



Research article

Differences weighted composition operators acting between kind of weighted Bergman-type spaces and the Bers-type space -I-

Aydah Mohammed Ayed Al-Ahmadi*

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Jouf University, Saudi Arabia

* Correspondence: Email: amahmadi@ju.edu.sa.

Abstract: Let O(D) denote the class of all analytic or holomorphic functions on the open unit disk D of C. Let phi and psi are an analytic self-maps of D and u, v in O(D). The difference of two weighted composition operators is defined by

T_{phi,psi}f(z) := (W_{phi,u}f - W_{psi,v}f)(z) = u(z)(f o phi)(z) - v(z)(f o psi)(z), f in O(D) and z in D.

The boundedness and compactness of the differences of two weighted composition operators from H_alpha^infinity(D) spaces into N_K(D) spaces (resp. from N_K(D) into H_alpha^infinity(D)) are investigate in this paper.

Keywords: weighted composition operator; compact difference bounded operator; weighted analytic space

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B38

1. Introduction

Let D = {z in C / |z| < 1 } be the unit disk in the complex space. O(D) denotes the space of functions that are holomorphic in D and H^infinity(D) denotes the Banach space of bounded holomorphic functions on D with the norm ||f||_infinity = sup_{z in D} |f(z)|. For a holomorphic self-mapping phi of D (phi(D) subset D) and a holomorphic function u: D -> C, the pair (u, phi) induces the linear operator W_{phi,u}: O(D) -> O(D) defined by

W_{phi,u}(f)(z) = u(z)(f o phi(z)), f in O(D), z in D.

W_{phi,u} which is called weighted composition operator with symbols u and phi. Observe that W_{phi,u}(f) = M_u C_phi(f), where M_u(f) = u.f, is the multiplication operator with symbol u, and C_phi(f) = f o phi, is the composition operator with symbol phi.

If u = 1, then W_{phi,u} = C_phi, and if phi is the identity (phi(z) = z), then W_{phi,u} = M_u.

During the past few decades, composition operators and weighted composition operators have been studied extensively on spaces of holomorphic functions on various domains in \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{C}^n . We refer the readers to the monographs [1, 3, 5, 13, 18, 20, 23] for detailed information and the references therein.

For $a \in \mathbb{D}$ the Möbius transformation $\varphi_a(z)$ is defined by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For each $a \in \mathbb{D}$, the Green's function with logarithmic singularity at $a \in \mathbb{D}$ is denoted by

$$g(z, a) = \log \left(\frac{1}{|\varphi_a(z)|} \right).$$

The pseudohyperbolic distance $\rho: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is defined by

$$\rho(a, z) = |\varphi_a(z)| = \left| \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right| \text{ for } a, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We will denote by

$$\rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) = \left| \frac{\varphi(z) - \psi(z)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\psi(z)} \right|.$$

It is easy to check that $\rho(a, z)$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\frac{1 - \rho(a, z)}{1 + \rho(a, z)} \leq \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \leq \frac{1 + \rho(a, z)}{1 - \rho(a, z)}, \quad z, a \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For $0 < \alpha < \infty$, recall that an $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to the α -Bloch space \mathcal{B}^α if

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f'(z)|) < \infty.$$

With the norm $\|f\| = |f(0)| + \mathcal{B}_\alpha(f)$, \mathcal{B}^α is a Banach space. When $\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B}$ is the well-known Bloch space. For more information on Bloch spaces we refer the interested reader to [19]. Let \mathcal{B}_0^α be the space which consists of all $f \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 0} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f'(z)| = 0.$$

This space is called the little Bloch space. See [19] for more information on Bloch spaces.

Let $\alpha \geq 0$. The Bers-type space, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, is a Banach space defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) / \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)|) < \infty \},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{(\alpha, 0)}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) / \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} ((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)|) = 0 \}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} ((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)|) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}).$$

Note that, $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$.

When $\alpha = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_0^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is just the bounded analytic function space $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. For more information about several studied on Bers-type spaces we refer to [3, 20].

Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be right continuous and nondecreasing function. The authors Ahmed and Bakhit in [7] introduced the $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ spaces as follows:

The analytic $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ -space is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) / \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 K(g(z, a)) dA(z) < \infty \},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{(K, 0)}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) / \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 K(g(z, a)) dA(z) = 0 \}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})}^2 = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 K(g(z, a)) dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}).$$

Remark 1.1. We make the following observations:

(1) If $K(t) = t^p$, then $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbb{D})$, since $g(z, a) \approx (1 - |\varphi_a|^2)$.

(2) If $K(t) \equiv 1$, then $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{A}^2$ (the Bergman space), where for $0 < p < \infty$, the Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p is the set of analytic functions f in the unit disk \mathbb{D} with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}^p = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty.$$

Remark 1.2. In the study of the space $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$, the authors in [7] assume that the following condition

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^2}{(1-tr^2)^3} K\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right) r dr < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

is satisfied, so that the $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ space is not trivial.

Lemma 1.1. ([8, Lemma 2.2]) Assume that the function K satisfies (1.1). For each $w \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$h_w(z) = \frac{1 - |w|^2}{(1 - \overline{w}z)^2},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then h_w satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $h_w \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$.
- (ii) $\|h_w\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim 1$.
- (iii) $\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{D}} \|h_\omega\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$.

Several important properties of the $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces and $H_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ spaces and also of weighted composition operators from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces to the spaces $H_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and from $H_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces to $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ have been characterized in [7, 8, 15].

We cite here main results from [15] for the readers' convenience.

Theorem 1.1. ([15, 22]) Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, be a nondecreasing function and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . For $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$. The weighted composition operator

$$W_{\varphi, u} := uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$$

(1) is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha}} \right) < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

(2) is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha}} \right) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.3. When $K(t) = t^p$, Theorem 1.1 coincides with [22, Theorem 3, Corollary 2].

Theorem 1.2. ([15, 22]) Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, be a nondecreasing function and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . For $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following properties hold:

(1) The weighted composition operator $W_{\varphi, u} = uC_{\varphi} : \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.

(2) u and φ satisfy

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) dA(z) < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

(3) u and φ satisfy

$$\sup_{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(I)} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(1 - z) dA(z) < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1.4. When $K(t) = t^p$, Theorem 1.2 coincides with [22, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1.3. ([15, 22]) $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, be a nondecreasing function and φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . For $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$, then the following are equivalent:

(i) $W_{\varphi, u} : \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ is compact operator.

(ii) u and φ satisfy

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}_r} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) dA(z) = 0.$$

(iii) u and φ satisfy

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(I) \cap \mathbb{D}_r} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(1 - |z|) dA(z) = 0.$$

Remark 1.5. When $K(t) = t^p$, Theorem 1.3 coincides with [22, Corollary 1].

Lemma 1.2. ([7, Proposition 2.1]) For each right continuous and nondecreasing function $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, the following inclusion holds:

$$\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{H}_1^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Our goal here is to investigate the boundedness and compactness of the difference of two weighted composition operators acting from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces to $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces and from $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces to $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ -spaces. To this end we introduce analytic maps $\varphi, \psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $u, v: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and look at the operator

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} := W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v} = uC_{\varphi} - vC_{\psi}.$$

2. Main results

2.1. Differences of weighted composition operators from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ into $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$

In this section we study the boundedness and compactness of two differences weighted composition operators

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} := W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}).$$

In fact, the following results corresponds to the results obtained in [2, 4, 6, 9–12, 16, 21].

We are now ready to prove a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for the boundedness of $T_{\varphi, \psi} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

For that purpose, consider the following three conditions:

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} \right) < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right) < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} - \frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right| < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

In order to prove the main results of this paper, the following auxiliary lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.1. ([17, Lemma 2.3]) For $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\left| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha f(z) - (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha f(w) \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \rho(z, w).$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $K : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function, φ and ψ are holomorphic self-maps from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} . For $u, v \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $T_{\varphi, \psi} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.
- (2) φ, ψ and u, v satisfy the conditions (2.1) and (2.3).
- (3) φ, ψ and u, v satisfy the conditions (2.2) and (2.3).

