



Research article

Normalized ground state solutions for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with mixed Choquard-type nonlinearities

Yipeng Qiu, Yingying Xiao*, Yan Zhao and Shengyue Xu

School of Mathematical Science, Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University, 330038 Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

* Correspondence: Email: wittes163@163.com.

Abstract: In this paper, we study the existence and the limit behavior of normalized solutions for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with mixed Choquard-type nonlinearities and alpha/2 + 2 < q < p < +infinity. Moreover, we also get the relationship between the minimizer and the ground state solution under the Pohozaev–Nehari manifold of the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations.

Keywords: normalized solution; Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations; Choquard-type nonlinearities; variational method

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60

1. Introduction

In this article, the gauged Schrödinger equations in R^2 are mainly studied:

-Delta u + lambda u + (h^2(|x|)/|x|^2 + integral_{|x|}^{+infinity} h(s)/s * u^2(s) ds) u = mu (I_alpha * |u|^q) |u|^{q-2} u + gamma (I_alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u (1.1)

under the constraint

integral_{R^2} |u|^2 dx = c > 0, (1.2)

where u in H_r^1(R^2) = {u in H^1(R^2) : u(x) = u(|x|)}, lambda in R is the Lagrange multiplier, mu, gamma in R, alpha/2 + 2 < q < p < +infinity, h(s) = 1/2 integral_0^s u^2(l) dl, and I_alpha is a Riesz potential (see [21]), alpha in (0, 2).

Consider the following time-dependent Schrödinger system with the Chern–Simons gauge fields:

{ iD_0 phi + (D_1 D_1 + D_2 D_2) phi = f(phi), partial_0 A_1 - partial_1 A_0 = -Im(phi bar D_2 phi), partial_0 A_2 - partial_2 A_0 = Im(phi bar D_1 phi), partial_1 A_2 - partial_2 A_1 = -1/2 |phi|^2, (1.3)

where i denotes the imaginary unit, $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$, $\phi \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the complex scalar field, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $A_j: \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the gauge field, $D_j = \partial_j + iA_j$ is the covariant derivative for $j = 0, 1, 2$, and the function f denotes the nonlinearity. For the physical background, since the 19th century, the Chen–Simons theory has been applied in various fields of quantum physics, and this system is important in the study of the high-temperature superconductor and Aharonov–Bohm scattering, for more details, we can refer the readers to [9, 15, 16] and the references therein.

The system (1.3) is invariant under the following gauge transformation:

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi e^{i\hat{\chi}}, \quad A_j \rightarrow A_j - \partial_j \hat{\chi},$$

where $\hat{\chi}: \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary C^∞ function. If we seek the standing wave solutions to (1.3) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t, x) &= u(|x|) e^{i\lambda t}, \quad A_0(t, x) = k(|x|), \\ A_1(t, x) &= \frac{x_2}{|x|^2} h(|x|), \quad A_2(t, x) = -\frac{x_1}{|x|^2} h(|x|), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and u, k, h are real-valued functions on $[0, \infty)$ with $h(0) = 0$ and note the form of A_1 and A_2 satisfies the Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0$, then we obtain the corresponding elliptic equation for u

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u + \left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} + \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.5)$$

When $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in (1.4) is a given and fixed frequency, many researchers have investigated the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for (1.5). Byeon et al. [2] have considered the case $f(u) = \omega|u|^{p-2}u$ when $\lambda > 0$, $\omega > 0$, $p \in (2, \infty)$ and $p \neq 4$, they proved the existence of standing wave solutions. Xiao et al. [27] have considered the existence of the positive energy solutions of (1.5) when $f(u) = a(|x|)|u|^{q-2}u + b(|x|)|u|^{p-2}u$. Chen et al. [7] have proved the existence of a class of ground-state solutions to (1.5) with $V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. When $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given and fixed frequency, more research results in this area can be found in [1, 3, 8, 27, 28] and the references therein. Now, to obtain the research content of this article, we give the definition of the ground state solution of (1.1).

For given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, assuming $u_a \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a nontrivial solution of (1.1), it is said to be a ground state solution if it achieves the infimum of the C^1 -energy functional $E_\lambda(u): H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(u) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx - \frac{\gamma}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^p dx \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

among all the nontrivial solutions, namely,

$$E_\lambda(u_a) := \inf_{u \in I_\lambda(u)} E_\lambda(u), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$I_\lambda(u) := \{u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\} : M_\lambda(u) = 0\}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$M_\lambda(u) := \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (\beta - 1)\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx + (3\beta - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx - \mu \frac{2\beta q - (2 + \alpha)}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx - \gamma \frac{2\beta p - (2 + \alpha)}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^p dx, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $I_\lambda(u)$ are usually called the Pohožaev–Nehari manifold [2].

