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#### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of quasi-linear delay differential equations of fourth order. We first find new properties for a class of positive solutions of the studied equation, $\mathcal{N}_{a}$. As an extension of the approach taken in [1], we establish a new criterion that guarantees that $\mathcal{N}_{a}=\emptyset$. Then, we create a new oscillation criterion.
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## 1. Introduction

It is ideal to represent phenomena and real-world problems in numerous applied sciences using delay differential equations (DDEs), a type of functional differential equation, that is characterized by taking into account the temporal memory of events. In both pure and applied mathematics, physics, meteorology, engineering, and population dynamics, there are many applications for the study of
functional differential equations. The properties of these equations of different orders are a topic that is addressed by all of these sciences. For global existence and uniqueness theorems for differential equations, pure mathematics focuses on the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Applied mathematics, however, places a greater emphasis on the careful justification of the qualitative behavior of solutions (oscillation, periodicity, stability, global attractivity, Hopf bifurcation, control, synchronization, etc.) see [2-5].

Finding sufficient conditions to assure that all solutions of DDE oscillate is one of the main aims of oscillation theory. Ladde et al. [6] were among the first to outline oscillation theory, covered the work up until 1984. The focus of this book is on how divergent arguments affect the oscillation of solutions. The book by Gyori and Ladas [7], which made significant contributions to the development of linearized oscillation theory and the relationship between the distribution of the roots of characteristic equations and the oscillation of all solutions, is one of the key works in the field of oscillation theory.

The deflection of buckling beams with constant or changing cross-sections, electromagnetic waves, three-layer beams, gravity-driven flows, etc., are only a few examples of the many disciplines of applied mathematics and physics from which the fourth-order differential equations are formed. Due to its widespread use in the study of physical sciences, mechanics, radio technology, lossless high-speed computer networks, control systems, life sciences, and population growth, the oscillation theory of fourth-order differential equations has recently attracted a lot of attention, see [8-10].

In recent years, oscillation theory has received significant attention from researchers who have conducted various studies to understand the oscillation behavior of functional differential equations of different orders. This area of research continues to be active, with new findings emerging frequently. Specifically, when investigating the oscillatory behavior of functional differential equations, the second-order equations received the most attention from researchers [11-19], followed by the third-order equations [20,21], whereas the fourth-order and higher-order differential equations received comparatively less attention [22,23]. Investigation of the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the fourth-order quasi-linear DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0, t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the main topic of this paper, where we assume the following constraints during the study:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \alpha>0$ is a ratio of two odd integers, $a \in \mathbf{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), q \in \mathbf{C}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right)$, and $a^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \sigma \in \mathbf{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \sigma(t) \leq t, \sigma^{\prime}(t)>0$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$.

A function $u \in \mathbf{C}^{3}\left(\left[t_{*}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), t_{*} \geqslant t_{0}$, is said to be a solution of (1.1) if it has the property $a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha} \in$ $\mathbf{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{*}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and satisfies equation (1.1) for $t \geq t_{*}$. We consider only those solutions $u$ of (1.1) which satisfy $\sup \left\{|u(t)|: t \geqslant t_{1}\right\}>0$, for all $t_{1} \geq t_{*}$. A solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise, it is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all of its solutions are oscillatory.

In the next part of the introduction, we review some important results that dealt with the oscillation of DDEs of even orders.

Agarwal et al. [24] established criteria for oscillation of the $n$ th-order DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{(n-1)}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}+F(t, u(\sigma(t)))=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}, n$ is even, $F \in \mathbf{C}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and $\operatorname{sgn} F(t, u)=\operatorname{sgn} u$.

Theorem 1.1. [24, Corollary 2.1] If there exist $\rho, \mu \in \mathbf{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\mu(t) \leq \inf \{t, \sigma(t)\}, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t)=\infty, \mu^{\prime}(t)>0
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\rho(s) q(s)-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{\left(\rho(s) \mu^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty,
$$

then the $D D E$

$$
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|u(\sigma(t))|^{\alpha-1} u(\sigma(t))=0,
$$

is oscillatory.
Theorem 1.2. [24, Theoerem 2.3] If $F(t, u) \operatorname{sgn} u \geq q(t)|u|^{\alpha}$ for $u \neq 0$ and $\alpha>0$, and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha(n-1)} \int_{\gamma(t)}^{\infty} q(s) \mathrm{d} s>((n-1)!)^{\alpha},
$$

then (1.2) is oscillatory, where $\gamma(t):=\sup \left\{s \geq t_{0}: \sigma(s) \leq t\right\}$.
In both canonical

