



Research article

Global stability of traveling waves in monostable stream-population model

Chaohong Pan¹, Yan Tang² and Hongyong Wang^{2,*}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan First Normal University, Changsha 421205, China

² School of Mathematics and Physics, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: sysuwylj@aliyun.com.

Abstract: The stability of monotone traveling waves to a stream-population model is established in a particular weighted function space via the method of upper and lower solutions and a squeezing technique. By analyzing the behaviors of the traveling wave for a large time period under a small perturbation, we obtain the results of the local stability. The comparison principle and the squeeze theorem also allows us to prove the global stability of the positive steady-state solutions in the special weighted function space by constructing suitable upper and lower solutions.

Keywords: stream-population model; local stability; global stability; weighted function space; upper and lower solutions

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B35, 35B40, 35B51, 37C65, 92D25

1. Introduction

In this paper, we mainly focus on the following stream-population model [1]

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \sigma u + \mu v, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \sigma u - \mu v + f(v), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial data

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here, $u(x, t)$, $v(x, t)$ are the population densities of neuston and benthon respectively; σ represents the per capita drift rate at which the species returns to the benthic population; μ is the per capita rate at which individuals in the benthic population enter the drift; α is the speed of the flow; d , ϵ are the diffusion coefficients of species u , v respectively; the reaction term $f(v)$ is a strictly convex function of second order differentiable satisfying $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, $f'(0) > 0 > f'(1)$, and $f(v) > 0$ for $v \in (0, 1)$.

$\sigma, \mu, \alpha, d, \epsilon$ are positive constants and possess the biological meaning [2, 3]. It is worth mentioning that the benthon basically do not move horizontally since $\epsilon \ll 1$. Obviously, $(0, 0)$ and $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$ are the equilibria and further we can obtain that $(0, 0)$ is unstable and $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$ is stable for the corresponding spatially homogeneous system of (1.1).

In this paper, we are interested in the nonnegative traveling wave, connecting $(0, 0)$ and $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$, which possesses the wave profile as

$$u(x, t) = \bar{U}(z), v(x, t) = \bar{V}(z), z = x - ct, \quad (1.3)$$

where the wave speed $c \geq 0$. Substituting (1.3) into (1.1), yields the new wave profile as

$$\begin{cases} -c\bar{U}' = d\bar{U}'' - \alpha\bar{U}' - \sigma\bar{U} + \mu\bar{V}, \\ -c\bar{V}' = \epsilon\bar{V}'' + \sigma\bar{U} - \mu\bar{V} + f(\bar{V}), \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

with the boundary value condition

$$(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(-\infty) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), (\bar{U}, \bar{V})(\infty) = (0, 0). \quad (1.5)$$

It is worth pointing out that the existence of the traveling wave solution of (1.4) was proved, and the determinacy of linear and nonlinear selection of the minimal wave speed was further established by employing the method of upper and lower solutions in [1].

On this basis of the existence, we shall investigate the local and the global stability of the monotone traveling waves. In this progress, we need to conform that the solution of (1.1) is infinitely close to $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(x - ct)$ when t is large enough for given initial data $u_0(x)$ and $v_0(x)$. To begin with, we set

$$(u, v)(x, t) = (U, V)(z, t), \quad (1.6)$$

and then (1.4) can be transformed into the partial differential model

$$\begin{cases} U_t = dU_{zz} + (c - \alpha)U_z - \sigma U + \mu V, \\ V_t = \epsilon V_{zz} + cV_z + \sigma U - \mu V + f(V), \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

subject to

$$U(z, 0) = u_0(z), V(z, 0) = v_0(z), \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.8)$$

From the above system (1.7) we know that $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$ is also its steady state.

The investigation of the stabilities of traveling wave solutions could be traced back to the original works [4, 5]. Then many works assessing stability are available, but differences among them are frequent. For examples, the stability of the traveling waves with critical speed [6] and noncritical speed [7] in appropriate weighted Banach spaces is proved. The global stability of the forced waves [8, 9] is presented. The time-periodic traveling waves are asymptotically stable [10, 11]. Mei et al. [12–14] proved the exponential stability of traveling wave fronts, and the exponential stability of stochastic systems is demonstrated [15, 16]. By analyzing the location of the spectrum, the local stability of the traveling waves are investigated [17, 18]. For more related works, we refer to [19–32], and the references cited therein.

Despite the success in the study of the existence and the speed determinacy of traveling waves to the model (1.1), the local and global stability of the traveling waves in the monostable still remains unsolved. In this paper, mainly inspired by the ideas in [18], we devote our attention to finishing the issue of stability for the steady state $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$. Firstly, the local stability is discussed on the basis of asymptotic behavior of the traveling waves near the equilibrium and the method of spectrum analysis. When analyzing the eigenvalue problem, we need to overcome the great challenge brought by the high-order nonlinear terms. Then by using the result of local stability, we should choose appropriate weighted Banach space. Furthermore, also by constructing upper and lower solutions, we prove that the solution (U, V) in the moving coordinates converges to the steady state. The new results on the global stability is established in the special weighted function space by using the squeeze theorem.

This paper are organized as follows. In section 2, we present some preliminaries and main results. In section 3, we prove the local stability of the traveling waves. The global stability of the steady state $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$ in a special choice on the weighted function space $L_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is investigated in section 4.