In recent years, many scholars considered that the frequency $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in (1.4) is unknown and used as a Lagrange multiplier. In this case, the L^2 -norm of solutions is prescribed, which is usually called the normalized solution problem. The normalized solutions seem to be more meaningful from the physical point of view, as it is often adopted to represent the power supply in nonlinear optics or the total number of atoms in Bose–Einstein condensation. The relevant articles are as follows:

In [32], Zuo et al. considered the following nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \mu u + \lambda V(x)u - |u|^{p-2}u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.10)$$

where $V(x)$ is a parametric potential term with some assumptions, they obtained the existence of normalized solution through establishing the minimization of the energy functional associated with the principal equation imposing basic assumptions on the potential. And there have been many mathematicians studying the normalized solutions of the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations. Among them, (1.1) and (1.2) can be viewed in the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} + \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u = f(u), & u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = c > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Li et al. [17] have considered the nonlinearity $f(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ for (1.11), they proved that the existence and multiplicity of constraint critical points: when $p = 4$, they proved a sufficient condition for the nonexistence of constraint critical points and obtain infinitely many minimizers of the corresponding energy functional; when $p > 4$, for suitable $c > 0$, they obtained the critical point. Yuan [30] obtained the diversity of normalized solutions for (1.11) with nonlinearity $f(u) = \omega |u|^{p-2}u$ using the minimax theorem. Huang et al. [14] have considered that nonlinearity $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ enjoys critical exponential growth for (1.11), they investigated the existence of normalized solutions. When the frequency $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is unknown and as a Lagrange multiplier, more research results in this area can be read from [4, 10, 13, 20, 31] and the references therein.

Then, motivated by [2, 5, 6, 10, 33], we study the existence of the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). It is standard to show that the critical points of the following C^1 -energy functional defined on $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx - \frac{\gamma}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^p dx \quad (1.12)$$

under the mass constraint

$$S_c := \left\{ u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = c > 0 \right\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Whereupon, we can search for solutions to (1.1) possessing a given L^2 -norm, that is, finding $(u_a, \lambda) \in (H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \mathbb{R})$ solving (1.1) together with the normalized condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_a(x)|^2 dx = c > 0$. Furthermore, we show the definition of a normalized ground state solution to (1.1) on S_c : u_a is a ground state solution of (1.1) on S_c if $(u_a, \lambda) \in S_c \times \mathbb{R}$ is a solution to (1.1) that satisfies:

$$E'|_{S_c}(u_a) = 0 \text{ and } E(u_a) = \inf\{E(u) : u \in S_c, E'|_{S_c}(u_a) = 0\}.$$

We note

$$A(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad B(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx,$$

$$C_q(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx, \quad D_n(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^n dx,$$

where $\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2 < q < +\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Setting $u_t(x) := tu(tx)$ for $t > 0$, then $u_t \in S_c$, it holds that

$$D_n(u_t) = t^{n-2} D_n(u), \quad A(u_t) = t^2 A(u), \quad B(u_t) = t^2 B(u), \quad C_q(u_t) = t^{2q-(2+\alpha)} C_q(u).$$

Now, we define the fibering map $t \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto \Phi_u(t)$ given by

$$\Phi_u(t) := E(u_t) = \frac{t^2}{2} A(u) + \frac{t^2}{2} B(u) - \mu \frac{t^{2q-(2+\alpha)}}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{t^{2p-(2+\alpha)}}{2p} C_p(u). \quad (1.14)$$

Through a similar discussion in [3], we get the Pohožaev–Nehari functional:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=1} \Phi_u(t) = M(u) := A(u) + B(u) - \mu \frac{2q-(2+\alpha)}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{2p-(2+\alpha)}{2p} C_p(u). \quad (1.15)$$

Hence, notice that

$$\Phi'_u(t) = \frac{M(u_t)}{t},$$

$$\Phi''_u(t) = A(u) + B(u) - \mu \frac{(2q-2-\alpha)(2q-3-\alpha)t^{2q-(4+\alpha)}}{2q} C_q(u)$$

$$- \gamma \frac{(2p-2-\alpha)(2p-3-\alpha)t^{2p-(4+\alpha)}}{2p} C_p(u).$$

Following the idea of Soave [23, 24], we introduce a natural constraint Pohožaev–Nehari manifold:

$$I(c) := \{u \in S_c : M(u) = 0\},$$

and we denote

$$I^+(c) := \{u \in I(c) : \Phi''_u(1) > 0\},$$

$$I^0(c) := \{u \in I(c) : \Phi''_u(1) = 0\},$$

$$I^-(c) := \{u \in I(c) : \Phi''_u(1) < 0\}.$$

Moreover, following the arguments in [23], if $I^0(c) = \emptyset$, $I(c)$ is a smooth submanifold of codimension 2 of $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and a submanifold of codimension 1 in S_c .

