



Research article

Common solutions to some extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions and fixed point problems

Iqbal Ahmad^{1,*}, Mohd Sarfaraz² and Syed Shakaib Irfan³

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Qassim University, P.O. Box 6677, Buraidah 51452, Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

* **Correspondence:** Email: i.ahmad@qu.edu.sa; Tel: +96601613762.

Abstract: The main aim of this work is to use the XOR-operation technique to find the common solutions for a new class of extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions with its corresponding system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations involving the \oplus operation and fixed point problems, which are slightly different from corresponding problems considered in several recent papers in the literature and are more advantageous. We establish that the system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions is equivalent to a fixed point problem and a relationship between a system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions and a system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations is shown. We prove the existence of a common solution and discuss the convergence of the sequence of iterates generated by the algorithm for a considered problem. The iterative algorithm and results demonstrated in this article have witnessed, a significant improvement for many previously known results of this domain. Some examples are constructed in support of the main results.

Keywords: algorithm; fuzzy inference; iterative methods; nonlinear system; resolvent operator; sequence analysis

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 49J40

1. Introduction

The variational inclusion problem propelled by Hassouni and Moudafi [16] is a general version of the variational inequality problem introduced by Stampacchia [28] and Fichera [15] in the past decade. As per use of the variational inequalities and inclusions problems, these will help us solve and design various schemes to solve problems that arose in pure and applied sciences (i.e., network equilibrium, traffic network problems, economics, and many more) [10, 11, 13, 24–27, 33].

On the other hand, Zadeh [31] came up with a very interesting and fascinating object called fuzzy sets; as the theory for fuzzy sets evolved, it has extensively been utilized in different disciplines of mathematical research, as well as other areas of pure and applied sciences. The emergence of fuzzy sets were due to a small, notable, and powerful extension as an addition of an interval $[0, 1]$ instead of a set $\{0, 1\}$ to the co-domain of the characteristic function as $\chi : C \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. After this powerful characterization, this concept will enter into a new zone and the discussion of crisp and fuzzy sets came into existence. It also fulfills the gaps between computer science and mathematics, and even many more subjects too.

Variational inequalities for fuzzy mappings were first introduced and studied by Chang and Zhu [9] in 1989. Following this, many authors have gone through the sandwich concept of variational inequalities and fuzzy mappings for their matter of interest for deep and well mannered details [7, 8, 12, 17, 18, 22, 23].

Another problem, known as the fixed point problem, plays an essential role in the theory of nonlinear analysis, algorithmic development, optimization, and applications across all the discipline of pure and applied sciences, and many more [10, 14, 29, 30, 32]. Therefore, the fixed point problem is the problem of obtaining $p \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $S(p) = p$, where S is a nonlinear mapping on \mathcal{H} . In this paper, we use $Fix(S)$ to denote the fixed point set of S , that is, $Fix(S) = \{p \in \mathcal{H} : S(p) = p\}$.

The idea of calculating the number of fixed points in an ordered Banach space was propelled by Amman [1]. Then, people working on variational inclusion and inequalities problems in ordered spaces jumped into the lead and various ways of computing the fixed points/solution of variational inclusion/inequalities problems in the light of ordered Hilbert/Banach spaces. Li and his team has grab the title to first work on ordered resolvent equations and their corresponding ordered variational inequalities/inclusion problems [19–21]. They created a nice line of work regarding the mixture of ordered variational inequalities/inclusion problems involving the concept of operators (e.g., XOR, XNOR, OR and AND).

Motivated by the research of this inclination, Ahmad and his team enrich the work of Li and his team and improvise the structure of resolvent equations corresponding with their variational inequalities/inclusion problems in a broader settings involving XOR, XNOR operator, etc. [2–5].

The whole draft is divided into multiple segments: The first segment is a well equipped collection of basic preliminaries; the second segment is devoted to the formulation of the system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions with its corresponding system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations involving \oplus operation and fixed point problems, and discusses the existence of common solution results; a subsegment is also devoted to iterative schemes and a convergence result for the system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions with its corresponding system of resolvent equations involving \oplus operation and fixed point problems and the last segment is devoted to the conclusion in which the future scope of the problem is discussed and a comprehensive record of references is there.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the manuscript, we assume that \mathcal{H} is an ordered Banach space endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $2^{\mathcal{H}}$ (respectively, $CB(\mathcal{H})$) be the family of all non-void (respectively, non-empty closed and bounded) subsets of \mathcal{H} .

Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ be a collection of all fuzzy sets defined over \mathcal{H} . A map $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be

fuzzy mapping on \mathcal{H} . For each $p \in \mathcal{H}$, $F(p)$ (in the sequel, it will be denoted by F_p) is a fuzzy set on \mathcal{H} and $F_p(q)$ is the membership degree of q in F_p .

A fuzzy mapping $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be closed if for each $p \in \mathcal{H}$, the function $q \rightarrow F_p(q)$ is upper semi-continuous, that is, for any given net $\{q_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, satisfying $q_\alpha \rightarrow q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\limsup_{\alpha} F_p(q_\alpha) \leq F_p(q_0).$$

For $R \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the set $(R)_\lambda = \{p \in \mathcal{H} : R(p) \geq \lambda\}$ is called a λ -cut set of R . Let $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ be a closed fuzzy mapping satisfying the following condition:

- (*) If there exists a function $a : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $p \in \mathcal{H}$, the set $(F_p)_{a(p)} = \{q \in \mathcal{H} : F_p(q) \geq a(p)\}$ is a nonempty bounded subset of \mathcal{H} .

If F is a closed fuzzy mapping satisfying the condition (*), then for each $p \in \mathcal{H}$, $(F_p)_{a(p)} \in CB(\mathcal{H})$. In fact, let $\{q_\alpha\} \subset (F_p)_{a(p)}$ be a net and $q_\alpha \rightarrow q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, then $(F_p)_{a(p)} \geq a(p)$, for each α . Since F is a closed, we have

$$F_q(q_0) \geq \limsup_{\alpha} F_p(q_\alpha) \geq a(p),$$

which implies that $q_0 \in (F_p)_{a(p)}$ and so $(F_p)_{a(p)} \in CB(\mathcal{H})$.

For the presentation of the results, let us demonstrate some known definitions and results.

Definition 2.1. [14, 19] A nonempty subset C of \mathcal{H} is called a normal cone if there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that for $0 \leq p \leq q$, we have $\|p\| \leq \nu\|q\|$, for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.2. [8] Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a single-valued mapping. Then,

- (i) \mathcal{G} is said to be β -ordered compression mapping, if \mathcal{G} is a comparison mapping and

$$\mathcal{G}(p) \oplus \mathcal{G}(q) \leq \beta(p \oplus q), \text{ for } 0 < \beta < 1.$$

- (ii) \mathcal{G} is said to be ϑ -order non-extended mapping, if there exists a constant $\vartheta > 0$ such that

$$\vartheta(p \oplus q) \leq \mathcal{G}(p) \oplus \mathcal{G}(q), \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Definition 2.3. [21] A mapping $N : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be (κ, ν) -ordered Lipschitz continuous, if $p \preceq q$, $u \preceq v$, then $N(p, u) \preceq N(q, v)$ and there exist constants $\kappa, \nu > 0$ such that

$$N(p, u) \oplus N(q, v) \leq \kappa(p \oplus q) + \nu(u \oplus v), \text{ for all } p, q, u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Definition 2.4. [19] A compression mapping $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be restricted accretive mapping if there exist two constants $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $a, z \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(h(p) + I(p)) \oplus (h(q) + I(q)) \leq \xi_1(h(p) \oplus h(q)) + \xi_2(p \oplus q)$$

holds, where I is the identity mapping on \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.5. [4, 20] A set-valued mapping $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow CB(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be D -Lipschitz continuous, if for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}$, $p \preceq q$, there exists a constant $\delta_{D_A} > 0$ such that

$$D(A(p), A(q)) \leq \delta_{D_A}(p \oplus q), \text{ for all } p, q, u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Definition 2.6. [4] Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a strong comparison and ϑ -order non-extended mapping. Then, a comparison mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is said to be an ordered (α, λ) -XOR-weak-ANODD set-valued mapping if \mathcal{B} is α -weak-non-ordinary difference mapping and λ -XOR-ordered strongly monotone mapping, and $[\mathcal{G} \oplus \lambda\mathcal{B}](\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$, for $\lambda, \beta, \alpha > 0$.