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that the functions φ, ψ and u, v satisfy the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), and we need to prove that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded. In fact, let $f \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\varphi, \psi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |T_{\varphi, \psi}f(z)| \right) \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |(uC_\varphi - vC_\psi)f(z)| \right) \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| u(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha f(\varphi(z)) - v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha f(\psi(z)) \right| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha f(\varphi(z)) \left[\frac{u(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} - \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \left[(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\alpha f(\varphi(z)) - (1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^\alpha f(\psi(z)) \right] \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left\{ \left| (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) f(\varphi(z)) \right| \left| \left[\frac{u(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} - \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right] \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right| \left| (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) f(\varphi(z)) - (1 - |\psi(z)|^2) f(\psi(z)) \right| \right\} \\
&\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{u(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} - \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right| \\
&\quad + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right) 2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking in to account that $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{H}_1^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ([7, Proposition 2.1]), it follows from conditions (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\|T_{\varphi, \psi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}),$$

where C is a positive constant. Therefore $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded form $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ as required.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Observe that

$$\left(\frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right) \leq \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} \right) + \left| \frac{u(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} - \frac{v(z)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right|,$$

which implies that (2.3) holds.

Finally we show the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and prove that (2.1) and (2.3) are hold. Since $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded, we have for all $f \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$

$$\|T_{\varphi, \psi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})}.$$

For each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, set

$$h_\omega(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}z)^2}$$

be the function test in Lemma 1.1.

By taking into account Lemma 1.1, we have $h_\omega \in \mathcal{N}_K$ and $\|h_\omega\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim 1$.

Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$, and consider the function g_ω defined by

$$g_\omega(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}z)^2} \times \frac{\varphi_{\psi(\omega)}(z)}{\varphi_{\psi(\omega)}(\varphi(\omega))},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We have

$$\|g_\omega\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|h_\omega\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Thus $g_\omega \in \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$. Note that

$$g_\omega(\varphi(\omega)) = h_\omega(\varphi(\omega)) \text{ and } g_\omega(\psi(\omega)) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

From the boundeness of

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} = W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}),$$

it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \|T_{\varphi, \psi} g_{\omega}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left((1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |u(z)g_{\omega}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)g_{\omega}(\psi(z))| \right) \\ &\geq \left((1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} |u(\omega)g_{\omega}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)g_{\omega}(\psi(\omega))| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} |u(\omega)| (1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2)}{(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} |u(\omega)|}{1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition (2.1) holds. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \|T_{\varphi, \psi}(h_{\omega})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} &\geq (1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha} |u(\omega)h_{\omega}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)h_{\omega}(\psi(\omega))| \\ &\geq |A(\omega) + B(\omega)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(\omega) = \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} u(\omega)}{(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2)^2} - \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} v(\omega)}{(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^2)^2}$$

and

$$B(\omega) = \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\alpha} u(\omega)}{(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^2)^2} \left[(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha} u(\omega)h_{\omega}(\varphi(\omega)) - (1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha} v(\omega)h_{\omega}(\psi(\omega)) \right].$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 and the condition (2.1) we deduce that $|B(\omega)| < \infty$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$, which implies that $|A(\omega)| < \infty$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus, the condition (2.3) is proved. \square

Remark 2.1. *the statement (1) of Theorem 1.1 follows easily for the simple case $v \equiv 0$ of Theorem 2.1.*

Corollary 2.1. *Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function, φ and ψ are holomorphic self-maps from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} . For $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\alpha > 0$, then, $uC_{\varphi} - uC_{\psi}: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right) < \infty \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |u(z)|}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right) < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Assume that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded. Then by letting $v = u$ in Theorem 2.1 it follows that the conditions (2.5) and (2.6) hold.

Conversely, assume that the conditions (2.5) and (2.6) hold. To prove that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded, it suffices in view of Theorem 2.1 to prove that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} - \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |u(z)|}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right) < \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} - \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right| &= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \left| 1 - \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \left| 1 - \frac{1 + \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))}{1 - \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \frac{2\rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))}{1 - \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we obtain the boundedness of $uC_\varphi - uC_\psi: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. The proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Remark 2.2. *There exist non-bounded weighted composition operators such that their difference is bounded.*

In the following example we give operators such that neither $W_{\varphi, u}$, $W_{\psi, v}$ and $T_{\varphi, \psi} = W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v}$ are bounded from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

Example 2.1. *By choosing the maps u, v, φ and ψ as follows:*

$$u(z) = v(z) \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = \psi(z) = z, \quad 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}.$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} \right) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right) = \infty.$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, it follows that neither $W_{\varphi, u}: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ nor $W_{\psi, v}: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. However from condition (2.1) or (2.2) it is clear that the difference operator $W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v}: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is not bounded.

