

AIMS Mathematics, 9(3): 5171–5203. DOI: 10.3934/math.2024251 Received: 05 December 2023 Revised: 27 December 2023 Accepted: 08 January 2024 Published: 25 January 2024

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Fractional optimal control analysis of Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection model with Atangana-Baleanu derivative

Asma Hanif¹, Azhar Iqbal Kashif Butt^{1,2,*} and Tariq Ismaeel^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, GC University, Lahore 54000, Pakistan
- ² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia
- * **Correspondence:** Email: aikhan@kfu.edu.sa, tariqismaeel@gcu.edu.pk.

Abstract: A co-infection with Covid-19 and dengue fever has had worse outcomes due to high mortality rates and longer stays either in isolation or at hospitals. This poses a great threat to a country's economy. To effectively deal with these threats, comprehensive approaches to prevent and control Covid-19/dengue fever co-infections are desperately needed. Thus, our focus is to formulate a new co-infection fractional model with the Atangana-Baleanu derivative to suggest effective and feasible approaches to restrict the spread of co-infection. In the first part of this paper, we present Covid-19 and dengue fever sub-models, as well as the co-infection model that is locally asymptotically stable when the respective reproduction numbers are less than unity. We establish the existence and uniqueness results for the solutions of the co-infection model. We extend the model to include a vaccination compartment for the Covid-19 vaccine to susceptible individuals and a treatment compartment to treat dengue-infected individuals as optimal control strategies for disease control. We outline the fundamental requirements for the fractional optimal control problem and illustrate the optimality system for the co-infection model using Pontraygin's principle. We implement the Toufik-Atangana approximating scheme to simulate the optimality system. The simulations show the effectiveness of the implemented strategy in determining optimal vaccination and treatment rates that decrease the cost functional to a minimum, thus significantly decreasing the number of infected humans and vectors. Additionally, we visualize a meaningful decrease in infection cases with an increase in the memory index. The findings of this study will provide reasonable disease control suggestions to regions facing Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection.

Keywords: fractional co-infection model; Covid-19; dengue fever; existence and uniqueness; stability analysis; optimal control **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34H05, 49K15, 49K40

1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus, known as Covid-19, has spread worldwide and has caused millions of deaths. The virus causes severe lung complications that may result in breathing difficulties; in some cases, it can cause organ failure or even death of the infected person. The disease is very contagious among humans and spreads through either direct or indirect bodily contact [1]. Coronavirus is usually transmitted through inhalation, exhalation, or coughing of an infected person. Therefore, healthy individuals are always at risk of developing coronavirus disease. The virus has not only affected people's lives, but has also had a significant impact on the economies of underdeveloped and developed countries [2, 3]. The incubation period is between 2 to 14 days. People infected with coronavirus can still spread the infection to others, even if they do not experience any symptoms after this period. The majority of coronavirus-infected individuals may experience mild to moderate respiratory symptoms, such as muscle aches, fever, fatigue, cough, severe headaches, diarrhea, vomiting, a runny nose, and a sore throat. Individuals who experience any of these symptoms should immediately seek medical attention. It has been observed that the risk of developing Covid-19 is the greatest in individuals with co-existing conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, immune deficiency, respiratory infection, and being aged over 60 [4]. Having a thorough understanding of the dynamics of the disease can

Dengue fever is another considerable global public health issue. The disease is spread by Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus mosquitoes, which both act as vectors. Dengue fever is caused by four different serotypes. When a person exposed to one serotype recovers, they are immune to that specific serotype; however, they are only partially and temporarily immune to the other three. The severity of dengue fever varies. Throughout the entire life cycle of the dengue fever virus, the mosquito acts as a transmitter (or vector), as well as the main source of infection. Those who contract more severe dengue fever strains typically require hospitalization. Stopping human-vector contact or controlling mosquito vectors are the only ways to either stop or lessen dengue virus transmission [5, 6]. The symptoms of dengue infection include fever, rash, nausea, and aches that can last for a week. By better comprehending the dynamics of this fatal disease better, we can lessen its impact on our society.

significantly decrease the incidence of infection in society.

According to medical sources, the early symptoms of dengue fever and Covid-19 are comparable, which is the premise underlying this co-infection modeling [7]. We aim to evaluate and predict the transmission dynamics of both deadly viruses' propagation to the same person. We believe that the patient had a mild case of Covid-19 infection first, and then contracted dengue fever a week later, while still suffering from the Covid-19 infection. Differentiating between Covid-19 and dengue fever can be challenging since both conditions share similar clinical signs and test findings, including fever, headache, myalgia, and exhaustion [8]. According to clinical evidence, co-infections with Covid-19 and dengue fever are linked to increased morbidity and mortality. Verduyn et al. [7] reported the first case of a co-infection with Covid-19 and dengue fever in a French overseas territory in the Indian Ocean. A thorough analysis of the information on a possible Covid-19 and dengue co-infection in a single person has been published, see [9]. Co-epidemics can place a significant burden on local populations and health systems. Since the symptoms of Covid-19 and dengue are similar, it is possible to misdiagnose one illness as the other, which would reduce the number of co-infections between the two diseases [10–13]. Cases of co-infection have also been documented in Pakistan. A study revealed that patients with Covid-19 and dengue fever had a higher fatality rate than those with Covid-19

alone [7]. Similar to this, patients with Covid-19 mono-infection display distinct biochemical and hematological markers separate from those with co-infection. For example, those with mono-infection did not experience severe thrombocytopenia, though those with co-infection did. Additionally, co-infected patients have higher concentrations of urea, bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase, creatine phosphokinase, and prothrombin.

Mathematical modeling is a tool that can be used to forecast the evolution of communicable diseases with prevention strategies. In recent years, fractional calculus has been used to solve physical problems that can not be resolved using integer-order differential equations. The fractional-order model is always better for many real-world applications than the corresponding integer-order model. For example, it is not possible to gain a better understanding of the memory effects seen in biological models [14–17] by utilizing integer-order models. In mathematical models, fractional-order operators can be used to solve differential equations without being limited by an order constraint. This means that fractional models are more resourceful than classic integer-order models, and therefore provide more accurate and precise information about complicated systems. Optimal control problems involving fractional operators are called fractional optimal control problems. Many researchers [17–21] have defined fractional optimal control problems to provide reasonable and effective control strategies to either eradicate or minimize infection or addiction within the human population.

In recent years, many researchers have started to include memory effects in fractional models with various fractional operators to improve epidemiological disease analyses [22–25]. The most common of these are the Caputo and Riemann-Liouville operators. The basic drawback of these operators is the singularity of the associated kernels. Since it is difficult to resolve many physical systems using singular kernels, non-singular fractional derivatives, such as Caputo-Fabrizo (CF), have been developed and implemented [26–29]. Despite the non-singular kernel of the CF derivative, it has no memory effects and has an unclear function space [30]. In [31], the authors presented a novel Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo (ABC) fractional derivative operator that made use of a Mittag-Leffler (ML) kernel with a single parameter. The non-local and non-singular behavior of the ML function is its key feature. This feature made the ABC operator the best choice for modeling epidemic diseases. In [32], authors investigated the stability and existence of a unique solution of a random fractional-order system using the global and non-singular kernel of the Atangana-Baleanu derivative in the sense of the Caputo.

Several mathematical models have been built to investigate the dynamics of Covid-19 [33–38] and dengue fever [19, 39] and to propose methods for disease control. The authors in [40] used different piecewise fractional differential operators to develop a comprehensive nonlinear stochastic model with six cohorts that relied on ordinary differential equations. Their findings revealed a piecewise numerical technique to produce simulation studies for these frameworks. In [41], a novel fractional-order discrete difference Covid-19 model for prevalence and incidence was suggested to advance the field of epidemiological studies. Li et al. [42] established a novel Covid-19 epidemic model to simulate the transmission of a mutated Covid-19 strain (Delta strain) in China with a vaccinated population to develop reasonable and effective plans to restrict the spread of Covid-19.

Meanwhile, researchers also formulated mathematical models to understand the co-dynamics of various infectious diseases [20,43–45]. For example, the authors in [43] developed a new mathematical model for dengue and malaria co-infection to better understand the disease dynamics and to create more effective control strategies. However, there are not many articles in the current literature that address Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection. One notable example can be found in [46], which offers a

thorough analysis of Covid-19/dengue co-infection. In this article, the authors developed and analyzed an effective integer-order mathematical model with cost-effectiveness and an optimal control analysis for the co-infection dynamics of Covid-19 and dengue. Various control strategies, such as treatment controls for both Covid-19 and dengue, controls against co-infection with another disease, and controls against incident dengue and Covid-19 infections, were taken into consideration and simulated for the model. Nevertheless, at present, there exists no article that offers a thorough understanding, detailed investigations, and effective control strategies for a fractional model of Covid-19/dengue fever coinfections. To address the gap in the existing literature, we offer a more comprehensive Atangana-Baleanu fractional model for the co-infection of Covid-19 and dengue fever to analyze the dynamics and control of the disease under the memory effect. Another significant contribution made by this article is the implementation of the Toufik-Atangana-type numerical scheme to simulate the state and adjoint equations of the fractional optimal control problem for Covid-19 and dengue co-infection.

In this work, we will formulate a new co-infection model for Covid-19 and dengue fever using the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative [47]. The selection of the ABC operator for the proposed model is primarily based on its possession of a non-local and non-singular kernel. Furthermore, when compared to other fractional operators such as Caputo and Caputo-Fabrizo, this operator demonstrates an ability to capture higher susceptibilities while resulting in fewer infections [48]. An essential goal in developing a fractional model for co-infection of Covid-19 and dengue fever is to explore potential control strategies with time-dependent controls and gain insights into the disease's progression within a broader context. To attain our objective, we will first assess the validity of the proposed model. This assessment will involve establishing fundamental properties of the model, including the existence of a unique solution that is both positive and bounded, as well as conducting local and global stability analyses at a disease-free equilibrium point. Furthermore, this article contributes to the advancement of a fractional optimal control problem aimed at determining the optimal vaccination and treatment rates necessary for restricting the spread of Covid-19 and dengue fever infections. The Toufik-Atangana type numerical scheme will be implemented to evaluate the fractional optimal control problem for the co-infection model.

The distribution of the rest of the article is as follows. The co-dynamic fractional model is formulated in Section 2. Section 3 provides a theoretical analysis of the model. This analysis includes the existence and uniqueness of a positive and bounded solution, and a stability analysis at a disease-free equilibrium point with restriction to the reproduction number \mathcal{R}_0 . In Section 4, a finite difference scheme of the Toufik-Atangana type is established for the proposed model and implemented for numerical simulations to see the effect of a fractional order on disease transformation. The model is further updated in Section 5 with time-dependent controls to define an optimal control problem. Then, the Toufik-Atangana numerical system is used to numerically resolve the related optimality conditions arising from Pontryagin's principle to determine the optimal time-dependent vaccination and treatment rates to prevent dengue fever and Covid-19 co-infection. Additionally, Section 5 includes numerical simulations that are performed to support theoretical results. The conclusion with future direction is provided in Section 6.

2. Formulation of co-infection model

In this section, we develop a fractional mathematical model of Covid-19 and dengue fever coinfection by dividing the total population into two groups, namely the human population denoted by N_h and the vector population (mosquitoes) denoted by N_v . The human population is categorized as susceptible humans S_h , humans exposed to Covid-19 E_{hc} , humans infected with Covid-19 I_{hc} , humans recovered from Covid-19 R_{hc} , humans exposed to dengue fever E_{hd} , humans infected with dengue fever I_{hd} , humans recovered from dengue fever R_{hd} , and humans infected with both Covid-19 and dengue fever I_{dc} . The vector population is subdivided into susceptible vectors S_{vd} and infectious vectors I_{vd} . The recruitment rate into the human population is denoted by φ_h and the recruitment rate into the vector population is specified by φ_v .

