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Abstract: In our current work we investigate the following critical quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
-\Delta \Theta+\mathcal{V}(x) \Theta-\Delta\left(\Theta^{2}\right) \Theta=|\Theta|^{22^{*}-2} \Theta+\lambda \mathcal{K}(x) g(\Theta), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $N \geq 3, \lambda>0, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ has a quasicritical growth condition. We use the dual approach and the mountain pass theorem to show that the considered problem has a positive solution when $\lambda$ is a large parameter.
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## 1. Introduction

In this work we discuss the critical quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \Theta+\mathcal{V}(x) \Theta-\Delta\left(\Theta^{2}\right) \Theta=|\Theta|^{22^{*}-2} \Theta+\lambda \mathcal{K}(x) g(\Theta), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is a quasilinear growth function, $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ and $22^{*}$ is the critical exponent for (1.1).

Quasilinear equations are often involved in studying standing wave solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \psi_{t}=-\Delta \psi+\mathcal{W}(x) \psi-\kappa \Delta\left[\rho\left(|\psi|^{2}\right)\right] \rho^{\prime}\left(|\psi|^{2}\right) \psi-l\left(|\psi|^{2}\right) \psi, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is a potential, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \rho, l: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The form of (1.2) has many applications in physics, for example see [1-4]. In [5] the authors used the method of Nehari manifold to discuss the concentration
behavior and the exponential decay phenomenon of ground state solutions for the equation

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(\varepsilon^{2} g^{2}(\chi) \nabla \chi\right)+\varepsilon^{2} g(\chi) g^{\prime}(\chi)|\nabla \chi|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \chi=\mathcal{K}(x)|\chi|^{p-2} \chi, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $N \in[3, \infty), \varepsilon \in(0, \infty), p \in\left(4,22^{*}\right), g \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In [6] the authors studied the equation

$$
-\Delta \chi+\mathcal{V}(x) \chi-\left[\Delta\left(1+\chi^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}\right] \frac{\alpha \chi}{2\left(1+\chi^{2}\right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}}=\widetilde{f}(x, \chi), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and obtained that the above problem has infinitely many high energy solutions, where $1 \leq \alpha<2, \widetilde{f} \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. For some related papers we refer the reader to [7-15] and the references cited therein.

Because of the quasilinear term $\Delta\left(\Theta^{2}\right) \Theta$, we note that the quasilinear case is much more complicated than the semilinear case. Moreover, the main difficulty of (1.1) is there is no suitable space on which the energy functional is well-defined and of the $C^{1}$-class except for $N=1$ (see [4]). Also an important problem of (1.1) is the zero mass case, which appears when $\mathcal{V}$ vanishes at infinity, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\infty}:=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}(x)=0
$$

In [16], when (1.1) has no critical term and a quasilinear term, the authors studied the zero mass case with

$$
g(s)=s^{p}, 1<p<2^{*}-1
$$

and $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}$ satisfy the assumption:
(VK) $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and there exist $\tau, \xi, a_{i}>0(i=1,2,3)$ such that

$$
\frac{a_{1}}{1+|x|^{\tau}} \leq \mathcal{V}(x) \leq a_{2}, 0<\mathcal{K}(x) \leq \frac{a_{3}}{1+|x|^{\xi}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $\tau, \xi$ satisfy

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{N+2}{N-2}-\frac{4 \xi}{\tau(N-2)}<p, & 0<\xi<\tau \\ p>1, & \xi \geq \tau\end{cases}
$$

In [17], using (VK) the authors established the following result: $E$ is compactly embedded into the Lebesgue space

$$
L_{\mathcal{K}}^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{\Theta: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \Theta \text { is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)|\Theta|^{p+1} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

where $1<p<2^{*}-1$ and

$$
E:=\left\{\Theta \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \Theta^{2} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

and the norm on $E$ is defined as follows:

$$
\|\Theta\|_{E}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla \Theta|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \Theta^{2}\right) d x
$$