2. Preliminaries and main results

First, linearizing the system (1.4) at $(0, 0)$ we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -c\bar{U}' = d\bar{U}'' - \alpha\bar{U}' - \sigma\bar{U} + \mu\bar{V}, \\ -c\bar{V}' = \epsilon\bar{V}'' + \sigma\bar{U} - \mu\bar{V} + f'(0)\bar{V}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The transformation

$$(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) \sim (\xi_1 e^{-\lambda z}, \xi_2 e^{-\lambda z}) \quad (2.2)$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi_i (i = 1, 2)$ being positive constants, allows us to transform the discussion of the asymptotic behaviors at infinitely in variable z to the following eigenvalue problem

$$c\lambda\xi = \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda - \sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & \epsilon\lambda^2 - \mu + f'(0) \end{pmatrix} \xi, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T$. Letting

$$A_1(\lambda) = d\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda - \sigma, A_2(\lambda) = \epsilon\lambda^2 - \mu + f'(0), A(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(\lambda) & \mu \\ \sigma & A_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

then the eigenvalue problem (2.3) is equivalent to $r(\lambda)\xi = A(\lambda)\xi$. Further, we can obtain the eigenvalues

$$r_\pm(\lambda) = \frac{A_1(\lambda) + A_2(\lambda) \pm \sqrt{(A_1(\lambda) - A_2(\lambda))^2 + 4\sigma\mu}}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

As we know, the principal eigenvalue is greater than or equal to the real part of matrix eigenvalues. According to [33], the principal eigenvalue of matrix $A(\lambda)$ is

$$r(\lambda) = r_+(\lambda), \quad (2.6)$$

where r_+ is real number and for any $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_+(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[A_1(\lambda) + A_2(\lambda) + \sqrt{(A_1(\lambda) + A_2(\lambda))^2 - 4(A_1(\lambda)A_2(\lambda) - \sigma\mu)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(d + \epsilon)\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda - \sigma - \mu + f'(0) + \sqrt{[(d - \epsilon)\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda - \sigma + \mu - f'(0)]^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right] \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Actually, through the classified discussion of the positive and negative of A_1 and A_2 , $r_+(\lambda) > 0$ holds true.

Lemma 2.1. (*[1], see Section 2*) $r(\lambda)$, defined in (2.7), is a real, continuous, and convex function with respect to $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that

$$c_0 = \inf_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} \frac{r(\lambda)}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (2.8)$$

where c_0 is called the minimal wave speed, then we can obtain that the equation $c\lambda = r(\lambda)$ has

- no solution, if $c < c_0$;
- a unique solution $\lambda(c_0)$, if $c = c_0$;
- two solutions $\lambda_1(c)$ and $\lambda_2(c)$ with $\lambda_1(c) < \lambda_2(c)$, if $c > c_0$.

Based on the above analysis, we could give the asymptotic behaviors as $z \rightarrow \infty$ with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. (*[1], see Section 2*) The asymptotic behaviors of the traveling wave solution $(\bar{U}(z), \bar{V}(z))$ (see (2.1)) can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{U} \\ \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} = C_1 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(\lambda_1(c)) \\ \xi_2(\lambda_1(c)) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda_1(c)z} + C_2 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(\lambda_2(c)) \\ \xi_2(\lambda_2(c)) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda_2(c)z} \quad (2.9)$$

with $C_1 > 0$ or $C_1 = 0, C_2 > 0$, where the eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_i(c) (i = 1, 2)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(\lambda_i(c)) \\ \xi_2(\lambda_i(c)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ c\lambda_i(c) - A_1(\lambda_i(c)) \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} c\lambda_i(c) - A_2(\lambda_i(c)) \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

The proof of the above lemmas can refer to the proof of Theorem 1 in [18], which will not be repeated here.

Before stating our main results, let us make the following notation.

Notation: Throughout the paper, L^p are function spaces defined by using a natural generalization of the p -norm for finite dimensional vector spaces. The weighted Lebesgue space L^p_ω with $1 \leq p < \infty$ can be expressed by

$$L^p_\omega = \left\{ g(z) : \frac{g(z)}{\omega(z)} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \quad (2.11)$$

with the norm

$$\|g(z)\|_{L^p_\omega} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|g(z)|^p}{|\omega(z)|^p} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where the weighted function

$$\omega(z) = \begin{cases} e^{-\beta(z-z_0)}, & z > z_0, \\ 1, & z \leq z_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

for some positive constants z_0 and β .

With the introduction above, we state our main conclusions for two theorems.

Theorem 1. (Local stability) For any $c > c_0$, the wavefront $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$ is locally stable in the weighted function space L_ω^p if $\beta \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ to be chosen.

Theorem 2. (Global stability) Assume that $c > c_0$, $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$ and the initial data $U(z, 0) = U_0(z)$ and $V(z, 0) = V_0(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0) \leq (U_0, V_0)(z) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \liminf_{z \rightarrow -\infty} (U_0, V_0)(z) > (0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|U_0(z) - \bar{U}(z)| \in L_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad |V_0(z) - \bar{V}(z)| \in L_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then (1.7) with initial data admits a unique solution $(U, V)(z, t)$ satisfying

$$(0, 0) \leq (U, V)(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \quad \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Moreover, the inequalities

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |U(z, t) - \bar{U}(z)| \leq ke^{-\eta t}, \quad t > 0$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |V(z, t) - \bar{V}(z)| \leq ke^{-\eta t}, \quad t > 0$$

hold for some positive constants k and η .