Susceptible humans are exposed to Covid-19 at the following rate:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_h}.$$

Equivalently, the rate at which susceptible humans are exposed to dengue is as follows:

$$\lambda_d = \frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_h}.$$

Moreover, susceptible mosquitoes after interacting with infectious humans are infected at the following rate:

$$\lambda_{dc} = \frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_h}.$$

The parameters χ_{hd} , χ_{vd} , and χ_{hc} represent the effective contact rates for the acquisition of dengue fever and Covid-19. Furthermore, we made the following assumptions to build the Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection model:

- Dengue infections can affect people who have Covid-19 infections, and vice versa;
- Co-infected people can transmit either Covid-19 or dengue fever, but not both at the same time;
- Co-infected people can recover from either Covid-19 or dengue fever, but not both at the same time;
- It is assumed that the transmission rates for those who are only infected and those who are coinfected are the same.

Figure 1 illustrates the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection. From Figure 1, we establish the Atangana-Baleanu fractional order model to explain the co-dynamics of Covid-19 and dengue fever. The fractional model will allow us to observe the internal memory effects of Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection.

AIMS Mathematics



Figure 1. A graphical representation of the co-dynamics of Covid-19 and dengue fever model (2.1).

Thus, the Atangana-Baleanu fractional model describing transmission dynamics of the co-infection is given as follows:

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} S_{h}(t) = \varphi_{h} - \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \right) + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \right) \right] S_{h} + \xi_{hd} R_{hd} + \xi_{hc} R_{hc} - \sigma_{h} S_{h}, \qquad (2.1a)$$

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} E_{hc}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h}) E_{hc}, \qquad (2.1b)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{hc}(t) = \alpha_{c} E_{hc} + \beta_{hc} I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) R_{hd} - \left(\tau_{d} \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hc}\right) I_{hc}, \qquad (2.1c)$$

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} R_{hc}(t) = \zeta_{hc} I_{hc} - \left(\xi_{hc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right) R_{hc}, \qquad (2.1d)$$

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} E_{hd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h}) E_{hd}, \qquad (2.1e)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{hd}(t) = \alpha_{d} E_{hd} + \beta_{hd} I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) R_{hc} - \left(\tau_{c} \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hd}\right) I_{hd},$$
(2.1f)

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} \mathcal{R}_{hd}(t) = \zeta_{hd} I_{hd} - \left(\xi_{hd} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right) \mathcal{R}_{hd},$$
(2.1g)

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} I_{dc}(t) = \tau_{d} \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \right) I_{hc} + \tau_{c} \left(\frac{\chi_{hc} (I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \right) I_{hd} - (\beta_{hc} + \beta_{hd} + \sigma_{h} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \mu_{h_{2}}) I_{dc},$$
(2.1h)

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} S_{\nu d}(t) = \varphi_{\nu} - \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) S_{\nu d} - \sigma_{\nu} S_{\nu d}, \qquad (2.1i)$$

AIMS Mathematics

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{vd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) S_{vd} - \sigma_{v} I_{vd},$$
(2.1j)

along with the initial conditions:

$$S_h(0) > 0, \ E_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hc}(0) \ge 0 \ E_{hd}(0) \ge 0,$$

 $I_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{dc}(0) \ge 0, \ S_{vd}(0) > 0, \ I_{vd}(0) \ge 0,$

where the description of parameters with their corresponding numerical values are provided in Table 1, and the Atangana-Baleanu derivative in the Caputo sense is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. The Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative of a differentiable function h(t), i.e., $h : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ of order ρ where $\rho \in (0, 1)$, b > a, is denoted by $_a^{ABC} D^{\rho} h(t)$, where $h \in \mathbb{H}^1(a, b)$ is defined as follows:

$${}^{ABC}_{a}\mathrm{D}^{\rho}h(t) = \frac{M(\rho)}{(1-\rho)}\int_{a}^{t}h'(\tau)E_{\rho}\bigg[-\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}(t-\tau)^{\rho}\bigg]d\tau,$$

where $M(\rho)$ represents the normalization function satisfying M(0)=M(1)=1. E_{ρ} is known as the Mittag-Leffler(ML) function, which is defined as follows:

$$E_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z)^n}{\Gamma(\rho n + 1)}.$$

The compact form of the model (2.1) is stated as follows:

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbb{D}^{\rho}_{t} \mathcal{Y}(t) = \phi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)), \quad \mathcal{Y}(0) = \mathcal{Y}_{0} \ge 0.$$
(2.2)

Since the given system is autonomous, it can be expressed as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} \mathcal{Y}(t) = \phi(\mathcal{Y}(t)), \quad \mathcal{Y}(0) = \mathcal{Y}_{0},$$
(2.3)

where

$$\mathcal{Y}(t) = (S_h(t), E_{hc}(t), I_{hc}(t), R_{hc}(t), E_{hd}(t), I_{hd}(t), R_{hd}(t), I_{dc}(t), S_{vd}(t), I_{vd}(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{10},$$

represents state variables and

$$\mathcal{Y}_{0} = (S_{h}(0), E_{hc}(0), I_{hc}(0), R_{hc}(0), E_{hd}(0), I_{hd}(0), R_{hd}(0), I_{dc}(0), S_{vd}(0), I_{vd}(0))^{T},$$

is the initial vector.

AIMS Mathematics

ParameterDescriptionValuesSource φ_h Recruitment rate of human population7674.7[46] φ_r Recruitment rate of vector population20,000[46] χ_{hc} Contact rate of S to I_{hc} and I_{dc} for Covid-190.1958[46] χ_{hd} Contact rate of S to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever0.26[46] χ_{vd} Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to0.015assumed $numan$ σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population0.026assumed α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.2assumed μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.001[46] ζ_{hc} Covid-190.006[46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.011assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-190.002assumed164] ζ_{hc} Covid-190.002assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate		1 1		
$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_h & \mbox{Recruitment rate of human population} & 7674.7 & [46] \\ \varphi_v & \mbox{Recruitment rate of vector population} & 20,000 & [46] \\ \chi_{hc} & \mbox{Contact rate of S to I_{hc} and I_{dc} for Covid-19} & 0.1958 & [46] \\ transmission to human & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Parameter	Description	Values	Source
$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varphi_v & \operatorname{Recruitment rate of vector population} & 20,000 & [46] \\ \chi_{hc} & \operatorname{Contact rate of } S \ to \ I_{hc} \ and \ I_{dc} \ for \ Covid-19 & 0.1958 & [46] \\ \operatorname{transmission to human} & \chi_{hd} & \operatorname{Contact rate of } S \ to \ I_{hd} \ and \ I_{dc} \ for \ dengue \ fever & 0.26 & [46] \\ \operatorname{transmission to vector} & & & & \\ \chi_{vd} & \operatorname{Contact rate of } S \ to \ I_{vd} \ for \ dengue \ transmission to & 0.015 & assumed \\ human & & & & \\ \sigma_h & \operatorname{Natural \ death \ rate \ of \ vector \ population & 3.611 \times 10^{-5} & [46] \\ \sigma_v & \operatorname{Natural \ death \ rate \ of \ vector \ population & 0.026 & assumed \\ \operatorname{Covid-19} & & & \\ \alpha_c & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ exposed \ pople \ to \ become \ infectious \ with \\ \operatorname{Covid-19} & 0.2 & assumed \\ dengue \ fever & & & \\ \mu_{h_1} & \operatorname{Death \ rate \ of \ infected \ humans \ due \ to \ dengue \ fever & 0.001 & [46] \\ \mu_{h_2} & \operatorname{Death \ rate \ of \ humans \ under \ treatment \ due \ to \ 0.01 & assumed \\ dengue \ fever & & & \\ \mu_{h_1} & \operatorname{Death \ rate \ of \ humans \ under \ treatment \ due \ to \ 0.001 & [46] \\ \mu_{h_3} & \operatorname{Death \ rate \ of \ humans \ under \ treatment \ due \ to \ 0.001 & assumed \\ dengue \ fever & & \\ \zeta_{hc} & \operatorname{Covid-19 \ recovery \ rate & 0.8835 & [46] \\ \zeta_{hd} & \operatorname{Dengue \ fever \ recovery \ rate & 0.1835 & [46] \\ \zeta_{hd} & Dengue \ fever \ recovery \ rate & 0.1835 & assumed \\ infected \ with \ Covid-19 & & \\ Mate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ but \ 0.15 & assumed \\ infected \ with \ Covid-19 & & \\ 0.002 & assumed \\ infected \ with \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_1 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_1 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_1 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_2 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_2 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_1 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_2 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becoming \ recovered \ from \ dengue \ fever & \\ \delta_2 & \operatorname{Rate \ of \ becom$	$arphi_h$	Recruitment rate of human population	7674.7	[46]
χ_{hc} Contact rate of S to I_{hc} and I_{dc} for Covid-190.1958[46] χ_{hd} Contact rate of S_v to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever0.26[46] χ_{vd} Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to0.015assumed σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population 0.026 assumed σ_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with 0.2 assumed $covid-19$ α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with 0.13 assumed $dengue$ fever μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever 0.001 [46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of humans under treatment due to 0.010 assumed $dengue$ fever ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate 0.08835 [46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired from dengue but 0.15 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but 0.15 assumed δ_2 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine 0.1 assumed δ_2 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine 0.03 [34] χ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.02 [34] χ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed χ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 ass	$arphi_{v}$	Recruitment rate of vector population	20,000	[46]
transmission to human χ_{hd} Contact rate of S_v to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever0.26[46] χ_{vd} Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to human0.015assumed human σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population0.026assumed covid-19 α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.2assumed (covid-19) α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.13assumed (dengue fever) μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.001[46] ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 $\times 10^{-7}$ [46] ξ_{hd} Dengu fever recovery rate0.1835assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed δ_{1h} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.13assumed δ_{2} Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_{2} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.13assumed δ_{1h} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.13assumed δ_{2} Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.1assumed<	χ_{hc}	Contact rate of S to I_{hc} and I_{dc} for Covid-19	0.1958	[46]
χ_{hd} Contact rate of S_v to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever0.26[46] χ_{vd} Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to0.015assumed σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population0.026assumed α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with0.2assumed α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with0.13assumed $dengue$ fever μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of humans under treatment due to0.01assumed $dengue$ fever 0.006 [46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to0.01assumed $dengue$ fever 0.08835 [46] ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate 0.1835 [46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity 0.00026 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but 0.153 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but 0.15 assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine 0.1 assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed δ_2		transmission to human		
transmission to vector χ_{vd} Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to human0.015assumed human σ_h Natural death rate of human population3.611 × 10 ⁻⁵ [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population0.026assumed could assumed Covid-19 α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.2assumed dengue fever μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.001[46] ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10 ⁻⁷ [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.03[34] δ_{hd} Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] δ_{hd} Rate of being recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed δ_1 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] δ_1 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.1assumed δ_1 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.1assumed δ_1 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever <td>χhd</td> <td>Contact rate of S_v to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>[46]</td>	χ hd	Contact rate of S_v to I_{hd} and I_{dc} for dengue fever	0.26	[46]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		transmission to vector		
human σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population 0.026 assumed α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with Covid-19 0.2 assumed α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever 0.13 assumed μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever 0.001 [46] μ_{h_2} Death rate of infected humans due to Covid-19 0.006 [46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever 0.01 assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate 0.08835 [46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate 0.1835 [46] ζ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 0.0026 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-19 0.0026 assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever 0.1835 assumed δ_1 Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever 0.11 assumed δ_2 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine after getting treatment from hospital 0.02 [34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after being vaccinated 0.11 assumed	χ_{vd}	Contact rate of S to I_{vd} for dengue transmission to	0.015	assumed
σ_h Natural death rate of human population 3.611×10^{-5} [46] σ_v Natural death rate of vector population 0.026 assumed α_c Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever 0.2 assumed μ_h_1 Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever 0.001 [46] μ_{h_2} Death rate infected humans due to Covid-19 0.006 [46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever 0.011 assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate 0.08835 [46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate 0.1835 [46] ζ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ζ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity 0.0026 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-19 0.15 assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine 0.1 assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.02 [34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever 0.11 assumed δ_2 Rate of becoming recovere		human		
$σ_v$ Natural death rate of vector population0.026assumed $α_c$ Rate of exposed people to become infectious with Covid-190.2assumed $α_d$ Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.13assumed $μ_{h_1}$ Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] $μ_{h_2}$ Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.006[46] $ζ_{hc}$ Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] $ζ_{hd}$ Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] $ζ_{hc}$ Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10^{-7}[46] $ζ_{hd}$ Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed $β_{hc}$ Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed $δ_1$ Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed $δ_2$ Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] $κ_{hd}$ Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed $γ_{hc}$ Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34]	σ_h	Natural death rate of human population	3.611×10^{-5}	[46]
$\begin{array}{cccc} & \mbox{Rate of exposed people to become infectious with} & 0.2 & \mbox{assumed} \\ & \mbox{Covid-19} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{covid-19} & \mbox{out} & 0.13 & \mbox{assumed} \\ & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{und} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{lever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{assumed} & \mbox{dengue fever} & \mbox{out} & \mbox{assumed} & assumed$	σ_v	Natural death rate of vector population	0.026	assumed
Covid-19 α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.13assumed dengue fever μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate infected humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.006[46] ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10 ⁻⁷ [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.0026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed	$lpha_c$	Rate of exposed people to become infectious with	0.2	assumed
α_d Rate of exposed people to become infectious with dengue fever0.13assumed assumed (46] μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate infected humans due to Covid-190.006[46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.01assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10 ⁻⁷ [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed δ_{1} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after of being treatment from hospital0.1assumed		Covid-19		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$lpha_d$	Rate of exposed people to become infectious with	0.13	assumed
μ_{h_1} Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever0.001[46] μ_{h_2} Death rate infected humans due to Covid-190.006[46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.01assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10 ⁻⁷ [46] ζ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed δ_{1} Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_{2} Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after dengue fever0.02[34]		dengue fever		
μ_{h_2} Death rate infected humans due to Covid-190.006[46] μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.01assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10^{-7}[46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.15assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever0.1assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after being vaccinated0.1assumed	μ_{h_1}	Death rate of infected humans due to dengue fever	0.001	[46]
μ_{h_3} Death rate of humans under treatment due to dengue fever0.01assumed assumed ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10^{-7}[46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.1835assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine infected with dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed	μ_{h_2}	Death rate infected humans due to Covid-19	0.006	[46]
dengue fever ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ζ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity4.312 × 10 ⁻⁷ [46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-190.1835assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine infect getting treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after after getting treatment from hospital0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after being vaccinated0.1assumed	μ_{h_3}	Death rate of humans under treatment due to	0.01	assumed
ζ_{hc} Covid-19 recovery rate0.08835[46] ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate0.1835[46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but0.1835assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed β_{hd} Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed		dengue fever		
ζ_{hd} Dengue fever recovery rate 0.1835 [46] ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity 0.00026 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-19 0.1835 assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever 0.15 assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine 0.1 assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed	ζ_{hc}	Covid-19 recovery rate	0.08835	[46]
ξ_{hc} Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity 4.312×10^{-7} [46] ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity 0.00026 assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but infected with Covid-19 0.1835 assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but infected with dengue fever 0.15 assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine δ_2 0.1 assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever 0.02 [34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.02 0.1 γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed	ζ_{hd}	Dengue fever recovery rate	0.1835	[46]
ξ_{hd} Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity0.00026assumed β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but0.1835assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed	ξ_{hc}	Loss of infection-acquired Covid-19 immunity	4.312×10^{-7}	[46]
β_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but0.1835assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed	ξ_{hd}	Loss of infection-acquired dengue fever immunity	0.00026	assumed
β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed	eta_{hc}	Rate of becoming recovered from dengue but	0.1835	assumed
β_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but0.15assumed δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed		infected with Covid-19		
δ_1 Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine0.1assumed δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed	eta_{hd}	Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 but	0.15	assumed
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \delta_1 & \text{Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine} & 0.1 & \text{assumed} \\ \delta_2 & \text{Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever} & 0.03 & [34] \\ \kappa_{hd} & \text{Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever} & 0.02 & [34] \\ & \text{after getting treatment from hospital} \\ \gamma_{hc} & \text{Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after} & 0.1 & \text{assumed} \\ & \text{being vaccinated} \end{array} $		infected with dengue fever		
δ_2 Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever0.03[34] κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34] γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed γ_{hc} Bate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumed	δ_1	Rate of being vaccinated with Covid-19 vaccine	0.1	assumed
κ_{hd} Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever0.02[34]after getting treatment from hospital γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after0.1assumedbeing vaccinated	δ_2	Rate of being treated in hospital for dengue fever	0.03	[34]
after getting treatment from hospital γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1assumedbeing vaccinated	K _{hd}	Rate of becoming recovered from dengue fever	0.02	[34]
γ_{hc} Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after 0.1 assumed being vaccinated		after getting treatment from hospital		
being vaccinated	γ_{hc}	Rate of becoming recovered from Covid-19 after	0.1	assumed
		being vaccinated		