The following theorem characterize when the difference weighted composition operators $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ acting between weighted analytic type spaces $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ are compact.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi, \psi: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be two holomorphic functions, $u, v: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ two holomorphic functions. Let further $W_{\varphi, u}$ and $W_{\psi, v}$ be two weighted composition operators acting from $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ into $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then the operators $T_{\varphi, \psi} = W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v}$ is compact if and only if the following conditions hold.*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \left(\frac{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} \right) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{|\psi(z)| > r} \left(\frac{|v(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{\min\{|\varphi(z)|, |\psi(z)|\} > r} (\Lambda(z)) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Lambda(z) = |u(z) - v(z)| \min \left[\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}, \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)} \right].$$

Proof. We omit the proof, since the techniques are similar to those of [14, Theorem 2.4]. \square

Remark 2.3. The statement (2) of Theorem 1.1 follows easily for the simple case $v \equiv 0$ of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.2. Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function, φ and ψ are holomorphic self-maps from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} . For $u \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\alpha > 0$, then, $uC_\varphi - uC_\psi: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is compact if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \right) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{|\psi(z)| > r} \left(\frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |u(z)|}{1 - |\psi(z)|^2} \right) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Assume that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is compact. Then by letting $v = u$ in Theorem 2.2 it follows that the conditions (2.10) and (2.11) hold.

Conversely, assume that the conditions (2.10) and (2.11) hold. To prove that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is compact, it suffices in view of Theorem 2.2 to prove that the condition (2.9) is holds. Since $u \equiv v$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{\min\{|\varphi(z)|, |\psi(z)|\} > r} (\Lambda(z)) = 0.$$

Using Theorem 2.2, we obtain the compactness of $uC_\varphi - uC_\psi: \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. The proof of the corollary is complete. \square

2.2. Differences of weighted composition operators from $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ into $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$

In this section, we investigate the boundedness of differences weighted composition operators

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} := W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v}: \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}).$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function, φ and ψ are holomorphic self-maps from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} . For $u, v \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the operator $T_{\varphi, \psi}: \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if the following condition is satisfies $\max(I, J) < \infty$, where

$$I = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) A(z) \right)$$

and

$$J = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|v(z)|^2}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) dA(z) \right).$$

Proof. Assume that the condition in the statement (2) is holds and let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\varphi, \psi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})} &= \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |T_{\varphi, \psi}(f)(z)|^2 K(g(z, a)) dz \\ &= \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |uT_\varphi(f)(z) - vC_\psi f(z)|^2 K(g(z, a)) dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |u(z)f(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f(\psi(z))|^2 K(g(z, a)) dz \\
&\leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|u(z)f(\varphi(z))| + |v(z)f(\psi(z))| \right)^2 K(g(z, a)) dz \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(|u(z)f(\varphi(z))|^2 + |v(z)f(\psi(z))|^2 \right) K(g(z, a)) dA(z) \\
&= 2 \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |u(z)f(\varphi(z))|^2 K(g(z, a)) dz + 2 \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |v(z)f(\psi(z))|^2 K(g(z, a)) dA(z) \\
&= 2 \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha} |f(\varphi(z))|^2 K(g(z, a)) dA(z) \\
&\quad + 2 \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|v(z)|^2}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} (1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha} |f(\psi(z))|^2 K(g(z, a)) dz \\
&\leq 2 \|f\|_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} \left(\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) dz \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \|f\|_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} \left(\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|v(z)|^2}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{2\alpha}} K(g(z, a)) dA(z) \right) \\
&\leq 2 \|f\|_{H_{\alpha, \omega}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} I + 2 \|f\|_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} J \\
&\leq 2 \|f\|_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} (I + J) \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ is bounded form $H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D})$. \square

Finally, it seems to be natural to enquire a necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and compactness of difference weighted composition operator

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} : H_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}).$$

So it is left as an open question.