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The purpose in this section is to apply the weighted energy method and spectral analysis to prove the local stability of the traveling waves presented in Theorem 1.

First, we assume that

$$U(z, t) = \bar{U}(z) + \delta\psi_1(z)e^{\chi t}, \quad V(z, t) = \bar{V}(z) + \delta\psi_2(z)e^{\chi t}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\delta \ll 1$, χ is a parameter, and $\psi_1(z)$ and $\psi_2(z)$ are real functions. Substituting $(U(z, t), V(z, t))$ into system (1.7), and linearizing the new system with respect to $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$, we obtain the following spectral problem:

$$\chi\Psi = \mathfrak{L}\Psi := D\Psi'' + C\Psi' + B\Psi, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(\bar{V}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the sign of the maximal real part to the spectrum of the operator \mathfrak{L} is related to the local stability of the traveling wave solution. To proceed, we set

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega\phi_1 \\ \omega\phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the functions in the space L^p , ω has been defined in (2.13) with $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$. Substitute (3.4) into (3.2) to obtain a new spectral problem as:

$$\chi\Phi = \mathfrak{L}_\omega\Phi := D\Phi'' + H\Phi' + J\Phi, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T$, D is defined in (3.3) and

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} c - \alpha + 2d\frac{\omega'}{\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & c + 2\epsilon\frac{\omega'}{\omega} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} d\frac{\omega''}{\omega} + (c - \alpha)\frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & \epsilon\frac{\omega''}{\omega} + c\frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \mu + f'(\bar{V}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $H(z)$ and $J(z)$ are bounded real matrix functions and $\omega, \omega', \omega''$ exist as $z \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we define

$$H_\pm = \lim_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} H(z), J_\pm = \lim_{z \rightarrow \pm\infty} J(z). \quad (3.7)$$

Further, we analyze the position of the essential spectrum for the operator \mathfrak{L}_ω and give the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. (*[34], see Theorem A.2*) *If we define*

$$S_\pm = \{\chi | \det(-\theta^2 D + i\theta H_\pm + J_\pm - \chi I) = 0, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty\}, \quad (3.8)$$

then the essential spectrum of \mathfrak{L}_ω is contained in the union of the regions inside or on the curves S_+ and S_- , which are on the left-half complex plane, when the condition $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$ is satisfied.

Proof. We prove the lemma 3.1 for two cases with respect to z .

Case 1. When $z \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.6), we have

$$H_+ = \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} H(z) = \begin{pmatrix} c - \alpha - 2d\beta & 0 \\ 0 & c - 2\epsilon\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$J_+ = \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} J(z) = \begin{pmatrix} d\beta^2 - (c - \alpha)\beta - \sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & \epsilon\beta^2 - c\beta - \mu + f'(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the equation $\det(-\theta^2 D + i\theta H_+ + J_+ - \chi I) = 0$ has two solutions, i.e.

$$\chi_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (E + G) + i(F + K) \pm \sqrt{[(E - G) + i(F - K)]^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

with $E = -d\theta^2 + A_1(\beta) - c\beta$, $F = (c - \alpha - 2d\beta)\theta$, $G = -\epsilon\theta^2 + A_2(\beta) - c\beta$, $K = (c - 2\epsilon\beta)\theta$, where A_1 and A_2 have been noted in (2.4). Assume that

$$S_{+,1} = \{\chi_1 | -\infty < \theta < \infty\}, S_{+,2} = \{\chi_2 | -\infty < \theta < \infty\}. \quad (3.11)$$

By Euler's formula, we obtain the real parts of the eigenvalues $\chi_{1,2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\chi_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(E + G) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{((E - G)^2 + (F - K)^2 + 4\sigma\mu)^2 - 16(F - K)^2\sigma\mu} + (E - G)^2 - (F - K)^2 + 4\sigma\mu \right)} \right], \\ \operatorname{Re}(\chi_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(E + G) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{((E - G)^2 + (F - K)^2 + 4\sigma\mu)^2 - 16(F - K)^2\sigma\mu} + (E - G)^2 - (F - K)^2 + 4\sigma\mu \right)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{Re}(\chi_1) > \operatorname{Re}(\chi_2)$. By a simple calculation, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\chi_1) &< \frac{1}{2} \left[(E + G) + \sqrt{(E - G)^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-(d + \epsilon)\theta^2 + A_1(\beta) + A_2(\beta) + \sqrt{-(d - \epsilon)\theta^2 + (A_1(\beta) - A_2(\beta))^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right] - c\beta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[A_1(\beta) + A_2(\beta) + \sqrt{(A_1(\beta) - A_2(\beta))^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right] - c\beta \\ &= r_+(\beta) - c\beta \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

since the formulas (2.7 and 2.8) and $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$ are satisfied for $c > c_0$. That is to say $S_+ = S_{+,1} \cup S_{+,2}$ is on the left-half complex plane.