Table 1. Description of model parameters with values.

3. Theoretical analysis

In the first part of this section, we examine the dynamics of the two sub-models: the Covid-19 sub-model and the dengue fever sub-model. In the second part of this section, the local and global stabilities of the complete co-infected fractional model (2.1) are theoretically discussed at a disease-free equilibrium point.

3.1. Covid-19 sub-model and analysis

First, we consider the Covid-19 sub-model by considering the dengue-associated state variables and the vector-associated variables as zero in (2.1), i.e., we take $E_{hd} = I_{hd} = R_{hd} = I_{dc} = S_{vd} = I_{vd} = 0$ in (2.1) to obtain the following:

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} S_{h}(t) = \varphi_{h} - \left(\frac{\chi_{hc} I_{hc}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - \sigma_{h} S_{h} + \xi_{hc} R_{hc}, \qquad (3.1a)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathsf{D}^{\rho}_{t} E_{hc}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hc} I_{hc}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h}) E_{hc}, \qquad (3.1b)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} D^{\rho}_{t} I_{hc}(t) = \alpha_{c} E_{hc} - (\zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h}) I_{hc}, \qquad (3.1c)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} D^{\rho}_{t} R_{hc}(t) = \zeta_{hc} I_{hc} - (\xi_{hc} + \sigma_{h}) R_{hc}, \qquad (3.1d)$$

with

$$S_h(0) > 0, \ E_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hc}(0) \ge 0,$$
 (3.1e)

where the total population for this sub-model is given as follows:

$$N_h = S_h + E_{hc} + I_{hc} + R_{hc}.$$
 (3.2)

Differentiating equation (3.2) with respect to time t and then using equations of sub-model (3.1), we obtain the following:

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} N_{h}(t) = \varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} S_{h} - \sigma_{h} E_{hc} - \mu_{h_{2}} I_{hc} - \sigma_{h} I_{hc} - \sigma_{h} R_{hc} \le \varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} N_{h}.$$
(3.3)

Using Birkhoff and Rota's theorem [49], the differential inequality (3.3) gives us $0 < N_h(t) \le \frac{\varphi_h}{\sigma_h}$ as $t \to \infty$.

Thus, the feasible region for sub-model (3.1) can be defined as follows:

$$\Psi_{hc} = \{ (S_h, E_{hc}, I_{hc}, R_{hc}) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+ : N_h(t) \le \frac{\varphi_h}{\sigma_h} \},\$$

which is positively invariant [37,47].

,

If we put ${}_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{\alpha} S_{h} = {}_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{\rho} E_{hc} = {}_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{\rho} I_{hc} = {}_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{\rho} R_{hc} = 0$, the disease-free equilibrium point of the Covid-19-only fractional model (3.1) is computed to give the following:

$$P_{c}^{*} = (S_{h}^{*}, E_{hc}^{*}, I_{hc}^{*}, R_{hc}^{*}) = \left(\frac{\varphi_{h}}{\sigma_{h}}, 0, 0, 0\right).$$

Next, we use the next-generation method [50] to compute the reproduction number \mathcal{R}_{o_c} for the Covid-19 sub-model (3.1). The column matrix of the rate of appearance of new infections, denoted by F_a , and the column matrix of transitional terms, denoted by V_a , is presented as follows:

$$F_{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}I_{hc}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{a} = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc} \\ -\alpha_{c}E_{hc} + (\zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h})I_{hc} \end{pmatrix}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

The Jacobian of matrices F_a and V_b at point P_c^* are represented as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{V}_a = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ & \\ -\gamma_2 & K_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we compute the spectral radius of the matrix $\mathcal{F}_a \mathcal{V}_a^{-1}$ to obtain the following reproduction number:

$$\mathcal{R}_{o_c} = \frac{\chi_1 \gamma_2}{K_1 K_2},$$

where $\gamma_2 = \alpha_c$, $\chi_1 = \chi_{hc}$, $K_1 = \alpha_c + \sigma_h$, $K_2 = \zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_2} + \sigma_h$.

Theorem 3.1. The disease-free equilibrium P_c^* of the Covid-19 sub-model is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_{o_c} < 1$ and it is unstable when $\mathcal{R}_{o_c} > 1$.

Proof. The Jacobian for the system (3.1) at P_c^* is as follows:

$$J(P_c^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_h & 0 & -\chi_1 & \xi_{hc} \\ 0 & K_1 & \chi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_c & -K_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_{hc} & -K_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $K_3 = \xi_{hc} + \sigma_h$. Eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are computed to give the following: $\lambda_1 = -\sigma_h$, $\lambda_2 = -K_3$, $\lambda_3 = -K_1$, $\lambda_4 = -K_2(1 - \mathcal{R}_{o_c})$. Here, λ_4 is negative if $\mathcal{R}_{o_c} < 1$. Thus, the Covid-19 sub-model is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_{o_c} < 1$ and unstable when $\mathcal{R}_{o_c} > 1$.

3.2. Dengue fever sub-model and analysis

Now, we set E_{hc} , I_{hc} , R_{hc} , I_{dc} equal to zero in (2.1) to write the following equations of the dengue fever sub-model:

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{\rho} S_{h}(t) = \varphi_{h} - \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - \sigma_{h} S_{h} + \xi_{hd} R_{hd}, \qquad (3.4a)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} E_{hd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{h} - (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h}) E_{hd}, \qquad (3.4b)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} D^{\rho}_{t} I_{hd}(t) = \alpha_{d} E_{hd} - (\zeta_{hd} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h}) I_{hd}, \qquad (3.4c)$$

$$ABC_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{\rho} R_{hd}(t) = \zeta_{hd} I_{hd} - (\xi_{hd} + \sigma_{h}) R_{hd}, \qquad (3.4d)$$

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} S_{vd}(t) = \varphi_{v} - \left(\frac{\chi_{hd} I_{hd}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{vd} - \sigma_{v} S_{vd}, \qquad (3.4e)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{vd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hd} I_{hd}}{N_{h}}\right) S_{vd} - \sigma_{v} I_{vd}, \qquad (3.4f)$$

with

$$S_h(0) > 0, \ E_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ S_{vd}(0) > 0, \ I_{vd}(0) \ge 0,$$
 (3.4g)

AIMS Mathematics

where the total human population in this case will be as follows:

$$N_h = S_h + E_{hd} + I_{hd} + R_{hd}, (3.5)$$

and the total vector population is given as follows:

$$N_v = S_{vd} + I_{vd}.$$
 (3.6)

By differentiation equation (3.5) and then using Eq (3.4a) to (3.4d), we obtain the following inequality:

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} N_{h}(t) \leq \varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} N_{h}.$$

Similarly, by differentiating equation (3.6) with respect to time and then using Eq (3.4e) and (3.4f), we obtain the following inequality:

$${}_{0}^{ABC}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\rho}N_{\nu}(t) \leq \varphi_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}N_{\nu}.$$

Then, the feasible invariant region for the dengue sub-model is defined as follows:

$$\Psi_d = \left\{ (S_h, E_{hd}, I_{hd}, R_{hd}, S_{vd}, I_{vd}) \in \mathbb{R}^6_+ : N_h(t) \le \frac{\varphi_h}{\sigma_h}; N_v(t) \le \frac{\varphi_v}{\sigma_v} \right\}.$$

Thus, solutions of the dengue sub-model (3.4) will enter the region Ψ_d , which is a positively invariant region.

