



Research article

Application of subordination and superordination for multivalent analytic functions associated with differintegral operator

Ekram E. Ali^{1,2,*}, Rabha M. El-Ashwah³ and R. Sidaoui¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 81451, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt

* Correspondence: Email: e.ahmad@uoh.edu.sa, ekram_008eg@yahoo.com.

Abstract: The results from this paper are related to the geometric function theory. In order to obtain them, we use the technique based on the properties of the differential subordination and superordination one of the newest techniques used in this field, we obtain some differential subordination and superordination results for multivalent functions defined by differintegral operator with j-derivatives J_p(v, rho; l)f(z) for l > 0, v, rho in R, such that (rho - j) >= 0, v > -lp, (p in N) in the open unit disk U. Differential sandwich result is also obtained. Also, the results are followed by some special cases and counter examples.

Keywords: analytic function; multivalent functions; higher order derivatives; subordination; superordination

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

Let H(U) be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc U = {z in C : |z| < 1} and let H[a, v] be the subclass of H(U) including form-specific functions

f(z) = a + a_v z^v + a_{v+1} z^{v+1} + ... (a in C),

we denote by H = H[1, 1].

Also, A(p) should denote the class of multivalent analytic functions in U, with the power series expansion of the type:

f(z) = z^p + sum_{v=p+1}^inf a_v z^v (p in N = {1, 2, 3, ..}). (1.1)

Upon differentiating j -times for each one of the (1.1) we obtain:

$$f^{(j)}(z) = \delta(p, j)z^{p-j} + \sum_{v=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(v, j)a_v z^{v-j} \quad z \in U, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\delta(p, j) = \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, p \geq j).$$

Numerous mathematicians, for instance, have looked at higher order derivatives of multivalent functions (see [1, 3, 6, 9, 16, 27, 28, 31]).

For $f, h \in H$, the function f is subordinate to h or the function h is said to be superordinate to f in U and we write $f(z) < h(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function ω in U with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = h(\omega(z))$, $z \in U$. If h is univalent in U , then $f(z) < h(z)$ iff $f(0) = h(0)$ and $f(U) \subset h(U)$. (see [7, 21]).

In the concepts and common uses of fractional calculus (see, for example, [14, 15] see also [2]; the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\Re(\alpha) > 0$) is one of the most widely used operators (see [29]) given by:

$$(I_{0+}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-\mu)^{\alpha-1} f(\mu) d\mu \quad (x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0) \quad (1.3)$$

applying the well-known (Euler's) Gamma function $\Gamma(\alpha)$. The Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\Re(\alpha) > 0$) is an interesting alternative to the Riemann-Liouville operator I_{0+}^{α} , defined by:

$$(I_{0+; \sigma, \eta}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \mu^{\sigma(\eta+1)-1} (x^{\sigma} - \mu^{\sigma})^{\alpha-1} f(\mu) d\mu \quad (1.4)$$

$$(x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0),$$

which corresponds essentially to (1.3) when $\sigma - 1 = \eta = 0$, since

$$(I_{0+; 1, 0}^{\alpha} f)(x) = x^{-\alpha} (I_{0+}^{\alpha} f)(x) \quad (x > 0; \Re(\alpha) > 0).$$

Mainly motivated by the special case of the definition (1.4) when $x = \sigma = 1$, $\eta = \nu - 1$ and $\alpha = \rho - \nu$, here, we take a look at the integral operator $\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho, \mu)$ with $f \in A(p)$ by (see [11])

$$\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\rho + \ell p)}{\Gamma(\nu + \ell p)\Gamma(\rho - \nu)} \int_0^1 \mu^{\nu-1} (1-\mu)^{\rho-\nu-1} f(z\mu^{\ell}) d\mu$$

$$(\ell > 0; \nu, \rho \in \mathbb{R}; \rho > \nu > -\ell p; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Evaluating (Euler's) Gamma function by using the Eulerian Beta-function integral as following:

$$\mathbf{B}(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \mu^{\alpha-1} (1-\mu)^{\beta-1} d\mu & (\min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}'_0), \end{cases}$$

we readily find that

$$\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p + \frac{\Gamma(\rho+p\ell)}{\Gamma(\nu+p\ell)} \sum_{\nu=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+u\ell)}{\Gamma(\rho+u\ell)} a_\nu z^\nu & (\rho > \nu) \\ f(z) & (\rho = \nu). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