Case 2. When $z \rightarrow -\infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_- &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} H(z) = \begin{pmatrix} c - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_- &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} J(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(0) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Similar to Case 1, solving the equation $\det(-\theta^2 D + i\theta H_- + J_- - \chi I) = 0$, we obtain

$$\chi_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(M + P) + i(N + Q) \pm \sqrt{((M - P) + i(N - Q))^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right], \quad (3.15)$$

where $M = -d\theta^2 - \sigma$, $N = (c - \alpha)\theta$, $P = -\epsilon\theta^2 - \mu + f'(1)$, $Q = c\theta$. Further, Euler's formulas allow us to obtain the maximal real part of $\chi_{3,4}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\chi_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(M + P) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{((M - P)^2 + (N - Q)^2 + 4\sigma\mu)^2 - 16(N - Q)^2\sigma\mu} + (M - P)^2 - (N - Q)^2 + 4\sigma\mu \right)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[(M + P) + \sqrt{(M + P)^2 - 4(MP - \sigma\mu)} \right] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

because of $M + P < 0$ and $MP - \sigma\mu > 0$. It means that $S_- = \{\chi_3 | -\infty < \theta < \infty\} \cup \{\chi_4 | -\infty < \theta < \infty\}$ is also on the left-half complex plane.

By the above analysis, the essential spectrum of the operator \mathfrak{L}_ω is on the left-half complex plane. \square

If the sign of the real part of the principal eigenvalue in the point spectrum (3.2) is negative, the traveling wave solutions is locally stable. So, we need the following step to check the sign of the principal eigenvalue to ensure the result of local stability.

Finally, we judge the sign of the principal eigenvalue in the point spectrum to prove the local stability. We first discuss the asymptotic behavior of the system (1.4) as $z \rightarrow -\infty$. By linearizing the system (1.4) at $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} -c\bar{U}' = d\bar{U}'' - \alpha\bar{U}' - \sigma\bar{U} + \mu\bar{V}, \\ -c\bar{V}' = \epsilon\bar{V}'' + \sigma\bar{U} - \mu\bar{V} + f'(1)(\bar{V} - 1). \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

Let

$$(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma} - \xi_3 e^{\lambda z}, 1 - \xi_4 e^{\lambda z} \right) \quad (3.18)$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and ξ_3, ξ_4 being positive constants. Substituting (3.18) into (3.17), it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & \epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1) \end{pmatrix} \xi = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\xi = (\xi_3, \xi_4)^T$. Suppose that $\lambda_i (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$ are the eigenvalues to the left matrix of Eq (3.19). According to the Vieta's theorem, we know these four eigenvalues are two positive numbers and two negative numbers. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda_3 > \lambda_4 > 0 > \lambda_5 > \lambda_6$ for $c > 0$. Then the wave profile has the following asymptotic behaviors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{U} \\ \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\sigma} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - C_3 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_3(\lambda_4) \\ \xi_4(\lambda_4) \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_4 z} - C_4 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_3(\lambda_3) \\ \xi_4(\lambda_3) \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_3 z}, \text{ as } z \rightarrow -\infty \quad (3.20)$$

with $C_3 > 0$ or $C_3 = 0, C_4 > 0$.

To associate with (3.2), we consider the following system

$$u_t = Du_{zz} + Cu_z + Bu, \quad (3.21)$$

where $u(z, t) = (u_1(z, t), u_2(z, t))^T$ and D, C, B are defined in (3.3). For a given solution semiflow $Q_t = u(z, t, \psi)$ of (3.21) with any given initial data $\phi \in L^p$, we denote by $e^{\chi t}\Psi$ the solution of (3.21). It is easy to see that Q_t is compact and strongly positive. By the Krein-Rutman theorem (see, e.g., [35]), Q_t has a simple principal eigenvalue χ_{max} with a strongly positive eigenvector, and all other eigenvalues must satisfy $e^{\chi t}\Psi < e^{\chi_{max} t}\Psi$.

Next, we shall discuss the eigenvalue χ for two cases as $\chi = 0$ and $\chi > 0$.

Case 1. When $\chi = 0$, it can be directly calculated that $(-\bar{U}', -\bar{V}')(z)$ is the corresponding positive eigenvector derived from (3.2), where the asymptotic behavior of the solution of (2.1), i.e. $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) \sim (C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 z}, C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 z}), C_1 > 0$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Although it could be check that the positive eigenvector $(-\bar{U}', -\bar{V}')(z)$ is not belong to the weighted space L_ω^p since $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$.

Case 2. In this case, we discuss the eigenvalue $\chi > 0$ to produce a contrary for two cases with respect to z , namely, $z \rightarrow -\infty$ and $z \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\chi > 0$ and $\Psi \in L_\omega^p$. Then we know that the minimal

positive eigenvalue of the Ψ is larger than β . Since $\bar{\Psi}(z) = (-\bar{U}', -\bar{V}')(z)$ is a positive solution of (3.21), it follows that $\bar{\Psi}(z) > \Psi$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

When $z \rightarrow -\infty$, assume that $\Psi(z)$ has the asymptotic behavior as $ke^{\lambda z}$ for some positive k and λ . Substituting it into the spectral problem (3.5), we obtain the characteristic equation in eigenvalue χ as follows:

$$\begin{vmatrix} d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma - \chi & \mu \\ \sigma & \epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1) - \chi \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Next, we shall show the relationship between the four roots of (3.22) denoted by $\hat{\lambda}_i (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$ and $\lambda_i (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$, namely, $\hat{\lambda}_3 > \lambda_3$, $\hat{\lambda}_4 > \lambda_4$, $\hat{\lambda}_5 < \lambda_5$, $\hat{\lambda}_6 < \lambda_6$. In fact, when $\chi > 0$, the parabolic