If we put ${}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\alpha}S_{h} = {}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}E_{hd} = {}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}I_{hd} = {}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}R_{hd} = {}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}S_{vd} = {}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}I_{vd} = 0$ in (3.4), we obtain the following disease-free equilibrium point for the dengue sub-model:

$$P_{d}^{*} = (S_{h}^{*}, E_{hd}^{*}, I_{hd}^{*}, R_{hd}^{*}, S_{vd}^{*}, I_{vd}^{*}) = \left(\frac{\varphi_{h}}{\sigma_{h}}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\varphi_{v}}{\sigma_{v}}, 0\right)$$

Next, we compute the reproduction number \mathcal{R}_{o_d} for the dengue sub-model (3.4). The matrix of the rate of occurrence of new infections and the column matrix of transitional terms, denoted by F_b and V_b , respectively, are given as follows:

$$F_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} \\ 0 \\ \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{vd} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc} \\ -\alpha_{d}E_{hc} + (\zeta_{hd} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h})I_{hc} \\ \sigma_{v}I_{vd} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Jacobian of matrices F_b and V_b at point P_d^* are respectively given as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \chi_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_{4} & K_{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{R}_{o_d} = \rho\left(\mathcal{F}_b \mathcal{V}_b^{-1}\right) = \frac{\chi_2 \gamma_4}{K_4 K_5}$$

where $K_4 = \alpha_d + \sigma_h$, $K_5 = \zeta_{hd} + \mu_{h_1} + \sigma_h$, $K_8 = \sigma_v$, $\chi_2 = \chi_{hd}$, $\chi_3 = \chi_{vd}$, $\gamma_4 = \alpha_d$.

AIMS Mathematics

Theorem 3.2. The disease free equilibrium P_d^* of dengue fever is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_{o_d} < 1$ and it is unstable when $\mathcal{R}_{o_d} > 1$.

Proof. The Jacobian for system (3.4) at P_d^* is as follows:

$$J(P_d^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & -\chi_3 \\ 0 & -K_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \chi_3 \\ 0 & \gamma_4 & -K_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_5 & -K_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\chi_2 & 0 & -K_8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_2 & 0 & 0 & -K_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $K_6 = \xi_{hd} + \sigma_h$, $\gamma_5 = \zeta_{hd}$, $\beta_2 = \xi_{hd}$, $\alpha = \sigma_h$.

The eigenvalues of this Jacobian matrix are computed to give the following: $\lambda_1 = -K_8$, $\lambda_2 = -K_5$, $\lambda_3 = -K_4$, $\lambda_5 = -\alpha$, $\lambda_6 = -K_6$, and

$$\lambda_4 = -\frac{K_4 K_5 K_8 - \chi_2 \chi_3 \gamma_4}{K_4 K_5} = -K_8 (1 - \mathcal{R}_{o_d} \frac{\chi_3}{K_8}).$$

All of the above eigenvalues are negative provided that $\mathcal{R}_{o_d} < 1$. Thus, the dengue fever sub-model is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_{o_d} < 1$. The frequency of dengue fever approaches an endemic equilibrium when $\mathcal{R}_{o_d} > 1$.

3.3. Co-infection model and analysis

In this section, we first prove that the Covid-19 and dengue co-infection model (2.1) has a unique solution. Second, we establish results for the local stability of the disease-free equilibrium point.

3.3.1. Existence and uniqueness of solutions

To establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the co-infected model (2.1), we consider its compact form as given in (2.2), i.e.,

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} \mathcal{Y}(t) = \phi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)), \quad \mathcal{Y}(0) = \mathcal{Y}_{0} \ge 0.$$

where ϕ denotes a continuous vector that satisfies the Lipschitz condition as follows:

$$\|\phi(t, \mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \phi(t, \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t))\| \le \mathcal{N} \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)\|,$$
(3.7)

where N > 0 is constant. We follow the steps of the proof of Theorem 3.4 described in [45] to establish the existence of a unique solution of (2.2).

Theorem 3.3. If the Lipschitz condition (3.7) and the inequality

$$\left(\frac{(1-\rho)\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{B}\rho} + \frac{\rho\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{B}\rho\Gamma(\rho+1)}T^{\rho}_{max}\right) < 1.$$
(3.8)

are satisfied, then there exists a unique solution to the initial value problem (2.2) for $t \in [0,T]$ in $C^1 \in ([0, T], D).$

Proof. The application of the Atangana-Balneau fractional integral on both sides of (2.2) will give us the following:

$$\mathcal{Y}(t) = \mathcal{Y}_0 + \frac{(1-\rho)}{\mathcal{B}\rho}\phi(t,\mathcal{Y}(t)) + \frac{\rho}{(\mathcal{B}\rho)\Gamma(\rho)}\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\rho-1}\phi(\tau,\mathcal{Y}(\tau))d\tau.$$
(3.9)

We define the operator $\mathbb{Q} : C(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^{10}) \to C(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ by the following:

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}(t)] = \mathcal{Y}_0 + \frac{(1-\rho)}{\mathcal{B}\rho}\phi(t,\mathcal{Y}(t)) + \frac{\rho}{(\mathcal{B}\rho)\Gamma(\rho)}\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\rho-1}\phi(\tau,\mathcal{Y}(\tau))d\tau,$$

where $\mathcal{M} = (0, \mathcal{N})$. Then, (3.9) can be re-written as follows:

$$\mathcal{Y}(t) = \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}(t)].$$

We define the supremum norm on \mathcal{M} as follows:

$$\|\mathcal{Y}(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}} =_{t \in \mathcal{M}}^{sup} \|\mathcal{Y}(t)\|.$$

It is obvious that the space $C(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ together with the norm $\|.\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a Banach space. Let $\mathcal{Y}(t) \in C(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(t, \tau) \in C(\mathcal{M}^2, \mathbb{R})$ with $|\mathcal{Z}(t, \tau)|_{\mathcal{M}} =_{t,\tau \in \mathcal{M}}^{sup} |\mathcal{Z}(t, \tau)|$; then, the following inequality holds:

$$\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{Z}(t,\tau)\mathcal{Y}(\tau)d\tau\right\| \leq \mathcal{N}\|\mathcal{Z}(t,\tau)\|_{\mathcal{M}}\|\mathcal{Y}(t,\tau)\|_{\mathcal{M}}$$

Consider the following:

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t)] - \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)]\|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \left\|\frac{1-\rho}{\mathcal{B}\rho}(\phi(t,\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t)) - \phi(t,\mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)))\right. \\ &+ \frac{\rho}{\mathcal{B}\rho} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\rho-1}(\phi(\tau,\mathcal{Y}_{1}(\tau)) - \phi(\tau,\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\tau)))d\tau\right\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &\leq \frac{1-\rho}{\mathcal{B}\rho} \mathcal{N} \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}} + \frac{\rho\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{B}\rho} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\rho-1} \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}} d\tau, \\ &\leq \frac{1-\rho}{\mathcal{B}\rho} \mathcal{N} \sup_{\mathcal{Y} \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)\| \end{split}$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$+ \frac{\rho \mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{B}\rho \Gamma(\rho)} \left(\int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau \right) \sup_{\mathcal{Y} \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(\tau) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(\tau)\|$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1-\rho}{\mathcal{B}\rho} \mathcal{N} + \frac{\rho \mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{B}\rho \Gamma(\rho+1)} T_{max}^{\rho} \right) \|\mathcal{Y}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{Y}_{2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}_1(t)] - \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{Y}_2(t)]\|_{\mathcal{M}} \le \|\mathcal{Y}_1(t) - \mathcal{Y}_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

provided condition (3.8) holds. Thus, the operator \mathbb{Q} becomes a contraction, and hence \mathbb{Q} has a unique fixed point, which is the solution to the initial value problem (2.2).

3.3.2. Positively invariant region

The total number of the human population for the complete model (2.1) is as follows:

$$N_h = S_h + E_{hc} + I_{hc} + R_{hc} + E_{hd} + I_{hd} + R_{hd} + I_{dc}, \qquad (3.10)$$

whereas the total number of the vector population is given as follows:

$$N_v = S_{vd} + I_{vd}.$$
 (3.11)

From Eq (3.10), we have the following:

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} N_{h}(t) = {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} S_{h} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} E_{hc} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{hc} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} R_{hc} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} E_{hd} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{hd} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} R_{hd} + {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathbf{D}^{\rho}_{t} I_{dc}.$$

Now, we incorporate equations of model (2.1) and simplify the resulting equation to obtain the following inequality:

$${}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} N_{h}(t) \leq \varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} N_{h}.$$

Similarly, from Eq (3.11), we obtain the following inequality:

$${}_{0}^{ABC}\mathrm{D}_{t}^{\rho}N_{\nu}(t) \leq \varphi_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu}N_{\nu}$$

Thus, the feasible region for the co-infection model (2.1) is defined as follows:

$$\Psi_{hv} = \Psi_h \times \Psi_v,$$

where

$$\Psi_h = \left\{ (S_h, E_{hc}, I_{hc}, R_{hc}, E_{hd}, I_{hd}, R_{hd}, I_{dc}) \in \mathbb{R}^8_+ : N_h(t) \le \frac{\varphi_h}{\sigma_h} \right\},\$$

and

$$\Psi_{\nu} = \left\{ (S_{\nu d}, I_{\nu d}) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : N_{\nu}(t) \le \frac{\varphi_{\nu}}{\sigma_{\nu}} \right\}.$$

Following the procedure given in [43, 51], it can be proven that the solutions of the co-infection model (2.1) with non-negative initial conditions belong to the feasible region Ψ_{hv} for all $t \ge 0$. Thus, the region Ψ_{hv} is a positively invariant region.

AIMS Mathematics

3.3.3. Local stability analysis

We take the infected states I_{hc} , I_{hd} , I_{dc} , and I_{vd} equal to zero and solve the steady state equation of the co-infection model (2.1) to obtain the following disease-free equilibrium (DFE) point:

$$P^* = (S_h^*, E_{hc}^*, I_{hc}^*, R_{hc}^*, E_{hd}^*, I_{hd}^*, R_{hd}^*, I_{dc}^*, S_{vd}^*, I_{vd}^*) = \left(\frac{\varphi_h}{\sigma_h}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\varphi_v}{\sigma_v}, 0\right).$$

Here, we used the next-generation matrix approach to determine the relation for the reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_{o_{d_c}}$. The matrix of the appearance of new infections and the column matrix of transitional terms in the compartments E_{hc} , I_{hc} , E_{hd} , I_{hd} , I_{dc} , and I_{vd} are denoted by F_c and V_c , respectively. These matrices are given as follows:

$$F_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc}+I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} \\ 0 \\ \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc} \\ -\alpha_{c}E_{hc} + (\zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h})I_{hc} \\ (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h})E_{hd} \\ -\alpha_{d}E_{hd} + (\zeta_{hd} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h})I_{hd} \\ (\beta_{hc} + \beta_{hd} + \sigma_{h} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \mu_{h_{2}})I_{dc} \\ \sigma_{v}I_{vd} \end{pmatrix}$$

The Jacobian of the matrices F_c and V_c at DFE point P^* are computed to give the following matrices:

Then, the spectral radius of the product matrix $\mathcal{F}_c \mathcal{V}_c^{-1}$ is computed to give the reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_{o_{dc}}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}_{o_{dc}} = \rho\left(\mathcal{F}_{c}\mathcal{V}_{c}^{-1}\right) = \frac{\alpha_{c}\chi_{hc}}{(\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})(\zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h})}.$$

Theorem 3.4. The disease free equilibrium P^* of model (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_{o_{dc}} < 1$ and it is unstable when $\mathcal{R}_{o_{dc}} > 1$.