In [18] the authors also considered the condition (VK), when the inequality of $\mathcal{V}$ is only imposed outside of a ball centered at origin. In [19] the authors introduced some new hypotheses for $\mathcal{K}$, using the Marcinkiewicz spaces $L^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(r>1)$, which ensures that the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L_{K}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is continuous and compact for $q>1$. The space $L^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is formed by measurable functions $h: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifying

$$
\|h\|_{r, \infty}:=\sup _{D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{|D|^{1-\frac{1}{r}}} \int_{D}|h| d x<+\infty .
$$

We will consider the subspace $L_{0}^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $L^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, which is the closure of $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ in $L^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In that paper, it was proved that the embedding

$$
E \hookrightarrow L_{K}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

is continuous for $p \in\left[2,2^{*}\right]$ if $\mathcal{K} \in L^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. If $\mathcal{K} \in L_{0}^{r, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the above embedding is compact for all $p \in\left[2,2^{*}\right)$.

In order to study our problem, we first give some assumptions:
We say that $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{K}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
$(\mathbb{K} \mathbf{I}) \mathcal{K} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathcal{V}(x), \mathcal{K}(x)>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
( $\mathbb{K}$ II) There is a sequence of Borel sets $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\left|A_{n}\right| \leq R$ for some $R>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{A_{n} \cap B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) d x=0 \text { uniformly for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\mathbb{K} I I I)$ One of the following two conditions occurs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{V}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or there exists a $p \in\left(2,22^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{V}^{22^{*}-p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for the function $g$, we assume that:
$\left(g_{1}\right) \begin{cases}\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)}{t}=0 & \text { if }\left(K_{2}\right) \text { holds, } \\ \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|g(t)|}{t t^{p-1}}<+\infty & \text { if }\left(K_{3}\right) \text { holds. }\end{cases}$
( $g_{2}$ ) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(t)}{\mid t t^{2} 2^{*}-1}=0$.
$\left(g_{3}\right) \operatorname{tg}(t)-4 G(t) \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(g_{4}\right) g(t) t>0, t \neq 0$.
Now we give the main theorem:
Theorem 1.1. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{K}$ and suppose $\left(g_{1}\right)-\left(g_{4}\right)$ are true. Then (1.1) has a positive solution for large $\lambda$.

In our paper, $C$ and $C_{i}$ are utilized in various places to denote different positive constants.

## 2. Preliminaries

The energy functional of (1.1) is defined as

$$
J_{\lambda}(\Theta)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+2 \Theta^{2}\right)|\nabla \Theta|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \Theta^{2} d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Theta|^{22^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\Theta) d x
$$

where $G(\Theta):=\int_{0}^{\Theta} g(s) d s$. Since $J_{\lambda}(\Theta)$ is not well-defined on $E$, we cannot adopt directly the variational method to study (1.1). Motivated by [20,21], let $\Theta=\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is defined by

$$
\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}(t)}}, t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{S}(-t)=-\mathscr{S}(t), t \in(-\infty, 0] .
$$

By variable transform, we obtain the modified energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(\mathscr{V}):=J_{\lambda}(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}))= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \mathscr{V}|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V}) d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily obtain $I_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and its Gateaux derivative is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}), \varphi\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mathscr{V}, \varphi \in E$.
For completeness we provide some properties for $\mathscr{S}$.
Lemma 2.1. (see [22-24]) $\mathscr{S}(t)$ has the following properties:
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}\right) \mathscr{S}$ is of class $C^{\infty}$, and invertible;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{2}\right) 0<\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t) \leq 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right)|\mathscr{S}(t)| \leq|t|, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{4}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathscr{S}(t)}{t}=1$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{5}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathscr{P}^{2}(t)}{t}=\sqrt{2}, \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\mathscr{S}^{2}(t)}{t}=-\sqrt{2}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{6}\right) \frac{\mathscr{S}(t)}{2} \leq t \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t) \leq \mathscr{S}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 ; \quad \mathscr{S}(t) \leq t \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\mathscr{S}(t)}{2}, \quad t \leq 0 ;$
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{7}\right) \mathscr{S}^{2}(t) \leq \sqrt{2}|t|, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{8}\right) \mathscr{S}^{2}(t)$ is strictly convex;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{9}\right)$ There exists $\theta>0$ such that

$$
|\mathscr{S}(t)| \geq \begin{cases}\theta|t|, & |t| \leq 1 \\ \theta|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & |t| \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