Definition 2.7. [4] Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a strong comparison and ϑ -order non-extended mapping. Let $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be an ordered (α, λ) -XOR-weak-ANODD set-valued mapping. The resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ associated with \mathcal{B} is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}(p) = [\mathcal{G} \oplus \lambda\mathcal{B}]^{-1}(p), \forall p \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Lemma 2.1. [4, 20, 21] Let \odot be an XNOR operation and \oplus be an XOR operation. Then, the following relations hold:

- (i) $p \odot p = p \oplus p = 0$, $p \odot q = q \odot p = -(p \oplus q) = -(q \oplus p)$;
- (ii) $(\lambda p) \oplus (\lambda q) = |\lambda|(p \oplus q)$;
- (iii) $0 \leq p \oplus q$, if $p \propto q$;
- (iv) $(p + q) \odot (u + v) \geq (p \odot u) + (q \odot v)$;
- (v) If p, q and w are comparative to each other, then $(p \oplus q) \leq p \oplus w + w \oplus q$;
- (vi) $(\alpha p) \oplus (\beta p) = |\alpha - \beta|p = (\alpha \oplus \beta)p$, if $p \propto 0$,
- (vii) $\|p \oplus q\| \leq \|p - q\| \leq \nu\|p \oplus q\|$;
- (viii) If $p \propto q$, then $\|p \oplus q\| = \|p - q\|$, for all $p, q, u, v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a strong comparison and ϑ -order non-extended mapping. Let $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be an ordered (α, λ) -XOR-weak ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}$, for $\alpha\lambda > 1$. Then, the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}(q) \leq \frac{1}{\vartheta(\alpha\lambda \oplus 1)}(p \oplus q), \forall p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p,$$

i.e., the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda}$ is $\frac{1}{\vartheta(\alpha\lambda \oplus 1)}$ -nonexpansive mapping.

Lemma 2.3. [4] Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a strong comparison and ϑ -order non-extended mapping. Let $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be an ordered (α, λ) -XOR-weak ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. The resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\lambda} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ associated with \mathcal{B} is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}(\cdot, z)}^{\lambda}(p) = [\mathcal{G} \oplus \lambda\mathcal{B}(\cdot, z)]^{-1}(p), \text{ for } z \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, for any given $z \in \mathcal{H}$, the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}(\cdot, z)}^{\lambda} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is well-defined, single valued, continuous, comparison and $\frac{1}{\vartheta(\alpha\lambda \oplus 1)}$ -nonexpansive mapping with $\lambda\alpha > 1$, that is

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}(\cdot, z)}^{\lambda}(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}(\cdot, z)}^{\lambda}(q) \leq \frac{1}{\vartheta(\alpha\lambda \oplus 1)}(p \oplus q), \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.3)$$

3. Problem and fixed point formulation

For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let \mathcal{H}_i be an ordered Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ and K_i be a normal cone with normal constant ν_i , and let $h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$

$$V_{i,p}(v_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i+p|v_i-3i|}, & \text{if } p_i \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2i+|v_i-3i|}, & \text{if } p_i \in (1, 11i], \end{cases} \quad d_i(p_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5i}, & \text{if } p_i \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{3i+2ip_i}, & \text{if } p_i \in (1, 11i], \end{cases}$$

$$c_i(p_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3i^2}, & \text{if } p_i \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{i(2+ip_i)}, & \text{if } p_i \in (1, 11i], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad e_i(p_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i+3ip_i}, & \text{if } p_i \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{5i}, & \text{if } p_i \in (1, 11i]. \end{cases}$$

For any $p_i \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)} = \left\{ q_i : S_{i,p_i}(q_i) \geq \frac{1}{5} \right\} = \left\{ q_i : \frac{1}{3i + |q_i - 2i|} \geq \frac{1}{5i} \right\} = [0, 4i],$$

$$(U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)} = \left\{ u_i : U_{i,p_i}(u_i) \geq \frac{1}{3i^2} \right\} = \left\{ u_i : \frac{1}{2i^2 + (u_i - i)^2} \geq \frac{1}{3i^2} \right\} = [0, 2i],$$

$$(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)} = \left\{ v_i : V_{i,p_i}(v_i) \geq \frac{1}{i + 3ip_i} \right\} = \left\{ v_i : \frac{1}{i + p_i|v_i - 3i|} \geq \frac{1}{i + 3ip_i} \right\} = [0, 6i],$$

and for any $p_i \in (1, 11i]$, we have

$$(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)} = \left\{ q_i : S_{i,p_i}(q_i) \geq \frac{1}{3i + 2ip_i} \right\} = \left\{ q_i : \frac{1}{3i + p_i|q_i - 2i|} \geq \frac{1}{3i + 2ip_i} \right\} = [0, 4i],$$

$$(U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)} = \left\{ u_i : U_{i,p_i}(u_i) \geq \frac{1}{i(2 + ip_i)} \right\} = \left\{ u_i : \frac{1}{2i + p_i(u_i - i)^2} \geq \frac{1}{i(2 + ip_i)} \right\} = [0, 2i],$$

$$(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)} = \left\{ v_i : V_{i,p_i}(v_i) \geq \frac{1}{5i} \right\} = \left\{ v_i : \frac{1}{2i + |v_i - 3i|} \geq \frac{1}{5i} \right\} = [0, 6i].$$

Now, we define the single-valued mappings $h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$h_i(p_i) = \frac{p_i}{5}, \quad \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) = \frac{u_i}{7} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i,$$

and the set-valued mapping $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i) = \left\{ h_i(p_i) + \frac{v_i}{5} : p_i \in [0, 11i] \text{ and } v_i \in (V_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)} \right\}.$$

In the above view, it is easy to verify that $0 \in \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i)$, that is, problem (3.2) is satisfied.

Example 3.2. For $i = 1$, let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbb{R}_p^n$, Ω be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}_p^n , \mathcal{B}_1 is single valued mapping and $V_1 = I$ (identity mapping), and the other functions, that is $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{N}_1, S_1, U_1, d_1, c_1$ are equal to zero and the fuzzy coalitions of players are identified with the measurable functions e_1 from Ω to $[0, 1]$. Define $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ by

$$\mathcal{B}(h_1(p_1), p_1) = \int_L P(h_1(u), u)h_1(u)du,$$

we associate each player with its action $P(\cdot, u)$, where $P : \Omega \times \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_p^n$, Ω is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}_p^n , and each fuzzy coalition $h_1(u)$ with its action $\int_L P(h_1(u), u)h_1(u)du$. This continuum of players problem

can be obtained from extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.1). For more details see Chapter 13 and Exercise 13.2 of the book “Optima and equilibria” by Aubin [6] and Example 3.1 in [4].