AIMS Mathematics

Proof. The Jacobian of model (2.1) at P^* is computed to give the following matrix:

where $K_1 = \alpha_c + \sigma_h$, $K_2 = \zeta_{hc} + \mu_{h_2} + \sigma_h$, $K_3 = \xi_{hc} + \sigma_h$, $K_4 = \alpha_d + \sigma_h$, $K_5 = \zeta_{hd} + \mu_{h_1} + \sigma_h$, $K_6 = \xi_{hd} + \sigma_h$, $K_7 = \beta_{hc} + \beta_{hd} + \mu_{h_1} + \mu_{h_2} + \sigma_h$, $K_8 = \sigma_v$, $\chi_1 = \chi_{hc}$, $\chi_2 = \chi_{hd}$, $\chi_3 = \chi_{vd}$, $\gamma_1 = \beta_{hc}$, $\gamma_2 = \alpha_c$, $\gamma_3 = \zeta_{hc}$, $\gamma_4 = \alpha_d$, $\gamma_5 = \zeta_{hd}$, $\gamma_6 = \beta_{hd}$, $\beta_1 = \xi_{hc}$, $\beta_2 = \xi_{hd}$, $\alpha = \sigma_h$.

The characteristic equation corresponding to the Jacobian matrix is given as follows:

$$(K_3 + \lambda)(K_6 + \lambda)(K_7 + \lambda)(K_8 + \lambda)(\alpha + \lambda)[(K_1 + \lambda)(K_2 + \lambda) - \gamma_2\chi_1]$$

$$[(\lambda + K_4)(\lambda + K_5)(\lambda + K_8) - \gamma_4\chi_2\chi_3] = 0.$$
 (3.12)

From Eq (3.12), we obtain some of the eigenvalues directly as follows: $\lambda_1 = -\alpha$, $\lambda_2 = -K_3$, $\lambda_3 = -K_6$, $\lambda_4 = -K_7$, $\lambda_5 = -K_8$. Additionally, the characteristic equation (3.12) gives us the following two polynomials in λ , i.e.:

$$\lambda^{2} + (K_{1} + K_{2})\lambda + K_{1}K_{2}[1 - R_{o_{dc}}] = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\lambda^{3} + A_{1}\lambda^{2} + A_{2}\lambda + A_{3} = 0, \qquad (3.14)$$

where $A_1 = K_4 + K_5 + K_8$, $A_2 = K_4K_5 + K_4K_8 + K_5K_8$, $A_3 = K_4K_5K_8 - \gamma_4\chi_2\chi_3$.

Since all coefficients of Eq (3.13) are positive provided that $R_{o_{dc}} < 1$, by the Routh Hurwitz criterion, the real part of all corresponding eigenvalues are negative. It is obvious that coefficients A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 of Eq (3.14) are positive; additionally, it can be shown that $A_1A_2 > A_3$. Therefore, by the Routh Hurwitz criterion, the real part of the roots of Eq (3.14) are negative. Thus, the co-infection model (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{o_{dc}} < 1$.

AIMS Mathematics

3.3.4. Global stability analysis

Theorem 3.5. The disease-free equilibrium point P^* of the co-infection model (2.1) is globally asymptotically stable in the region Ψ_{hv} when $\mathcal{R}_{o_{dc}} < 1$.

Proof. We construct a candidate Lyapunov function $G_0: \Psi_{hv} \to \mathbb{R}$ [19, 34] at P^* such that

$$G_0(S_h, E_{hc}, I_{hc}, R_{hc}, E_{hd}, I_{hd}, R_{hd}, I_{dc}, S_{vd}, I_{vd}) = \left(S_h - S_h^* - S_h^* \ln \frac{S_h}{S_h^*}\right) + E_{hc} + I_{hc} + R_{hc} + E_{hd} + I_{hd} + R_{hd} + I_{dc} + S_{vd} + I_{vd},$$

We apply the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative to obtain the following:

We use equations of system (2.1) to replace the fractional derivatives in the above expression:

$$\begin{split} {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathbf{D}_{t}^{\rho} G_{0} \leq & \left(1 - \frac{S_{h}^{*}}{S_{h}}\right) \left[\varphi_{h} - \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)\right]S_{h} + \xi_{hd}R_{hd} + \xi_{hc}R_{hc} - \sigma_{h}S_{h}\right] \\ & + \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} - (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc}\right] \\ & + \left[\alpha_{c}E_{hc} + \beta_{hc}I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)R_{hd} - \left(\tau_{d}\left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h2} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hc}\right)I_{hc}\right] \\ & + \left[\zeta_{hc}I_{hc} - \left(\xi_{hc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right)R_{hc}\right] + \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)S_{h} - (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h})E_{hd}\right] \\ & + \left[\alpha_{d}E_{hd} + \beta_{hd}I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)R_{hc} - \left(\tau_{c}\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h1} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hd}\right)I_{hd}\right] \\ & + \left[\zeta_{hd}I_{hd} - \left(\xi_{hd} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right)R_{hd}\right] \\ & + \left[\varphi_{v} - \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{vd} - \sigma_{v}S_{vd}\right] \\ & + \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{vd} - \sigma_{v}I_{vd}\right]. \end{split}$$

After re-arranging terms, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{split} {}^{ABC}_{0} \mathsf{D}^{\rho}_{t} G_{0} \leq & (\varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} S_{h}) - \frac{S^{*}_{h}}{S_{h}} (\varphi_{h} - \sigma_{h} S_{h}) + \Big[\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} + \frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big] S^{*}_{h} \\ & - (\xi_{hc} R_{hc} + \xi_{hd} R_{hd}) \frac{S^{*}_{h}}{S_{h}} - \sigma_{h} (S_{h} + E_{hc} + I_{hc} + R_{hc} + E_{hd} + I_{hd} + R_{hd} + I_{dc}) \\ & - \mu_{h_{1}} I_{hd} - \mu_{h_{2}} I_{hc} - (\mu_{h_{1}} + \mu_{h_{2}}) I_{dc} + \varphi_{v} - \sigma_{v} (S_{vd} + I_{vd}). \end{split}$$

AIMS Mathematics

Since $\left(\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc}+I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)\right)S_{h}^{*} \ge 0$, the above expression can be written as follows:

$${}^{ABC}_{0} \mathsf{D}^{\rho}_{t} G_{0} \leq -\frac{\sigma_{h}}{S_{h}} (S_{h} - S_{h}^{*})^{2} - (\xi_{hd} R_{hd} + \xi_{hc} R_{hc}) \frac{S_{h}^{*}}{S_{h}} - \sigma_{h} (S_{h} + E_{hc} + I_{hc} + R_{hc} + E_{hd} + I_{hd} + R_{hd} + I_{dc}) - \mu_{h_{1}} I_{hd} - \mu_{h_{2}} I_{hc} - (\mu_{h_{1}} + \mu_{h_{2}}) I_{dc} - \sigma_{v} (S_{vd} - S_{vd}^{*}) - \sigma_{v} I_{vd}.$$

This implies that ${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}G_{0} \leq 0$. Additionally, we observe that ${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}G_{0} = 0$ if and only if $S_{h} = S_{h}^{*}$, $E_{hc} = E_{hc}^{*}$, $I_{hc} = I_{hc}^{*}$, $R_{hc} = R_{hc}^{*}$, $E_{hd} = E_{hd}^{*}$, $I_{hd} = I_{hd}^{*}$, $R_{hd} = R_{hd}^{*}$, $I_{dc} = I_{dc}^{*}$, $S_{vd} = S_{vd}^{*}$, $I_{vd} = I_{vd}^{*}$. Hence, by LaSalle's invariance principle [34, 46], the DFE point P^{*} is globally asymptotically stable in the region Ψ_{hv} .

The global stability at a disease-free point means that the disease will not persist in the population if a disturbance of any size is introduced into the population.

4. Numerical discretization and implementation

This section briefly describes the development of a Toufik-Atangana type numerical scheme [52] to approximate the fractional differential equation of type (2.2). We implement this scheme to discretize each equation of the co-infection model (2.1) and simulate the resulting equations for different values of the fractional order ρ to study its impact on the disease dynamics.

We employ the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus to model (2.2) to obtain the following:

$$\mathcal{Y}(t) - \mathcal{Y}(0) = \frac{1 - \rho}{M(\rho)} \phi(t, \mathcal{Y}(t)) + \frac{\rho}{M(\rho)\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\rho - 1} \phi(\theta, \mathcal{Y}(\theta)) d\theta.$$

In a discrete form, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{Y}(t_{q+1}) - \mathcal{Y}(0) = \frac{1-\rho}{M(\rho)}\phi(t_q, \mathcal{Y}(t_q)) + \frac{\rho}{M(\rho)\Gamma(\rho)}\int_0^{t_{q+1}} (t_{q+1}-\theta)^{\rho-1}\phi(\theta, \mathcal{Y}(\theta))d\theta.$$

Correspondingly,

$$\mathcal{Y}(t_{q+1}) = \mathcal{Y}(0) + \frac{1-\rho}{M(\rho)}\phi(t_q, \mathcal{Y}(t_q)) + \frac{\rho}{M(\rho)\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{p=0}^q \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} (t_{q+1}-\theta)^{\rho-1}\phi(\theta, \mathcal{Y}(\theta))d\theta.$$
(4.1)

Using interpolation of the function $\phi(\theta, \mathcal{Y}(\theta))$ over $[t_p, t_{p+1}]$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(t_{q+1}) = \mathcal{Y}(0) + \frac{1-\rho}{M(\rho)}\phi(t_q, \mathcal{Y}(t_q)) + \frac{\rho}{M(\rho)\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{p=0}^{q} \left[\frac{\phi(t_p, \mathcal{Y}(t_p))}{h} \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} (t_{q+1} - t)^{\rho-1} (t - t_{p-1}) dt \right] \\ - \frac{\phi(t_{p-1}, \mathcal{Y}(t_{p-1}))}{h} \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} (t_{q+1} - t)^{\rho-1} (t - t_p) dt \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

which can be reduced to the following discrete form:

$$\mathcal{Y}(t_{q+1}) = \mathcal{Y}(t_0) + \frac{1-\rho}{M(\rho)}\phi(t_q, \mathcal{Y}(t_q)) + \frac{\rho}{M(\rho)} \sum_{p=0}^{q} \left[\frac{h^{\rho}\phi(t_p, \mathcal{Y}(t_p))}{\Gamma(\rho+2)} \left\{ (q-p+2+\rho)(q+1-p)^{\rho} \right\} \right] dp = 0$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$-(q-p+2+2\rho)(q-p)^{\rho} \bigg\}$$
(4.2)

$$-\frac{h^{\rho}\phi(t_{p-1},\mathcal{Y}(t_{p-1}))}{\Gamma(\rho+2)}\Big\{(q+1-p)^{\rho+1}-(q-p+1+\rho)(q-p)^{\rho}\Big\}\Big],\tag{4.3}$$

where we have subdivided the time domain [0, T_f] into N subintervals, each of length $h = \frac{T_f}{N} > 0$ with $t_q = qh$, q = 0, 1, ..., N. Equations of model (2.1) will be discretized similarly to (4.2).