It is readily to obtain from (1.5) that

$$z(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))' = \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell} + p\right)(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu + 1, \rho; \ell)f(z)) - \frac{\nu}{\ell}(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)). \quad (1.6)$$

The integral operator $\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)$ should be noted as a generalization of several other integral operators previously discussed for example,

- (i) If we set $p = 1$, we get $\tilde{I}(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)$ defined by Raina and Sharma ([22] with $m = 0$);
- (ii) If we set $\nu = \beta, \rho = \beta + 1$ and $\ell = 1$, we obtain $\mathfrak{I}_p^\beta f(z)$ ($\beta > -p$) it was presented by Saitoh et al. [24];
- (iii) If we set $\nu = \beta, \rho = \alpha + \beta - \delta + 1, \ell = 1$, we obtain $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^{\alpha, \delta} f(z)$ ($\delta > 0; \alpha \geq \delta - 1; \beta > -p$) it was presented by Aouf et al. [4];
- (iv) If we put $\nu = \beta, \rho = \alpha + \beta, \ell = 1$, we get $\mathcal{Q}_{\beta, p}^\alpha f(z)$ ($\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -p$) it was investigated by Liu and Owa [18];
- (v) If we put $p = 1, \nu = \beta, \rho = \alpha + \beta, \ell = 1$, we obtain $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta, p}^\alpha f(z)$ ($\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -1$) it was introduced by Jung et al. [13];
- (vi) If we put $p = 1, \nu = \alpha - 1, \rho = \beta - 1, \ell = 1$, we obtain $L(\alpha, \beta)f(z)$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0, \mathbb{Z}_0 = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$) which was defined by Carlson and Shaffer [8];
- (vii) If we put $p = 1, \nu = \nu - 1, \rho = j, \ell = 1$ we obtain $I_{\nu, j} f(z)$ ($\nu > 0; j \geq -1$) it was investigated by Choi et al. [10];
- (viii) If we put $p = 1, \nu = \alpha, \rho = 0, \ell = 1$, we obtain $D^\alpha f(z)$ ($\alpha > -1$) which was defined by Ruscheweyh [23];
- (ix) If we put $p = 1, \nu = 1, \rho = m, \ell = 1$, we obtain $I_m f(z)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) which was introduced by Noor [21];
- (x) If we set $p = 1, \nu = \beta, \rho = \beta + 1, \ell = 1$ we obtain $\mathfrak{I}_\beta f(z)$ which was studied by Bernadi [5];
- (xi) If we set $p = 1, \nu = 1, \rho = 2, \ell = 1$ we get $\mathfrak{I} f(z)$ which was defined by Libera [17].

2. Key lemmas

We state various definition and lemmas which are essential to obtain our results.

Definition 1. ([20], Definition 2, p.817) We denote by \mathcal{Q} the set of the functions f that are holomorphic and univalent on $\bar{U} \setminus E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{\zeta : \zeta \in \partial U \text{ and } \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\},$$

and satisfy $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \setminus E(f)$.

Lemma 1. ([12]; see also ([19], Theorem 3.1.6, p.71)) Assume that $h(z)$ is convex (univalent) function in U with $h(0) = 1$, and let $\varphi(z) \in H$, is analytic in U . If

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z \varphi'(z) < h(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

where $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \geq 0$. Then

$$\varphi(z) < \Psi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt < h(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

and $\Psi(z)$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 2. ([26]; Lemma 2.2, p.3) Suppose that q is convex function in U and let $\psi \in C$ with $\kappa \in C^* = C \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\operatorname{Re} \frac{\psi}{\kappa} \right\}, \quad z \in U.$$

If $\lambda(z)$ is analytic in U , and

$$\psi \lambda(z) + \kappa z \lambda'(z) < \psi q(z) + \kappa z q'(z),$$

therefore $\lambda(z) < q(z)$, and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 3. ([20]; Theorem 8, p.822) Assume that q is convex univalent in U and suppose $\delta \in C$, with $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$. If $\lambda \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and $\lambda(z) + \delta z \lambda'(z)$ is univalent in U , then

$$q(z) + \delta z q'(z) < \lambda(z) + \delta z \lambda'(z),$$

implies

$$q(z) < \lambda(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

and q is the best subdominant.