Related to the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2), we consider the following extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem:

For each $i \in \Lambda$, find $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $s_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, S_{i,p_i}(p_i) \geq d_i(p_i), U_{i,p_i}(p_i) \geq c_i(p_i)$ and $V_{i,p_i}(p_i) \geq e_i(p_i)$, i.e., $q_i \in (S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}, u_i \in (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $v_i \in (V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$,

$$N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \lambda_i^{-1} \omega_i \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}(s_i) = \mathcal{G}_i(u_i), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$ is a constant and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}(s_i) = [I_i \oplus \mathcal{A}_i \circ \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}](s_i)$.

The following lemma ensures the equivalence between the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions involving the \oplus operation (3.1) and the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5).

Lemma 3.1. For each $i \in \Lambda$, let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $N_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the nonlinear ordered single-valued comparison mappings, respectively. Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ and $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be the set-valued mappings. Then, the followings are equivalent for each $i \in \Lambda$,

- (i) $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a solution of problem (3.1),
- (ii) for each $i, p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i \in (S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}, u_i \in (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $v_i \in (V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ is a fixed point of a mapping $T_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ defined by

$$T_i(p_i) = N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i) + p_i, \quad (3.6)$$

- (iii) $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a solution of the following equation:

$$h_i(p_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))], \quad (3.7)$$

- (iv) $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a solution of the problem (3.5), where

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)), \\ h_i(p_i) &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}(s_i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) For each $i \in \Lambda$, adding p_i to both sides of (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i) \\ \implies p_i &\in N_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i) + p_i = T_i(p_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, p_i is a fixed point of T_i , for each $i \in \Lambda$.

(ii) \implies (iii) Let p_i be a fixed point of T_i , then

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i \in T_i(p_i) &= \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i) + p_i \\
\implies \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)) &\in [\mathcal{A}_i \oplus \lambda_i \mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)](h_i(p_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h_i(p_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))]$, for each $i \in \Lambda$.

(iii) \implies (iv) Taking $s_i = \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))$, from (3.7), we have $h_i(p_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}(s_i)$, so,

$$s_i = \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i \oplus \mathcal{A}_i(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}(s_i)) &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)) \\
\implies [I_i \oplus \mathcal{A}_i \circ \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}](s_i) &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)) \\
\implies \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \lambda_i^{-1} \omega_i \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}(s_i) &= \mathcal{G}_i(u_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a solution of the extended system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5), for each $i \in \Lambda$.

(iv) \implies (i), from (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_i(p_i) &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}(s_i) \\
&= \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))],
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)) \in [\mathcal{A}_i \oplus \lambda_i \mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)]h_i(p_i),$$

which implies

$$0 \in \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i) + \omega_i \mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), v_i).$$

Therefore, $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a solution of extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.1), for each $i \in \Lambda$. This completes the proof.

4. Main results

In this section, we discuss an existence and convergence result for the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.1) and corresponding its extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5).

Theorem 4.1. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let \mathcal{H}_i be a real Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ and K_i be a normal cone with normal constant ν_i . Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $d_i, c_i, e_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we have $(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}$, $(U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ in $CB(\mathcal{H}_i)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the nonlinear single-valued mappings. Let $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be an ordered (α_i, λ_i) -XOR-weak ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. For each $i \in \Lambda$, suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) h_i is continuous, β_i -ordered compression and (ζ_i, η_i) -ordered restricted-accretive mapping, $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta_i, \eta_i \in (0, 1]$, respectively;
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_i is continuous and τ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) \mathcal{G}_i is continuous, ϑ_i -order non-extended mapping and μ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\mu_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\vartheta_i > 0$, respectively;
- (iv) \mathcal{N}_i is continuous, κ_i -ordered compression mapping in the i^{th} -argument and $\kappa_{i,j}$ -ordered compression mapping in the j^{th} -argument for each $j \in \Lambda, i \neq j$, respectively;
- (v) S_i, U_i and V_i are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping with constants $\delta_{S_i}, \delta_{U_i}$ and δ_{V_i} , respectively.

If the following conditions

$$(a) \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, x_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, y_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \leq \xi_i(x_i \oplus y_i), \text{ for all } p_i, x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \xi_i > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(b) \begin{cases} \Theta_i = \omega_i(\zeta_i + \eta_i\beta_i + \xi_i\delta_{V_i}) + \theta_i(\tau_i\beta_i\omega \oplus \lambda_i\mu_i\delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i\kappa_i\delta_{S_i}) < \omega_i \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right\}, \\ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i} \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} < 1, \theta_i = \frac{1}{\vartheta_i(\alpha_i \lambda_i \oplus 1)} \text{ and } \alpha_i \lambda_i > 1, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

are satisfied, then there exists $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i \in (S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}$, $u_i \in (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $v_i \in (V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ satisfies the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5) and so $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$ is a solution of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2), respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to prove that there exists $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$ satisfying (3.1). For each $i \in \Lambda$, we define $\phi_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$\phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = p_i + h_i(p_i) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}[\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))], \quad (4.3)$$

for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$. Define $\|\cdot\|_*$ on $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$\|(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^m \|p_i\|_i, \quad \forall (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i. \quad (4.4)$$

It is easy to see that $(\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is a Banach space. Additionally, define $\psi : \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ as follows:

$$\psi(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = (\phi_1(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m), \phi_2(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m), \dots, \phi_m(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)), \quad (4.5)$$

for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$. First of all, we prove that ψ is a contraction mapping.

Let $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m), (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ be given. By assumptions (i)–(v) and Lemma 2.1, for each $i \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \phi_i(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m) \\
 &= \left[p_i + h_i(p_i) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))] \right] \\
 &\quad \oplus \left[\hat{p}_i + h_i(\hat{p}_i) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, \hat{v}_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(\hat{p}_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(\hat{u}_i))] \right] \\
 &\leq \zeta_i(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \eta_i(h_i(\hat{p}_i) \oplus h_i(\hat{p}_i)) + \xi_i(v_i \oplus \hat{v}_i) \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))] \\
 &\quad \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, \hat{v}_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(\hat{p}_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(\hat{u}_i))] \\
 &\leq \zeta_i(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \eta_i(h_i(\hat{p}_i) \oplus h_i(\hat{p}_i)) + \xi_i D_i(V_i(p_i), V_i(\hat{p}_i)) \\
 &\quad + \theta_i \left((\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(\hat{p}_i))) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (- (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(u_i)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \oplus (-\mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m) \oplus \mathcal{G}_i(\hat{u}_i))) \right) \\
 &\leq \zeta_i(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \eta_i \beta_i(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \xi_i \delta_{V_i}(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \theta_i \left((\tau_i \beta_i(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mu_i(u_i \oplus \hat{u}_i)) \oplus (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m)) \right) \\
 &\leq (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i + \xi_i \delta_{V_i})(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \left(\frac{\theta_i (\tau_i \beta_i \omega_i \oplus \lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i})}{\omega_i} (p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) \right) \\
 &\quad \oplus \left(\frac{\lambda_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m)) \right). \tag{4.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{N}_i is a κ_i -ordered comparison mapping in the i^{th} arguments and a κ_{ij} -ordered comparison mapping in the j^{th} arguments ($i \neq j$), and S_i is ordered δ_{S_i} -Lipschitz continuous mapping.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m) \\
 &\leq \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{i-1}, \hat{q}_i, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_m) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}, \hat{q}_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_m)) \\
 &\leq \kappa_i(q_i \oplus \hat{q}_i) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j}(q_j \oplus \hat{q}_j) \leq \kappa_i D_i(S_i(p_i), S_i(\hat{p}_i)) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} D_j(S_j(p_j), S_j(\hat{p}_j)) \\
 &\leq \kappa_i \delta_{S_i}(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{ij}}(p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j). \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.7), (4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \phi_i(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m) \\ & \leq (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i + \xi_i \delta_{V_i})(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \left(\frac{\theta_i((\tau_i \beta_i \omega_i \oplus \lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i}) + \lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i})}{\omega_i} (p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) \right) \\ & \quad \oplus \left(\frac{\lambda_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} (p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \phi_i(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_i \\ & \leq \Theta_i \|p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i\|_i + \frac{\nu_i \lambda_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \|p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j\|_j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\Theta_i = \left(\nu_i (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i + \xi_i \delta_{S_i}) + \frac{\nu_i \theta_i (\tau_i \beta_i \omega_i \oplus \lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i})}{\omega_i} \right)$.