Next, the following theorems are our main results.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\frac{q}{2} + 2 < q < p < +\infty$, $\mu < 0$, $\gamma > 0$, there exists a constant c_* such that for $0 < c < c_*$, (1.2) has a normalized ground state solution $(u_a, \lambda) \in (H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \mathbb{R}^+)$, that is

$$E(u_a) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) > 0. \quad (1.16)$$

Moreover, we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_a|^2 dx \rightarrow +\infty$ as $c \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\lambda(u)$ be the Lagrange multiplier corresponding to a minimizer u of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$, then for given $\lambda \in \{\lambda(u) : u \text{ is a minimizer of } \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)\}$, any ground state solution $w \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ of (1.1) is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$, namely,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w|^2 dx = c \text{ and } E(w) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u).$$

And the minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ is unique if and only if the ground state solution of (1.1) is unique.

Remark 1.1. For the nonlinearity $f(u) = \mu(I_\alpha * |u|^q)|u|^{q-2}u + \gamma(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u$ in (1.1), this is derived from the Choquard equation. For some sources and research on the Choquard equations, we refer to [6, 21, 22, 25] and the references therein. For now, there are few studies on the properties of the solution to the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with Choquard-type nonlinearity, which can be found in [29]. And this article aims to study the relationship between the ground state solution of (1.1) and the minimizer of (1.1) and (1.2), and through the variational methods, the ground state solution of (1.1) can be obtained. Therefore, we have provided our hypothesis.

Remark 1.2. (i) For Theorem 1.1: we have considered the existence of normalized solutions for Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with nonlinearity $f(u) = \mu(I_\alpha * |u|^q)|u|^{q-2}u + \gamma(I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u$, and compared to [31], Yao et al. have considered the existence of normalized solutions for Chern–Simons–Schrödinger systems with exponential critical growth $f(u)$. Our results are different, and my approach extends the existing [31] results. Furthermore, we also study the limit behavior of the ground state solutions. To the best of our knowledge, the results we obtained seem to be the first attention paid to the normalized solution problem of the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with mixed Choquard-type nonlinearities. And to prove Theorem 1.1, we use the minimax theorem to prove the existence of a Palais–Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ for $E(u)$. Due to the presence of the Chern–Simons term $\left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} + \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds\right)u$, it is difficult to prove that u_a on S_c at level $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ is a normalized ground state solution.

(ii) For Theorem 1.2: in [6], for small values of the parameter, Chen et al. have used the variational method to obtain the relationship between the number of solutions of Choquard equations and the profile of one of the continuous functions. Now, we consider the relationship between the ground state solution of (1.1) and the minimizer of (1.1) and (1.2), which seems to be a new result for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with mixed Choquard-type nonlinearities. In order to prove Theorem 1.2, due to the presence of the Chern–Simons term $\left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} + \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds\right)u$, we encounter difficulties in obtaining that any minimizer u of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ is a ground state solution of (1.1).

The following article is arranged as follows: Section 2 contains some required results, then proves Theorem 1.1. Section 3 gives the proof of Theorem 1.2. Section 4 gives a summary of this article.

We finish this introduction with some notation. Throughout this paper, the norm of Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is $\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx\right)^{1/2}$. For $s \geq 1$, the norm of Lebesgue space $L^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is $\|v\|_s = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^s dx\right)^{1/s}$. The embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^s$ ($s \geq 2$) is continuous; the embedding $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^s$ ($s > 2$) is compact. “ \rightarrow ” and “ \rightharpoonup ” are recorded as strong and weak convergence. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space with dual space $(X^{-1}, \|\cdot\|_{X^{-1}})$. The tangent space S_c at $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{T}_u = \{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} uv dx = 0\}.$$

The norm of the C^1 restriction function $E'|_{S_c}$ at $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by

$$\|E'|_{S_c}\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{T}_u, \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}=1} E'(u)[v].$$

Various positive constants are represented by $C, C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C(q)$.

2. Case $\mu < 0, \gamma > 0$

Lemma 2.1. *The functional $E(u)$ is bounded from below by a positive constant and coercive on $I(c) = I^-(c)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in I(c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi''_u(1) &= A(u) + B(u) - \mu \frac{(2q-2-\alpha)(2q-3-\alpha)}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{(2p-2-\alpha)(2p-3-\alpha)}{2p} C_p(u) \\ &= (4+\alpha-2q)(A(u) + B(u)) + \gamma \frac{2(2p-2-\alpha)(q-p)}{2p} C_p(u) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $I(c) = I^-(c)$. And by Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality of Hartree type [21], there exists a constant $N(\alpha, p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A(u) + B(u) &= \frac{\mu(2q-2-\alpha)}{2q} C_q(u) + \frac{\gamma(2p-2-\alpha)}{2p} C_p(u) \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma(2p-2-\alpha)N(\alpha, p)}{2p} c^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}} (A(u) + B(u))^{\frac{2p-2-\alpha}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$A(u) + B(u) \geq \left(\frac{2p}{\gamma(2p-2-\alpha)N(\alpha, p)c^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2p-4-\alpha}} > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore,

