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#### Abstract

An odd-dimensional sphere admits a killing vector field, induced by the transform of the unit normal by the complex structure of the ambiant Euclidean space. In this paper, we studied orientable hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space that admits a unit Killing vector field and finds two characterizations of odd-dimensional spheres. In the first result, we showed that a complete and simply connected hypersurface of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n>1$ admits a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ that leaves the shape operator $S$ invariant and has sectional curvatures of plane sections containing $\xi$ positive which satisfies $S(\xi)=\alpha \xi, \alpha$ mean curvature if, and only if, $n=2 m-1, \alpha$ is constant and the hypersurface is isometric to the sphere $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$. Similarly, we found another characterization of the unit sphere $S^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ using the smooth function $\sigma=g(S(\xi), \xi)$ on the hypersurface.
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## 1. Introduction

The study of differential geometry started with the study of curves and surfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with basic notions such as curvature, torsion, Frenet-Serret frame, first and second fundamental forms, Gauss curvature and mean curvature. With the advancements, it shifted to studying hypersurfaces in higher dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n>1$, with tools such as unit normal $N$ to hypersurface $M$ and the shape operator $S$, the equations of Gauss, namely, [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+g(S(X), Y) N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} N=-S(X), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{X}$ and $\nabla_{X}$ are covariant derivative operators on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and hypersurface $M$, respectively, and $g$ is the Riemannian metric induced on $M$ by the Euclidean metric $\langle$,$\rangle on \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The mean curvature $\alpha$ of the hypersurface $M$ is given by $\alpha=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{trace}(S)$, and we have the Gauss and Codazzi equations for the hypersurface $M$, namely, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ (see [5])

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(X, Y) Z=g(S(Y), Z) S(X)-g(S(X), Z) S(Y),  \tag{1.3}\\
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(Y)=\left(\nabla_{Y} S\right)(X), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R(X, Y) Z$ is the curvature tensor of $M$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(Y)=\nabla_{X} S Y-S\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)$.
The Ricci tensor Ric of the hypersurface $M$ is given by [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)=n \alpha(g(S(X), Y)-g(S(X), S(Y))) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following sections, we will use the notation $R(X, Y ; Z, W)$ to refer to the value obtained by applying the metric $g$ to $R(X, Y) Z$ and $W$.

A hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is said to be totally umbilical if the shape operator is $S=\lambda I$, and for $n>1$, it follows that $\lambda$ is a constant. It is known that a complete and connected totally umbilical hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ of constant curvature $\lambda^{2}$ [5].

An interesting global result on a compact hypersurface $M$ states that there exists a point $p \in M$ such that all sectional curvatures of $M$ at $p$ are positive [5].

Given a compact hypersurface $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the support function $\rho=\langle\psi, N\rangle$ where $\psi: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the immersion and satisfies the Minkowski's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}(1+\rho \alpha)=0, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface $M$.
Recall that a hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space is said to be a minimal hypersurface if $\alpha=0$. As a result of Minkowski's formula, it follows that there is no compact minimal hypersurface in a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

One of the interesting goals in differential geometry of compact hypersurfaces is to find the conditions under which the hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}(c)$ of the constant curvature $c$.

In [6], it is shown that if the scalar curvature $\tau$ of a compact hypersurface $M$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies $\tau \leq \lambda_{1}(n-1)$, then $M$ is isometric to $S^{n}(c)$. Here, $\lambda_{1}$ stands for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator. For similar results on compact hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we refer to [1,7-9].

Consider the odd-dimensional sphere $S^{2 n-1}(c)$ as a hypersurface in the complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with natural embedding $\Psi: S^{2 n-1}(c) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, with $\Psi(x)=x$, then it has shape operator $S=-\sqrt{c} I$ and unit normal $N=\sqrt{c} \Psi$.