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &: d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma - \chi = 0, \\ p_2 &: d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

have two roots $r_{p_1}^\pm$ and $r_{p_2}^\pm$ with one positive and one negative, respectively. It is easy to see that $r_{p_1}^- < r_{p_2}^-$ and $r_{p_1}^+ < r_{p_2}^+$. Similar discussion to

$$\begin{aligned} p_3 &: \epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1) - \chi = 0, \\ p_4 &: \epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

we also obtain that $r_{p_3}^- < r_{p_4}^-$ and $r_{p_3}^+ < r_{p_4}^+$. Further, we know that $r_{p_1}^-$, $r_{p_1}^+$, $r_{p_3}^-$ and $r_{p_3}^+$ are also the roots of

$$p_5 : (d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma - \chi)(\epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1) - \chi) = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

and $r_{p_2}^-$, $r_{p_2}^+$, $r_{p_4}^-$ and $r_{p_4}^+$ are the roots of

$$p_6 : (d\lambda^2 + (c - \alpha)\lambda - \sigma)(\epsilon\lambda^2 + c\lambda - \mu + f'(1)) = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

That is to say that the positive roots of p_5 related to p_6 are moving right. Therefore, when the curves of p_5 and p_6 intersects with the same line $y = \mu\sigma$, the points are denoted by $\hat{\lambda}_i (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$ and $\lambda_i (i = 3, 4, 5, 6)$, respectively. And the points of intersection satisfy $\hat{\lambda}_3 > \lambda_3$, $\hat{\lambda}_4 > \lambda_4$, $\hat{\lambda}_5 < \lambda_5$, $\hat{\lambda}_6 < \lambda_6$. In other words, the positive roots of λ are increasing with respect to χ . This indicates that $\bar{\Psi}(z) \sim k_1 e^{\lambda_3 z}$ and $\Psi(z) \sim k_2 e^{\lambda_3 z}$ as $z \rightarrow -\infty$.

Thus, we choose \bar{k} sufficient large such that $\bar{k}\bar{\Psi} \geq |\Psi|$. By the comparison principal for the system (3.21), it must be $\bar{k}\bar{\Psi}(z) \geq |\Psi|e^{\chi t}$. Hence the assumption $\chi > 0$ is incorrect. This implies that the real parts of all eigenvalues χ of (3.5) should not be positive for $\Psi \in L_\omega^p$.

The proof is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2. In order to realize it, we need the following conclusion. (**Comparison principle**) Let $(U^+, V^+)(z, t)$ and $(U^-, V^-)(z, t)$ be the solutions of (1.7) with respect to the initial values

$$\begin{aligned} U_0^+(z) &= \max\{U_0(z), \bar{U}(z)\}, & V_0^+(z) &= \max\{V_0(z), \bar{V}(z)\}, \\ U_0^-(z) &= \min\{U_0(z), \bar{U}(z)\}, & V_0^-(z) &= \min\{V_0(z), \bar{V}(z)\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

respectively; namely

$$\begin{cases} U_t^\pm = dU_{zz}^\pm + (c - \alpha)U_z^\pm - \sigma U^\pm + \mu V^\pm, \\ V_t^\pm = \epsilon V_{zz}^\pm + cV_z^\pm + \sigma U^\pm - \mu V^\pm + f(V^\pm), \\ (U^\pm, V^\pm)(z, 0) = (U_0^\pm, V_0^\pm)(z). \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0) \leq (U_0^-, V_0^-)(z) \leq (U_0, V_0)(z) \leq (U_0^+, V_0^+)(z) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \\ (0, 0) \leq (U_0^-, V_0^-)(z) \leq (\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) \leq (U_0^+, V_0^+)(z) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By comparison principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0) \leq (U^-, V^-)(z, t) \leq (\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z, t) \leq (U^+, V^+)(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ (0, 0) \leq (U^-, V^-)(z, t) \leq (\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) \leq (U^+, V^+)(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

If both $(U^+, V^+)(z, t)$ and $(U^-, V^-)(z, t)$ converge to $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$, then the squeezing theorem ensures the global stability of system (1.7) stated in (2).

Lemma 4.1. *Under the conditions given in Theorem 2, $(U^+, V^+)(z, t)$ converges to $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$.*

Proof. To begin with, we suppose that

$$R(z, t) = U^+(z, t) - \bar{U}(z), S(z, t) = V^+(z, t) - \bar{V}(z), \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (4.5)$$

which satisfies the initial values

$$R(z, 0) = U_0^+(z) - \bar{U}(z), S(z, 0) = V_0^+(z) - \bar{V}(z). \quad (4.6)$$

By (4.2) and (4.4), we have

$$(0, 0) \leq (R, S)(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (4.7)$$

Through (1.4) and (4.3), the following inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_t \leq D \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + C \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_z + B_0 \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

holds for the matrices C and D defined in (3.3), and

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then we consider the convergence of $(R, S)(z, t)$ for two cases with respect to z .