For a, ϱ, c and $c (c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-)$ real or complex number the Gaussian hypergeometric function is given by

$${}_2F_1(a, \varrho; c; z) = 1 + \frac{a\varrho}{c} \cdot \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)\varrho(\varrho+1)}{c(c+1)} \cdot \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots$$

The previous series totally converges for $z \in U$ to a function analytical in U (see, for details, ([30], Chapter 14)) see also [19].

Lemma 4. For a, ϱ and $c (c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-)$, real or complex parameters,

$$\int_0^1 t^{\varrho-1} (1-t)^{c-\varrho-1} (1-zt)^{-x} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\varrho)\Gamma(c-a)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, \varrho; c; z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(\varrho) > 0); \quad (2.1)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, \varrho; c; z) = {}_2F_1(\varrho, a; c; z); \quad (2.2)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, \varrho; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-\varrho; c; \frac{z}{z-1}); \quad (2.3)$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; \frac{az}{az+1}) = \frac{(1+az) \ln(1+az)}{az}; \quad (2.4)$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 3; \frac{az}{az+1}) = \frac{2(1+az)}{az} \left(1 - \frac{\ln(1+az)}{az} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

3. Main results

Throughout the sequel, we assume unless otherwise indicated $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, $\delta > 0$, $\ell > 0$, $\nu, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu > -\ell p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\rho - j) \geq 0$. We shall now prove the subordination results stated below:

Theorem 1. Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.1)$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let define the function Φ_j by

$$\Phi_j(z) = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta + \alpha \frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu + 1, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^{\delta-1},$$

such that the powers are all the principal ones, i.e., $\log 1 = 0$. Whether

$$\Phi_j(z) < \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta \left(\frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz} \right)^r, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta p(z), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1 + Dz)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; \frac{Dz}{1 + Dz}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; -Cz) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

and $\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta p(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.3). Moreover, there are

$$\Re \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta > \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta \zeta, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.4)$$

where ζ is given by:

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1 - D)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell}; C) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.4) is the best possible.

Proof. Let

$$\phi(z) = \left(\frac{(p-j)! (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta, \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.5)$$

It is observed that the function $\phi(z) \in H$, which is analytic in U and $\phi(0) = 1$. Differentiating (3.5) with respect to z , applying the given equation, the hypothesis (3.2), and the knowing that

$$z(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j+1)} = \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell} + p\right)(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu + 1, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)} - \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell} + j\right)(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)} \quad (0 \leq j < p), \quad (3.6)$$

we get

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\frac{\delta(\nu+\ell p)}{\alpha\ell}} < \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}\right)^r = q(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

We can verify that the above equation $q(z)$ is analytic and convex in U as following

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) &= -1 + (1-r)\Re\left(\frac{1}{1+Cz}\right) + (1+r)\Re\left(\frac{1}{1+Dz}\right) \\ &> -1 + \frac{1-r}{1+|C|} + \frac{1+r}{1+|D|} \geq 0 \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, there will be

$$\phi(z) < p(z) = \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha\ell} z^{-\frac{\delta(\nu+\ell p)}{\alpha\ell}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\delta(\nu+\ell p)}{\alpha\ell}-1} \left(\frac{1+Ct}{1+Dt}\right)^r dt.$$

In order to calculate the integral, we define the integrand in the type

$$t^{\frac{\delta(\nu+\ell p)}{\alpha\ell}-1} \left(\frac{1+Ct}{1+Dt}\right)^r = t^{\frac{\delta(\nu+\ell p)}{\alpha\ell}-1} \left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{C-D}{C+CDt}\right)^r,$$

using Lemma 4 we obtain

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C}\right)^i (1+Dz)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha\ell}; \frac{Dz}{1+Dz}) (D \neq 0).$$

On the other hand if $D = 0$ we have

$$p(z) = {}_2F_1\left(-r, \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha\ell}; 1 + \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha\ell}; -Cz\right),$$

where the identities (2.1)–(2.3), were used after changing the variable, respectively. This proof the inequality (3.3).