Due to the presence of complex structure $J$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we get a unit vector field $\xi$ defined on $S^{2 n-1}(c)$ by

$$
\xi=-J N
$$

which is a Killing vector field on the sphere $S^{2 n-1}(c)$, that is, it satisfies

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g=0,
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ is the Lie-derivative with respect to $\xi$.
In this paper, we are interested in studying compact hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which admit a Killing vector field $\xi$ and analyze the impact of the presence of the Killing vector field on the geometry of hypersurfaces. It is well known that the presence of a Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold contravenes its topology as well as geometry [2-4,9-14]. In that, if the length of the Killing vector field is a constant, the influence on the topology and geometry of the Riemannian manifold on which they exist becomes severe. For example, on an even-dimensional Riemannian manifold of positive curvature, there does not exist a nonzero Killing vector field of constant length. It is in this context that even-dimensional spheres $S^{2 n}(c)$ do not possess unit Killing vector fields. In [13], it is shown that the fundamental group of a Riemannian manifold admitting a Killing vector field contains a cyclic subgroup of constant index.

Recall that on a compact hypersurface $M$, each smooth vector field $\xi$ is generated by the global flow on $M$. Let $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ be the flow of the Killing vector field $\xi$ on the compact hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that a $(1,1)$-tensor field $T$ on the hypersurface $M$ is invariant under the killing vector field $\xi$ if

$$
\phi_{t}^{*}(T)=T \circ d \phi_{t},
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} T=0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that a Killing vector field is said to be a nontrivial Killing vector field if it is not a parallel vector field.

Our first result in this paper is the following.
Theorem 1. A complete and simply connected hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n>1$ with mean curvature $\alpha$ and shape operator $S$ admits a nontrivial unit Killing vector $\xi$, such that the sectional curvature of plane sections containing $\xi$ are positive, the shape operator $S$ is invariant under $\xi$ and $S(\xi)=\alpha \xi$ holds if, and only if, $n=2 m-1, \alpha$ is constant and $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$.

For a hypersurface $M$ that admits a unit Killing vector field $\xi$, we have a smooth function $\sigma: M \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\sigma=g((S(\xi), \xi))
$$

and we also get a vector field $U$ on the hypersurface $M$ associated to $\xi$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=S(\xi)-\sigma \xi \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we call $U$ the associated vector field. It follows that $U$ is orthogonal to $\xi$.
Finally, we prove the following with constrained sectional curvature $R(S(\xi), \xi ; \xi, S(\xi))$ of the hypersurface $M$.
Theorem 2. A nontrivial unit Killing vector field $\xi$ on a compact and connected hypersurface $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n>1$ with mean curvature $\alpha$ leaves the shape operator $S$ invariant, and the function $\sigma=$ $g(S(\xi), \xi) \neq 0$ satisfies

$$
\int_{M} R(S(\xi), \xi ; \xi, S(\xi)) \geq \int_{M}\left(n \sigma \alpha\|S(\xi)\|^{2}-n \sigma^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)
$$

if, and only if, $n=2 m-1, \alpha$ is a constant and $M$ is isometric to $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

A smooth vector field $\xi$ on an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\left(N^{n}, g\right)$ is said to be a Killing vector field if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [9], it is shown that for a Killing vector field $\xi$ on $\left(N^{n}, g\right)$, there exists skew-symmetric operator $F$ on $\left(N^{n}, g\right)$, that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi=F(X) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} F\right)(Y)=R(X, \xi) Y, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(N^{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Moreover, if $\xi$ is a unit Killing vector field, then it follows that it annihilates F; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\xi)=0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eqs (2.2)-(2.4), we have

$$
R(X, \xi) \xi=\left(\nabla_{X} F\right)(\xi)=-F\left(\nabla_{X} \xi\right)=-F^{2}(X) ;
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, \xi) \xi=-F^{2}(X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on taking the inner product with $X$ in the above equation, we get the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, \xi ; \xi, X)=\|F(X)\|^{2}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that here, for a unit $X$ that is orthogonal to $\xi, R(X, \xi ; \xi, X)$ stands for the sectional curvature of the plane section spanned by $\xi$ and $X$.

Let $M$ be an orientable hypersurface of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with unit normal $N$ and the shape operator $S$. We denote the induced metric on $M$ by $g$ and the Riemannian connection with respect to $g$ by $\nabla$. Suppose the hypersurace admits a unit Killing vector field $\xi$.