From (4.5) and (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \psi(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_* \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \phi_i(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_i \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\Theta_i \|p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i\|_i + \frac{\nu_i \lambda_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \|p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j\|_j \right) \\ & = \left(\Theta_1 + \sum_{\ell=2}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,1} \delta_{S_{\ell,1}} \right) \|p_1 \oplus \hat{p}_1\|_1 + \left(\Theta_2 + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq 2}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,2} \delta_{S_{\ell,2}} \right) \|p_2 \oplus \hat{p}_2\|_2 \\ & \quad + \left(\Theta_3 + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq 3}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,3} \delta_{S_{\ell,3}} \right) \|p_3 \oplus \hat{p}_3\|_3 + \dots + \left(\Theta_m + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,m} \delta_{S_{\ell,m}} \right) \|p_m \oplus \hat{p}_m\|_m \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} : i \in \Lambda \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m \|p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i\|_i, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|\psi(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \psi(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_* \leq \Omega \|p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_*, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\Omega = \max \left\{ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i}^m \frac{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} : i \in \Lambda \right\}$. The condition (4.2) guarantees that $0 \leq \Omega < 1$. By the inequality (4.9), we note that ψ is a contraction mapping. Therefore, there exists a unique point $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $\psi(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) = (p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$. From (4.3) and (4.5), it follows that $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$ such that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}$, $u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$ satisfies in Eq (3.7), i.e., for each $i \in \Lambda$,

$$h_i(p_i^*) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))].$$

By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a unique solution of the extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2) and satisfies the extended system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5). This completes the proof.

For each $i \in \Lambda$, let $Q_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be a γ_i -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping. We define the self-mapping $R : \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$R(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = (Q_1 p_1, Q_2 p_2, \dots, Q_m p_m), \quad \forall (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i. \quad (4.10)$$

Then, $R = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) : \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is a $\max\{\gamma_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ in $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$. To see this fact, let $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m), (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ be given. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus R(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|Q_i p_i \oplus Q_i \hat{p}_i\|_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \|p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i\|_i \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma_i : i \in \Lambda\} \sum_{i=1}^m \|p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i\|_i \\ &= \max\{\gamma_i : i \in \Lambda\} \|(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m)\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the sets of all fixed points of $Q_i, i \in \Lambda$ and R by $Fix(Q_i)$ and $Fix(R)$, respectively, and the set of all solutions of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.1) by $ENSFOVI(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. In view of (4.10), for any $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$, $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in Fix(R)$ if and only if $p_i \in Fix(Q_i), i \in \Lambda$, i.e., $Fix(R) = Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m Fix(Q_i)$.

If $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) \in Fix(R) \cap ESFOVI(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, then by using Lemma 3.1, one can easily see that for each $i \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{cases} p_i^* = Q_i p_i^* = p_i^* - h_i(p_i^*) + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))] \\ \quad = Q_i [p_i^* - h_i(p_i^*) + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))]]. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Based on Lemma 3.1, we construct an iterative algorithm for finding the approximate solution of problem (3.1).

Iterative Algorithm 4.1. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the nonlinear ordered single-valued comparison mappings, respectively. Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be closed fuzzy mappings that satisfy the following condition (*), with functions $d_i, c_i, e_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow$

$[0, 1]$ such that for each $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $q_i \in (S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}$, $u_i \in (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $v_i \in (V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be the set-valued mapping. For any given $p_{i,0} \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $q_{i,0} \in (S_{i,p_{i,0}})_{d_i(p_{i,0})}$, $u_{i,0} \in (U_{i,p_{i,0}})_{c_i(p_{i,0})}$ and $v_{i,0} \in (V_{i,p_{i,0}})_{e_i(p_{i,0})}$, compute the sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}$, $\{q_{i,n}\}$, $\{u_{i,n}\}$, $\{v_{i,n}\}$, and $\{s_{i,n}\}$ by the following iterative schemes with the supposition that $p_{i,n+1} \propto p_{i,n}$, $q_{i,n+1} \propto q_{i,n}$, $u_{i,n+1} \propto u_{i,n}$, $v_{i,n+1} \propto v_{i,n}$, and $s_{i,n+1} \propto s_{i,n}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{cases} s_{i,n+1} = \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n}, q_{2,n}, \dots, q_{m,n}) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_{i,n})), \\ p_{i,n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)p_{i,n} + \alpha_n Q_i[p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n+1})] + r_{i,n}, \\ q_{i,n+1} \in (S_{p_{i,n+1}})_{d_i(p_{i,n+1})}, q_{i,n+1} \oplus q_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((S_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{d_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (S_{i,p_{i,n}})_{d_i(p_{i,n})}), \\ u_{i,n+1} \in (U_{p_{i,n+1}})_{c_i(p_{i,n+1})}, u_{i,n+1} \oplus u_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((U_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{c_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (U_{i,p_{i,n}})_{c_i(p_{i,n})}), \\ v_{i,n+1} \in (V_{p_{i,n+1}})_{e_i(p_{i,n+1})}, v_{i,n+1} \oplus v_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((V_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{e_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (V_{i,p_{i,n}})_{e_i(p_{i,n})}), \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where α_n is a sequence in interval $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, $\{r_{i,n}\}$ are sequences in \mathcal{H}_i introduced to take the possible inexact computation of the resolvent operator point satisfying the following conditions into account: $r_{i,n} \oplus 0 = r_{i,n}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(r_{1,n}, r_{2,n}, \dots, r_{m,n})\| < \infty$.

If for each $i \in \Lambda$, $Q_i = I$, then Algorithm 4.1 reduces to the following algorithm.

