



Research article

A Derivative Hilbert operator acting from Bergman spaces to Hardy spaces

Yun Xu and Shanli Ye*

School of Science, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: slye@zust.edu.cn; Tel: +8619357102665.

Abstract: Let μ be a positive Borel measure on the interval $[0, 1)$. The Hankel matrix $\mathcal{H}_\mu = (\mu_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$, where $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$, formally induces the operator as follows:

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) (n+1)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . In this article, we characterize those positive Borel measures on $[0, 1)$ such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is bounded (resp., compact) from Bergman spaces \mathcal{A}^p into Hardy spaces H^q , where $0 < p, q < \infty$.

Keywords: Derivative-Hilbert operator; Bergman space; Hardy space; Carleson measure

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B35, 30H10, 30H20

1. Introduction

Suppose that μ is a positive Borel measure on $[0,1)$. \mathcal{H}_μ is defined as the Hankel matrix $(\mu_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$, where $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$. The matrix \mathcal{H}_μ can be seen as an operator on $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in H(\mathbb{D})$ by its action on the Taylor coefficients: $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow \{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k\}_{n \geq 0}$. Furthermore, we can formally define the Hankel operator \mathcal{H}_μ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . If we take the measure to be the Lebesgue measure, \mathcal{H}_μ is the classical Hilbert operator. This is why \mathcal{H}_μ is called a generalized Hilbert operator.

In recent decades, the operator \mathcal{H}_μ has been studied extensively in [1–6]. Galanopoulos and Peláez [5] characterized those measures μ supported on $[0, 1)$ such that the generalized Hilbert operator

\mathcal{H}_μ is well defined and it is bounded on H^1 . Chatzifountas, et al. [1] described those measures μ for which \mathcal{H}_μ is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q , where $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$. Diamantopoulos [3] gave many results about the operator on Dirichlet space. Girela [2] introduced the operators \mathcal{H}_μ acting on certain conformally invariant spaces.

Ye and Zhou [7, 8] defined the derivative-Hilbert operator \mathcal{DH}_μ as follows:

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) (n+1) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in H(\mathbb{D})$. It is closed related to the generalized Hilbert operator, that is,

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = (z\mathcal{H}_\mu(f)(z))'.$$

Another generalized Hilbert-integral operator related to \mathcal{DH}_μ denoted by \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} ($\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu_\alpha}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^\alpha} d\mu(t). \quad (1.2)$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . We can easily check that the case $\alpha = 1$ is the integral representation of the generalized Hilbert operator. Ye and Zhou characterized the measure μ for which \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_μ is bounded (resp., compact) on Bloch spaces [7] and Bergman spaces [8].

In this article, we characterize the positive Borel measure μ such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is bounded (resp. compact) from the Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p into the Hardy space H^q , where $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$.

2. Preliminaries and notation

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the class of all analytic functions in \mathbb{D} .

For $0 < p < \infty$, the Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p consists of those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . We refer to [4] for the theory of Bergman spaces.

The Bloch space \mathcal{B} consists of those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

We mention [9, 10] as general references for Bloch spaces.

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, set

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

The Hardy space H^p consists of those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

We refer to [11] for the theory of Hardy spaces. In particular, if $0 < q < 1$, let B_q [12] denote the space consisting of those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{B_q} = \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{1}{q}-2} M_1(r, f) dr < \infty.$$

We refer to [12] as general references for the B_q spaces. The Banach space B_q is the “containing Banach space” of H^q , that is, H^q is a dense subspace of B_q , and the two spaces have the same continuous linear functionals.

Let $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ be a Möbius transformations. If $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \in BMOA$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{BMOA} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_{\star} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\star} = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

It is clear that the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\star}$ is conformally invariant. If those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|_{H^2} = 0,$$

then we call that $f \in VMOA$. We refer to [13] for the theory of $BMOA$ spaces.