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2}A(u) + \frac{1}{2}B(u) - \frac{\mu}{2q}C_q(u) - \frac{\gamma}{2p}C_p(u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2q-4-\alpha}{2(2q-2-\alpha)}(A(u)+B(u)) + \frac{\gamma(p-q)}{p(2q-2-\alpha)}C_p(u) \\
&\geq \frac{2q-4-\alpha}{2(2q-2-\alpha)}(A(u)+B(u)) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

the functional $E(u)$ is bounded from below by a positive constant. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For any $u \in S_c$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $u_{t_u} \in I(c)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in S_c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'_u(t) &= tA(u) + tB(u) - \mu \frac{2q-(2+\alpha)}{2q} t^{2q-(3+\alpha)} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{2p-(2+\alpha)}{2p} t^{2p-(3+\alpha)} C_p(u) \\
&= t^{2q-(3+\alpha)} \left(A(u)t^{\frac{1}{2q-4-\alpha}} + B(u)t^{\frac{1}{2q-4-\alpha}} - \mu \frac{2q-(2+\alpha)}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{2p-(2+\alpha)}{2p} t^{2(p-q)} C_p(u) \right) \\
&:= t^{2q-(3+\alpha)} \zeta(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2 < q < p < +\infty$, one has $\Phi'_u(0) = 0$, $\Phi'_u(t) > 0$ for t small, and $\Phi'_u(t) < 0$ for t large. Then there exists $t_u > 0$ such that $\Phi'_u(t_u) = 0$ and $u_{t_u} \in I(c)$. Next, we claim that t_u is unique. For $t > 0$, the exponents $2q-4-\alpha$ and $2(p-q)$ are positive, then $\zeta(t)$ is strictly decreasing. Since $\{t > 0 \mid \Phi'_u(t) = 0\} = \{t > 0 \mid \zeta(t) = 0\}$, t_u is unique for any $u \in S_c$. \square

Next, we define $X : S_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $X(u) := E(u_{t_u})$, where $t_u > 0$ is given by Lemma 2.2. By a similar proof of [24, Proposition 2.9], we obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $u \in S_c$ and $v \in \mathbb{T}_u$, we get*

$$X'(u)[v] = E'(u_{t_u})[v_{t_u}]. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let F be a homotopy-stable family of compact subsets of S_c with closed boundary B , and let $e(c) := \inf_{H \in F} \max_{u \in H} X(u)$. Suppose that B is contained in a connected component of $I(c)$ and that $\max\{\sup X(B), 0\} < e(c) < +\infty$. Then, there exists a Palais–Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ for E restricted on S_c at level $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$.*

Proof. From [12, Definition 3.1], let $\{D_n\} \subset F$ be a minimizing sequence satisfying:

$$\max_{u \in D_n} X(u) < e(c) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.3)$$

and define the homotopy map $\xi : [0, 1] \times S_c \rightarrow S_c$ by $\xi(t, u) := (1-t+tt_u)u((1-t+tt_u)x)$. Since $t_u = 1$ for any $B \subset I(c)$, it is clear that $\xi(t, u) = u$ for $(t, u) \in (\{0\} \times S_c) \cup ([0, 1] \times B)$, and it is easy to verify its continuity. Then, using the definition of $e(c)$, we have

$$A_n := \xi(\{1\} \times D_n) = \{u_{t_u} : u \in D_n\} \in F, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that A_n is a subset of $I^-(c)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and there is $u \in D_n$ such that $v = u_{t_u} \in A_n$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.2, we have $X(v) = X(u_{t_u}) = E(u_{t_u}) = X(u)$, it holds

that $\max_{u \in D_n} X(u) = \max_{u \in A_n} X(u)$. By the Minimax theorem [12, Theorem 3.2]; there exists a Palais–Smale sequence $\{v_n\}$ at level $e(c)$ in S_c with $\text{dist}(v_n, A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. If $\{v_n\} \subset I(c)$, this concludes the proof. If not, we put $t_n := t_{v_n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ due to Lemma 2.2 and consider the sequence $\{u_n := t_{v_n} v_n(t_{v_n} x)\} \subset I(c)$. It is enough to prove that $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais–Smale sequence at level $e(c)$ in S_c . It follows that $A(u_n)$ is bounded from below and above. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} < t_n^2 < C$. Indeed, it holds that $t_n^2 = \frac{A(u_n)}{A(v_n)}$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E'_{S_c}(u_n)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \sup_{\|\psi\|=1, \psi \in \mathbb{T}_u} E'(u_n)[\psi] \\ &= \sup_{\|\psi\|=1, \psi \in \mathbb{T}_u} E'((v_n)_{t_n})[(\psi_{t_n^{-1}})_{t_n}] \\ &= \sup_{\|\psi\|=1, \psi \in \mathbb{T}_u} X'(v_n)[\psi_{t_n^{-1}}] \\ &\leq C \sup_{\|\psi\|=1, \psi \in \mathbb{T}_u} \|X'(v_n)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\psi\| \\ &\leq C \|X'(v_n)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais–Smale sequence at level $e(c)$ in S_c . Then, we obtain