Now, we'll verify it

$$\inf\{\Re p(z) : |z| < 1\} = p(-1). \quad (3.7)$$

Indeed, we have

$$\Re\left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}\right)^r \geq \left(\frac{1-C\sigma}{1-D\sigma}\right)^r \quad (|z| < \sigma < 1).$$

Setting

$$h(s, z) = \left(\frac{1+Cs z}{1+Ds z}\right)^r \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1; z \in U)$$

and

$$d\nu(s) = \frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell} s^{\frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell} - 1} ds$$

where $d\nu(s)$ is a positive measure on the closed interval $[0, 1]$, we get that

$$p(z) = \int_0^1 \hbar(s, z) d\nu(s),$$

so that

$$\Re p(z) \geq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1 - Cs\sigma}{1 - Ds\sigma} \right)^r d\nu(s) = p(-\sigma) \quad (|z| < \sigma < 1).$$

Now, taking $\sigma \rightarrow 1^-$ we get the result (3.7). The inequality (3.4) is the best possible since $\left[\frac{p!}{(\rho-j)!} \right]^\delta p(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.3). \square

If we choose $j = 1$ and $\alpha = \delta = 1$ in Theorem 1, we get:

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < r \leq 1$. If*

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu + 1, \rho; \ell)f(z))'}{z^{p-1}} < p \left(\frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz} \right)^r,$$

then

$$\Re \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))'}{z^{p-1}} \right) > p\zeta_1, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.8)$$

where ζ_1 is given by:

$$\zeta_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1-D)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{(\nu + \ell p)}{\ell}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{(\nu + \ell p)}{\ell}; 1 + \frac{(\nu + \ell p)}{\ell}; C) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.8) is the best possible.

If we choose $\nu = \rho = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 1, we get:

Corollary 2. *Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and as $f \in A(p)$ assume that*

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let define the function Φ_j by

$$\Phi_j(z) = \left[1 - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{j}{p} \right) \right] \left(\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta + \alpha \left(\frac{zf^{(j+1)}(z)}{pf^{(j)}(z)} \right) \left(\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta, \quad (3.9)$$

such that the powers are all the principal ones, i.e., $\log 1=0$. If

$$\Phi_j(z) < \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r,$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta p_1(z), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$p_1(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1+Dz)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; \frac{Dz}{1+Dz}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; -Cz) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

and $\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta p_1(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.10). Moreover, there are

$$\Re \left(\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta > \left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \right]^\delta \zeta_2, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.11)$$

where ζ_2 is given by

$$\zeta_2 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1-D)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{\delta p}{\alpha}; C) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.11) is the best possible.

If we put $\delta = 1$ and $r = 1$ in Corollary 2, we get:

Corollary 3. Let $0 \leq j < p$, and for $f \in A(p)$ say it

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let define the function Φ_j by

$$\Phi_j(z) = \left[\left(1 - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{j}{p} \right) \right) \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} + \alpha \frac{f^{(j+1)}(z)}{pz^{p-j-1}} \right].$$

If

$$\Phi_j(z) < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz},$$

then

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p_2(z), \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$p_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{D} + \left(1 - \frac{C}{D} \right) (1+Dz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 1 + \frac{p}{\alpha}; \frac{Dz}{1+Dz}) & (D \neq 0); \\ 1 + \frac{p}{p+\alpha} Cz, & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p_2(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.12). Moreover there will be

$$\Re \left(\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \right) > \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \zeta_3, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.13)$$

where ζ_3 is given by:

$$\zeta_3 = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{D} + (1 - \frac{C}{D})(1 - D)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 1 + \frac{p}{\alpha}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ 1 - \frac{p}{p+\alpha}C, & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.13) is the best possible.