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} a^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and non-canonical cases, Baculikova et al. [25] studied the asymptotic and oscillatory properties of the $n$ th-order DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t)\left(u^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(u(\sigma(t)))=0, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u f(u)>0$ for $u \neq 0, f(u)$ is nondecreasing, and

$$
-f(-x y) \geq f(x y) \geq f(x) f(y), \text { for } x y>0 .
$$

Theorem 1.3. [25, Corollary 1] Assume that (1.3) holds, $f\left(u^{1 / \alpha}\right) / u \geq 1$ for $0<|u| \leq 1$, and for some $\delta \in(0,1)$,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} q(s) f\left(\frac{\delta}{(n-1)!} \frac{\sigma^{n-1}(s)}{r^{1 / \alpha}(\sigma(s))}\right) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} .
$$

Then, (1.4) is oscillatory.
Koplatadze et al. [1] established sufficient conditions for the DDE

$$
u^{(n)}(t)+q(t) u(\sigma(t))=0, n \geq 2
$$

to have Properties $A$ and $B$, and considered the odd and even cases for the order.
For neutral equations, Li and Rogovchenko [26] investigated the oscillatory behavior of the neutral DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(t)+p(t) u(\tau(t)))^{(n)}+q(t) u(\sigma(t))=0, n \geq 4 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

They derived two oscillation results which complement and improve the results in [27-29]. Baculikova and Dzurina [30] introduced comparison theorems for the oscillation of (1.5).

For second-order, recently, Baculikova [31] and Baculikova and Dzurina [32] extended the results in [1] to the non-canonical case of the DDE

$$
\left(a(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(u(\sigma(t)))=0,
$$

and the canonical case of the DDE

$$
\left(a(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+q(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0
$$

In this paper, in the canonical case, we begin by finding some monotonic and asymptotic properties of a class of positive solutions to the $\operatorname{DDE}$ (1.1). Then, as an extension of the results in [1], we deduce a new condition that excludes positive solutions in the class under study. Moreover, we introduce a criterion that guarantees the oscillation of all solutions of the studied equation.

## 2. Preliminary results

We begin with some useful lemmas concerning the monotonic properties of the nonoscillatory solutions of the studied equations. To simplify the presentation of the main results, we define the following functions: $\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t):=\max \left\{0, \rho^{\prime}(t)\right\}$,

$$
\eta_{0}(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s, \eta_{i}(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \eta_{i-1}(s) \mathrm{d} s, i=1,2
$$

and

$$
\widehat{q}(t):= \begin{cases}\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \eta_{0}^{-1}(\sigma(t)) q(t), & \text { for } \alpha \geq 1 \\ \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \eta_{0}^{-1}(t) q(t), & \text { for } \alpha<1 .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.1. [33, Lemma 2.2.3] Let $w \in \mathbf{C}^{n}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, $w^{(n)}$ be of fixed sign and not identically zero on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $w^{(n-1)}(t) w^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) \neq 0$, then there exists $t_{\mu} \in\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
w(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(m-1)!} t^{n-1}\left|w^{(n-1)}(t)\right|
$$

for every $\mu \in(0,1)$ and $t \geq t_{\mu}$.
Lemma 2.2. [34] Let $w \in \mathbf{C}^{m}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$, $w^{(i)}(t)>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, and $w^{(m+1)}(t) \leq 0$, eventually. Then, eventually, $w(t) / w^{\prime}(t) \geq \epsilon t / m$ for every $\epsilon \in(0,1)$.
Lemma 2.3. [35] Let $A>0$ and $B$ be real numbers. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \phi-A \phi^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{B^{\alpha+1}}{A^{\alpha}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then $u$ satisfies one of the following cases, eventually:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right): u>0, u^{\prime}>0, u^{\prime \prime}>0, u^{\prime \prime \prime}>0,\left(a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}<0, \\
& \left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right): u>0, u^{\prime}>0, u^{\prime \prime}<0, u^{\prime \prime \prime}>0,\left(a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}<0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notation 1. The class of all eventually positive solutions satisfying case $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, in Lemma 2.4, is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{a}$ or $\mathcal{N}_{b}$, respectively.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) q(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,1}\right) u \geqslant a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{2}$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,2}\right) u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}$ and $u / \eta_{2}$ are decreasing;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,3}\right) u \geqslant u^{\prime \prime} \eta_{2} / \eta_{0}$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,4}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) / \eta_{2}(t)=0$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,5}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime \prime}(t) / \eta_{0}(t)=0$.
Proof. ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,1}$ ) The monotonicity of $a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t) & \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} a^{1 / \alpha}(s) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(s) \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \geq a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \eta_{0}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating twice more from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
u^{\prime} \geq a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{1}
$$