We shall say the shape operator $S$ is invariant under $\xi$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} S=0, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\nabla_{\xi} S\right)(X)=F(S X)-S(F X)\right), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just like it was previously given, with a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ on the hypersurface $M$, we can define a smooth function $\sigma: M \longrightarrow R$ by

$$
\sigma=g(S(\xi), \xi)
$$

and a smooth vector field $U \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=S(\xi)-\sigma \xi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the associated vector field.
It follows that the vector field $U$ is orthogonal to $\xi$. Note that, according to Codazzi's Eq (1.4) for hypersurface $M$ and Eq (2.8), we confirm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(\xi)=F(S X)-S(F X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative in (2.9) with respect to $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and using (2.2), we have that

$$
\nabla_{X} U=\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(\xi)+S(F X)-X(\sigma) \xi-\sigma F X,
$$

which in view of Eq (2.10), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} U=F(S X)-X(\sigma) \xi-\sigma F X \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose $M$ is a complete and simply connected hypersurface of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which admits a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ with shape operator $S$ as invariant under $\xi$. A sectional curvature of the plane sections containing $\xi$ are positive and the shape operator satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\xi)=\alpha \xi, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} S$ is the mean curvature of $M$.
Differentiating Eq (3.1) with respect to $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and using Eq (2.2) yields

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(\xi)+S(F X)=X(\alpha) \xi+\alpha F X
$$

Using Eq (2.10) in the above equation brings

$$
F(S X)=X(\alpha) \xi+\alpha F X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)
$$

that is,

$$
F(S X-\alpha X)=X(\alpha) \xi, \quad X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M) .
$$

Operating $F$ in the above equation and using equation (2.4), yields

$$
F^{2}(S X-\alpha X)=0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)
$$

The above equation, in view of Eq (2.5) implies

$$
R(S X-\alpha X, \xi) \xi=0
$$

Taking the inner product in the above equation, with $S X-\alpha X$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(S X-\alpha X, \xi ; \xi, S X-\alpha X)=0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, in view of Eq (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(S X-\alpha X, \xi) & =g(S X, \xi)-\alpha g(X, \alpha) \\
& =g(X, S \xi)-\alpha g(X, \xi) \\
& =0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $S X-\alpha X$ is orthogonal to $\xi$. Thus, by Eq (3.2), it follows that the sectional curvatures of the plane sections spanned by $S X-\alpha X$ and $\xi$ are zero, which is contrary to the hypothesis that sectional curvatures of plane sections containing $\xi$ are positive. Hence, we conclude

$$
S X-\alpha X=0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M) ;
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X)=\alpha X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the mean curvature $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \alpha=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(S e_{j}, e_{j}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the hypersurface $M$.
Differentiating (3.4) with respect to $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
n X(\alpha) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[g\left(\nabla_{X} S e_{j}, e_{j}\right)+g\left(S e_{j}, D_{X} e_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)\left(e_{j}\right), e_{j}\right)+2 g\left(S e_{j}, D_{X} e_{j}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and using Eq (1.4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
n X(\alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[g\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} S\right)(X), e_{j}\right)+2 g\left(S e_{j}, D_{X} e_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\nabla_{X} e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{j}^{i}(X) e_{i},
$$

where $\left(\omega_{j}^{i}\right)$ are connection forms satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}^{i}+\omega_{i}^{j}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take

$$
S\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} \lambda_{j}^{k} e_{k},
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{j}^{k}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix. Thus,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(S e_{j}, \nabla_{X} e j\right)=\sum_{j i} \lambda_{j}^{i} \omega_{j}^{i}(X)=0
$$

owing to the fact that $\left(\lambda_{j}^{k}\right)$ is a symmetric whereas $\left(\omega_{j}^{i}(X)\right)$ is skew-symmetric.
Hence,

$$
n X(\alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} S\right)(X), e_{j}\right),
$$

and as $S$ is symmetric operator, we have

$$
n X(\alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} S\right)\left(e_{j}\right)\right), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)
$$

From this, we see that the gradient of the mean curvature $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \nabla \alpha=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} S\right)\left(e_{j}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, differentiating Eq (3.3) with respect to $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ yields

$$
\nabla_{X} S X=X(\alpha) X+\alpha \nabla_{X} X,
$$

and

$$
S\left(\nabla_{X} X\right)=\alpha \nabla_{X} X
$$

gives

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(X)=X(\alpha) X
$$

Taking a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots e_{n}\right\}$ on the hypersurface $M$, we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} S\right)\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{j}(\alpha) e_{j}=\nabla \alpha,
$$

and combining above the equation with Eq (3.7) yields

$$
n \nabla \alpha=\nabla \alpha .
$$

However, $n>1$ in the hypothesis implies

$$
\nabla \alpha=0 ;
$$

that is, the mean curvature $\alpha$ is a constant. Using Eqs (1.3) and (3.3), we see that the curvature tensor of the hypersurface satisfies

$$
R(X, Y) Z=\alpha^{2}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\}, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M) ;
$$

that is, $M$ is a space of constant curvature $\alpha^{2}$. Note that $\alpha^{2}>0$, as the sectional curvature of the plane sections containing $\xi$ are positive. Hence, with $M$ being complete and a simply connected Riemannian manifold of positive constant curvature $\alpha^{2}$, it is isometric to the sphere $S^{n}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$.