( $\mathscr{S}_{10}$ ) There exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
|t| \leq C_{1}|\mathscr{S}(t)|+C_{2}|\mathscr{S}(t)|^{2}, t \in \mathbb{R} ;
$$

$\left(\mathscr{S}_{11}\right)\left|\mathscr{S}(t) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{12}\right) \mathscr{S}(t)$ is odd, $\mathscr{S}^{2}(t)$ is even;
( $\left.\mathscr{S}_{13}\right) \forall \xi>0$, there exists $C(\xi)>0$ such that

$$
\mathscr{S}^{2}(\xi t) \leq C(\xi) \mathscr{S}^{2}(t), t \in \mathbb{R} ;
$$

( $\left.\mathscr{S}_{14}\right) \mathscr{S}(t) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t) t^{-1}$ is strictly decreasing for $t>0$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{15}\right) \mathscr{S}^{q}(t) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(t) t^{-1}$ is strictly increasing for $q \geq 3, t>0$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{16}\right) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{2} \mathscr{S}^{2}(t), \lambda>1, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathscr{S}_{17}\right) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} t\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathscr{S}^{2}(t), \lambda \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
From Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.1 in [25] or Lemma 2.2 in [26] we can obtain the result:
Lemma 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\left(K_{2}\right)$ or $\left(K_{3}\right)$ be satisfied. Then $\mathscr{V}_{n} \rightharpoonup \mathscr{V}$ in $E$ implies that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{q} d x, 2<q<22^{*}
$$

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, Lemma 2.2 in [25] we get the result:
Lemma 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\left(g_{1}\right)-\left(g_{2}\right)$ hold. If $\mathscr{V}_{n} \rightharpoonup \mathscr{V}$ in $E$, then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V} n)) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x .
$$

Proof. (i) If ( $K_{2}$ ) holds, then Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V} n)|^{q} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{q} d x, 2<q<22^{*} .
$$

Therefore, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $r>0$ such that $\int_{B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x)\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x<\varepsilon$ for large $n$. Moreover, $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and ( $g_{2}$ ) imply that

$$
|\mathcal{K}(x) G(s)| \leq \varepsilon C\left[\mathcal{V}(x) s^{2}+|s|^{22^{*}}\right]+C \mathcal{K}(x)|s|^{q}, s \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Hence, from $\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{S}_{7}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x<C \varepsilon \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$. By the compactness lemma of Strauss (see [27]) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V} n)) d x=\int_{B_{r}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x .
$$

(ii) If $\left(K_{3}\right)$ holds, then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a sufficient large $r$ such that

$$
\mathcal{K}(x) \leq \varepsilon\left[\mathcal{V}(x)|s|^{2-p}+|s|^{22^{*}-p}\right], s \in \mathbb{R},|x| \geq r .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(x)|G(s)| \leq \varepsilon\left[\mathcal{V}(x)|G(s)||s|^{2-p}+|G(s)||s|^{22^{*}-p}\right], s \in \mathbb{R},|x| \geq r . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.3) with $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$, there exist $0<s_{0}<s_{1}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{K}(x)|G(s)| \leq \varepsilon C\left[\mathcal{V}(x) s^{2}+|s|^{22^{*}}\right], s \in I,|x| \geq r,
$$

where $I:=\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}:|s|<s_{0}\right.$ or $\left.|s|>s_{1}\right\}$. Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(x)|G(s)| \leq \varepsilon C\left[\mathcal{V}(x) s^{2}+|s|^{22^{*}}\right]+C \mathcal{K}(x) \mathcal{\chi}_{\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right]}(|s|), s \in \mathbb{R},|x| \geq r . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists a $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq M_{1} \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \leq M_{1}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Let

$$
A_{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: s_{0} \leq\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}(x)\right| \leq s_{1}\right\}
$$