The relation between these spaces we introduced above is well known, that is,

$$H^{\infty} \subsetneq BMOA \subsetneq \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} H^p \quad \text{and} \quad BMOA \subsetneq \mathcal{B}.$$

Let us recall the knowledge of Carleson measure, which is a very useful tool in the study of Banach spaces of analytic functions. For $0 < s < \infty$, a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} will be called a s -Carleson measure, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_I \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s} \leq C. \quad (2.1)$$

The Carleson square $S(I)$ is defined as follows:

$$S(I) = \left\{ z = re^{i\theta} : e^{i\theta} \in I; 1 - \frac{|I|}{2\pi} \leq r \leq 1 \right\},$$

where I is an interval of $\partial\mathbb{D}$, $|I|$ denotes the length of I . If μ satisfies $\mu(S(I)) = o(|I|^s)$, as $|I| \rightarrow 0$, we say that μ is a vanishing s -Carleson measure [14, 15].

A positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$ also can be seen as a Borel measure on \mathbb{D} by identifying it with the measure μ defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E \cap [0, 1)).$$

for any Borel subset E of \mathbb{D} . In this way, we say that a positive Borel measure μ on $[0, 1)$ can be seen as a s -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\mu([t, 1)) \leq C(1-t)^s, \quad t \in [0, 1).$$

Also, μ is a vanishing s -Carleson measure if μ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu([t, 1))}{(1-t)^s} = 0.$$

Other Carleson type measures on $[0, 1)$ have the similar definitions.

Throughout this work, C denotes a positive constant that only depends on the displayed parameters but not necessarily the same from one occurrence to the next. For any given $p > 1$, p' will denote the conjugate index of p , that is, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

3. Boundedness of \mathcal{DH}_μ from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q

In this section, we characterize those measure μ such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q by applying the equivalence relation between $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)$ and $I_{\mu_2}(f)$, where $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$.

Lemma 3.1. [11] If $f \in H^p(0 < p < \infty)$,

$$|g(z)| \leq C \frac{\|g\|_{H^p}}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|g'(z)| \leq C \frac{\|g\|_{H^p}}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2. [8] Suppose $0 < p < \infty$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$. Then the power series in (1.1) defines a well defined analytic function in \mathbb{D} for every $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$ in any of the following cases:

- (i) μ is a $\frac{2}{p}$ -Carleson measure, if $0 < p \leq 1$.
- (ii) μ is a $\frac{2-(p-1)^2}{p}$ -Carleson measure, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$.
- (iii) μ is a $\frac{1}{p}$ -Carleson measure, if $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Furthermore, in such cases we obtain that

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t) = I_{\mu_2}(f)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$, which satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2.

- (i) If $q \geq p$ and $q > 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q if and only if μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

- (ii) If $q \geq p$ and $q = 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q if and only if μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.
- (iii) If $q \geq p$ and $0 < q < 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into B_q if and only if μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

Proof. We recall that the well known result of Hastings [16]: For $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, μ is a $\frac{2q}{p}$ -Carleson measure if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{A}^p. \quad (3.4)$$

Suppose $0 < p < \infty$. Since μ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{A}^p.$$

Hence, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \left| \frac{f(t)g(re^{i\theta})}{(1 - tre^{i\theta})^2} \right| d\mu(t) d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{C}{(1-r)^2} \|g\|_{H^1}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in H^1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.5), Fubini's theorem and Cauchy's integral representation of H^1 [11], for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^p$ and $g \in H^1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(re^{i\theta})} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{\overline{f(t)}}{(1 - tre^{-i\theta})^2} d\mu(t) g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} \int_{|e^{i\theta}=1} \frac{g(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - tr)^2} d(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (tg(rt))' d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t), \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

(i) Consider the case $q > 1$. Using (3.6) and the duality theorem in [11], that is, $(H^q)^* \cong H^{q'}$ and $(H^{q'})^* \cong H^q$, where $q > 1$, under the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(e^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in H^q, g \in H^{q'}, \quad (3.7)$$

it implies that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q if and only if

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \|g\|_{H^{q'}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in H^{q'}.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q . For $0 < a < 1$, take test functions

$$f_a(z) = \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - az)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, g_a(z) = \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - az)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

A simply calculation shows that $f_a(z) \in \mathcal{A}^p, g_a(z) \in H^{q'}$, and $\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} < \infty, \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_{H^{q'}} < \infty$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> C \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_{H^{q'}} \\ &\geq C \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_a(t)} (g_a(rt) + tg'_a(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\geq C \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - at)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - art)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \frac{2at}{q'} \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - art)^{q'+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \frac{\mu([a, 1))}{(1 - a^2)^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

On the contrary, suppose that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure, and let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}+1}} d\mu(t)$.