Iterative Algorithm 4.2. For each $i \in \Lambda$, let \mathcal{A}_i , h_i , \mathcal{G}_i , \mathcal{N}_i , \mathcal{B}_i , S_i , U_i , V_i , d_i , c_i , e_i be the same as in Theorem 4.1 such that all the conditions of Algorithm 4.1 are satisfied. For any given $p_{i,0} \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $q_{i,0} \in (S_{i,p_{i,0}})_{d_i(p_{i,0})}$, $u_{i,0} \in (U_{i,p_{i,0}})_{c_i(p_{i,0})}$ and $v_{i,0} \in (V_{i,p_{i,0}})_{e_i(p_{i,0})}$, compute the sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}$, $\{q_{i,n}\}$, $\{u_{i,n}\}$, $\{v_{i,n}\}$ and $\{s_{i,n}\}$ by the following iterative schemes with the supposition that $p_{i,n+1} \propto p_{i,n}$, $q_{i,n+1} \propto q_{i,n}$, $u_{i,n+1} \propto u_{i,n}$, $v_{i,n+1} \propto v_{i,n}$ and $s_{i,n+1} \propto s_{i,n}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{cases} s_{i,n+1} = \mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n}, q_{2,n}, \dots, q_{m,n}) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_{i,n})), \\ p_{i,n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)p_{i,n} + \alpha_n[p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n+1})] + r_{i,n}, \\ q_{i,n+1} \in (S_{p_{i,n+1}})_{d_i(p_{i,n+1})}, q_{i,n+1} \oplus q_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((S_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{d_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (S_{i,p_{i,n}})_{d_i(p_{i,n})}), \\ u_{i,n+1} \in (U_{p_{i,n+1}})_{c_i(p_{i,n+1})}, u_{i,n+1} \oplus u_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((U_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{c_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (U_{i,p_{i,n}})_{c_i(p_{i,n})}), \\ v_{i,n+1} \in (V_{p_{i,n+1}})_{e_i(p_{i,n+1})}, v_{i,n+1} \oplus v_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((V_{i,p_{i,n+1}})_{e_i(p_{i,n+1})}, (V_{i,p_{i,n}})_{e_i(p_{i,n})}), \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

where the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{r_{i,n}\}$ are the same as in Algorithm 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. For each $i \in \Lambda$, let \mathcal{A}_i , h_i , \mathcal{G}_i , \mathcal{N}_i , \mathcal{B}_i , S_i , U_i , V_i , d_i , c_i , e_i be the same as in Theorem 4.1 such that all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Let $Q_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be a γ_i -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping and $R = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) : \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ be a $\max\{\gamma_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ in $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$. In addition, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_i = \omega_i(\zeta_i + \eta_i\beta_i + \xi_i\delta_{V_i}) + \theta_i(\tau_i\beta_i\omega \oplus \lambda_i\mu_i\delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i\kappa_i\delta_{S_i}) < \omega_i \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{v_i}\right\}, \\ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i} \frac{\gamma_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} < 1, \theta_i = \frac{1}{\vartheta_i(\alpha_i \lambda_i \oplus 1)} \text{ and } \alpha_i \lambda_i > 1 \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_* = 0$, then there exists $p_i^*, s_i^* \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}$, $u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ satisfying the extended system

of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations (3.5) and so $(p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^*, v_i^*)$ is a common solution of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2) and the fixed point of $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m)$, and the iterative sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}, \{q_{i,n}\}, \{u_{i,n}\}$ and $\{v_{i,n}\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 converge strongly p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^* and v_i^* in $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) \cap \text{ESFOVI}(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, for each $i \in \Lambda$, respectively.

Proof. By Algorithm 4.1, Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}\|_i &= \left\| \left[(1 - \alpha_n)p_{i,n} + \alpha_n Q_i(p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n+1})) + r_{i,n} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \oplus \left[(1 - \alpha_n)p_{i,n-1} + \alpha_n Q_i(p_{i,n-1} + h_i(p_{i,n-1}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n-1})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n})) \right] \right\|_i \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \gamma_i \|(p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus (p_{i,n-1} + h_i(p_{i,n-1}))\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma_i \left(\|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n+1}) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n})\|_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n}) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_{i,n-1})}^{\lambda_i}(s_{i,n})\|_i \right) + \alpha_n \|r_{i,n} \oplus 0\|_i \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \gamma_i \|(p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus (p_{i,n-1} + h_i(p_{i,n-1}))\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma_i \theta_i \|s_{i,n+1} \oplus s_{i,n}\|_i + \alpha_n \gamma_i \xi_i \|v_{i,n} \oplus v_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \|r_{i,n} \oplus 0\|_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Since h_i is a β_i -ordered compression and a (ζ_i, η_i) -restricted-accerative mapping, respectively, and V_i is δ_{V_i} - D -Lipschitz continuous mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p_{i,n} + h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus (p_{i,n-1} + h_i(p_{i,n-1})) &\leq \zeta_i(p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}) + \eta_i(h_i(p_{i,n}) \oplus h_i(p_{i,n-1})) \\ &= (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i)(p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$(v_{i,n} \oplus v_{i,n-1}) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{V_i}(p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}). \quad (4.17)$$

Since h_i is a β_i -ordered compression mapping, \mathcal{G}_i is a μ_i -ordered compression mapping, \mathcal{A}_i is a τ_i -ordered compression mapping, U_i is a δ_i -ordered compression mapping, and U_i is a δ_{U_i} - D -Lipschitz continuous mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i,n+1} \oplus s_{i,n} &= [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_{i,n})) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n}, q_{2,n}, \dots, q_{m,n}) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_{i,n})) \\ &\quad \oplus [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_{i,n-1})) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n-1}, q_{2,n-1}, \dots, q_{m,n-1}) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_{i,n-1}))]] \\ &\leq \left(\tau_i \beta_i \oplus \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right) (p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}) \\ &\quad \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n}, q_{2,n}, \dots, q_{m,n}) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n-1}, q_{2,n-1}, \dots, q_{m,n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Since \mathcal{N}_i is a κ_i -ordered comparison mapping in the i^{th} arguments and a κ_{ij} -ordered comparison mapping in the j^{th} arguments ($i \neq j$), and S_i is an ordered δ_{S_i} -Lipschitz continuous mapping.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n}, q_{2,n}, \dots, q_{m,n}) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(q_{1,n-1}, q_{2,n-1}, \dots, q_{m,n-1}) \\ &\leq \kappa_i \delta_{S_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) (p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) (p_{j,n} \oplus p_{j,n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Using (4.19), (4.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_{i,n+1} \oplus s_{i,n}\|_i &\leq \left(\tau_i \beta_i \oplus \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \frac{\lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \|p_{j,n} \oplus p_{j,n-1}\|_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.20), (4.15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}^*\|_i &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \gamma_i (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma_i \theta_i \left(\tau_i \beta_i \oplus \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \frac{\lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \frac{\lambda_i \gamma_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \|p_{j,n} \oplus p_{j,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma_i \xi_i \delta_{V_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \|r_{i,n} \oplus 0\|_i \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \Theta_{i,n} \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \frac{\lambda_i \gamma_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \|p_{j,n} \oplus p_{j,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \|r_{i,n} \oplus 0\|_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $\Theta_{i,n} = \left[\gamma_i (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i) + \gamma_i \theta_i \left(\tau_i \beta_i \oplus \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \frac{\lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i}}{\omega_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) + \gamma_i \xi_i \delta_{V_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right]$.

Using (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(p_{1,n+1}, p_{2,n+1}, \dots, p_{m,n+1}) \oplus (p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n})\|_* &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}\|_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left[(1 - \alpha_n) \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i + \alpha_n \Theta_{i,n} \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_n \frac{\gamma_i \lambda_i \theta_i}{\omega_i} \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \kappa_{i,j} \delta_{S_{i,j}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \|p_{j,n} \oplus p_{j,n-1}\|_j + \|r_{i,n} \oplus 0\|_i \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|(p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n}) \oplus (p_{1,n-1}, p_{2,n-1}, \dots, p_{m,n-1})\|_* \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \Theta_{i,n} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i} \frac{\gamma_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} : i \in \Lambda \right\} \sum_{i=1}^m \|p_{i,n} \oplus p_{i,n-1}\|_i \\ &\quad + \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_*, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(p_{1,n+1}, p_{2,n+1}, \dots, p_{m,n+1}) \oplus (p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n})\|_* \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \Omega_{i,n})] \|(p_{1,n+1}, p_{2,n+1}, \dots, p_{m,n+1}) \oplus (p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n})\|_* \\ &\quad + \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_*, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where $\Omega_{i,n} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \Theta_{i,n} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i} \frac{\gamma_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} : i \in \Lambda \right\}$.

