instrumental in enhancing the overall quality of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, G. M. N'Guérékata, *Advanced fractional differential and integral equations*, Hauppauge, New York : Nova Science Publishers, 2014.
2. M. Abu Hammad, Conformable fractional martingales and some convergence theorems, *Mathematics*, **10** (2022), 6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10010006>
3. K. Diethelm, N. Ford, Analysis of fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **265** (2002), 229–248. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7194>
4. A. Zraiqat, L. K. Al-Hwawcha, On exact solutions of second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Math.*, **6** (2015), 953–957. <https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2015.66087>
5. R. Almeida, A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **44** (2017), 460–481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2016.09.006>
6. T. Kosztołowicz, A. Dutkiewicz, Subdiffusion equation with Caputo fractional derivative with respect to another function, *Phys. Rev. E*, **104** (2021), 014118. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.104.014118>
7. H. Arfaoui, New numerical method for solving a new generalized American options under Ψ -Caputo time-fractional derivative Heston model, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, submitted for publication.
8. Q. Fan, G. C. Wu, H. Fu, A note on function space and boundedness of the general fractional integral in continuous time random walk, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **29** (2022), 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44198-021-00021-w>
9. F. Norouzi, G. M. N'Guérékata, A study of ψ -Hilfer fractional differential system with application in financial crisis, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **6** (2021), 100056. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csf.2021.100056>
10. M. Awadalla, Y. Y. Yameni Noupoue, K. Abu Asbeh, Psi-Caputo logistic population growth model, *J. Math.*, **2021** (2021), 8634280. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8634280>
11. T. V. An, N. D. Phu, N. V. Hoa, A survey on non-instantaneous impulsive fuzzy differential equations involving the generalized Caputo fractional derivative in the short memory case, *Fuzzy Set Syst.*, **443** (2022), 160–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2021.10.008>
12. Z. Baitiche, C. Derbazi, J. Alzabut, M. E. Samei, M. K. Kaabar, Z. Siri, Monotone iterative method for Ψ -Caputo fractional differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Fractal Fract.*, **5** (2021), 81. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract5030081>

13. A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani, M. Elomari, Existence results for nonlocal Cauchy problem of nonlinear Ψ -Caputo type fractional differential equations via topological degree methods, *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, **6** (2022), 270–279. <https://doi.org/10.31197/atnaa.1059793>
14. M. Tayeb, H. Boulares, A. Moumen, M. Imsatfia, Processing fractional differential equations using ψ -Caputo derivative, *Symmetry*, **15** (2023), 955. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15040955>
15. Z. Lin, J. R. Wang, W. Wei, Multipoint BVPs for generalized impulsive fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **258** (2015), 608–616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.12.092>
16. R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi, S. V. Rogosin, *Mittag-Leffler functions, related topics and applications*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-43930-2>
17. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, New York: Elsevier, 2006.
18. C. Derbazi, Z. Baitiche, M. Benchohra, Coupled system of ψ -Caputo fractional differential equations without and with delay in generalized Banach spaces, *Results Nonlinear Anal.*, **5** (2022), 42–61. <https://doi.org/10.53006/rna.1007501>
19. J. Banas, K. Goebel, *Measure of noncompactness in Banach spaces*, New York: Marcel Dekker, 1980.
20. A. Aghajani, E. Pourhadi, J. Trujillo, Application of measure of noncompactness to a Cauchy problem for fractional differential equations in Banach spaces, *FCAA*, **16** (2013), 962–977. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s13540-013-0059-y>
21. M. I. Kamenskii, V. V. Obukhovskii, P. Zecca, *Condensing multivalued maps and semilinear differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, Berlin: De Gruyter, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110870893>
22. A. Aghajani, M. Mursaleen, A. Shole Haghghi, Fixed point theorems for Meir-Keeler condensing operators via measure of noncompactness, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **35** (2015), 552–566. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(15\)30003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(15)30003-5)
23. B. Ahmad, A. F. Albideewi, S. K. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, Existence results for a multipoint boundary value problem of nonlinear sequential Hadamard fractional differential equations, *Cubo*, **23** (2021), 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0719-06462021000200225>
24. M. Abu Hammad, R. Shah, B. M. Alotaibi, M. Alotiby, C. G. L. Tiofack, A. W. Alrowaily, et al., On the modified versions of G' G-expansion technique for analyzing the fractional coupled Higgs system, *AIP Adv.*, **13** (2023), 105131. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0167916>
25. M. Abu Hammad, A. Awad, R. Khalil, E. Aldabbas, Fractional distributions and probability density functions of random variables generated using FDE, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **10** (2020), 522–534. <https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/4451>
26. M. Abu Hammad, S. Alsharif, A. Shmasnah, R. Khalil, Fractional Bessel differential equation and fractional Bessel functions, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **47** (2022), 521–531.
27. S. Alshorm, I. M. Batiha, I. Jebril, A. Dababneh, Handling systems of incommensurate fractional order equations using improved fractional euler method, In: *2023 International conference on information technology*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIT58056.2023.10226115>