Note that $n$ cannot be even as a Killing vector field $\xi$ on an even-dimensional Riemannian manifold of positive sectional curvature that has a zero [5]; this is contrary to the assumption that $\xi$ is a unit Killing vector field. Hence, $n$ is odd; that is, $n=2 m-1$ and $M$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$. The converse is trivial.

Note that the condition in the statement of Theorem 1 that the sectional curvatures of plane sections containing $\xi$ are positive is essential. For instance, if a complete and simply connected hypersurface has sectional curvatures of plane sections containing $\xi$ as nonpositive, then by virtue of Eq (2.6), it will imply that $\xi$ is a parallel; that is, it is a trivial Killing vector field contrary to the requirement that $\xi$ is a nontrivial.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose the compact and connected hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n>1$ with mean curvature $\alpha$ admits a unit Killing vector field $\xi$, that the shape operator $S$ is invariant under $\xi$ and the function $\sigma=g(S \xi, \xi) \neq 0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} R(S \xi, \xi ; \xi, S \xi) \geq \int_{M}\left(n \alpha \sigma\|S \xi\|^{2}-n \alpha^{2} \sigma^{2}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, by using $\operatorname{Eq}$ (2.2), we have that

$$
X(\sigma)=g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(\xi)+S F X, \xi\right)+g(S \xi, F X),
$$

which, in view of Eq (2.8), gives

$$
X(\sigma)=g(F S X, \xi)+g(S \xi, F X) .
$$

Using Eq (2.4) in the above equation, we get the gradient of $\sigma$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \sigma=-F(S \xi) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and using Eq (2.2), we get

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-\left[\left(\nabla_{X} F\right)(S \xi)+F\left(\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(\xi)+F S(X)\right)\right] .
$$

Using Eqs (2.3) and (2.10), we conclude

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-R(X, \xi) S \xi-F(F(S X)-S(F X))-F S(F X)
$$

that is,

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-R(X, \xi) S \xi-F^{2}(S X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(F)
$$

Now, employing Eq (2.5) in the above equation, we reach

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-R(X, \xi) S \xi+R(S X, \xi) \xi
$$

which in view of Eq (1.3), leads to

$$
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-\left[\|S \xi\|^{2} S X-g(S X, S \xi) S \xi\right]+\sigma S^{2} X-g(S X, S \xi) S \xi ;
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla \sigma=-\|S \xi\|^{2} S X+\sigma S^{2} X \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, choosing a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ on the hypersurface $M$ to compute $\operatorname{div}(\nabla \sigma)$, by using Eq (4.3) we have

$$
\Delta \sigma=\operatorname{div}(\nabla \sigma)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} \nabla \sigma, e_{j}\right)=-n \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}+\sigma\|S\|^{2}
$$

Thus, we conclude

$$
\sigma \Delta \sigma=-n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}+\sigma^{2}\|S\|^{2}
$$

Integrating the above equation by parts leads to

$$
-\int_{M}\|\nabla \sigma\|^{2}=\int_{M}\left(\sigma^{2}\|S\|^{2}-n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}\right) ;
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \sigma^{2}\left(\|S\|^{2}-n \alpha^{2}\right)=\int_{M}\left(n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}-\|\nabla \sigma\|^{2}-n \sigma^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Eqs (2.6) and (4.2) give

$$
\|\nabla \sigma\|^{2}=\|F(S \xi)\|^{2}=R(S \xi, \xi ; \xi, S \xi),
$$

which changes Eq (4.4) to

$$
\int_{M} \sigma^{2}\left(\|S\|^{2}-n \alpha^{2}\right)=\int_{M}\left(n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}-n \sigma^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)-\int_{M} R(S \xi, \xi ; \xi, S \xi) .
$$