Then $s_{0}^{2^{*}}\left|A_{n}\right| \leq \int_{A_{n}}\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \leq M_{1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|A_{n}\right|<+\infty$. Thus $\left(K_{1}\right)$ implies that

$$
\int_{A_{n} \cap B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) d x<\varepsilon, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

because $r$ is big enough. Hence, $\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right),\left(\mathscr{S}_{7}\right)$ and (2.4) imply that

$$
\int_{B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x)\left|G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right)\right| d x \leq \varepsilon C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{V}_{n}^{2}+\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{*}\right] d x+C \int_{A_{n} \cap B_{r}^{c}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) d x \leq C \varepsilon
$$

Similarly, by the compactness lemma of Strauss we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{B_{r}(0)} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x .
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V} n)) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x .
$$

The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.4. ( $[28,29]$ ) Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\Sigma$ be a closed subset of $X$ which disconnects (arcwise) $X$ into distinct connected components $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Suppose that $I(0)=0$ and
( $I_{1}$ ) $0 \in X_{1}$, and there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\Sigma} \geq \alpha>0$.
( $I_{2}$ ) there exists a $e \in X_{2}$ such that $I(e)<0$.
Then $I$ possesses a Cerami sequence with $c \geq \alpha>0$ given by

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } I(\gamma(1))<0\} .
$$

Now, we prove that $I_{\lambda}$ has the mountain pass geometry.
Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $(V, K) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}\right)$ and $\left(g_{4}\right)$. Then $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma $2.4\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $\left(I_{2}\right)$.
Proof. For any $\rho>0$, let $S_{\rho}=\left\{\mathscr{V} \in E: Q(\mathscr{V})=\rho^{2}\right\}$, where $Q: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
Q(\mathscr{V}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \mathscr{V}|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V})\right] d x .
$$

Since $Q(\mathscr{V})$ is continuous on $E, S_{\rho}$ is a closed subset of $E$ and it disconnects $E$ into distinct connected components $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

If either $\left(K_{2}\right)$ or $\left(K_{3}\right)$ hold, $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$ imply that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|g(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{\varepsilon}|s|^{22^{*}-1}
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by an inequality (see [30, (4.5)]) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x & \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)\left[\varepsilon \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V})+C_{\varepsilon}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}}\right] d x \\
& \leq \lambda \varepsilon C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V}) d x+\lambda C C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& \leq \lambda \varepsilon C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V})\right] d x+\lambda C C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& \leq \lambda \varepsilon C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \mathscr{V}|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V})\right] d x+\lambda C C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by $\left(\mathscr{S}_{7}\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}} d x \leq 2^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{V}|^{2^{*}} d x \leq C\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \mathscr{V}|^{2} d x\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} \leq C \rho^{2^{*}}, \forall \mathscr{V} \in S_{\rho} .
$$

Consequently, for $\mathscr{V} \in S_{\rho}$, we obtain

$$
I_{\lambda}(\mathscr{V}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}-\lambda \varepsilon C_{1} \rho^{2}-\lambda C C_{\varepsilon} \rho^{2^{*}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \rho^{2}-\lambda C C_{\varepsilon} \rho^{2^{*}}:=\alpha>0
$$

for $\rho>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ small enough.
In what follows, we take a function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi=\overline{B_{1}}$ and $\varphi \in[0,1], x \in B_{1}$. For any $t>0$, since $\frac{\mathscr{Y}(t)}{t}$ is decreasing about $t \geq 0$, we get $\mathscr{S}(t) \varphi(x) \leq \mathscr{S}(t \varphi(x))$, for $x \in B_{1}$ and $t>0$. Hence by $\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right),\left(\mathscr{S}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(g_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(t \varphi) & =\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(t \varphi) d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(t \varphi)|^{22^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(t \varphi)) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \varphi^{2}\right] d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{B_{1}}|\mathscr{S}(t \varphi)|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \varphi^{2}\right] d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{B_{1}} \mathscr{S}^{22^{*}}(t)|\varphi|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& =t^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \varphi^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \frac{\mathscr{S}^{22^{*}}(t)}{t^{2}} \int_{B_{1}}|\varphi|^{22^{*}} d x\right] \\
& \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, i.e., $I_{\lambda}(t \varphi) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Consequently, let $e:=t^{*} \varphi$ be such that $I_{\lambda}(e)<0\left(t^{*}\right.$ large enough). The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.6. Let $(V, K) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\left(g_{1}\right)-\left(g_{3}\right)$ hold. Then there is a bounded Cerami sequence $\left\{\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\} \subset E$ with $I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda} \geq \alpha>0$, where