4.1. Fractional order effect on disease dynamics

To explore the impact of memory on the disease dynamics, we conducted simulations using continuous equations represented by model (2.1) with the discretization strategy outlined in type (4.2). The simulations for state variables were carried out for $\rho = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0$, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Dynamical behaviour of the co-infection model (2.1) for various values of fractional order ρ . The infected and infectious population decreases with an increase in the value of fractional order.

AIMS Mathematics

We observe an evident decrease in each of the infectious human populations as the fractional order ρ increases. Interestingly, the population infected with Covid-19 initially rises in the early days of the disease outbreak and subsequently declines steadily as ρ increases. For $\rho = 1$, all three infected human populations move to a disease-free state. Additionally, we notice a decrease in individuals exposed to Covid-19 and dengue fever with an increasing value of fractional order ρ . Ultimately, these populations also reach a disease-free state for $\rho = 1$. This suggests that an increased focus on the past states and interactions within the model has a significant effect on mitigating the spread of infectious diseases among the human population. Moreover, the figure shows a gradual increase in humans recovered from Covid-19 and dengue fever with increasing values of the fractional order ρ . Additionally, the impact of the fractional order on the vector population is observed in this figure. We notice a decrease in the infectious vector population as the fractional order increases. The findings of this analysis emphasize the possibility of including memory effects in epidemic models for more precise forecasts and successful methods in disease prevention and control.

5. Optimization of co-infection model

In this section, we formulate an optimal control problem to suggest the best vaccination and treatment rates for restricting the spread of Covid-19 and dengue co-infection. To do this, we first update the disease model (2.1) to adjust vaccination and treatment compartments, thus resulting in a system of new coupled fractional order differential equations. We consider the vaccination and treatment rates as control variables and define an objective functional. We will introduce adjoint variables to formulate the Hamiltonian function for developing optimality conditions using Pontryagin's principle [53–55]. The optimality conditions will be numerically solved for optimal solutions.

5.1. Optimal control problem and optimality conditions

For optimization, we update the co-infection model (2.1) to adjust the vaccination and treatment compartments, see Figure 3 for a flow diagram of the updated model. We assume that the susceptible humans are vaccinated for Covid-19 at the rate δ_1 and may get infected with either dengue fever or Covid-19 after interacting with infectious humans or vectors. Vaccinated individuals may recover at the rate γ_{hc} and may die naturally at the rate σ_h . Additionally, we suppose that the dengue-infected humans are treated at hospitals or homes at the rate δ_2 and move to the recovered class at the rate κ_{hd} . The humans under treatment may either die naturally or due to the disease at the rates σ_h or μ_{h_3} . Thus, as shown in Figure 3, the updated co-infection model describing the flow of disease is mathematically described as follows:

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}S_{h}(t) = \varphi_{h} - \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)\right]S_{h} + \xi_{hd}R_{hd} + \xi_{hc}R_{hc} - (\sigma_{h} + \delta_{1})S_{h},$$
(5.1a)

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}V_{hc}(t) = \delta_{1}S_{h} - \left[\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)\right]V_{hc} - (\gamma_{hc} + \sigma_{h})V_{hc},$$
(5.1b)

$${}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}E_{hc}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)(S_{h} + V_{hc}) - (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc},$$
(5.1c)

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}I_{hc}(t) = \alpha_{c}E_{hc} + \beta_{hc}I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)R_{hd} - \left(\tau_{d}\left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hc}\right)I_{hc},$$
(5.1d)

AIMS Mathematics

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}R_{hc}(t) = \zeta_{hc}I_{hc} + \gamma_{hc}V_{hc} - \left(\xi_{hc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right)R_{hc},$$

$$(5.1e)$$

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}E_{hd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)(S_{h} + V_{hc}) - (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h})E_{hd},$$
(5.1f)

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}I_{hd}(t) = \alpha_{d}E_{hd} + \beta_{hd}I_{dc} + \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)R_{hc} - \left(\delta_{2} + \tau_{c}\left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hd}\right)I_{hd}, \quad (5.1g)$$

$$\int_{0}^{ABC} D_{t}^{b} T_{hd}(t) = \delta_{2} I_{hd} - (\mu_{h_{3}} + \sigma_{h} + \kappa_{hd}) T_{hd},$$
(5.1h)

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}R_{hd}(t) = \zeta_{hd}I_{hd} + \kappa_{hd}T_{hd} - \left(\xi_{hd} + \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right) + \sigma_{h}\right)R_{hd},$$
(5.1i)

$${}^{ABC}_{0}D^{\rho}_{t}I_{dc}(t) = \tau_{d} \left(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\right)I_{hc} + \tau_{c} \left(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)I_{hd} - (\beta_{hc} + \beta_{hd} + \sigma_{h} + \mu_{h_{1}} + \mu_{h_{2}})I_{dc},$$
(5.1j)

$${}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}S_{vd}(t) = \varphi_{v} - \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{vd} - \sigma_{v}S_{vd},$$
(5.1k)

$${}_{0}^{ABC}D_{t}^{\rho}I_{vd}(t) = \left(\frac{\chi_{hd}(I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\right)S_{vd} - \sigma_{v}I_{vd},$$
(5.11)

with the following initial conditions:

$$S_{h}(0) > 0, \ V_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ E_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{hc}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hc}(0) \ge 0 \ E_{hd}(0) \ge 0, I_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ R_{hd}(0) \ge 0, \ I_{dc}(0) \ge 0, \ S_{vd}(0) > 0, \ I_{vd}(0) \ge 0.$$
(5.1m)



Figure 3. Flow diagram of updated co-infection model with vaccination and treatment compartments.

AIMS Mathematics

For the sake of the optimization process, we replace the constant vaccination rate δ_1 and the treatment rate δ_2 in the updated model (5.1) with time-dependent functions $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$, and declare them as control variables for the process.

To define a control problem, we consider the following cost functional:

$$J(I_{hc}, I_{hd}, I_{dc}, I_{vd}, u_1, u_2) = \int_{0}^{T_f} \left[b_1 I_{hc}(t) + b_2 I_{hd}(t) + b_3 I_{dc}(t) + b_4 I_{vd}(t) + \frac{1}{2} b_5 u_1^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} b_6 u_2^2(t) \right] dt, \quad (5.2)$$

where $I_{hc}(t)$, $I_{hd}(t)$, $I_{dc}(t)$, and $I_{vd}(t)$ are state variables representing infectious classes, T_f is the fixed terminal time, and $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ are control variables. The non-negative constants b_1 , b_2 , b_3 , and b_4 are the weights associated with the infectious classes $I_{hc}(t)$, $I_{hd}(t)$, $I_{dc}(t)$, and $I_{vd}(t)$, respectively. Moreover, $\frac{1}{2}b_5u_1^2(t)$ and $\frac{1}{2}b_6u_2^2(t)$ are the cost functions of considered control approaches respectively for vaccination and treatment. The non-negative constants b_5 and b_6 are the corresponding costs associated with the controls.

Then, the optimal control problem is defined as follows:

$$\min_{u_i(t)\in\mathcal{W}} J(I_{hc}, I_{hd}, I_{dc}.I_{vd}, u_i) \text{ subject to model (5.1)},$$
(5.3)

where W is the control space, which is defined as follows:

 $\mathcal{W} = \{u_i(t) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } [0, \omega] \text{ and } 0 \le u_i(t) \le \omega < 1, i = 1, 2\}.$

Now, to derive the optimal conditions by Pontryagin's maximum principle, we built the following Hamiltonian for the control problem (5.3):

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{B}, u_{1}, u_{2}) = b_{1}I_{hc}(t) + b_{2}I_{hd}(t) + b_{3}I_{dc}(t) + b_{4}I_{vd}(t) + \frac{1}{2}b_{5}u_{1}^{2}(t) + \frac{1}{2}b_{6}u_{2}^{2}(t) \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{1}\Big[\varphi_{h} - \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} + \frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big)S_{h} + \xi_{hd}R_{hd} + \xi_{hc}R_{hc} - (\sigma_{h} + u_{1}(t))S_{h}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{2}\Big[u_{1}(t)S_{h} - \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} + \frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big)V_{hc} - (\gamma_{hc} + \sigma_{h})V_{hc}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{3}\Big[\Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\Big)(S_{h} + V_{hc}) - (\alpha_{c} + \sigma_{h})E_{hc}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{4}\Big[\alpha_{c}E_{hc} + \beta_{hc}I_{dc} + \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\Big)R_{hd} - \Big(\tau_{d}\Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big) + \mu_{h_{2}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hc}\Big)I_{hc}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{5}\Big[\zeta_{hc}I_{hc} + \gamma_{hc}V_{hc} - \Big(\xi_{hc} + \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big) + \sigma_{h}\Big)R_{hc}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{6}\Big[\Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big)(S_{h} + V_{hc}) - (\alpha_{d} + \sigma_{h})E_{hd}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{7}\Big[\alpha_{d}E_{hd} + \beta_{hd}I_{dc} + \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}}\Big)R_{hc} - \Big(u_{2}(t) + \tau_{c}\Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\Big) + \mu_{h_{1}} + \sigma_{h} + \zeta_{hd}\Big)I_{hd}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{8}\Big[u_{2}(t)I_{hd} - (\mu_{h_{3}} + \sigma_{h} + \kappa_{hd})T_{hd}\Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{9}\Big[\zeta_{hd}I_{hd} + \kappa_{hd}T_{hd} - \Big(\xi_{hd} + \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}}\Big) + \sigma_{h}\Big)R_{hd}\Big] \end{split}$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$+ \mathcal{A}_{10} \Big[\tau_d \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd} I_{vd}}{N_h} \Big) I_{hc} + \tau_c \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc} (I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_h} \Big) I_{hd} - (\beta_{hc} + \beta_{hd} + \sigma_h + \mu_{h_1} + \mu_{h_2}) I_{dc} \Big] \\ + \mathcal{A}_{11} \Big[\varphi_v - \Big(\frac{\chi_{hd} (I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_h} \Big) S_{vd} - \sigma_v S_{vd} \Big] \\ + \mathcal{A}_{12} \Big[\Big(\frac{\chi_{hd} (I_{hd} + I_{dc})}{N_h} \Big) S_{vd} - \sigma_v I_{vd} \Big],$$
(5.4)

where $\mathcal{B} = (S_h, V_{hc}, E_{hc}, I_{hc}, R_{hc}, E_{hd}, I_{hd}, T_{hd}, R_{hd}, I_{dc}, S_{vd}, I_{vd})$ is a vector of state variables, \mathcal{A}_i , i = 1, 2, ..., 12 are adjoint variables associated with the state equations of model (5.1), and $\mathcal{A}^* = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_5, \mathcal{A}_6, \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{A}_8, \mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{A}_{10}, \mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{A}_{12})$ is called the adjoint vector.