and

$$
u \geq a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{2}
$$

( $\mathrm{B}_{1,2}$ ) From (2.3), we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{0}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{0}-u^{\prime \prime}}{a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0}^{2}} \leq 0
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}$ is decreasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t) \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\eta_{0}(s)} \eta_{0}(s) \mathrm{d} s \geq \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\eta_{0}(t)} \eta_{1}(t) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we deduce that

$$
\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{\eta_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{u^{\prime \prime} \eta_{1}-\eta_{0} u^{\prime}}{\eta_{1}^{2}} \leq 0 .
$$

Since $u^{\prime} / \eta_{1}$ is decreasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\eta_{1}(s)} \eta_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \geq \frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\eta_{1}(t)} \eta_{2}(t) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\left(\frac{u}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{u^{\prime} \eta_{2}-\eta_{1} u}{\eta_{2}^{2}} \leq 0
$$

( $\mathrm{B}_{1,3}$ ) From (2.4) and (2.5), we find

$$
u \geq \frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{0}} u^{\prime \prime} .
$$

$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,4}\right)$ Since $u / \eta_{2}$ is positive and decreasing, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) / \eta_{2}(t)=l_{1} \geqslant 0$. We claim that $l_{1}=0$. If not, then $u(t) / \eta_{2}(t) \geqslant l_{1}>0$ eventually. Integrating (1.1) from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(t_{1}\right)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha} & \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \frac{u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq l_{1}^{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.2). So that, $l_{1}=0$.
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,5}\right)$ Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}$ is positive and decreasing, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime \prime}(t) / \eta_{0}(t)=l_{2} \geqslant 0$. We claim that $l_{2}=0$. If not, then $u^{\prime \prime}(t) / \eta_{0}(t) \geqslant l_{2}>0$ eventually. Integrating (1.1) from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
a\left(t_{1}\right)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha} \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$
u \geq \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{0}} \eta_{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(t_{1}\right)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha} & \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\sigma(s) \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(s))\right)^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& \geq l_{2}^{\alpha} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(s) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.2). So that $l_{2}=0$. Hence, the proof of the lemma is complete.

Since $\eta_{0}$ is increasing, there exists $\lambda \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\eta_{0}(t)}{\eta_{0}(\sigma(t))} \geq \lambda \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$, and there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} a^{1 / \alpha}(t) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \eta_{0}(t) q(t) \geq \delta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2,1}\right) u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is decreasing;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2,2}\right) u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}$ is increasing, where $\delta_{0}=\delta^{1 / \alpha} \lambda^{\delta}$.

Proof. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) q(s) \mathrm{d} s & \geq \alpha \delta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\alpha \delta \ln \frac{\eta_{0}(t)}{\eta_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So (2.7) guarantees condition (2.2).
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2,1}\right)$ Note that ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,5}$ ) in Lemma 2.5 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating (1.1) from $t$ to $\infty$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left(a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=\alpha\left(a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha-1}
$$

Putting into (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} q(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\phi=a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a positive decreasing function and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{\alpha} q(t) \phi^{1-\alpha}(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1,1}\right)$ in Lemma 2.5 implies

$$
u \geq a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{2}=\phi \eta_{2}
$$

and so

$$
u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \geq \phi^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \geq \phi^{\alpha}(t) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) .
$$

Substituting the previous inequality into (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{\alpha} q(t) \eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \phi(t) \leq 0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.7), we obtain

$$
\phi^{\prime}+\frac{\delta}{a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0}} \phi \leq 0,
$$

which implies

$$
-\phi^{\prime} \eta_{0} \geq \delta \frac{\phi}{a^{1 / \alpha}}=\delta u^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