By [17, Theorem 3.2], we obtain that ν is a $\frac{2}{p}$ -Carleson measure. Using (3.4), (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| &\leq C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}}} + \frac{t}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}+1}} \right) |f(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \|g\|_{H^{q'}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in H^{q'}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q .

(ii) Consider the case $q = 1$. Using (3.6) and Fefferman’s duality theorem, which says that $(H^1)^* \cong BMOA$ and $(VMOA)^* \cong H^1$, under the Cauchy pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(re^{i\theta})} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in H^1, g \in BMOA \text{ (resp., } VMOA), \tag{3.8}$$

it implies that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^1 if and only if

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \|g\|_{BMOA}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in VMOA, 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^1 . For $0 < a < 1$, take test functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(z) &= \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{(1 - az)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \\ g_a(z) &= \log \frac{e}{1 - az}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

A simply calculation shows that $\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} < \infty$ and $\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_{BMOA} < \infty$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> C \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_{BMOA} \\ &\geq C \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_a(t)} (g_a(rt) + tg'_a(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\geq C \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-at)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\log \frac{e}{1-art} + \frac{art}{1-art} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \frac{\mu([a,1))}{(1-a^2)^{\frac{2}{p}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

On the contrary, suppose that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure, and let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$. By [17, Theorem 3.2], we obtain that ν is a $\frac{2}{p}$ -Carleson measure. For any function $g \in \mathcal{B}$, it is well known that

$$|g(z)| \leq C \log \frac{e}{1-|z|} \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad |g'(z)| \leq \frac{C \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.9)$$

Using this, (3.4), (3.6) and $BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| &\leq C \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-t} + \frac{t}{1-t} \right) |f(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{BMOA} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{BMOA} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in BMOA. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^1 .

(iii) Consider the case $0 < q < 1$. We recall that B_q can be identified with the dual of a certain subspace X of H^∞ under the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(re^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in B_q, g \in X. \quad (3.10)$$

Using this and (3.6), it implies that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into B_q if and only if

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(t) + tg'(t)) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \|g\|_X, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in X, 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into B_q . For $0 < a < 1$, take test functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(z) &= \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \\ g_a(z) &= \frac{1-a^2}{1-az}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

A simply calculation shows that $\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} < \infty$ and $\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_X < \infty$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> C \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|g_a\|_X \\ &\geq C \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_a(t)} (g_a(t) + tg'_a(t)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\geq C \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{1-at} + \frac{a(1-a^2)}{(1-at)^2} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \frac{\mu([a,1))}{(1-a^2)^{\frac{2}{p}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

On the contrary, suppose that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure, and let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$. By [17, Theorem 3.2], we obtain that ν is a $\frac{2}{p}$ -Carleson measure. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(t) + tg'(t)) d\mu(t) \right| &\leq C \|g\|_X \int_{[0,1)} \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t} \right) |f(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_X \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_X \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p, g \in X. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into B_q . \square

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$, which satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2.*

- (i) *If \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q , then μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.*
- (ii) *If μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1 + \varepsilon)$ -Carleson measure for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q .*

Proof. (i) The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.1(i) and we omit the details here.

(ii) Suppose that μ is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1 + \varepsilon)$ -Carleson measure and let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$, then we obtain that ν is a $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + \varepsilon)$ -Carleson measure. Take $s = 1 + \frac{p}{2q'}$, then $s' = 1 + \frac{2q'}{p}$ and $\frac{2s}{p} = \frac{s'}{q'} = \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'}$. By (3.4), we obtain that

$$\left(\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)|^s d\nu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p}, \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{A}^p.$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1)} \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}}} \right)^{s'} d\nu(t) < \infty.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| &\leq \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}+1}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}}} \right) d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \left(\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)|^s d\nu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\int_{[0,1)} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{s}{q'}}} d\nu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \|g\|_{H^{q'}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q . \square