Now, employing the inequality in the above equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \sigma^{2}\left(\|S\|^{2}-n \alpha^{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, according to Schwartz's inequality $\|S\|^{2} \geq n \alpha^{2}$, the integrand in the integral of inequality (4.5) is nonnegative. Hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}\left(\|S\|^{2}-n \alpha^{2}\right)=0, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\sigma \neq 0$ on the connected $M$, Eq (4.6) implies $\|S\|^{2}=n \alpha^{2}$. However, $\|S\|^{2}=n \alpha^{2}$ is the equality in the Schwartz's inequality $\|S\|^{2} \geq n \alpha^{2}$, which holds if, and only if, $S=\alpha I$. Following the proof of Theorem 1, we get $M$ as isometric to $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$.

Conversely, suppose that $M$ is isometric to $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$, then as seen in the introduction, we see there is a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ on $S^{2 m-1}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$. Moreover, the shape operator $S=\alpha I$ is invariant under $\xi$ and the function $\sigma=g(S \xi, \xi)=\alpha$.

Thus, $\int_{M} R(S \xi, \xi ; \xi, S \xi)=0$ and

$$
\int_{M}\left(n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}-m \sigma^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)=\int_{M}\left(n \alpha^{4}-n \alpha^{4}\right)=0
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int_{M} R(S \xi, \xi ; \xi, S \xi)=\int_{M}\left(n \sigma \alpha\|S \xi\|^{2}-n \sigma^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)
$$

holds. This finishes the proof.
We would like to emphasize that the condition $\sigma \neq 0$ is essential in the statement of Theorem 2 to reach the conclusion. For instance, if we consider $\sigma=g(S \xi, \xi)=0$ on the compact and connected hypersurface, then Eq (4.3) would imply $S \xi=0$ and it will not allow the hypersurface to be isometric to a sphere.

## 5. Conclusions

There are two important vector fields on a Riemannian manifold ( $N, g$ ), namely, a Killing vector field and a conformal vector field, and they have importance in the geometry of a Riemannian manifold in which they live, as well as in physics, especially the theory of relativity. In this paper, we have used a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ on a hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $R^{m+1}$ under the restriction that the shape operator $S$ of the hypersurface is invariant under $\xi$, and we obtained two characterizations of the odd-dimensional spheres. In these results, we used the restrictions on sectional curvatures of the plane sections containing the unit Killing vector field $\xi$ and the shape operator $S$ to reach the conclusions. There could be a natural question as to what the restriction on the Ricci curvature Ric $(\xi, \xi)$ should be of the orientable hypersurface of the Euclidean space $R^{m+1}$ admitting a Killing vector field $\xi$, which leaves the shape operator $S$ invariant so that the hypersurface is isometric to an odd-dimensional sphere.

The next important vector field on a Riemannian manifold $(N, g)$ is the conformal vector field. A vector field $\zeta$ on $(N, g)$ is said to be a conformal vector field if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g=2 \rho g, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g$ is the Lie derivative of $g$, with respect to $\zeta$, and $\rho$ is a smooth function called the conformal factor [3,10]. It is known that all spheres $S^{m}(c)$ admit many conformal vector fields. Therefore, it is natural to study hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space $R^{m+1}$ admitting a conformal vector field $\zeta$. Naturally, one would like to confront with the question: Under what conditions does an orientable hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $R^{m+1}$ admitting a conformal vector field $\zeta$ is isometric to the sphere $S^{m}(c)$ ?

Given a unit Killing vector field $\xi$ on an orientable hypersurface $M$ of the Euclidean space $R^{n+1}$, we have seen that there is a vector field $U$ on $M$ given by Eq (2.9), which is orthogonal to $\xi$ and called the associated vector field to $\xi$. In addition, if the shape operator $S$ is invariant under $\xi$, then the associated vector field $U$ satisfies Eq (2.11). Note that in Theorem 1, we assumed the associated vector field $U=0$. However, it will be an interesting task to explore the geometry of an orientable hypersurface $M$ with unit Killing vector field $\xi$, with respect to which the shape operator $S$ is invariant under $\xi$ and has a nonzero associated vector field $U$, by imposing some geometric conditions on $U$.

These three questions raised above shall be our focus of attention in future studies of an orientable hypersurface of the Euclidean space $R^{m+1}$.
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