$$e(c) = \inf_{H \in F} \max_{u \in H} X(u) = \inf_{u \in S_c} X(u) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u), \quad (2.5)$$

that is, there exists a Palais–Smale sequence $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ restricted on S_c at level $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $u \in I(c)$ be a nontrivial solution to (1.1), then there exists a c_* , such that for $0 < c < c_*$, $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. Testing (1.1) by u , we obtain that

$$A(u) + 3B(u) + \lambda D_2(u) = \mu C_q(u) + \gamma C_p(u). \quad (2.6)$$

From [3], there holds

$$B(u) \leq \frac{1}{16\pi^2} A(u) D_2^2(u), \quad (2.7)$$

then, for $u \in I(c)$ and by Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality of Hartree type [21], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c &= \mu C_q(u) + \gamma C_p(u) - A(u) - 3B(u) \\ &= \frac{2+\alpha}{2p-2-\alpha} A(u) - \frac{4p-6-3\alpha}{2p-2-\alpha} B(u) + \frac{\mu(p-q)(2+\alpha)}{q(2p-2-\alpha)} C_p(u) \\ &\geq \frac{2+\alpha}{2p-2-\alpha} A(u) - \frac{4p-6-3\alpha}{(2p-2-\alpha)16\pi^2} c^2 A(u) + \frac{\mu(p-q)(2+\alpha)}{q(2p-2-\alpha)} N(\alpha, p) c^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}} A(u)^{\frac{2p-2-\alpha}{2}} \\ &= \frac{(2+\alpha)16\pi^2 - (4p-6-3\alpha)c^2}{(2p-2-\alpha)16\pi^2} A(u) + \frac{\mu(p-q)(2+\alpha)}{q(2p-2-\alpha)} N(\alpha, p) c^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}} A(u)^{\frac{2p-2-\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < c < c_1 := \left(\frac{(2+\alpha)16\pi^2}{4p-6-3\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, let

$$f(t) := C_1 t - C_2 t^{\frac{2p-2-\alpha}{2}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{(2 + \alpha)16\pi^2 - (4p - 6 - 3\alpha)c^2}{(2p - 2 - \alpha)16\pi^2}, \quad C_2 = -\frac{\mu(p - q)(2 + \alpha)}{q(2p - 2 - \alpha)}N(\alpha, p)c^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

When $t \in (0, (\frac{C_1}{C_2})^{\frac{2}{2p-4-\alpha}})$, $f(t) > 0$, and

$$(\frac{C_1}{C_2})^{\frac{2}{2p-4-\alpha}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } c \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.10)$$

There exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ and a positive constant C_3 such that

$$A(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(u_n) \leq C_3. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant c_2 such that for $0 < c < c_2$, $(\frac{C_1}{C_2})^{\frac{2}{2p-4-\alpha}} > C_3$. Hence, when $0 < c < c_* := \min\{c_1, c_2\}$, we get $\lambda > 0$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ be a bounded Palais–Smale sequence for E restricted on S_c at level $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u_a$ in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $u_a \in S_c$ is a radial normalized solution to (1.1) for some $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. Since $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$ is a bounded Palais–Smale sequence, there exists a $u_a \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u_a$ in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $u_n \rightarrow u_a$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ($t > 2$), and a.e. in \mathbb{R}^2 . Next, we claim that $u_a \neq 0$. Otherwise, according to the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality [18] and Lions' concentration compactness principle [19, Lemma I.1], it is clear that, for any $q \in (\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2, +\infty)$,

$$0 \leq C_q(u_n) \leq C(q)D_{\frac{4q}{2+\alpha}}^{2q}(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