We present the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=(1-\delta) u^{\prime \prime}-a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $y$, we get

$$
y^{\prime}=-\delta u^{\prime \prime \prime}-\phi^{\prime} \eta_{0} \geq-\delta u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\delta u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 .
$$

Therefore, the function $y$ is increasing and has constant sign, eventually. If $y(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, then this implies that $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is increasing. Using this fact together with (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u / \eta_{2}$ is decreasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{u^{\alpha}(s)}{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,3}$ ) in Lemma 2.5, we find

$$
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}^{\alpha+1}(s)}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is increasing, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta+1}(s)}\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(s)}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(t)}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta+1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\eta_{0}(t)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the last inequality that $\left(u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$. This is a contradiction and we deduce that

$$
y=(1-\delta) u^{\prime \prime}-a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime} \geq 0
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0} u^{\prime \prime \prime}-(1-\delta) u^{\prime \prime}}{a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0}^{2-\delta}} \leq 0 .
$$

$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2,2}\right)$ From (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,3}$ ) in Lemma 2.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(s))\right)^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta}(\sigma(s))}\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(s))}\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is decreasing, then

$$
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta}(\sigma(s))} \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha}}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha(1-\delta)}(s)} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime}$ is increasing, then

$$
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}^{1+\alpha}(s)} \frac{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Using (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha \delta}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}^{1+\alpha}(s)} \lambda^{\alpha \delta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq \delta^{1 / \alpha} \lambda^{\delta} u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}^{1+\alpha}(s)} \lambda^{\alpha \delta} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
& \geq \delta^{1 / \alpha} \lambda^{\delta} \frac{\delta^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\eta_{0}(t)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \geq \delta_{0} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{0}}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{0}-\delta_{0} u^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the last inequality, we deduce that

$$
\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{\eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{0}-\delta_{0} u^{\prime \prime}}{a^{1 / \alpha} \eta_{0}^{1+\delta_{0}}} \geq 0
$$

which means that $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}$ is increasing. Thus, the proof is complete.
Lemma 2.7. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$, and (2.6) and (2.7) hold for some $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then, the DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\widehat{\kappa q}(t) z(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a positive solution, where

$$
\kappa:= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha}(1-\delta)^{1-\alpha} \lambda^{\delta(\alpha-1)} & \text { for } \alpha \geq 1 ; \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta^{-\alpha} \alpha & \left(1-\delta_{0}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \\ \text { for } \alpha<1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$. We have

$$
\left(a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=\alpha\left(a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha-1}
$$

Using this relation in (1.1), we get

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} q(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))=0
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,3}$ ) in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} q(t) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{\alpha} \leq 0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is decreasing, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime} \geq \frac{a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{1-\delta} \eta_{0} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1-\alpha}}{\eta_{0}^{1-\alpha}}(1-\delta)^{1-\alpha} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is decreasing, we find

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(t))} \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(t) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{1-\alpha}}{\left(\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(t))\right)^{1-\alpha}}\left(\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.20) into (2.19), we arrive at

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{(1-\delta)^{1-\alpha} \eta_{0}^{\delta(\alpha-1)}(t)}{\left(\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(t))\right)^{1-\alpha}}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{1-\alpha} .
$$

From (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha} \geq \frac{(1-\delta)^{1-\alpha} \lambda^{\delta(\alpha-1)}}{\eta_{0}^{1-\alpha}(\sigma(t))}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.21), we have

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{(1-\delta)^{1-\alpha} \lambda^{\delta(\alpha-1)}}{\alpha} \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}(\sigma(t))} q(t) u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0,
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\kappa_{1} \widehat{q}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0 .
$$

Letting $z:=u^{\prime \prime}$, we get that $z$ satisfies the linear differential inequality

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\kappa_{1} \widehat{q}(t) z(\sigma(t)) \leq 0
$$

Corollary 1 in [36] ensures that the corresponding $\operatorname{DDE}(2.16)$ has a positive solution.
For $\alpha<1$, from (2.9), we get