Letting

$$\Omega = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i} \frac{\gamma_\ell \lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} : i \in \Lambda \right\}$$

and

$$\Theta_i = \left[\gamma_i(\zeta_i + \eta_i\beta_i + \xi_i\delta_{V_i}) + \gamma_i\theta_i \left(\tau_i\beta_i \oplus \frac{\lambda_i\mu_i\delta_{U_i}}{\omega_i} + \frac{\lambda_i\kappa_i\delta_{S_i}}{\omega_i} \right) \right].$$

By condition (4.2), we have $0 \leq \Omega < 1$, thus $\{(p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ and as $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ is complete, there exists $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $(p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, \dots, p_{m,n}) \rightarrow (p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Additionally, for each $i \in \Lambda$, $p_{i,n} \rightarrow p_i^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (4.12) of Algorithm 4.1 and D -Lipschitz continuity of S_i , U_i and V_i , we have

$$q_{i,n+1} \oplus q_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{D_{S_i}}(p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}), \quad (4.23)$$

$$u_{i,n+1} \oplus u_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{D_{U_i}}(p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}), \quad (4.24)$$

$$v_{i,n+1} \oplus v_{i,n} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \delta_{D_{V_i}}(p_{i,n+1} \oplus p_{i,n}). \quad (4.25)$$

It is clear from (4.23)–(4.25) that $\{q_{i,n}\}$, $\{u_{i,n}\}$ and $\{v_{i,n}\}$ are also Cauchy sequences in \mathcal{H}_i , so there exist q_i^* , u_i^* and v_i^* in \mathcal{H}_i such that $q_{i,n} \rightarrow q_i^*$, $u_{i,n} \rightarrow u_i^*$ and $v_{i,n} \rightarrow v_i^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $i \in \Lambda$. Additionally, for each $i \in \Lambda$, by using the continuity of the operators h_i , S_i , U_i , V_i , $\mathcal{J}_{B(\cdot, v_i^*)}^\lambda$ and Algorithm 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_i^* &= Q_i[p_i^* + h_i(p_i^*) - \mathcal{J}_{B(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i}[\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))]] \\ &= p_i^* + h_i(p_i^*) - \mathcal{J}_{B(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i}[\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$h_i(p_i^*) = \mathcal{J}_{B(\cdot, v_i^*)}^{\lambda_i}[\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i^*)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i}(\mathcal{N}_i(q_1^*, q_2^*, \dots, q_m^*) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i^*))].$$

By Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $(p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_m^*)$ is a solution of problem (3.2). It remains to show that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}$, $u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$. Using Lemma 2.1, in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_i(q_i^*, (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}) &\leq \|q_i^* \oplus q_{i,n}\|_i + \mathbf{d}_i(q_{i,n}, (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}) \\ &\leq \|q_i^* \oplus q_{i,n}\|_i + D_i((S_{i,p_{i,n}})_{d_i(p_{i,n})}, (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}) \\ &\leq \|q_{i,n} \oplus q_i^*\|_i + \delta_{D_{S_i}}\|p_{i,n} \oplus p_i^*\|_i \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}$. Similarly, we can show that $u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$. This completes the proof.

Taking $Q_i = I$ (identity mapping), for each $i \in \Lambda$ in Algorithm 4.1, we can also prove the existence and convergence result for the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions involving the \oplus operation (3.1) and the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5).

Corollary 4.1. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let \mathcal{H}_i be a real Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ and K_i be a normal cone with normal constant ν_i . Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be closed fuzzy

mappings that satisfies the following condition (*), with functions $d_i, c_i, e_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we have $(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}, (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ in $CB(\mathcal{H}_i)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be nonlinear single-valued mappings. Let $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be an ordered (α_i, λ_i) -XOR-weak-ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) h_i is continuous, β_i -ordered compression and (ζ_i, η_i) -ordered restricted-accretive mapping, $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta_i, \eta_i \in (0, 1]$, respectively;
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_i is continuous and τ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) \mathcal{G}_i is continuous, ϑ_i -order non-extended mapping and μ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\mu_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\vartheta_i > 0$, respectively;
- (iv) \mathcal{N}_i is continuous, κ_i -ordered compression mapping in the i^{th} -argument and $\kappa_{i,j}$ -ordered compression mapping in the j^{th} -argument for each $j \in \Lambda, i \neq j$, respectively;
- (v) S_i, U_i and V_i are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping with constants $\delta_{S_i}, \delta_{U_i}$ and δ_{V_i} , respectively.

In addition, the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, x_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, y_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \leq \xi_i(x_i \oplus y_i), \text{ for all } p_i, x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \xi_i > 0, \quad (4.26)$$

$$(b) \begin{cases} \Theta_i = \omega_i(\zeta_i + \eta_i\beta_i + \xi_i\delta_{V_i}) + \theta_i(\tau_i\beta_i\omega_i \oplus \lambda_i\mu_i\delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i\kappa_i\delta_{S_i}) < \omega_i, \\ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i}^m \frac{\lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} < 1, \theta_i = \frac{1}{\vartheta_i(\alpha_i \lambda_i \oplus 1)} \text{ and } \alpha_i \lambda_i > 1, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_* = 0$, then there exists $p_i^*, s_i^* \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}, u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ that satisfies the extended system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations (3.5) and so $(p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^*, v_i^*)$ is a solution of the extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2), and the iterative sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}, \{q_{i,n}\}, \{u_{i,n}\}$, and $\{v_{i,n}\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.2 converge strongly p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^* and v_i^* in ESFOVI($\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), for each $i \in \Lambda$, respectively.

Taking $\mathcal{G}_i = I$ (identity mapping), for each $i \in \Lambda$ in Algorithm 4.1, we can also prove the existence and convergence results for the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions involving the \oplus operation (3.1) and the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5).

Corollary 4.2. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let \mathcal{H}_i be a real Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ and K_i be a normal cone with normal constant ν_i . Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $d_i, c_i, e_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we have $(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}, (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ in $CB(\mathcal{H}_i)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the nonlinear single-valued mappings. Let $Q_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be a γ_i -ordered

Lipschitz continuous mapping and $R = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) : \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$ be a $\max\{\gamma_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ -ordered

Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ in $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be an ordered (α_i, λ_i) -XOR-weak-ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) h_i is continuous, β_i -ordered compression and (ζ_i, η_i) -ordered restricted-accretive mapping, $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta_i, \eta_i \in (0, 1]$, respectively;
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_i is continuous and τ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) \mathcal{N}_i is continuous, κ_i -ordered compression mapping in the i^{th} -argument and $\kappa_{i,j}$ -ordered compression mapping in the j^{th} -argument for each $j \in \Lambda, i \neq j$, respectively;
- (iv) S_i, U_i and V_i are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping with constants $\delta_{S_i}, \delta_{U_i}$ and δ_{V_i} , respectively.

In addition, the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, x_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, y_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \leq \xi_i(x_i \oplus y_i), \text{ for all } p_i, x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \xi_i > 0, \quad (4.28)$$

$$(b) \begin{cases} \Theta_i = \omega_i(\zeta_i + \eta_i\beta_i + \xi_i\delta_{V_i}) + \theta_i(\tau_i\beta_i\omega_i \oplus \lambda_i\delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i\kappa_i\delta_{S_i}) < \omega_i \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{v_i}\right\}, \\ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i}^m \frac{\lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} < 1, \theta_i = \frac{1}{\vartheta_i(\alpha_i \lambda_i \oplus 1)} \text{ and } \alpha_i \lambda_i > 1, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_* = 0$, then there exists $p_i^*, s_i^* \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}, u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ satisfying the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equation (3.5) and so $(p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^*, v_i^*)$ is a common solution of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2) and the fixed point of $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m)$, and the iterative sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}, \{q_{i,n}\}, \{u_{i,n}\}$ and $\{v_{i,n}\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 converge strongly p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^* and v_i^* in $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m) \cap \text{ENSFOVI}(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, for each $i \in \Lambda$, respectively.