Theorem 5.1. Let \bar{S}_h , \bar{V}_{hc} , \bar{E}_{hc} , \bar{I}_{hc} , \bar{R}_{hc} , \bar{E}_{hd} , \bar{I}_{hd} , \bar{T}_{hd} , \bar{R}_{hd} , \bar{I}_{dc} , \bar{S}_{vd} , and \bar{I}_{vd} be optimal state solutions of model (5.1) associated with optimal control variables u_1^* , u_2^* for the optimal control problem (5.3) that minimizes $J(I_{hc}, I_{hd}, I_{dc}, I_{vd}, u_1, u_2)$ over W. Then, there exists an adjoint system consisting of the following equations:

along with the following transversality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_2(T_f) &= \mathcal{A}_3(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_4(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_5(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_6(T_f) = 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_7(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_8(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_9(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_{10}(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_{11}(T_f) = \mathcal{A}_{12}(T_f) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the control set $\{u_1^*, u_2^*\}$ characterized by

$$u_1^*(t) = \min\left\{\omega, \max\left\{\frac{\mathcal{A}_1(t) - \mathcal{A}_2(t)}{b_5}S_h(t), 0\right\}\right\},\$$
$$u_2^*(t) = \min\left\{\omega, \max\left\{\frac{\mathcal{A}_7(t) - \mathcal{A}_8(t)}{b_6}I_{hd}(t), 0\right\}\right\}.$$

Together, the Hamiltonian (5.4) and the Eq (5.5) lead us to the following system of linear adjoint

AIMS Mathematics

fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{split} {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{1}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{3}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \Big) + (\mathcal{A}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{6}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big) \\ &+ u_{1}(t)(\mathcal{A}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{2}(t)) + \sigma_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{1}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{2}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{2}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{3}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \Big) + (\mathcal{A}_{2}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{6}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big) \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{2}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{3}(t)) \gamma_{hc} + \sigma_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{2}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{3}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{3}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{4}(t))\sigma_{c} + \sigma_{h}\mathcal{A}_{3}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{3}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{3}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{4}(t))\sigma_{c} + \sigma_{h}\mathcal{A}_{3}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{3}(t) &= -b_{1} + (\mathcal{A}_{4}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{10}(t))\tau_{d} \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big) + (\mathcal{A}_{4}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{5}(t))\zeta_{hc} \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{p}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{4}(t))\mathcal{R}_{hd} + (\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{10}(t))\tau_{c}I_{hd} \Big], \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hc} + (\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{7}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big) + \sigma_{h}\mathcal{A}_{5}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hc} + (\mathcal{A}_{5}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{7}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{vd}I_{vd}}{N_{h}} \Big) + \sigma_{h}\mathcal{A}_{5}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) &= -b_{2} + (\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{8}(t))u_{2}(t) + (\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{10}(t))\tau_{c} \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \Big) \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{9}(t))\xi_{hd} + (\mathcal{A}_{11}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{12}(t)) \frac{\chi_{hd}S_{vd}}{N_{h}} + (\sigma_{h} + \mu_{h_{1}})\mathcal{A}_{7}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) = (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hd} + (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{4}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \Big) \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hd} + (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}(I_{hc} + I_{dc})}{N_{h}} \Big) + \sigma_{h}\mathcal{A}_{9}(t), \\ {}_{0}^{ABC} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) = (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hd} + (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{9}(t)) \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}X_{hc}}{N_{h}} \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t))\xi_{hd} \Big(\frac{\chi_{hc}X_{hc}}{N_{h}} + (\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t)) \frac{\chi_{hc}X_{hc}}{N_{h}} \\ &+ (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t)) \beta_{hc} + (\mathcal{A}_{9}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{1}(t)) \frac{$$

along with conditions at the terminal time

$$\mathcal{A}_i(T_f) = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, 12.$$

Equations for the controls $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are obtained by applying the first condition of Pontryagin's

AIMS Mathematics

principle, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{H}}{\partial u_1} &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_1(t) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(t) - \mathcal{A}_2(t)}{b_5} S_h(t), \\ \frac{\partial \mathbb{H}}{\partial u_2} &= 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_2(t) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_7(t) - \mathcal{A}_8(t)}{b_9} I_{hd}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the optimal control characterization for $u_1^*(t)$ and $u_2^*(t)$ with bounds are given as follows:

$$u_{1}^{*}(t) = \min \left\{ \omega, \max \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{A}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{2}(t)}{b_{5}} S_{h}(t), 0 \right\} \right\},$$

$$u_{2}^{*}(t) = \min \left\{ \omega, \max \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{A}_{7}(t) - \mathcal{A}_{8}(t)}{b_{6}} I_{hd}(t), 0 \right\} \right\}.$$
(5.7)

The state equations of model (5.1) are simulated by using the Toufik-Atangana type discretizations described in Section 4; for the corresponding adjoint linear equations (5.6), we employ the Toufik-Atangana type discretizations backward in time together with the associated terminal conditions.

5.2. Optimization algorithm

The following algorithm is implemented to solve the optimality conditions for the optimal control problem (5.3).

- (1) Set an elementary control $u_k = ((u_1)_k, (u_2)_k) \in \mathcal{W}$ for k = 0.
- (2) Use the control u_k to approximate system (5.1) forward in time and the adjoint system (5.6) backward in time.
- (3) Use (5.7) to find $u^* = (u_1^*, u_2^*)$.
- (4) Refine the control u_k by using $u_k = (u_k + u^*)/2$.
- (5) **Stop** the iterative process when $||O_k O_{k-1}|| < \sigma ||O_k||$ for k > 0, **otherwise** $k + 1 \leftarrow k$ and move to step 2.

Here, O is a symbolic representation for each of the control variables, state variables, and adjoint variables, and $\sigma > 0$ is the tolerance adjusted for accuracy.

5.3. Optimal solutions and discussions

In this section, we will present and discuss the results obtained by resolving the necessary optimality conditions using steps of the above algorithm. The optimality conditions involving state and adjoint equations are discretized using the discretizations developed in Section 4. The cost functional (5.2) is approximated at the discrete points $t_q = qh$, q = 0, 1, ..., N using Simpson's one-by-three rule. Simulation results for optimal control problem (5.3) will be presented for different values of a fractional order (i.e., for $\rho = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$).

This study aims to determine the best rate of Covid-19 vaccination for susceptible individuals and the most effective treatment rate for dengue-infected individuals to minimize the cost functional, thus optimally restricting the spread of co-infection. Figure 4 shows the plots of the best vaccination and treatment controls that minimize the cost functional (5.2). The optimal curves for time-dependent

vaccination rates for different values of the fractional order ρ are shown in the left part of the figure. Notably, early in the disease outbreak, a maximum Covid-19 vaccination to susceptible individuals is crucial. However, this maximum vaccination period diminishes with the increasing fractional order ρ and elapsed time t. The right-hand plot of Figure 4 illustrates the optimal rates for treating dengueinfected individuals. The treatment rates decline over time and with higher fractional order values from $\rho = 0.7$ to $\rho = 1$. By adopting this strategy, the treatment rate approaches zero after the first 50 days for $\rho = 1$, thus suggesting a potential recommendation to alleviate the strain on hospitals.

In Figure 5, we present four sub-graphs of cost functional (5.2) for four different values of fractional order ρ . In each sub-graph, the cost functional reaches its minimum through the application of optimal vaccination and treatment rates. Although the number of solution iterations remains constant across all sub-plots, there is a significant decrease in the cost functional with an increasing fractional order ρ . The most notable reduction in the cost functional is observed when ρ is equal to 1.

Figure 6 shows the solution trajectories for state variables before and after optimization at the terminal time T_f for different values of fractional order ρ . The dotted lines represent the curves before optimization, whereas the solid lines depict the state variables after optimization. From the figure, we observe a decrease in the number of infectious people affected by Covid-19 and dengue fever after optimization. However, the decrease in the optimal curves for co-infected individuals is relatively small compared to the pre-optimization curves. However in both situations, the curves for co-infected individuals move to a disease-free state for $\rho = 0.9$ and $\rho = 1$. Additionally, we notice a substantial decrease in the optimal curves for the infectious vector population. Furthermore, the optimized curves for infectious humans and vectors exhibit a decline with an increasing fractional order ρ . Similar trends are observed for humans in the exposed class infected with Covid-19, where a more significant reduction in infected individuals is evident after optimization. However, no reduction is observed in exposed humans infected with dengue fever. This may be because the susceptibles have only been vaccinated with the Covid-19 vaccine. However, quarantining dengue-infected humans may help to reduce the number of dengue-infected exposed cases.



Figure 4. Plot of time-dependent controls $u_1 = (\delta_1)$, and $u_2 = (\delta_2)$ for different values of fractional order ρ where u_1 represents the Covid-19 vaccination rate and u_2 represents the treatment rate of dengue-infected individuals.



Figure 5. Plot of the cost functional J for different values of fractional order ρ . In each sub-plot, the functional attains its minimum.



Figure 6. Dynamical behavior of state variables before and after optimization at final time T_f .

AIMS Mathematics

The curves for individuals recovered from Covid-19 rise more after optimization, whereas the rise in the curves of dengue-recovered individuals is not greater than the curves before optimization. Nevertheless, the number of recovered individuals in both cases rises as the fractional order increases. Additionally, the figure includes curves for vaccinated and under-treated individuals. It is observed that a higher vaccination rate is initially necessary, but beyond the initial 50 days, the requirement significantly reduces to approach zero for $\rho = 1$. However, the strategy requires the treatment of a greater number of individuals infected with dengue, potentially posing a burden on the healthcare infrastructure.

The simulations indicate that the vaccination of susceptible individuals yields better results in terms of reducing the number of exposed and infected individuals affected with Covid-19, as well as in terms of a rise in the number of recovered individuals. Moreover, the simulations reveal the treatment impact on dengue-infected individuals in terms of a reduction in the number of dengue infections. However, the treatment impact on exposed individuals is negligible. Additionally, it is noticed that these impacts are preserved under different fractional orders.

6. Conclusions and future directions

In this research, we formulated a new ABC fractional-order model for Covid-19 and dengue coinfection to study the disease dynamics for an optimal control analysis. In the first part of the manuscript, we studied the dynamics of the sub-models, as well as the dynamics of the co-infection model. We proved that there exists a unique solution to the proposed co-infection model that lies in a feasibly invariant region. We demonstrated that at the disease-free equilibrium point, the model satisfies the local stability properties. All of these proofs concluded that the newly constructed coinfection fractional model is well-posed and can be optimally analyzed for disease control.

In the second part of this manuscript, we performed an optimal control analysis to minimize the number of infected humans. For this, we updated the proposed co-infection model to include a vaccination compartment to vaccinate susceptible individuals with the Covid-19 vaccine and a treatment compartment to treat dengue-infected individuals. We considered the vaccination rate of susceptible individuals and the treatment rate of dengue-infected individuals as the control variables. We defined an objective functional and formulated an optimal control problem to determine the best vaccination and treatment rates to minimize the cost functional with a reduction in infectious humans and vectors. We derived the optimality conditions using Pontryagin's principle. The optimality conditions were solved numerically using Toufik-Atangane-type numerical discretizations, both forward and backward in time.

Graphical results for the presented disease control strategy show that 80 percent of the susceptible population needs to be vaccinated with the Covid-19 vaccine in the early days. The span of early days with a maximum vaccination level decreases with an increasing value of the fractional order ρ . Additionally, we observed the impact of the fractional order on optimal treatment rates. For $\rho = 1$, the treatment rate of dengue-infected individuals approached zero after the first 50 days. With both of these strategies, we achieved a reasonable reduction in the number of Covid-19-infected and dengue-infected individuals. However, the reduction in co-infected individuals is negligibly small after optimization. Thus, the graphical results elaborate on the efficacy of the approach to ascertain optimal vaccination and treatment rates for different fractional orders that significantly decrease infections. Moreover, the

5199

influence of the memory index on disease transmission and control was explored in this study. We observed a noteworthy decrease in the infectivity with an increase in the fractional order. Therefore, it is clear that, in comparison to the integer-order model, the proposed fractional model provides a more accurate understanding of the disease's behavior.

This theoretical study was conducted to determine effective and feasible control measures to either reduce or eradicate the effect of Covid-19 and dengue co-infection from the population. The findings of this study suggest optimal vaccination and treatment patterns for authorities to follow to minimize the infection rate in the community. Moreover, it is crucial to mention that the investigated fractional disease model provides a more precise understanding of the disease dynamics in contrast to the previously existing integer-order versions. Another distinction of the current research is the implementation of the Toufik-Atangana numerical scheme; for the first time, this numerical scheme was used to solve the fractional optimal control problem defined for Covid-19 and dengue fever co-infection. However, with the proposed control strategies, we do not see a reasonable reduction in the individuals facing both infections at the same time. In the future, we plan to take various non-pharmaceutical control approaches into account to modify the current ABC fractional co-infection model and achieve a more credible and effective optimal control analysis. Additionally, we plan to work on the Covid-19 and dengue fever fuzzy fractional co-infection model to remove the uncertainty of different control strategies with the cost-effective analysis.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in writing the paper. The authors declare that they have only used the Grammarly free AI tool to improve the manuscript's grammar.