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q(t) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))=0
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1,3}$ ) in Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(s))\right)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q(t) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{\alpha} \leq 0 .
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime} / \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}$ is increasing, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}(\sigma(t))}\right)^{1-\alpha} \times \\
& \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))} \eta_{0}^{\alpha \delta_{0}}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} q(t) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t))\right)^{\alpha} \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{q(t)}{\eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}(1-\alpha)}(\sigma(t))} \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(s))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s & \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} \alpha \delta \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s) \eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} \alpha \delta \frac{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(\sigma(s))} \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}(s)} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s) \eta_{0}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \geq \alpha \delta \lambda^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(\delta_{0}-1\right)-1}(s)}{a^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\frac{\delta \lambda^{\alpha\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}}{1-\delta_{0}} \eta_{0}^{\alpha\left(\delta_{0}-1\right)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.22), we obtain

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\delta^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \lambda^{(1-\alpha)\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}}{\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}} q(t) \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))} \frac{\eta_{0}^{\left(\delta_{0}-1\right)(1-\alpha)}(t)}{\eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}(1-\alpha)}(\sigma(t))} u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0,
$$

which in view of (2.6) yields

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\delta^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \lambda}{\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}} \frac{\eta_{2}^{\alpha}(\sigma(t))}{\eta_{0}(t)} q(t) u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0,
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left(a^{1 / \alpha}(t) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\kappa_{2} \widehat{q}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0 .
$$

As in the case of $\alpha \geq 1$, we can complete the proof of this case. The proof of the lemma is complete.

## 3. Oscillatory criteria

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (2.6) and (2.7) hold for some $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\eta_{0}^{\delta-1}(\sigma(t)) \int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{\eta_{0}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{\delta-1}(\sigma(s))} \widehat{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\eta_{0}^{\delta}(\sigma(t)) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \frac{\widehat{q}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{\delta-1}(\sigma(s))} \mathrm{d} s+\eta_{0}^{1-\delta_{0}}(\sigma(t)) \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}(\sigma(s)) \widehat{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right\}>\frac{1}{\kappa}, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and there is a $\rho \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\rho(s) \int_{s}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} q(v)\left(\frac{\sigma(v)}{v}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} \mathrm{d} v\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho-\frac{\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, then (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume the contrary that $u$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). From Lemma 2.4, $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$ or $u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$.

Assume first that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{a}$. It follows from Lemma 2.7, Eq (2.16) has a positive solution. An integration of (2.16) from $t$ to $\infty$ yields

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{a^{1 / \alpha}(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Integrating once more from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t) & \geq \kappa \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \varrho \\
& =\kappa \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{a^{1 / \alpha}(\varrho)}\left(\int_{\varrho}^{t} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \varrho+\int_{t}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \varrho\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
z(t) \geq \kappa \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \eta_{0}(s) \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s+\kappa \eta_{0}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(\sigma(t)) \geq & \kappa \int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma(t)} \eta_{0}(s) \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s+\kappa \eta_{0}(\sigma(t)) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
\geq & \kappa \int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma(t)} \eta_{0}(s) \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s+\kappa \eta_{0}(\sigma(t)) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\kappa \eta_{0}(\sigma(t)) \int_{t}^{\infty} \widehat{q}(s) z(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the facts that $z / \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}$ is decreasing and $z / \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}$ is increasing, we arrive at

$$
\frac{1}{\kappa} \geq \frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(t))} \int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma(t)} \frac{\eta_{0}(s)}{\eta_{0}^{\delta-1}(\sigma(s))} \widehat{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\eta_{0}^{\delta}(\sigma(t)) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \eta_{0}^{1-\delta}(\sigma(s)) \widehat{q}(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}-1}(\sigma(t))} \int_{t}^{\infty} \eta_{0}^{\delta_{0}}(\sigma(s)) \widehat{q}(s) z \mathrm{~d} s
$$

This is a contradiction.
Assume now that $u \in \mathcal{N}_{b}$. Integrating (1.1) from $t$ to $\infty$ and using the fact that $\left(a\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a(t)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}=-\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) u^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2 , we find $u \geq \epsilon t u^{\prime}$ for all $\epsilon \in(0,1)$. Integrating this inequality from $\sigma$ to $t$, we get

$$
\frac{u(\sigma(t))}{u(t)} \geq\left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)^{1 / \epsilon}
$$