For $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mu \frac{2q - (4 + \alpha)}{4q} C_q(u_n) + \gamma \frac{2p - (4 + \alpha)}{4p} C_p(u_n) \right) = 0,$$

it is impossible. Then $u_a \neq 0$. And by the Lagrange multipliers theory, there exists $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\varphi \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi dx + \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_n \varphi dx + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{h_n^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} u_n \varphi + \left(\int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h_n(s)}{s} u_n^2(s) ds \right) u_n \varphi \right) dx \\ & - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^q) |u_n|^{q-1} \varphi dx - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p) |u_n|^{p-1} \varphi dx = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\lambda_n c = \mu C_q(u_n) + \gamma C_p(u_n) - A(u_n) - 3B(u_n) + o(1), \quad (2.14)$$

which implies that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded as well, and then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, by [10, 11] and $\{u_n\} \subset I(c)$, we obtain

$$\lambda_n c = \mu \frac{2 + \alpha}{2q} C_q(u_n) + \gamma \frac{2 + \alpha}{2p} C_p(u_n) - 4B(u_n)$$

$$\rightarrow \mu \frac{2+\alpha}{2q} C_q(u_a) + \gamma \frac{2+\alpha}{2p} C_p(u_a) - 4B(u_a) =: \lambda c \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and by weak convergence, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E'(u_a)[\varphi] + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_a \varphi dx = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

for any $\varphi \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_a + \lambda u_a + \left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} + \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u_a^2(s) ds \right) u_a &= \mu (I_\alpha * |u_a|^q) |u_a|^{q-2} u_a \\ &+ \gamma (I_\alpha * |u_a|^p) |u_a|^{p-2} u_a \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Choosing $\varphi = u_n$ in (2.13) and (2.15), by Lemma 2.5 and [10, 11], we holds

$$A(u_n) + \lambda D_2(u_n) \rightarrow A(u_a) + \lambda D_2(u_a) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2.17)$$

which implies that $u_n \rightarrow u_a$ in $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the Palais–Smale sequence obtained in Lemma 2.4 is bounded. So, in view of Lemmas 2.4–2.6, there exists a $u_a \in I(c)$ and $0 < c < c_*$ such that $E(u_a) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) > 0$, $E'|_{S_c}(u_a) = 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, from (2.1), we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_a|^2 dx \rightarrow +\infty$ as $c \rightarrow 0$. So, we get Theorem 1.1.

3. Relationship between minimizer and ground state solution

For any $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$, let $u_t^\beta(x) := t^\beta u(tx)$ by some positive β . Define the fibering map $t \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto \Phi_u^\beta(t)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_u^\beta(t) &:= E_\lambda(u_t^\beta) \\ &= \frac{t^{2\beta}}{2} A(u) + \frac{\lambda t^{2\beta-2}}{2} D_2(u) + \frac{t^{6\beta-4}}{2} B(u) - \mu \frac{t^{2\beta q - (2+\alpha)}}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{t^{2\beta p - (2+\alpha)}}{2p} C_p(u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. For any $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t_u^* > 0$ such that $u_{t_u^*}^\beta \in I_\lambda(u)$.

Proof. Let $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed; by (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_u^{\beta'}(t) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \beta t^{2\beta} A(u) + (\beta - 1) t^{2(\beta-1)} \lambda D_2(u) + (3\beta - 2) t^{6\beta-4} B(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu(2\beta q - (2+\alpha))}{2q} t^{2\beta q - (2+\alpha)} C_q(u) - \frac{\gamma(2\beta p - (2+\alpha))}{2p} t^{2\beta p - (2+\alpha)} C_p(u) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow M_\lambda(u_t^\beta) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow u_t^\beta \in I_\lambda(u). \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2 < q < p < +\infty$, one has $\Phi_u^{\beta'}(0) = 0$, $\Phi_u^{\beta'}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ small, and $\Phi_u^{\beta'}(t) < 0$ for $t > 0$ large. Then, there exists $t_u^* > 0$ such that $\Phi_u^{\beta'}(t_u^*) = 0$ and $u_{t_u^*}^\beta \in I_\lambda(u)$. Next, we claim that t_u^* is unique for any $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. For $\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2 < q < p < +\infty$,

$$\Phi_u^{\beta'}(t) = \beta A(u) t^{2\beta-1} + (\beta - 1) \lambda D_2(u) t^{2\beta-3} + (3\beta - 2) B(u) t^{6\beta-5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mu \frac{2\beta q - (2 + \alpha)}{2q} t^{2\beta q - (3 + \alpha)} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{2\beta p - (2 + \alpha)}{2p} t^{2\beta p - (3 + \alpha)} C_p(u) \\
& = t^{2\beta q - (3 + \alpha)} \left(\beta A(u) t^{\frac{1}{2\beta(q-1) - 2 - \alpha}} + (\beta - 1) \lambda D_2(u) t^{\frac{1}{2\beta(q-1) - \alpha}} + (3\beta - 2) B(u) t^{\frac{1}{2\beta(q-3) + 2 - \alpha}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \mu \frac{2\beta q - (2 + \alpha)}{2q} C_q(u) - \gamma \frac{2\beta p - (2 + \alpha)}{2p} t^{2(p-q)\beta} C_p(u) \right) \\
& := t^{2\beta q - (3 + \alpha)} \zeta^\beta(t).
\end{aligned}$$