Case 1. When $z > z_0$, we first define

$$\begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}(z, t) = e^{-\beta(z-z_0)} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix}(z, t), \quad (4.10)$$

so as to investigate the stability in weighted function space L^∞_ω for all $(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where \bar{R} and \bar{S} are the functions in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the weighted function $\omega(z)$ is defined in (3.3). Substituting (4.10) into (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix}_t &\leq D \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + \begin{pmatrix} c - \alpha - 2d\beta & 0 \\ 0 & c - 2\epsilon\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix}_z + \begin{pmatrix} A_1(\beta) - c\beta & \mu \\ \sigma & A_2(\beta) - c\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} \\ \bar{S} \end{pmatrix} \\ &:= \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_1(\bar{R}, \bar{S}) \\ \mathfrak{L}_2(\bar{R}, \bar{S}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $A_1(\beta)$ and $A_2(\beta)$ are defined in (2.4).

Further, we choose

$$\bar{R}_1(z, t) = k_1 \zeta_1 e^{-\eta_1 t}, \quad \bar{S}_1(z, t) = k_1 \zeta_2 e^{-\eta_1 t}, \quad \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (4.12)$$

for some positive constants k_1 and η_1 , where $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)^T = (\zeta_1(\beta), \zeta_2(\beta))^T$ is the eigenvector of matrix M_1 . In fact,

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(\beta) - c\beta & \mu \\ \sigma & A_2(\beta) - c\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.13)$$

which has the eigenvalue

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_1(\beta) + A_2(\beta) + \sqrt{(A_1(\beta) - A_2(\beta))^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right) - c\beta.$$

The associated eigenvectors can be found by direct calculation as follows:

$$\zeta_1(\beta) = \mu, \quad \zeta_2(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-A_1(\beta) + A_2(\beta) + \sqrt{(A_1(\beta) - A_2(\beta))^2 + 4\sigma\mu} \right). \quad (4.14)$$

It is not hard to check that, for $\lambda_1 < \beta < \lambda_2$, $\zeta_1(\beta)$, $\zeta_2(\beta)$ are positive and $\bar{\lambda}_1$ is negative. Moreover, one can obtain

$$\mathfrak{L}_1(\bar{R}_1, \bar{S}_1) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{R}_1 < 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_2(\bar{R}_1, \bar{S}_1) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{S}_1 < 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, we can choose $\eta_1 \leq -\bar{\lambda}_1$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{R}_1 \\ \bar{S}_1 \end{pmatrix}_t = -\eta_1 k_1 \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\eta_1 t} \geq \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_1(\bar{R}_1, \bar{S}_1) \\ \mathfrak{L}_2(\bar{R}_1, \bar{S}_1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.16)$$

Once we choose $k_1 \geq \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\bar{R}(z, 0)}{\zeta_1}, \frac{\bar{S}(z, 0)}{\zeta_2} \right\}$ to make

$$(\bar{R}_1, \bar{S}_1)(z, 0) = (k_1 \zeta_1, k_1 \zeta_2) \geq (\bar{R}, \bar{S})(z, 0),$$

then by comparison principle on unbounded domain, $\forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, we obtain

$$(R, S)(z, t) = e^{-\beta(z-z_0)} (\bar{R}, \bar{S})(z, t) \leq k_1 (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) e^{-\beta(z-z_0) - \eta_1 t}. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore, the above inequality holds for any fixed point z_0 . That is to say, for $z > z_0$, $(R, S)(z, t)$ converges to $(0, 0)$.

Case 2. When $z \leq z_0$, the system marked $(R, S)(z, t)$ can be shown as

$$\begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_t = D \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + C \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_z + \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(S + \bar{V}) - f(\bar{V}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.18)$$

Here, $f(S + \bar{V}) - f(\bar{V})$ is a second-order differentiable function that can be expressed as $f'(1)S + h(S)$ ($h(S)$ also is second-order differentiable and $h(0) = 0$) as $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z) \rightarrow (\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$. Consequently, we need to select z_0 , so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_t \leq D \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + C \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix}_z + \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(1) + \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h(S) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.19)$$

for some given enough small positive ε_1 and $\varepsilon_1 \ll \mu - f'(1)$.

From the following autonomous system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{R} \\ \widehat{S} \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(1) + \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{R} \\ \widehat{S} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h(\widehat{S}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.20)$$

with the initial data

$$\widehat{R}(0) \geq \bar{R}(z, 0), \widehat{S}(0) \geq \bar{S}(z, 0), \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.21)$$

we know that the solution $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{S})(t)$ of (4.20) is an upper solution of system (4.18).

Next, for the convergence of $(R, S)(z, t)$, it is sufficient to prove that $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{S})(t)$ converges to $(0, 0)$ as t tends to ∞ . A direct calculation shows that the eigenvalues $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_2$ of the Jacobian matrix of system (4.20) at the fixed point $(0, 0)$ are less than zero. Therefore, $(0, 0)$ is a stable node. From the phase plane analysis, any manifold in \widehat{RS} -space for any initial value $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{S})(0)$ in region $[0, \frac{\mu}{\sigma}] \times [0, 1]$ converges to the origin $(0, 0)$. Then, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we define

$$(\widehat{R}, \widehat{S}) = \widehat{k}_1 (\widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2) e^{\widehat{\lambda}_1 t} \quad (4.22)$$

with $\widehat{k}_1 > 0$ and $(\widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2)^T$ is the eigenvector of the Jacobian matrix respect to $\widehat{\lambda}_1$. We can choose \widehat{k}_1 large enough and $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \min\{\eta_1, -\widehat{\lambda}_1\}$ to be used that we have

$$(R, S)(z_0, t) \leq k_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) e^{-\eta_1 t} \leq \widehat{k}_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) e^{-\widehat{\lambda}_1 t} \quad (4.23)$$

at the boundary $z = z_0$. As a result, by comparison on the domain $(-\infty, z_0] \times [0, \infty)$, see Lemma 3.2 in [36], for all $(z, t) \in (-\infty, z_0] \times \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$(R, S)(z, t) \leq \widehat{k}_1(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) e^{-\widehat{\lambda}_1 t}, \quad (4.24)$$

then $(R, S)(z, t)$ converges to $(0, 0)$ for $z \in (-\infty, z_0]$. \square

Lemma 4.2. Under the conditions given in Theorem 2, $(U^-, V^-)(z, t)$ converges to $(\bar{U}, \bar{V})(z)$.