For $C = 1, D = -1$ and $j = 1$ Corollary 3, leads to the next example:

Example 1. (i) For $f \in A(p)$ suppose that

$$\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let define the function Φ_j by

$$\Phi_j(z) = [1 - (\alpha - \frac{\alpha}{p})] \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} + \alpha \frac{f''(z)}{pz^{p-2}} < p \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} < p \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \right) > p\zeta_4, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.15)$$

where ζ_4 is given by:

$$\zeta_4 = -1 + {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{p+\alpha}{\alpha}; \frac{1}{2}),$$

then (3.15) is the best possible.

(ii) For $p = \alpha = 1$, (i) leads to:

For $f \in A$ suppose that

$$f'(z) \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let define the function Φ_j by

$$\Phi_j(z) = f'(z) + zf''(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$\Re (f'(z)) > -1 + 2 \ln 2, \quad z \in U.$$

So the estimate is best possible.

Theorem 2. Let $0 \leq j < p, 0 < r \leq 1$ as for $f \in A(p)$. Assume that \mathcal{F}_α is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(z) = \alpha \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell} + p \right) (\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu + 1, \rho; \ell) f(z)) + (1 - \alpha - \alpha \left(\frac{\nu}{\ell} \right)) (\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z)). \quad (3.16)$$

If

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} < (1 - \alpha + \alpha p) \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \left(\frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz} \right)^r, \quad (3.17)$$

then

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p(z), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C}\right)^i (1 + Dz)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; \frac{Dz}{1+Dz}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; -Cz) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.18). Moreover, there will be

$$\Re \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right) > \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \eta, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.19)$$

where η is given by:

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C}\right)^i (1 + D)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}; C) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.19) is the best possible.

Proof. By using the definition (3.16) and the inequality (3.6), we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{(j)}(z) = \alpha z (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j+1)} + (1 - \alpha + \alpha j) (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}, \quad (3.20)$$

for $0 \leq j < p$. Putting

$$\phi(z) = \frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}, \quad (z \in U), \quad (3.21)$$

we have that $\phi \in H$. Differentiating (3.21), and using (3.17), (3.20), we get

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\frac{(1-\alpha+\alpha p)}{\alpha}} < \left(\frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz} \right)^r \quad (z \in U).$$

Following the techniques of Theorem 1, we can obtain the remaining part of the proof. \square

If we choose $j = 1$ and $r = 1$ in Theorem 2, we get:

Corollary 4. For $f \in A(p)$ let the function \mathcal{F}_α define by 3.16. If

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'_\alpha(z)}{z^{p-1}} < p(1 - \alpha + \alpha p) \frac{1 + Cz}{1 + Dz},$$

then

$$\Re \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))'}{z^{p-1}} \right) > p\eta_1, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.22)$$

where η_1 is given by:

$$\eta_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{D} + (1 - \frac{C}{D})(1 - D)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 1 + \frac{1-\alpha+\alpha p}{\alpha}; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ 1 - \frac{1-\alpha+\alpha p}{1+\alpha p} C & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.22) is the best possible.

Example 2. If we choose $p = C = \alpha = 1$ and $D = -1$ in Corollary 4, we obtain:

For

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = (\frac{v}{\ell} + 1)(\mathfrak{I}(v + 1, \rho; \ell)f(z)) - (\frac{v}{\ell})(\mathfrak{I}(v, \rho; \ell)f(z)).$$

If

$$\mathcal{F}'(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$\Re \left((\mathfrak{I}(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))' \right) > -1 + 2 \ln 2, \quad z \in U,$$

the result is the best possible.

Theorem 3. Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ as for $\theta > -p$ assume that $J_{p,\theta} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ defined by

$$J_{p,\theta}(f)(z) = \frac{p+\theta}{z^\theta} \int_0^z t^{\theta-1} f(t) dt, \quad z \in U. \quad (3.23)$$

If

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r, \quad (3.24)$$

then

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(v, \rho; \ell)J_{p,\theta}(f)(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} < \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p(z), \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D} \right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C} \right)^i (1+Dz)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1+\theta+p; \frac{Dz}{1+Dz}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \theta+p; 1+\theta+p; Cz) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} p(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.25). Moreover, there will be

$$\Re \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(v, \rho; \ell)J_{p,\theta}(f)(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right) > \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \beta, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.26)$$

where β is given by:

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{C}{D}\right)^r \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{(-r)_i}{i!} \left(\frac{C-D}{C}\right)^i (1+D)^{-i} {}_2F_1(i, 1; 1+\theta+p; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ {}_2F_1(-r, \theta+p; 1+\theta+p; -C) & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.26) is the best possible.