$$
c_{\lambda}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)), \Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } I_{\lambda}(\gamma(1))<0\right\},
$$

and $\alpha$ is found in Lemma 2.5.
Proof. Step 1: We prove that the sequence $\left\{Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. Let $\varphi_{n}=\frac{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{Y}_{n}\right)}$. Then $\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq 2\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}$. Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1)= & I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right), \frac{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)\left[\frac{1}{4} g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right)\right] d x \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathscr{S}^{\prime 2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)\left[\frac{1}{4} g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right)\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from $\left(g_{3}\right)$ we have $\left\{\left\|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right\|_{E}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left\|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right\|_{22^{*}}\right\}$ are bounded, and

$$
0 \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x)\left[\frac{1}{4} g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right)\right] d x \leq C .
$$

Note that $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$ imply that

$$
|G(t)| \leq C\left(t^{2}+|t|^{22^{*}}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
c_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1)=I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\left\|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right\|_{22^{*}}^{2^{*}}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x
$$

and thus $\left\{Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded.
Step 2: We verify that there exists $C>0$ such that $Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)+\left[Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} \geq C\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2}$. In view of $\left(\mathscr{S}_{9}\right)$, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that

$$
\int_{\left|\mathscr{Y}_{n}\right| \leq 1} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{V}_{n}^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{2}} \int_{\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right| \leq 1} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{2}} Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\left|\mathscr{Y}_{n}\right|>1} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{V}_{n}^{2} d x \leq C \int_{\left|\mathscr{Y}_{n}\right|>1}\left|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \leq C\left[Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{V}_{n}^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{\theta^{2}} Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)+C\left[Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2} \leq Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{\theta^{2}} Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)+C\left[Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}},
$$

i.e., $C\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}^{2} \leq Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)+\left[Q\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right]^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}}$.

Combining with the two steps we have $\left\{\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}\right\}$ is bounded. The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.7. Let $(V, K) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\left(g_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}\right),\left(g_{4}\right)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $0<c_{\lambda}<\frac{1}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ for all $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$.
Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ with $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $c_{\lambda_{n}} \geq \frac{1}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$. Choosing $\mathscr{V} \in E \backslash\{0\}$, then from $\left(g_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}\right)$ and $\left(g_{4}\right)$ there exists a unique $t_{\lambda_{n}}>0$ such that $\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_{n}}(t \mathscr{V})=$ $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)$. From $\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{S}_{6}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\lambda_{n}}^{2}\|\mathscr{V}\|_{E}^{2} & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x+\lambda_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(\mathscr{S}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{S}_{6}\right)$ we have $\left\{t_{\lambda_{n}}\right\}$ is bounded. Hence, there exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $t_{\lambda_{n}} \rightarrow t_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $t_{0}>0$, then by $\left(g_{4}\right)$ and Fatou's Lemma we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x+\lambda_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x\right]=+\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x+\lambda_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V} d x \\
& \quad \leq t_{\lambda_{n}}^{2}\|\mathscr{V}\|_{E}^{2} \rightarrow t_{0}^{2}\|\mathscr{V}\|_{E}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and this contradicts (2.5). Hence $t_{0}=0$. Let $\mathscr{W}=t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}$. Then we have

$$
I_{\lambda_{n}}(\mathscr{W})=I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right)=\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_{\lambda_{n}}}(t \mathscr{W}) .
$$

Consequently, by $\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(g_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\max _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_{n}}(t \mathscr{W})=I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(t_{\lambda_{n}} \mathscr{V}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} t_{\lambda_{n}}^{2}\|\mathscr{V}\|_{E}^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
0<\frac{1}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq c_{\lambda_{n}} \leq \inf _{\mathscr{V} \in E \backslash\{0\}} \sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_{n}}(t \mathscr{Y}) \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\lambda_{n}}(t \mathscr{W}) \rightarrow 0
$$

and this is a contradiction. Therefore, we have our conclusion in this lemma. The proof is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.7 indicates that there is a $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $0<c_{\lambda}<\frac{1}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ for all $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$. For a fixed $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$, by Lemma 2.6 there exists a bounded Cerami sequence $\left\{\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\} \subset E$ with $I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda} \geq \alpha>0$, where

$$
c_{\lambda}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)), \Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } I_{\lambda}(\gamma(1))<0\right\}
$$

and $\alpha$ is found in Lemma 2.5. Hence, there is a $\mathscr{V} \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{V}_{n} \rightharpoonup \mathscr{V} \text { in } E, \\
& \mathscr{V}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{V} \text { in } L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { for } 2 \leq s<2^{*},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \mathscr{V}_{n}(x) \rightarrow \mathscr{V}(x) \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