Proof. Let

$$X(z, t) = \bar{U}(z) - U^-(z, t), Y(z, t) = \bar{V}(z) - V^-(z, t), \forall (z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (4.25)$$

to satisfy the initial values

$$X(z, 0) = \bar{U}(z) - U_0^-(z), Y(z, 0) = \bar{V}(z) - V_0^-(z). \quad (4.26)$$

Similar discussion as lemma 4.1, $\forall(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$(0, 0) \leq (X, Y)(z, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1\right), \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_t = D \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + C \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_z + B_1 \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(V^- + Y) - f(V^-) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.28)$$

where the second-order matrices C and D are consistent with those in (3.3) and

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.29)$$

Now we also consider the convergence for the following two cases with respect to z .

Case 1. ($z > z_0$). By using the fact $X < \bar{U}$ and $Y < \bar{V}$, we can verify that $\exists \eta_2 > 0$ and $k_2 \geq e^{\beta(z-z_0)} \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{X(z,0)}{\zeta_1}, \frac{Y(z,0)}{\zeta_2} \right\}$ bring

$$(X, Y)(z, t) \leq k_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)e^{-\eta_2 t} \quad (4.30)$$

for all $(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

Case 2. ($z \leq z_0$). Define $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})(z, t)$ as the solution of the following autonomous system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{X} \\ \widehat{Y} \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu + f'(1) + \varepsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{X} \\ \widehat{Y} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h(\widehat{Y}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.31)$$

with the initial data

$$\widehat{X}(0) \geq \bar{X}(z, 0), \widehat{Y}(0) \geq \bar{Y}(z, 0), \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.32)$$

Then $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})$ is an upper solution to the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_t = D \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{zz} + C \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_z + \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & \mu \\ \sigma & -\mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(\bar{V}) - f(\bar{V} - Y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.33)$$

Phase plane analysis shows that for any initial values in region $[0, \frac{\mu}{\sigma}] \times [0, 1]$, $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})(t)$ always converges to $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1)$. Similar to the proof of case 2 in Lemma 4.1, for some positive numbers \widehat{k}_2 and $\widehat{\lambda}_2$, we obtain

$$(X, Y)(z, t) \leq \widehat{k}_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)e^{-\widehat{\lambda}_2 t}, \quad \forall(z, t) \in (-\infty, z_0] \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (4.34)$$

□

Now we will use the squeezing theorem to obtain the conclusion of the Theorem (2).

By the inequalities (4.4), for any $(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} |X(z, t)| &\leq |U(z, t) - \bar{U}(z)| \leq |R(z, t)|, \\ |Y(z, t)| &\leq |V(z, t) - \bar{V}(z)| \leq |S(z, t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

From Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 and the squeezing theorem, $\exists k > 0, \eta > 0$ so that $\forall(z, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} |U(z, t) - \bar{U}(z)| &\leq ke^{-\eta t}, \\ |V(z, t) - \bar{V}(z)| &\leq ke^{-\eta t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

To sum up, Theorem 2 is proved completely.

Author contributions

Y. Tang and C. Pan: Methodology; H. Wang and C. Pan: Validation; Y. Tang and C. Pan: Formal analysis; C. Pan: Writing-original draft preparation; Y. Tang and H. Wang: Writing-review and editing; C. Pan and H. Wang: Supervision; C. Pan: Project administration; C. Pan: Funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant (No.11801263).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Z. Huang, C. H. Ou, Speed determinacy of traveling waves to a stream-population model with allee effect, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **80** (2020), 1820–1840. <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1275486>
2. F. Lutscher, G. Seo, The effect of temporal variability on persistence conditions in rivers, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **283** (2011), 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.05.032>
3. E. Pachepsky, F. Lutscher, R. M. Nisbet, M. A. Lewis, Persistence, spread and the drift paradox, *Theor. Popul. Biol.*, **67** (2005), 61–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2004.09.001>
4. R. A. Fisher, The wave of advance of advantageous genes, *Ann. Eugenics*, **7** (1937), 355–369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1937.tb02153.x>
5. A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovsky, N. Piskunov, Study of the diffusion equation with growth of the quantity of matter and its application to a biology Problem, *Dynamics of Curved Fronts*, 1988, 105–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-092523-3.50014-9>
6. K. Kirchgassner, On the nonlinear dynamics of travelling fronts, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **96** (1992), 256–278. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(92\)90153-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(92)90153-E)
7. D. H. Sattinger, On the stability of waves of nonlinear parabolic systems, *Adv. Math.*, **22** (1976), 312–355. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(76\)90098-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(76)90098-0)
8. J. B. Wang, X. Q. Zhao, Uniqueness and global stability of forced waves in a shifting environment, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **147** (2019), 1467–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14235>
9. H. Y. Wang, C. H. Pan, C. H. Ou, Existence, uniqueness and stability of forced waves to the Lotka-Volterra competition system in a shifting environment, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **148** (2022), 186–218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12438>
10. G. Y. Zhao, S. G. Ruan, Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of time periodic traveling waves for a periodic Lotka-Volterra competition system with diffusion, *J. Math. Pure. Appl.*, **95** (2011), 627–671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2010.11.005>