Taking $\alpha_n = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in Algorithm 4.1, we can also prove the existence and convergence result for the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions involving the \oplus operation (3.1) and the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations problem (3.5).

Corollary 4.3. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, let \mathcal{H}_i be a real Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and K_i be a normal cone with normal constant v_i . Let $S_i, U_i, V_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $d_i, c_i, e_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we have $(S_{i,p_i})_{d_i(p_i)}, (U_{i,p_i})_{c_i(p_i)}$ and $(V_{i,p_i})_{e_i(p_i)}$ in $CB(\mathcal{H}_i)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_i, h_i, \mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the nonlinear single-valued mappings. Let $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ be a ordered (α_i, λ_i) -XOR-weak-ANODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) h_i is continuous, β_i -ordered compression and (ζ_i, η_i) -ordered restricted-accretive mapping, $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta_i, \eta_i \in (0, 1]$, respectively;
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_i is continuous and τ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) \mathcal{G}_i is continuous, ϑ_i -order non-extended mapping and μ_i -ordered compression mapping, $\mu_i \in (0, 1)$ and $\vartheta_i > 0$, respectively;
- (iv) \mathcal{N}_i is continuous, κ_i -ordered compression mapping in the i^{th} -argument and $\kappa_{i,j}$ -ordered compression mapping in the j^{th} -argument for each $j \in \Lambda, i \neq j$, respectively;
- (v) S_i, U_i and V_i are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping with constants $\delta_{S_i}, \delta_{U_i}$ and δ_{V_i} , respectively.

In addition, the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, x_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, y_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) \leq \xi_i(x_i \oplus y_i), \text{ for all } p_i, x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \xi_i > 0, \quad (4.30)$$

$$(b) \begin{cases} \Theta_i = (\zeta_i + \eta_i \beta_i + \xi_i \delta_{V_i}) + \theta_i (\tau_i \beta_i \oplus \lambda_i \mu_i \delta_{U_i} + \lambda_i \kappa_i \delta_{S_i}) < 1, \\ \Theta_i + \sum_{\ell \in \Lambda, \ell \neq i}^m \frac{\lambda_\ell \theta_\ell}{\omega_\ell} \kappa_{\ell,i} \delta_{S_{\ell,i}} < 1, \theta_i = \frac{1}{\vartheta_i(\alpha_i \lambda_i \oplus 1)} \text{ and } \alpha_i \lambda_i > 1, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.31)$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(r_{1,n} \vee (-r_{1,n}), r_{2,n} \vee (-r_{2,n}), \dots, r_{m,n} \vee (-r_{m,n}))\|_* = 0$, then there exists $p_i^*, s_i^* \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that $q_i^* \in (S_{i,p_i^*})_{d_i(p_i^*)}$, $u_i^* \in (U_{i,p_i^*})_{c_i(p_i^*)}$ and $v_i^* \in (V_{i,p_i^*})_{e_i(p_i^*)}$, for each $i \in \Lambda$ satisfying the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equation (3.5) and so $(p_i^*, q_i^*, u_i^*, v_i^*)$ is a common solution of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2) and the fixed point of $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m)$ and the iterative sequences $\{p_{i,n}\}$, $\{q_{i,n}\}$, $\{u_{i,n}\}$ and $\{v_{i,n}\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 converge strongly p_i^* , q_i^* , u_i^* and v_i^* in $Fix(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) \cap \text{ENSFOVI}(\mathcal{N}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, for each $i \in \Lambda$, respectively.

The following numerical example gives the guarantee that all the proposed conditions of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are satisfied.

Example 4.1. For each $i \in \Lambda = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, and let $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{R}$, with the usual inner product and norm and $K_i = \{p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i : 0 \leq p_i \leq 1\}$ be a normal cone with normal constant $\delta_i = \frac{1}{i}$. Let S_i , U_i , V_i and d_i , c_i , e_i be defined the same as in Example 3.1. Let $h_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{G}_i, Q_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$, and $\mathcal{N}_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the mappings defined by for all $p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $j \in \Lambda$,

$$h_i(p_i) = \frac{p_i}{13i}, \mathcal{A}_i(p_i) = \frac{p_i}{3i}, \mathcal{G}_i(p_i) = \frac{p_i}{7i}, Q_i(p_i) = \frac{p_i}{2i} \text{ and } T_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_j, \dots, p_m) = \frac{x_j}{30ij}.$$

It is easy to verify that h_i is a $\frac{1}{10i}$ -ordered compression and an $(\frac{1}{11i}, 1)$ -ordered restricted-accretive mapping, \mathcal{G}_i is $\frac{1}{9i}$ -ordered compression and $\frac{1}{5i}$ -ordered non-extended mapping, and \mathcal{A}_i is $\frac{1}{2i}$ -ordered compression mapping. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, \hat{p}_i, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_m) \\ &= \frac{p_i}{30i^2} \oplus \frac{\hat{p}_i}{30i^2} \leq \frac{1}{30i}(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{N}_i is a $\frac{1}{30i}$ -ordered compression mapping in the i^{th} argument.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_m) \\ & \leq \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_i, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i-1}, \hat{p}_i, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_m) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} (\mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_m) \oplus \mathcal{N}_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, \hat{p}_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_m)) \\ & = \frac{1}{30i^2}(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \frac{1}{30ij}(p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j) \leq \frac{1}{30i}(p_i \oplus \hat{p}_i) + \sum_{j \in \Lambda, i \neq j} \frac{1}{30ij}(p_j \oplus \hat{p}_j). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the mappings $\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{H}_i \times \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_i}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_i(h_i(p_i), p_i) = \{13i^3 h_i(p_i) + 4i^2 p_i\} = \{5i^2 p_i\}, \forall p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i.$$

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{B}_i is a $2i^2$ -ordered rectangular compression mapping and a $\frac{1}{i}$ -weak-ordered different comparison mapping. Additionally, it is clear that for $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{i}$, $[\mathcal{G}_i \oplus \lambda_i \mathcal{B}_i](\mathcal{H}_i) = \mathcal{H}_i$, for each $i \in \Lambda$. Hence, \mathcal{B}_i is an ordered $(2i^2, \frac{1}{i})$ -XOR-weak ANODD set-valued mapping.

The resolvent operator defined by (2.1) associated with \mathcal{B}_i is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}(p_i) = \frac{7i}{1 \oplus 35i^2} p_i, \quad \forall p_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \quad (4.32)$$

It is easy to examine that the resolvent operator defined above is a comparison, a single-valued mapping, and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i}$ is $\frac{55i^2}{11i-1}$ -ordered Lipschitz continuous.