Therefore, (3.3) becomes

$$
a(t)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} u(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Since $u^{\prime}(t)>0$, then

$$
a(t)\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \geq u^{\alpha}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} u(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

or equivalently

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq u(t)\left(\frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

Integrating this inequality from $t$ to $\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq-u(t) \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, define

$$
w:=\rho \frac{u^{\prime}}{u} .
$$

Then, $w(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime} & =\rho^{\prime} \frac{u^{\prime}}{u}+\rho \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u}-\rho \frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{u^{2}} \\
& =\rho \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho} w-\frac{1}{\rho} w^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-\rho(t) \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho+\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{1}{\rho(t)} w^{2}(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.3 with $B=\rho_{+}^{\prime} / \rho$, and $A=1 / \rho$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\rho_{+}^{\prime}}{\rho} w-\frac{1}{\rho} w^{2} \leq \frac{\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 \rho}
$$

Consequently, (3.5) leads to

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-\rho(t) \int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} q(s)\left(\frac{\sigma(s)}{s}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} \mathrm{d} s\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho+\frac{\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho(t)} .
$$

Integrating this inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\rho(s) \int_{s}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a(\varrho)} \int_{\varrho}^{\infty} q(v)\left(\frac{\sigma(v)}{v}\right)^{\alpha / \epsilon} \mathrm{d} v\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} \varrho-\frac{\left(\rho_{+}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq w\left(t_{1}\right),
$$

which contradicts (3.2). Hence, the proof of this theorem is complete.
Example 3.1. Consider the DDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{\gamma}\left(u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{q_{0}}{t^{3 \alpha-\gamma+1}} u^{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{0} t\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma<\alpha, \sigma_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $q_{0}>0$. By comparing (1.1) and (3.6) we see that $a(t)=t^{\gamma}, \sigma(t)=\sigma_{0} t$. Then
$\eta_{0}(t)=\frac{t^{1-\gamma / \alpha}}{1-\gamma / \alpha}, \eta_{1}(t)=\frac{t^{2-\gamma / \alpha}}{(1-\gamma / \alpha)(2-\gamma / \alpha)}, \eta_{2}(t)=\frac{t^{3-\gamma / \alpha}}{(1-\gamma / \alpha)(2-\gamma / \alpha)(3-\gamma / \alpha)}, q(t)=\frac{q_{0}}{t^{3} \alpha-\gamma+1}, \lambda=\frac{\eta_{0}(t)}{\eta_{0}(\sigma(t))}=\sigma_{0}^{\gamma / \alpha-1}$, $\delta=\frac{\sigma_{0}^{3 \alpha-\gamma} q_{0}}{\alpha(1-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha+1}(2-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha}(3-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha}}, \delta_{0}=\delta^{1 / \alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}}\right)^{\delta(1-\gamma / \alpha)}$, and condition (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q_{0}}{1-\delta} \sigma_{0}^{3 \alpha-\gamma-\delta(1-\gamma / \alpha)}+\frac{q_{0}}{\delta} \sigma_{0}^{3 \alpha-\gamma-\delta(1-\gamma / \alpha)}\left(1-\sigma_{0}^{\delta(1-\gamma / \alpha)}\right)+\frac{q_{0}}{1-\delta_{0}} \sigma_{0}^{3 \alpha-\gamma}>\frac{\theta}{\kappa_{1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta=(1-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha+1}(2-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha}(3-\gamma / \alpha)^{\alpha} .
$$

Also, condition (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 is met where $\rho(t)=t^{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}>\frac{\alpha^{2 \alpha}(3 \alpha-\gamma)}{\left(2 \sigma_{0}\right)^{\alpha}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using Theorem 3.1, Eq (3.6) with $\alpha>1$ is oscillatory provided that (3.7) and (3.8) are satisfied. Setting values for $\gamma$ and $\alpha$, the above criteria generated the oscillatory results of $E q$ (3.6).

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the asymptotic properties of positive solutions for fourth-order quasi-linear DDEs in the canonical case. There are new conditions that ensure that Eq (1.1) has no positive solutions. In addition, we prove an important theorem that ensures all solutions of Eq (1.1) are oscillatory if certain criteria are met. Finally, we provided an example that supports our research and illustrates the significance of the results. In our future study, we will try to generalize these criteria to include the $n$-th order DDE.
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