By a standard argument we obtain $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V})=0$, i.e., $\mathscr{V}$ is a weak solution of (1.1). Indeed, for any $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{n}(1)= & \left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right), \psi\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V}_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V}_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi d x & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V} \cdot \nabla \psi d x, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \psi d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x .
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V} \cdot \nabla \psi d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi d x, \forall \psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\varphi \in E$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\psi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $E$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V} \cdot \nabla \psi_{n} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi_{n} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi_{n} d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \psi_{n} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \mathscr{V} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \varphi d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}), \varphi\right\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in E$. Hence $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V})=0$. Now, let $\mathscr{V}^{+}:=\max \{\mathscr{V}, 0\}$ and $\mathscr{V}^{-}:=\min \{\mathscr{V}, 0\}$. Then we replace $I_{\lambda}$ with the functional

$$
I_{\lambda}^{+}(\mathscr{V})=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \mathscr{V}|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}(\mathscr{V}) d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right)\right) d x .
$$

Consequently, we obtain that $\mathscr{V}$ is a solution for the equation

$$
-\Delta \mathscr{V}=-\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V})+\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right)\right|^{22^{*}-2} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right)-\lambda \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}^{+}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Let $\mathscr{V}^{-}$be a test function, and we get

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}^{-}\right|^{2} d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{V}^{-} d x \leq 0 .
$$

Consequently, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathscr{V}) \mathscr{V}^{-} d x=0$, i.e., $\mathscr{V} \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.3 we find

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V}) d x
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G(\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{V})) d x .
$$

From $\left\|\frac{\mathscr{S}\left(Y_{n}\right)}{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(Y_{n}\right)}\right\|_{E} \leq 2\left\|\mathscr{V}_{n}\right\|_{E}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1)= & I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
o_{n}(1)= & \left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right), \frac{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x  \tag{3.2}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) g\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) \mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x .
$$

Then $c_{\lambda}>0$ implies that $\Lambda\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)$ has a positive lower bound. Otherwise, $\Lambda\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1)=I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x-\frac{1}{22^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{K}(x) G\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts $c_{\lambda}>0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{o_{n}(1)}{\Lambda\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

If $\mathscr{V} \equiv 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1) & =I_{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right), \frac{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathscr{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.7 and (3.2) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right]\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right) d x \\
\geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
\geq & S\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\mathscr{S}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right|^{22^{*}} d x\right\}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \\
= & S\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x+o_{n}(1)\right\}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \\
= & S\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x\right\}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}+o_{n}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $c_{\lambda}>0$ we have

$$
\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x\right\}^{1-\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \geq S+o_{n}(1)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x \geq\left[S+o_{n}(1)\right]^{\frac{N}{2}}=S^{\frac{N}{2}}+o_{n}(1)
$$

Consequently,

$$
c_{\lambda} \geq\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{22^{*}}\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(1+\frac{2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}{1+2 \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla \mathscr{V}_{n}\right|^{2}+\mathcal{V}(x) \mathscr{S}^{2}\left(\mathscr{V}_{n}\right)\right] d x \geq \frac{1}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{2}},
$$

and this has a contradiction. Hence, $\mathscr{V} \neq 0$, and by the maximum principle we have $\mathscr{V}>0$. The proof is completed.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper we use the dual approach and the mountain pass theorem to investigate the existence of positive solutions for the critical quasilinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) considering suitable conditions about nonlinearity $g$ and the potential $V$. It is interesting to notice that our work gives some weaker conditions than those in the cited works, and generalizes the corresponding ones in the literature.
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