4. Compactness of \mathcal{DH}_μ from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q

In this section, we characterize those measure μ such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q , where $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$ and \mathcal{DH}_μ be a bounded operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q . Then \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator if and only if $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H^q , for any bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathcal{A}^p , which converges to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of in [18, Proposition 3.11], and we omit the details. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$, which satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2.*

- (i) *If $q \geq p$ and $q > 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q if and only if μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.*
- (ii) *If $q \geq p$ and $q = 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q if and only if μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.*
- (iii) *If $q \geq p$ and $0 < q < 1$, \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into B_q if and only if μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.*

Before giving the proof of Theorem 4.1, we recall some facts about Carleson measures. If μ is a s -Carleson measure, then we define $\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_r) = \sup_{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s}$ to be the Carleson norm of μ and $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu_r)$ denote the norm of identity mapping i from H^q into $\mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$. It is well known that the norms $\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_r)$ and $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu_r)$ are equivalent. For $r \in (0, 1)$, set $d\mu_r(z) = \chi_{r < |z| < 1}(t) d\mu(t)$. Then $d\mu(t)$ is a vanishing s -Carleson measure if and only if

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (equivalently, } \mathcal{N}_2(\mu_r) \rightarrow 0), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Proof. (i) Consider the case $q > 1$. Assume that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q . Let $\{a_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ be any sequence with $a_n \rightarrow 1$. Set

$$f_{a_n}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n z)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We obtain that $f_{a_n}(z) \in \mathcal{A}^p$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_{a_n}\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} < \infty$, so $\{f_{a_n}\}$ is a bounded sequence on \mathcal{A}^p , which converges to 0 on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Then we obtain that $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_{a_n}) \rightarrow 0$ in H^q by applying Theorem 4.1. This and (3.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{[0,1)} f_{a_n}(t) (g(rt) + tg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_{a_n})(re^{i\theta})} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \right| = 0, \quad g \in H^{q'}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Next, take the function

$$g_{a_n}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n z)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is easy to check that $g_{a_n}(z) \in H^{q'}$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|g_{a_n}\|_{H^{q'}} < \infty$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1)} f_{a_n}(t) (g_{a_n}(rt) + tg'_{a_n}(rt)) d\mu(t) \\ & \geq C \int_{a_n}^1 \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \frac{2a_n t}{q'} \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^{q'+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \geq C \frac{\mu([a_n, 1))}{(1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{a_n \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu([a_n, 1))}{(1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1}} = 0.$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

Suppose that μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure, and let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}+1}} d\mu(t)$. By applying [17, Lemma 3.2], we obtain that ν is a vanishing $\frac{2}{q}$ -Carleson measure. For $r \in (0, 1)$, let $d\nu_r(z) = \chi_{r < |z| < 1}(t) d\nu(t)$ and \mathcal{N} be the norm of identity mapping i , then $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0 (r \rightarrow 1^-)$. Take a bounded sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{A}^p , and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ uniformly converges to 0 on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} . For $0 < r < 1$ and $g \in H^{q'}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) + C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}}} + \frac{t}{(1-t)^{\frac{1}{q'}+1}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) + C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| d\nu_r(t) \\ & \leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) + C \|g\|_{H^{q'}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \mathcal{N}(\nu_r). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0 (r \rightarrow 1^-)$ and the condition $\{f_n\} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) = 0.$$

combining this, (3.6) and (4.1), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_{a_n})(re^{i\theta})} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \right| = 0.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H^q . This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q .

(ii) Consider the case $q = 1$. Suppose that μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure and let $dv(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} d\mu(t)$. By applying [17, Lemma 3.2], we obtain that ν is a vanishing $\frac{2}{q}$ -Carleson measure. For $r \in (0, 1)$, let $dv_r(z) = \chi_{r < |z| < 1}(t) dv(t)$ and \mathcal{N} be the norm of identity mapping i , then $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0 (r \rightarrow 1^-)$. Take a bounded sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{A}^p , and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ uniformly converges to 0 on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} . For $0 < r < 1$ and $g \in VMOA$, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) + C \|g\|_{BMOA} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| dv_r(t) \\ & \leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) + C \|g\|_{BMOA} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p} \mathcal{N}(\nu_r). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0 (r \rightarrow 1^-)$ and the condition $\{f_n\} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{D} imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_{a_n})(re^{i\theta})} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \right| \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |(g(rt) + tg'(rt))| d\mu(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H^1 . This is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^1 .