Proof. Suppose

$$\phi(z) = \frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) J_{p,\theta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}, \quad (z \in U),$$

we have that $\phi \in H$. Differentiating the above definition, by using (3.24) and

$$\begin{aligned} z \left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) J_{p,\theta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j+1)} &= (\theta+p) \left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z)\right)^{(j)} \\ -(\theta+j) \left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) J_{p,\theta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)} & \quad (0 \leq j < p), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\theta+p} < \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}\right)^r.$$

Now, we obtain (3.25) and the inequality (3.26) follow by using the same techniques in Theorem 1. \square

If we set $j = 1$ and $r = 1$ in Theorem 3, we get:

Corollary 5. For $\theta > -p$, let the operator $J_{p,\theta} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ defined by (3.25). If

$$\frac{\left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z)\right)'}{z^{p-1}} < p \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz},$$

then

$$\Re \left(\frac{\left(\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) J_{p,\theta}(f)(z)\right)'}{z^{p-1}} \right) > p\beta_1, \quad z \in U, \quad (3.27)$$

where β_1 is given by:

$$\beta_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{D} + (1 - \frac{C}{D})(1-D)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 1+\theta+p; \frac{D}{D-1}) & (D \neq 0); \\ 1 - \frac{\theta+p}{1+\theta+p} C & (D = 0), \end{cases}$$

then (3.27) is the best possible.

Example 3. If we choose $p = C = \theta = 1$ and $D = -1$ in Corollary 5, we get:

If

$$\left(\mathfrak{J}(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z)\right)' < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$\Re \left(\left(\mathfrak{J}(\nu, \rho; \ell) J_{1,1}(f)(z)\right)' \right) > -1 + 4(1 - \ln 2),$$

the result is the best possible.

Theorem 4. Let q is univalent function in U , such that q satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\frac{\delta(v + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell} \right\}, \quad z \in U. \quad (3.28)$$

Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{J}_p(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.1), and assume that it satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < q(z) + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(v + \ell p)} zq'(z). \quad (3.29)$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! (\mathfrak{J}_p(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < q(z), \quad (3.30)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.30).

Proof. Let $\phi(z)$ is defined by (3.5), from Theorem 1 we get

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) = \phi(z) + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(v + \ell p)} z\phi'(z). \quad (3.31)$$

Combining (3.29) and (3.31) we find that

$$\phi(z) + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(v + \ell p)} z\phi'(z) < q(z) + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(v + \ell p)} zq'(z). \quad (3.32)$$

The proof of Theorem 4 follows by using Lemma 2 and (3.32). \square

Taking $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r$ in Theorem 4, we obtain:

Corollary 6. Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1-Dz}{1+Dz} + \frac{(r-1)(C-D)z}{(1+Dz)(1+Cz)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\frac{\delta(v + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell} \right\}, \quad z \in U.$$

Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and for $f \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{J}_p(v, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.1), satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(v + \ell p)} \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r \frac{r(C-D)z}{(1+Dz)(1+Cz)}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z) \right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r, \quad (3.33)$$

so $\left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r$ is the best dominant of (3.33).

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$ in Theorem 4, we get:

Corollary 7. Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1-Dz}{1+Dz} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\frac{\delta(\nu + \ell p)}{\alpha \ell} \right\}, \quad z \in U.$$

Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and for $f \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.1), satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} + \frac{\alpha \ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} \frac{(C-D)z}{(1+Dz)^2}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell) f(z) \right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}, \quad (3.34)$$

so $\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$ is the best dominant of (3.34).