Funding

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia [Project No. GRANT5384].

Data availability statement

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper.

Conflict of interest

This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

References

 World Health Organization, Epidemiological bulletin WHO health emergencies programme WHO regional office for south-east Asia, 8 Eds., 2023. Available from: https://iris.who.int/ handle/10665/372269

- S. Naseer, S. Khalid, S. Parveen, K. Abbass, H. Song, M. V. Achim, COVID-19 outbreak: Impact on global economy, *Front. Public Health*, **10** (2023), 1009393. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1009393
- 3. Y. Shang, H. Li, R. Zhang, Effects of Pandemic outbreak on economies: Evidence from business history context, *Front. Public Health*, **9** (2021), 632043. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.632043
- 4. S. Murthy, C. D. Gomersall, R. A. Fowler, Care for critically ill patients with Covid-19, *JAMA*, **323** (2020), 1499–1500. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3633
- 5. H. S. Rodrigues, M. T. T. Monteiro, D. F. M. Torres, Sensitivity analysis in a dengue epidemiological model, *Conf. Pap. Math.*, **2013** (2013), 721406. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/721406
- 6. World Health Organization, Dengue guidelines, for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control, 2009. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241547871
- M. Verduyn, N. Allou, V. Gazaille, M. Andre, T. Desroche, M. C. Jaffar et al., Co-infection of dengue and Covid-19: A case report, *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 14 (2020), e0008476. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008476
- S. Masyeni, M. S. Santoso, P. D. Widyaningsih, D. G. W. Asmara, F. Nainu, H. Harapan, et al., Serological cross-reaction and coinfection of dengue and COVID-19 in Asia: Experience from Indonesia, *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, **102** (2021), 152–154. https://doi.org/10.1016j.ijid.2020.10.043
- L. Lansbury, B. Lim, V. Baskaran, W. S. Lim, Co-infections in people with Covid-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *J. infect.*, 81 (2020), 266–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.046
- 10. A. A. Malibari, F. Al-Husayni, A. Jabri, A. Al-Amri, M. Alharbi, A patient with Dengue Fever and COVID-19: coinfection or not? *Cureus*, **12** (2020), e11955. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.11955
- A. Saddique, M. S. Rana, M. M. Alam, A. Ikram, M. Usman, M. Salman, et al., Emergence of coinfection of COVID-19 and dengue: A serious public health threat, *J. Infect.*, 81 (2020), e16–e18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.08.009
- 12. M. I. H. Hussein, A. A. D. Albashir, O. A. M. A. Elawad, A. Homeida, Malaria and COVID-19: Unmasking their ties, *Malaria J.*, **19** (2020), 457. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03541-w
- 13. K. Sverdrup, S. J. Kimmerle, P. Berg, Computational investigation of the stability and dissolution of nanobubbles, *Appl. Math. Model.*, **49** (2017), 199–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2017.05.006
- M. Farman, A. Akgül, M. T. Tekin, M. M. Akram, A. Ahmad, E. E. Mahmoud, et al., Fractal fractional-order derivative for HIV/AIDS model with Mittag-Leffler kernel, *Alex. Eng. J.*, 61 (2022), 10965–10980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.04.030
- S. Ahmad, A. Ullah, A. Akgül, D. Baleanu, Analysis of the fractional tumourimmune-vitamins model with Mittag-Leffler kernel, *Results Phys.*, **19** (2020), 103559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103559

5200

- 16. Y. Sabbar, A. Din, D. Kiouach, Influence of fractal-fractional differentiation and independent quadratic Levy jumps on the dynamics of a general epidemic model with vaccination strategy, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **171** (2023), 113434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113434
- 17. A. I. K. Butt, Atangana-Baleanu fractional dynamics of predictive whooping Cough model with optimal control analysis, *Symmetry*, **15** (2023), 1773. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15091773
- B. Fatima, M. Yavuz, M Ur Rahman, A. Althobaiti, S. Althobaiti, Predictive modeling and control strategies for the transmission of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus, *Math. Comput. Appl.*, 28 (2023), 98. https://doi.org/10.3390/mca28050098
- A. Hanif, A. I. K. Butt, Atangana-Baleanu fractional dynamics of dengue fever with optimal control strategies, *AIMS Mathematics*, 8 (2023), 15499–15535. https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2023791
- A. Omame, D. Okuonghae, A co-infection model for oncogenic Human papillomavirus and tuberculosis with optimal control and cost-effectiveness analysis, *Optim. Control. Appl. Methods*, 42 (2021), 1081–1101. https://doi.org/10.1002/oca.2717
- Y. Guo, T. Li, Fractional-order modeling and optimal control of a new online game addiction model based on real data, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **121** (2023), 107221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2023.107221
- 22. D. Baleanu, F. A. Ghassabzade, J. J. Nieto, A. Jajarmi, On a new and generalized fractional model for a real cholera outbreak, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **61** (2022), 9175–9186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.02.054
- 23. B. Razia, T. Osman, H. Khan, G. Haseena, A. Khan, A fractional order Zika virus model with Mittag-Leffler kernel, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **146** (2021), 110898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110898
- 24. A. Atangana, I. Koca, Chaos in a simple nonlinear system with Atangana-Baleanu derivative with fractional order, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **89** (2016), 447–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2016.02.012
- A. I. K. Butt, W. Ahmad, M. Rafiq, N. Ahmad, M. Imran, Optimally analyzed fractional Coronavirus model with Atangana–Baleanu derivative, *Results Phys.*, 53 (2023), 106929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106929
- 26. M. Caputo, M. Fabrizio, A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel, *Progr. Fract. Differ. Appl.*, **1** (2015), 73–85. http://doi.org/10.12785/pfda/010201
- D. Baleanu, A. Jajarmi, H. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **134** (2020), 109705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109705
- T. Khan, R. Ullah, G. Zaman, J. Alzabut, A mathematical model for the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 virus using the Caputo-Fabrizio operator, *Math. Biosci. Eng.*, 18 (2021), 6095–6116. https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2021305
- 29. A. I. K. Butt, M. Imran, S. Batool, M. Al Nuwairan, Theoretical analysis of a COVID-19 CF-fractional model to optimally control the spread of pandemic, *Symmetry*, **15** (2023), 380. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020380

- 30. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier Science, 2006.
- 31. A. Atangana, D. Balneau, New fractional derivative with nonlocal and nonsingular kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model, *Thermal Sci.*, **20** (2016), 763–769. http://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI160111018A
- M. A. Al Shorbagy, M. Ur Rahman, Y. Karaca, A computational analysis fractional complexorder values by ABC operator and Mittag-Leffler Kernel modeling, *Fractals*, **31** (2023), 2340164. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X23401643
- P. Liu, Mati ur Rahman, A. Din, Fractal fractional based transmission dynamics of COVID-19 epidemic model, *Comput. Methods Biomec. Biomed. Eng.*, 25 (2022), 1852–1869. https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2022.2040489
- A. Hanif, A. I. K. Butt, W. Ahmad, Numerical approach to solve Caputo-Fabrizio-fractional model of corona pandemic with optimal control design and analysis, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 46 (2023), 9751–9782. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.9085
- 35. A. Hanif, A. I. K. Butt, S. Ahmad, R. U. Din, M. Inc, A new fuzzy fractional order model of transmission of Covid-19 with quarantine class, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **136** (2021), 1179. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-021-02178-1
- A. I. K. Butt, M. Rafiq, W. Ahmad, N. Ahmad, Implementation of computationally efficient numerical approach to analyze a Covid-19 pandemic model, *Alex. Eng. J.*, 69 (2023), 341–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2023.01.052
- M. L. Diagne, H. Rwezaura, S. Y. Tchoumi, J. M. Tchuenche, A mathematical model of Covid-19 with vaccination and treatment, *Comput. Math. Methods Med.*, 2021 (2021), 1250129. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1250129
- A. I. K. Butt, W. Ahmad, M. Rafiq, N. Ahmad, M. Imran, Computationally efficient optimal control analysis for the mathematical model of Coronavirus pandemic, *Expert Syst. Appl.*, 234 (2023), 121094. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.121094
- 39. K. Diethelm, A fractional calculus based model for the simulation of an outbreak of dengue fever, *Nonlinear Dynam.*, **71** (2013), 613–619. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-012-0475-2
- Y. Chu, S. Sultana, S. Rashid, M. S. Alharthi, Dynamical analysis of the stochastic COVID-19 model using piecewise differential equation technique, *Comput. Model. Eng. Sci.*, 137 (2023), 2427–2464. https://doi.org/10.32604/cmes.2023.028771
- Y. Chu, S. Rashid, A. O. Akdemir, A. Khalid, D. Baleanu, B. R. Al-Sinan, et al., Predictive dynamical modeling and stability of the equilibria in a discrete fractional difference COVID-19 epidemic model, *Results Phys.*, 49 (2023), 106467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106467
- 42. T. Li, Y. Guo, Modeling and optimal control of mutated COVID-19 (Delta strain) with imperfect vaccination, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **156** (2022), 111825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111825
- 43. A. I. K. Butt, M. Imran, B. A. McKinney, S. Batool, H. Aftab, Mathematical and stability analysis of dengue-malaria co-infection with disease control strategies, *Mathematics*, **11** (2023), 4600. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11224600

- 44. E. Mtisi, H. Rwezaura, J. M. Tchuenche, A mathematical analysis of malaria and tuberculosis co-dynamics, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, **12** (2009), 827–864. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2009.12.827
- 45. A. Omame, M. Abbas, C. P. Onyenegecha, A fractional-order model for Covid-19 and tuberculosis co-infection using Atangana-Baleanu derivative, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **153** (2001), 111486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111486
- A. Omame, H. Rwezaura, M. L. Diagne, S. C. Inyama, J. M. Tchuenche, COVID-19 and dengue co-infection in Brazil: Optimal control and cost-effectiveness analysis, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **136** (2021), 1090. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-021-02030-6
- 47. A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: Theory and applications to heat transfer model, *Therm Sci.*, **20** (2016), 763–769. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/TSCI160111018A
- 48. J. K. K. Asamoah, Fractal fractional model and numerical scheme based on Newton polynomial for Q fever disease under Atangana-Baleanu derivative, *Results Phys.*, **34** (2022), 105189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2022.105189
- 49. G. Birkhoff, G. C. Rota, Ordinary differential equations, 4 Eds., John Wiley & Sons, 1991.
- 50. C. M. A. Pinto, J. A. T. Machado, Fractional model for malaria transmission under strategies. 908-916. control Comput. Math. Appl., 66 (2013),https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2012.11.017
- 51. E. Mtisi, H. Rwezaura, J.M. Tchuenche, A mathematical analysis of malaria and tuberculosis co-dynamics, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, **12** (2009), 827–864. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2009.12.827
- 52. M. Toufik, A. Atangana, New numerical approximation of fractional derivative with non-local and non-singular kernel: Application to chaotic models, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **132** (2017), 444. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2017-11717-0
- 53. R. Kamocki, Pontryagin maximum principle for fractional ordinary optimal control problems, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **37** (2014), 1668–1686. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.2928
- 54. S. Lenhart, J. T. Workman, Optimal control applied to biological models, CRC Press, 2007.
- H. M. Ali, F. L. Pereira, S. M. A. Gama, A new approach to the Pontryagin maximum principle for nonlinear fractional optimal control problems, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **39** (2016), 3640–3649. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.3811



© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)