11. X. X. Bao, Z. C. Wang, Existence and stability of time periodic traveling waves for a periodic bistable Lotka-Volterra competition system, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **255** (2013), 2402–2435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2013.06.024>
12. M. Mei, C. K. Lin, C. T. Lin, J. W. H. So, Traveling wavefronts for time-delayed reaction-diffusion equation: (I) Local nonlinearity, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **247** (2009), 495–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2008.12.026>
13. M. Mei, C. H. Ou, X. Q. Zhao, Global stability of monotone traveling waves for nonlocal time-delayed reaction diffusion equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **42** (2010), 2762–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1137/090776342>
14. M. Mei, Y. Wang, Remark on stability of traveling waves for nonlocal Fisher-KPP equations, *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. Ser. B*, **2** (2011), 379–401.
15. H. F. Xu, Q. X. Zhu, W. X. Zheng, Exponential stability of stochastic nonlinear delay systems subject to multiple periodic impulses, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, **69** (2024), 2621–2628. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2023.3335005>
16. X. T. Yang, Q. X. Zhu, H. Wang, Exponential stabilization of stochastic systems via novel event-triggered switching controls, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2024.3406668>
17. X. Hou, Y. Li, Local stability of traveling wave solutions of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations, *Discrete Cont. Dyn.*, **15** (2006), 681–701. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2006.15.681>
18. A. Alhasanat, C. H. Ou, Stability of traveling waves to the Lotka-Volterra competition model, *Complexity*, **2019** (2019), 6569520. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6569520>
19. Z. X. Shi, W. T. Li, C. P. Cheng, Stability and uniqueness of traveling wavefronts in a two-dimensional lattice differential equation with delay, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **208** (2009), 484–494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.12.022>
20. G. S. Chen, S. L. Wu, C. H. Hsu, Stability of traveling wavefronts for a discrete diffusive competition system with three species, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **474** (2019), 909–930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.01.079>
21. Q. X. Zhu, Stabilization of stochastic nonlinear delay systems with exogenous disturbances and the event-triggered feedback control, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, **64** (2019), 3764–3771. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2018.2882067>
22. Y. Zhao, Q. X. Zhu, Stabilization of stochastic highly nonlinear delay systems with neutral-term, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, **68** (2023), 2544–2551. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2022.3186827>
23. Y. Wu, X. Xing, Stability of traveling waves with critical speeds for P -degree Fisher-type equations, *Discrete Cont. Dyn.*, **20** (2008), 1123–1139. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2008.20.1123>
24. G. Lv, M. Wang, Nonlinear stability of travelling wave fronts for delayed reaction diffusion equations, *Nonlinearity*, **23** (2010), 845–873. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/23/4/005>
25. C. H. Chang, The stability of traveling wave solutions for a diffusive competition system of three species, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **459** (2018), 564–576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.10.013>
26. Y. Li, W. T. Li, Y. R. Yang, Stability of traveling waves of a diffusive susceptible-infective-removed (SIR) epidemic model, *J. Math. Phys.*, **57** (2016), 041504. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4947106>

27. Y. X. Guo, Exponential stability analysis of travelling waves solutions for nonlinear delayed cellular neural networks, *Dyn. Syst.*, **32** (2017), 490–503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14689367.2017.1280447>
28. Z. Z. Li, B. X. Dai, Stability and Hopf bifurcation analysis in a Lotka-Volterra competition-diffusion-advection model with time delay effect, *Nonlinearity*, **34** (2021), 3271–3313. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/abe77a>
29. H. Wang, Q. X. Zhu, Global stabilization of a class of stochastic nonlinear time-delay systems with SISS inverse dynamics, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, **65** (2020), 4448–4455. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2020.3005149>
30. S. Ma, X. Q. Zhao, Global asymptotic stability of minimal fronts in monostable lattice equations, *Discret. Cont. Dyn.*, **21** (2008), 259–275. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2008.21.259>
31. C. Vargas-De-León, Global stability of nonhomogeneous coexisting equilibrium state for the multispecies Lotka-Volterra mutualism models with diffusion, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **45** (2022), 2123–2131. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7912>
32. C. Vargas-De-León, On the global stability of SIS, SIR and SIRS epidemic models with standard incidence, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **44** (2011), 1106–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2011.09.002>
33. J. E. Cohen, Convexity of the dominant eigenvalue of an essentially nonnegative matrix, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1981), 657–658. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-1981-0601750-2>
34. D. Henry, *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin: Springer, 1981. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0089647>
35. P. Hess, *Periodic-parabolic boundary value problems and positivity*, Wiley: Longman Scientific & Technical, 1991.
36. H. R. Thieme, Asymptotic estimates of the solutions of nonlinear integral equations and asymptotic speeds for the spread of populations, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **306** (1979), 94–121. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1979.306.94>



AIMS Press

© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)