If we put $\nu = \rho = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ in Theorem 4, we get:

Corollary 8. Let q is univalent function in U , such that q satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0; -\frac{\delta p}{\alpha} \right\}, \quad z \in U.$$

For $f \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.9), satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\delta p} zq'(z). \quad (3.35)$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! f^{(j)}(z)}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < q(z), \quad (3.36)$$

so $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.36).

Taking $C = 1$ and $D = -1$ in Corollaries 6 and 7 we get:

Example 4. (i) For $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.1), and assume that it satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r \frac{2rz}{1-z^2}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r, \quad (3.37)$$

so $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r$ is the best dominant of (3.37).

(ii) For $f \in A(p)$ say it

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Let the function Φ_j defined by (3.1), and assume that it satisfies:

$$\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} \frac{2z}{1-z^2}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta < \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (3.38)$$

so $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best dominant of (3.38).

If we put $p = C = \alpha = \delta = 1$, $D = -1$ and $j = 0$ in Corollary 8 we get:

Example 5. For $f \in A$ suppose that

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

and

$$f'(z) < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r + \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r \frac{2rz}{1-z^2}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r, \quad (3.39)$$

and $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^r$ is the best dominant of (3.39).

Remark 1. For $\nu = \rho = 0$, $\ell = p = r = 1$ and $j = 0$ in Theorem 4, we get the results investigated by Shanmugam et al. ([25], Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 5. Let $0 \leq j < p$, and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta \in H \cap Q$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function Φ_j is defined by (3.1). If q is convex (univalent) function in U , and

$$q(z) + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} zq'(z) < \left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z),$$

then

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{(p-j)! (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta, \quad (3.40)$$

so $q(z)$ is the best subordinate of (3.40).

Proof. Let ϕ is defined by (3.5), from (3.31) we get

$$q(z) + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} zq'(z) < \left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) = \phi(z) + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} z\phi'(z).$$

The proof of Theorem 5 follows by an application of Lemma 3. □

Taking $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r$ in Theorem 5, we get:

Corollary 9. Let $0 \leq j < p$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Suppose that

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! (\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z))^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}} \right)^\delta \in H \cap Q$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function Φ_j is defined by (3.1). If

$$\left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu + \ell p)} \left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \right)^r \frac{r(C-D)z}{(1+Dz)(1+Cz)} < \left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \right]^\delta \Phi_j(z),$$

then

$$\left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}\right)^r < \left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}\right)^\delta, \quad (3.41)$$

so $\left(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}\right)^r$ is the best dominant of (3.41).

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$ and $r = 1$ in Theorem 5, we get:

Corollary 10. Let $0 \leq j < p$, and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{\left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U,$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}\right)^\delta \in H \cap Q$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^\delta \Phi_j(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function Φ_j is defined by (3.1). If

$$\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu+\ell p)} \frac{(C-D)z}{(1+Dz)^2} < \left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^\delta \Phi_j(z),$$

then

$$\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} < \left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}\right)^\delta, \quad (3.42)$$

so $\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$ is the best dominant of (3.42).

Combining results of Theorems 4 and 5, we have

Theorem 6. Let $0 \leq j < p$, and for $f \in A(p)$ assume that

$$\frac{\left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Suppose that

$$\left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}\right)^\delta \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^\delta \Phi_j(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function Φ_j is defined by (3.1). Let q_1 is convex (univalent) function in U , and assume that q_2 is convex in U , that q_2 satisfies (3.28). If

$$q_1(z) + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu+\ell p)} zq_1'(z) < \left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^\delta \Phi_j(z) < q_2(z) + \frac{\alpha\ell}{\delta(\nu+\ell p)} zq_2'(z),$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left(\frac{(p-j)! \left(\mathfrak{I}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{p! z^{p-j}}\right)^\delta < q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subordinate and best dominant of the above subordination.

4. Conclusions

We used the application of higher order derivatives to obtain a number of interesting results concerning differential subordination and superordination relations for the operator $\mathfrak{J}_p(\nu, \rho; \ell)f(z)$ of multivalent functions analytic in U , the differential subordination outcomes are followed by some special cases and counterexamples. Differential sandwich-type results have been obtained. Our results we obtained are new and could help the mathematicians in the field of Geometric Function Theory to solve other special results in this field.