For some $\beta > 1$ and $t > 0$, the exponents $2\beta q - (3 + \alpha)$, $2\beta(q - 1) - 2 - \alpha$, $2\beta(q - 1) - \alpha$, $2\beta(q - 3) + 2 - \alpha$ and $2(p - q)\beta$ are positive, then $\zeta^\beta(t)$ is strictly decreasing. Since $\{t > 0 \mid \Phi_u^{\beta'}(t) = 0\} = \{t > 0 \mid \zeta^\beta(t) = 0\}$, t_u^* is unique for any $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Corollary 3.1. For $u \in I_\lambda(u)$,

$$E_\lambda(u) = \Phi_u^\beta(t_u^*) = \max_{t > 0} \Phi_u^\beta(t). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2. For $u \in I_\lambda(u)$, we have

$$E_\lambda(u) \geq \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c. \quad (3.3)$$

Especially, the equality holds if and only if u is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$, and u is a ground state solution of (1.1). Moreover, any minimizer u of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ is a ground state solution of (1.1).

Proof. For $u \in I_\lambda(u)$ and Corollary 3.1, we get

$$E_\lambda(u) \geq E_\lambda(u_t^\beta). \quad (3.4)$$

and $E_\lambda(u) = E_\lambda(u_t^\beta)$ if and only if $t = 1$. Then, by (1.16), one has

$$E_\lambda(u) \geq E_\lambda(u_{t_c}^\beta) = E(u_{t_c}^\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c \geq \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c, \quad (3.5)$$

where $t_c = \left(\frac{c}{D_2(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta-2}}$. On the one hand, if the equality holds, then by (3.5), one has $E(u_{t_c}^\beta) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ and $E_\lambda(u) = E_\lambda(u_{t_c}^\beta)$. By Corollary 3.1, it implies that $t_c = 1$, i.e., $D_2(u) = c$, leading to $E(u) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$. Hence, u is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$. Otherwise, by (3.3), there exists $v \in I_\lambda(u)$ such that

$$E_\lambda(v) \geq \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c = E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c = E_\lambda(u), \quad (3.6)$$

this contradiction shows that u is a ground state solution of (1.1). On the other hand, if u is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$, then we obtain

$$E_\lambda(u) = E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda D_2(u) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda c, \quad (3.7)$$

which implies that the equality holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, let $w \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ be any ground state solution of (1.1), for given $\lambda \in \{\lambda(u) : u \text{ is a minimizer of } \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)\}$, we have

$$E_\lambda(w) \leq \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda c. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, combining (3.3) and (3.8) such that $E_\lambda(w) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda c$. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain that w is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$. Let the minimizer u of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ be unique. Then, u is a ground state solution of (1.1) with given λ . Otherwise, there exists $v \in E_\lambda(u)$ such that v is another ground state solution of (1.1). Then, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$E_\lambda(u) = E_\lambda(v) = \inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda c, \quad (3.9)$$

which shows v is a minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$. This is a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove that the minimizer of $\inf_{u \in I(c)} E(u)$ is unique if the ground state solution of (1.1) with the given λ is unique. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. Conclusions

In this article, we obtain the existence of ground state solutions for Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with mixed Choquard-type nonlinearities under L^2 -norm constraints. By controlling the size of c and the parameters $\mu < 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, make it possible to find these solutions on Pohožaev–Nehari manifold and consider the limit behavior of these solutions. Furthermore, by assuming the existence of the ground state solutions of the equations, we have found the relationship between the minimizer and the ground state solution under the Pohožaev–Nehari manifold of the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations, which greatly enriches the research content on solutions in the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations. We hope that the research results of this article can provide new ideas and directions for further research in this field.

Use of Generative-AI tools declaration

The authors declare that they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Author contributions

Yipeng Qiu: conceptualization, methodology, writing-original draft; Yingying Xiao: supervision, writing-review and editing; Yan Zhao: writing-original draft, validation; Shengyue Xu: validation. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Doctoral Science Foundation, Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University (2022BSQD13), Jiangxi Provincial Basic Education Research Project (SZUJKSX2024-

1022); On campus graduate research project of Jiangxi Society of Science Education (2023KXJYS151), and authors are grateful to the referees for their very constructive comments and valuable suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this paper.