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^1 . Let $\{a_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ be any sequence with $a_n \rightarrow 1$ and f_{a_n} as defined in (i), then we obtain that $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f_{a_n}) \rightarrow 0$ in H^1 . Next, set

$$g_{a_n}(z) = \log \frac{e}{1 - a_n z}.$$

It is easy to check that $g_{a_n}(z) \in VMOA$. Then it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1)} f_{a_n}(t) (g_{a_n}(rt) + tg'_{a_n}(rt)) d\mu(t) \\ & \geq C \int_{a_n}^1 \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\log \frac{e}{1 - a_n r t} + \frac{a_n r t}{1 - a_n r t} \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \geq C \frac{\mu([a_n, 1))}{(1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{2}{p} + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{a_n \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\mu([a_n, 1))}{(1 - a_n^2)^{\frac{2}{p} + 1}} = 0.$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.

(iii) From now on, it is similar to the proof of (ii), we omit the details. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$, which satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2.*

- (i) *If \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q , then μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1)$ -Carleson measure.*
- (ii) *If μ is a vanishing $(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} + 1 + \varepsilon)$ -Carleson measure for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from \mathcal{A}^p into H^q .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1(i), we omit the details here. \square

5. Conclusions

In this article, we characterize the positive Borel measure μ such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is bounded (resp. compact) from the Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p into the Hardy space H^q , where $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q < \infty$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the editors and reviewers for their helpful suggestions. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671357) and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. LY23A010003).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. C. Chatzifountas, D. Girela, J. Á. Peláez, A generalized Hilbert matrix acting on Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **413** (2014), 154–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.11.046>
2. D. Girela, N. A. Merchán, A generalized Hilbert operator acting on conformally invariant spaces, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, **12** (2018), 374–398. <https://doi.org/10.1215/17358787-2017-0023>
3. E. Diamantopoulos, Operators induced by Hankel matrices on Dirichlet spaces, *Analysis*, **24** (2004), 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.2004.24.14.345>
4. P. Duren, A. Schuster, *Bergman spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
5. P. Galanopoulos, J. Á. Peláez, A Hankel matrix acting on Hardy and Bergman spaces, *Studia Math.*, **200** (2010), 201–220. <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm200-3-1>
6. K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 138, 2 Eds., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2007.
7. S. Ye, Z. Zhou, A Derivative-Hilbert operator acting on the Bloch space, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **15** (2021), 88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-021-01135-1>

8. S. Ye, Z. Zhou, A Derivative-Hilbert operator acting on Bergman spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **506** (2022), 125553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125553>
9. M. Pavlović, Logarithmic Bloch space and its predual, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **114** (2016), 90–97. <https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM1614001P>
10. S. Ye, Weighted composition operator between different weighted Bloch-type spaces, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **50** (2007), 927–942.
11. P. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, New York: Academic Press, 1970.
12. B. W. Romberg, P. L. Duren, A. L. Shields, Linear functionals on H^p spaces with $0 < p < 1$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **238** (1969), 32–60. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1969.238.32>
13. D. Girela, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser.*, **4** (2001), 61–170.
14. B. MacCluer, R. Zhao, Vanishing logarithmic Carleson measures, *Illinois J. Math.*, **46** (2002), 507–518. <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1258136207>
15. R. Zhao, On logarithmic Carleson measures, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **69** (2003), 605–618.
16. W. W. Hastings, A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 237–241. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-1975-0374886-9>
17. S. Li, J. Zhou, Essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix from Bloch type spaces to BMOA and Bloch space, *AIMS Math.*, **6** (2021), 3305–3318. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021198>
18. C. Cowen, B. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, 1995. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.47-48.223>



AIMS Press

© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)