For each $i \in \Lambda$, in particular $\omega_i = 2i$ and we define $\phi_i : \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) &= p_i + h_i(p_i) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{B}_i(\cdot, v_i)}^{\lambda_i} [\mathcal{A}_i(h_i(p_i)) \oplus \frac{\lambda_i}{\omega_i} (\mathcal{N}_i(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \odot \mathcal{G}_i(u_i))] \\ &= \left(\frac{13i+1}{13i} - \frac{7i}{35i^2-1} \left(\frac{60i^2-7i}{420i^5} - \frac{1}{39i^2} \right) \right) p_i. \end{aligned}$$

It also confirms that assumptions (4.2) and (4.14) are fulfilled, where $\beta_i = \frac{1}{10i}$, $\zeta_i = \frac{1}{11i}$, $\eta_i = 1$, $\tau_i = \frac{1}{2i}$, $\mu_i = \frac{1}{9i}$, $\vartheta_i = \frac{1}{5i}$, $\xi_i = 1$, $\kappa_i = \frac{1}{30i}$, $\kappa_{ij} = \frac{1}{30ij}$, $\alpha_i = 2i^2$, $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{i}$, $\omega_i = 2i$, $\delta_{S_i} = \frac{1}{4i}$, $\delta_{U_i} = \frac{1}{2i}$, $\delta_{V_i} = \frac{1}{6i}$ and $\theta_i = \frac{55i^2}{11i-1}$. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are satisfied. Therefore, $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is a fixed point of the mapping $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) = (\phi_1(\cdot), \phi_2(\cdot), \dots, \phi_p(\cdot))$ defined by (4.5) as well as the fixed point of $R = (Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m)$. By Lemma 3.1, $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is a common solution of the extended nonlinear system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions (3.2) and the fixed point of $R = (Q_1, Q_2, \cdot, Q_m)$.

5. Conclusions

In the draft, we had discussed an extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions and its corresponding extended system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations with very suitable binary structures in an ordered Banach space. We had looked upon the existence of the solution of an extended system of fuzzy ordered variational inclusions and its corresponding extended system of fuzzy ordered resolvent equations. On the basis of fixed point formulation, we formulated iterative schemes for the said system of problems corresponding the resolvent equations involving special binary operations and the fixed point problem. Furthermore, we discussed the existence of common solution and discuss the convergence of the sequence of iterates generated by the algorithm for a considered problems. At the end, we discussed some consequences of our main results. Notice that the benefits of such systems on future research may work upon the forward-backward splitting method based on the inertial technique for solving ordered inclusion problems and also develop some better versions of the algorithms for solving the ordered inclusion problems in real ordered product Banach spaces with XOR and XNOR operations.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Acknowledgments

Researchers would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University for funding publication of this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. H. Amann, On the number of solutions of nonlinear equations in ordered Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **11** (1972), 346–384. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(72\)90074-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(72)90074-2)
2. I. Ahmad, C. T. Pang, R. Ahmad, M. Ishtyak, System of Yosida inclusions involving XOR operator, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **18** (2017), 831–845.
3. I. Ahmad, M. Rahaman, R. Ahmad, I. Ali, Convergence analysis and stability of perturbed three-step iterative algorithm for generalized mixed ordered quasi-variational inclusion involving XOR operator, *Optimization*, **69** (2020), 821–845. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2019.1652910>
4. I. Ahmad, S. S. Irfan, M. Farid, P. Shukla, Nonlinear ordered variational inclusion problem involving XOR operation with fuzzy mappings, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2020** (2020), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-020-2308-z>
5. I. Ahmad, Three-step iterative algorithm with error terms of convergence and stability analysis for new NOMVIP in ordered Banach spaces, *Stat. Optim. Inform. Comput.*, **10** (2022), 439–456. <https://doi.org/10.19139/soic-2310-5070-990>
6. J. P. Aubin, *Optima and equilibria*, 2 Eds., Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1998.
7. B. D. Bella, An existence theorem for a class of inclusions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **13** (2000), 15–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659\(99\)00179-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659(99)00179-2)
8. F. E. Browder, Nonlinear variational inequalities and maximal monotone mappings in Banach spaces, *Math. Ann.*, **183** (1969), 213–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01351381>
9. S. S. Chang, Y. G. Zhu, On variational inequalities for fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **32** (1989), 359–367. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(89\)90268-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(89)90268-6)
10. L. C. Ceng, A subgradient-extragradient method for bilevel equilibrium problems with the constraints of variational inclusion systems and fixed point problems, *Commun. Optim. Theory*, **2021** (2021), 1–16.
11. S. Defermos, Traffic equilibrium and variational inequalities, *Transport. Sci.*, **14** (1980), 42–54. <https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.14.1.42>
12. X. P. Ding, Perturbed proximal point algorithms for generalized quasi variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **210** (1997), 88–101. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5370>
13. A. Dixit, D. R. Sahu, P. Gautam, T. Som, J. C. Yao, An accelerated forward-backward splitting algorithm for solving inclusion problems with applications to regression and link prediction problems, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.*, **5** (2021), 79–101. <https://doi.org/10.23952/jnva.5.2021.1.06>

14. Y. H. Du, Fixed points of increasing operators in ordered Banach spaces and applications, *Appl. Anal.*, **38** (1990), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036819008839957>
15. G. Fichera, Problemi elastostatici con vincoli unilaterali: il problema di Signorini con ambigue condizioni al contorno, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Sez. Ia*, **7** (1963–1964), 91–140.
16. A. Hassouni, A. Moudafi, A perturbed algorithm for variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **185** (1994), 706–712. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1277>
17. C. F. Hu, Solving variational inequalities in a fuzzy environment, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **249** (2000), 527–538. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.6905>
18. J. S. Jung, A general iterative algorithm for split variational inclusion problems and fixed point problems of a pseudocontractive mapping, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.*, **2022** (2022), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.23952/jnfa.2022.13>
19. H. G. Li, Approximation solution for generalized nonlinear ordered variational inequality and ordered equation in ordered Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal. Forum*, **13** (2008), 205–214.
20. H. G. Li, A nonlinear inclusion problem involving (α, λ) -NODM set-valued mappings in ordered Hilbert space, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **25** (2012), 1384–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.12.007>
21. H. G. Li, D. Qiu, Y. Zou, Characterizations of weak-ANODD set-valued mappings with applications to an approximate solution of GNMOQV inclusions involving \oplus operator in ordered Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013** (2013), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-241>
22. M. A. Noor, Variational inequalities for fuzzy mappings (III), *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **110** (2000), 101–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00131-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00131-6)
23. M. A. Noor, Three-step iterative algorithms for multivalued quasi variational inclusions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **255** (2001), 589–604. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.7298>
24. J. Y. Park, J. U. Jeong, A perturbed algorithm of variational inclusions for fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **115** (2000), 419–424. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(99\)00116-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(99)00116-5)
25. R. T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **14** (1976), 877–898. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0314056>
26. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65970-6>
27. M. J. Smith, The existence, uniqueness and stability of traffic equilibria, *Transport. Res. B Meth.*, **13** (1979), 295–304. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2615\(79\)90022-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2615(79)90022-5)
28. G. Stampacchia, Formes bilineaires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **258** (1964), 4413–4416.
29. F. H. Wang, A new iterative method for the split common fixed point problem in Hilbert spaces, *Optimization*, **66** (2017), 407–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2016.1274991>
30. Y. Q. Wang, X. L. Fang, J. L. Guan, T. H. Kim, On split null point and common fixed point problems for multivalued demicontractive mappings, *Optimization*, **70** (2021), 1121–1140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2020.1764952>

-
31. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control*, **8** (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
 32. L. J. Zhu, Y. H. Yao, Algorithms for approximating solutions of split variational inclusion and fixed-point problems, *Mathematics*, **11** (2023), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11030641>
 33. H. J. Zimmermann, *Fuzzy set theory—and its applications*, Dordrecht: Springer, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0646-0>



AIMS Press

© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)