References

1. A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, Positive energy static solutions for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system under a large-distance fall-off requirement on the gauge potentials, *Calc. Var.*, **60** (2021), 165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-021-02031-4>
2. J. Byeon, H. Huh, J. Seok, Standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the gauge field, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **263** (2012), 1575–1608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2012.05.024>
3. J. Byeon, H. Huh, J. Seok, On standing waves with a vortex point of order N for the nonlinear Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations, *J. Differ. Equations*, **261** (2016), 1285–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.04.004>
4. H. B. Chen, W. H. Xie, Existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for the nonlinear Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Fenn. Math.*, **45** (2020), 429–449. <https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.2020.4518>
5. S. Cingolani, L. Jeanjean, Stationary waves with prescribed L^2 -norm for the planar Schrödinger–Poisson system, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **51** (2019), 3533–3568. <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1243907>
6. Y. P. Chen, Z. P. Yang, The existence of multiple solutions for a class of upper critical Choquard equation in a bounded domain, *Demonstr. Math.*, **57** (2024), 20230152. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2023-0152>
7. S. T. Chen, B. L. Zhang, X. H. Tang, Existence and concentration of semiclassical ground state solutions for the generalized Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **185** (2019), 68–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2019.02.028>
8. Y. B. Deng, S. J. Peng, W. Shuai, Nodal standing waves for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Differ. Equations*, **264** (2018), 4006–4035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2017.12.003>
9. G. Dunne, *Self-dual Chern–Simons theories*, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1 Eds., 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-44777-1>
10. Y. H. Ding, H. Y. Wang, Normalized solutions to Schrödinger equations with critical exponent and mixed nonlocal nonlinearities, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **34** (2024), 215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-024-01667-w>
11. P. d’Avenia, A. Pomponio, T. Watanabe, Standing waves of modified Schrödinger equations coupled with the Chern–Simons gauge theory, *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A*, **150** (2020), 1915–1936. <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2019.9>

12. N. Ghoussoub, *Duality and perturbation methods in critical point theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511551703>
13. T. X. Gou, Z. T. Zhang, Normalized solutions to the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **280** (2021), 108894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108894>
14. X. J. Huang, S. H. Feng, J. H. Chen, Normalized solutions for Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system with critical exponential growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **540** (2024), 128685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128685>
15. R. Jackiw, S. Y. Pi, Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern–Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. D*, **42** (1990), 3500. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.42.3500>
16. R. Jackiw, S. Y. Pi, Soliton solutions to the gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the plane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **64** (1990), 2969–2972. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2969>
17. G. B. Li, X. Luo, Normalized solutions for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *Ann. Fenn. Math.*, **42** (2017), 405–428. <https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.2017.4223>
18. E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, Cambridge: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2 Eds., 2001.
19. P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The Locally compact case, part 2, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **1** (1984), 223–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0294-1449\(16\)30422-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0294-1449(16)30422-x)
20. X. Luo, Multiple normalized solutions for a planar gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **69** (2018), 58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-018-0952-7>
21. V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **265** (2013), 153–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.04.007>
22. V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **17** (2015), 1550005. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199715500054>
23. N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities, *J. Differ. Equations*, **269** (2020), 6941–6987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.05.016>
24. N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities: the Sobolev critical case, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **279** (2020), 108610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108610>
25. X. Q. Sun, B. L. Yang, Y. Q. Song, Multiplicity of solutions for the noncooperative Choquard–Kirchhoff system involving Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent on the Heisenberg group, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser.*, **72** (2023), 3439–3457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12215-022-00833-9>
26. M. I. Weinstein, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **87** (1983), 567–576. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01208265>
27. Y. Y. Xiao, C. X. Zhu, On Chern–Simons–Schrödinger systems involving steep potential well and concave-convex nonlinearities, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **27** (2022), 543–570. <https://doi.org/10.57262/ade027-0910-543>

28. Y. Y. Xiao, C. X. Zhu, J. H. Chen, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities for the generalized Chern–Simons–Schrödinger systems with steep potential well and $1 < p < 2 < q < 6$, *J. Math. Phys.*, **63** (2022), 051506. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0074586>
29. Y. Y. Xiao, C. X. Zhu, L. Xie Existence of ground state solutions for the modified Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equations with general Choquard type nonlinearity, *AIMS Mathematics*, **7** (2022), 7166–7176. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2022399>
30. J. J. Yuan, Multiple normalized solutions of Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, **22** (2015), 1801–1816. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00030-015-0344-z>
31. S. Yao, H. B. Chen, J. T. Sun Two normalized solutions for the Chern–Simons–Schrödinger system with exponential critical growth, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **33** (2023), 91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-022-01142-4>
32. J. B. Zuo, C. G. Liu, C. Vetro, Normalized solutions to the fractional Schrödinger equation with potential, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **20** (2023), 216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-023-02422-1>
33. Z. Y. Zhang, J. T. Sun, Normalized solutions of NLS equations with mixed nonlocal nonlinearities, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, **13** (2024), 20240004. <https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2024-0004>



AIMS Press

© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)