Acknowledgments

This research has been funded by Deputy for Research & innovation, Ministry of Education through initiative of institutional funding at university of Ha'il, Saudi Arabia through project number IFP-22155.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. R. M. Ali, A. O. Badghaish, V. Ravichandran, Subordination for higher-order derivatives of multivalent functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2008** (2008), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/830138>
2. E. E. Ali, H. M. Srivastava, R. M. El-Ashwah, A. M. Albalahi, Differential subordination and differential superordination for classes of admissible multivalent functions associated with a linear operator, *Mathematics*, **10** (2022), 4690. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10244690>
3. M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, E. E. Ali, On Sandwich theorems for higher-order derivatives of p -valent analytic functions, *Se. Asian B. Math.*, **37** (2013), 7–14.
4. M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, A. M. Abd-Eltawab, Some inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of p -valent functions associated with a family of integral operators, *ISRN Math. Anal.*, **2013** (2013), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/384170>
5. S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (1969), 429–446.
6. N. Breaz, R. M. El-Ashwah, Quasi-Hadamard product of some uniformly analytic and p -valent functions with negative coefficients, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **30** (2014), 39–45. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43999556>.
7. T. Bulboacă, *Differential subordinations and superordinations, recent results*, Hous of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
8. B. C. Carlson, D. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **15** (1984), 737–745. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0515057>

9. M. P. Chen, H. Irmak, H. M. Srivastava, Some multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a differential operator, *Pan Amer. Math. J.*, **6** (1996), 55–64. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1828/1655>.
10. J. H. Choi, M. Saigo, H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **276** (2002), 432–445. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)00500-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00500-0)
11. R. M. El-Ashwah, M. E. Drbuk, Subordination properties of p -valent functions defined by linear operators, *British J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **4** (2014), 3000–3013.
12. D. Z. Hallenbeck, S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 191–195.
13. I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, The hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **176** (1993), 138–147. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1993.1204>
14. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, **204** (2006).
15. V. Kiryakova, *Generalized fractional calculus and applications*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow (Essex), **301** (1993).
16. A. Y. Lashin, F. Z. El-Emam, On certain classes of multivalent analytic functions defined with higher-order derivatives, *Mathematics*, **11** (2023), 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11010083>
17. R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16** (1965), 755–758. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1965-0178131-2>
18. J. L. Liu, S. Owa, Properties of certain integral operator, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, **3** (2004), 45–51.
19. S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: Theory and applications*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2000.
20. S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, *Complex Var.*, **48** (2003), 815–826.
21. K. I. Noor, On new classes of integral operators, *J. Nat. Geom.*, **16** (1999), 71–80.
22. R. K. Raina, P. Sharma, Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators, *Le Mat.*, **68** (2013), 217–228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4418/2013.68.1.16>
23. S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *P. Am. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 109–115.
24. H. Saitoh, S. Owa, T. Sekine, M. Nunokawa, R. Yamakawa, An application of a certain integral operator, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **5** (1992), 21–24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-9659\(92\)90104-H](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-9659(92)90104-H)
25. T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, M. Darus, C. Ramachandran, Subordination and superordination results for subclasses of analytic functions, *Int. J. Math. Forum*, 2007, 1039–1052.
26. T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran, S. Sivasubramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **3** (2006), 1–11.
27. H. Silverman, Higher order derivatives, *Chinese J. Math.*, **23** (1995), 189–191. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43836593>.

28. H. M. Srivastava, R. M. El-Ashwah, N. Breaz, A certain subclass of multivalent functions involving higher-order derivatives, *Filomat*, **30** (2016), 113–124. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24898417>.
29. H. M. Srivastava, An introductory overview of fractional-calculus operators based upon the Fox-Wright and related higher transcendental functions, *J. Adv. Eng. Comput.*, **5** (2021), 135–166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.55579/jaec.202153.340>
30. E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, *A course on modern analysis: An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions; with an account of the principal transcendental functions*, 4 Eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.19630430916>
31. T. Yaguchi, *The radii of starlikeness and convexity for certain multivalent functions*, Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992, 375–386.



AIMS Press

©2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)