3. Conclusions

Firstly, the boundedness and compactness of two differences weighted composition operators

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} := W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v} : \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$$

are obtained. Secondly, we have investigated the boundedness of differences weighted composition operators

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} := W_{\varphi, u} - W_{\psi, v} : \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_K(\mathbb{D}).$$

Acknowledgments

The author extends his appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at Jouf University for funding this work through research grant No. (DSR-2020-05-2575).

We would like to thank reviewers for taking the necessary time and effort to review the manuscript. We sincerely appreciate all valuable comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the quality of the article.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. R. F. Allen, F. Colonna, Weighted composition operators from \mathbf{H}_α to the Bloch space of a bounded homogeneous domain, *Integr. Equations Oper. Theory*, **66** (2010), 21–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-009-1736-4>
2. J. Bonet, M. Lindström, E. Wolf, Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **84** (2008), 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S144678870800013X>
3. P. S. Bourdon, J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson, Compact composition operators on BMOA, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **351** (1999), 2183–2169.
4. B. R. Choe, H. Koo, I. Park, Compact differences of composition operators over polydisks, *Integr. Equations Oper. Theory*, **73** (2013), 57–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-012-1962-z>
5. C. C. Cowen, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Routledge Press, 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315139920>
6. X. H. Fu, Differences of weighted composition operator from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **5** (2013), 65–70.
7. A. El-Sayed Ahmed, M. A. Bakhit, *Operator algebras, operator theory and applications*, Birkhuser Verlag Publisher, 2009, 121–138.
8. A. El-Sayed Ahmed, M. A. Bakhit, Hadamard products and \mathcal{N}_K spaces, *Math. Comput. Modell.*, **51** (2010), 33–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2009.08.037>
9. K. Heller, B. D. Maccluer, R. J. Weir, Compact differences of composition operators in several variables, *Integr. Equations Oper. Theory*, **69** (2011), 419–428.
10. T. Hosokawa, S. Ohno, Differences of weighted composition operators from H^∞ to Bloch space, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **16** (2012), 2093–2105. <https://doi.org/10.11650/twj/1500406842>
11. T. Hosokawa, Differences of weighted composition operators on the Bloch spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **3** (2009), 847. <https://doi.org/10.11650/twj/1500406842>
12. T. Hosokawa, S. Ohno, Differences of composition operators on the Bloch spaces, *J. Oper. Theory*, **57** (2007), 229–242.
13. L. Y. Jiang, C. H. Ouyang, Compact differences of composition operators on holomorphic function spaces in the unit ball, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **31** (2011), 1679–1693. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(11\)60353-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(11)60353-6)
14. B. Hu, H. K. Le, Compact difference of weighted composition operators on \mathcal{N}_p spaces in the ball, *Rev. Rom. Math. Pure Appl.*, **60** (2015), 101–116.
15. A. E. Shammahy, Weighted composition operators acting between kind of weighted Bergman-type spaces and the Bers-type space, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **8** (2014), 496–499. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1091212>

16. M. Lindstrom, E. Wolf, Essential norm of the difference of weighted composition operators, *Monatsh. Math.*, **153** (2008), 133–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-007-0493-1>
17. P. J. Nieminen, Compact differences of composition operators on Bloch and Lipschitz spaces, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, **7** (2007), 325–344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03321648>
18. J. H. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer Verlag, 1993.
19. R. H. Zhao, On α -Bloch functions and VMOA, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **3** (1996), 349–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(17\)30811-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(17)30811-1)
20. K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, Marcel Dekker, 2007
21. X. L. Zhu, W. F. Yang, Differences of composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch spaces, *Filomat*, **28** (2014), 1935–1941. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1409935Z>
22. S. Ueki, Weighted composition operators acting between the \mathcal{N}_p -space and the weighted-type space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty$, *Indagationes Math.*, **23** (2012), 243–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2011.11.006>
23. E. Wolf, Weighted composition operators between weighted Bloch type spaces, *Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liège*, **80** (2011), 806–816.



AIMS Press

© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)