



---

*Research article*

## Fixed point theorems in controlled $J$ -metric spaces

Suhad Subhi Aiadi<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Wan Ainun Mior Othman<sup>2,\*</sup>, Kok Bin Wong<sup>2</sup> and Nabil Mlaiki<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

\* **Correspondence:** Email: [ssubhi@psu.edu.sa](mailto:ssubhi@psu.edu.sa), [wanainun@um.edu.my](mailto:wanainun@um.edu.my).

**Abstract:** In this article, we introduce a new extension to  $J$ -metric spaces, called  $C_J$ -metric spaces, where  $\theta$  is the controlled function in the triangle inequality. We prove some fixed point results in this new type of metric space. In addition, we present some applications to systems of linear equations to illustrate our results.

**Keywords:** fixed point;  $C_J$ -metric space;  $J$ -metric spaces

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46T99, 47H10, 54H25

---

### 1. Introduction

The fixed point theory is a new, essential theory, and its application is utilized in many fields, including Mathematics, Economics, and many others. For example, the impact of the fixed point theory in the fractional differential equations appear clearly to all the observers, see [3, 4]. The fixed point theory and the proof of the uniqueness were introduced by Banach [2], which was encouraging to all subsequent researchers to start working on this theory; see [5, 8]. These days, the fixed point is an active area wildly generalizing Banach, see [6, 7, 9–18].

Generalization of the fixed point theory can be made in two ways, either a generalization of the Banach contraction to another linear or nonlinear contraction. The other way of extension is to generalize the metric spaces by either changing the triangle inequality, omitting the symmetry condition, or assuming that the self-distance is not necessarily zero.

Those generalizations are important due to the fact that more general spaces or contractions impact a greater number of applications that can be adapted to that results.

In this work, we generalize a  $J$ -metric spaces, which Souayah recently introduced [1], where it defined a metric space in three dimensions with a triangle inequality that includes a constant  $b > 0$ . We extend the notion of  $J$ -metric spaces to  $C_J$  metric spaces that include a control function  $\theta$  in three dimensions instead of the constant  $b$ .

In the main result section, we prove the existence and the uniqueness of a fixed point for self-mappings on  $C_J$ -metric spaces, in Theorems 3.10 and 3.11, we consider self-mappings that satisfy linear contractions where in Theorem 3.12, we consider mappings that satisfy nonlinear contractions. Our finding generalizes many results in the literature. Moreover, in the last section, we present an application of our impact on the system of linear equations.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin our preliminaries by recalling the definitions of  $J$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] Consider a nonempty set  $\delta$ , and a function  $J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Let us define the set,

$$S(J, \delta, \phi) = \{\{\phi_n\} \subset \delta : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\phi, \phi, \phi_n) = 0\}$$

for all  $\phi \in \delta$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let  $\delta$  be a set with at least one element and,  $J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that satisfies the mentioned below conditions:

- (i)  $J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$  implies  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$  for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta$ .
- (ii) There are some  $b > 0$ , where for each  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \delta^3$  and  $\{\nu_n\} \in S(J, \delta, \nu)$

$$J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J(\alpha, \alpha, \nu_n) + J(\beta, \beta, \nu_n) + J(\gamma, \gamma, \nu_n)).$$

Then,  $(\delta, J)$  is defined as a  $J$ -metric space. In addition, if  $J(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = J(\beta, \beta, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \delta$ , the pair  $(\delta, J)$  is defined as a symmetric  $J$ -metric space.

## 3. Main result

In this part, we will define  $C_J$ -metric spaces and prove the existence and the uniqueness of the fixed point of self-mapping.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\delta$  is a non empty set and a function  $C_J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then the set is defined as follows

$$S(C_J, \delta, \alpha) = \{\{\alpha_n\} \subset \delta : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n) = 0\}$$

for each  $\alpha \in \delta$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\delta$  be a set with at least one element and  $C_J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  fulfill the following conditions:

- (i)  $C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$  implies  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta$ .
- (ii) There exist a function  $\theta : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , where  $\theta$  is a continuous function and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n)$$

is a finite and exist where,

$$C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq \theta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \phi_n) + C_J(\beta, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\gamma, \gamma, \phi_n)).$$

Then  $(\delta, C_J)$  is defined as  $C_J$ -metric space. In addition, if

$$C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = C_J(\beta, \beta, \alpha)$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \delta$ , then  $(\delta, C_J)$  is defined as symmetric  $C_J$ -metric space.

**Remark 3.3.** Notice that, this symmetry hypothesis does not necessarily mean that

$$C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = C_J(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) = C_J(\gamma, \beta, \alpha) = \dots$$

We will start by presenting some properties in the topology of  $C_J$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 3.4.** (1) Let  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a  $C_J$ -metric space. A sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \delta$  is convergent to an element  $\alpha \in \delta$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$ , for  $\{\alpha_n\} \in S(C_J, \delta, \alpha)$ .

(2) Let  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a  $C_J$ -metric space. A sequence  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \delta$  is called Cauchy iff

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 0.$$

(3) A  $C_J$ -metric space is called complete if each Cauchy sequence in  $\delta$  is convergent.

(4) In a  $C_J$ -metric space  $(\alpha, C_J)$ , if  $\psi$  is a continuous map at  $a_0 \in \delta$  then for each  $\alpha_n \in S(C_J, \alpha, a_0)$  gives  $\{\psi\alpha_n\} \in S(C_J, \alpha, \psi a_0)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** In a  $C_J$ -metric space  $(\delta, C_J)$ , if  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converges, then it is convergent to one exact element in  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* Let us start with  $\{\alpha_n\}$  converges to  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . so by using the triangle inequality condition that is mentioned in the definition of the  $C_J$  metric space,

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) &\leq \theta(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_n) + C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_n) + C_J(\alpha_2, \alpha_2, \alpha_n)) \\ &= \theta(\alpha_1, \alpha, \alpha_2) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_n) + C_J(\alpha_2, \alpha_2, \alpha_n)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2.$$

□

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(\delta, C_{J_1})$  and  $(\Gamma, C_{J_2})$  are two  $C_J$ -metric spaces and  $\psi : \delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a map. Then  $\psi$  is said to be a continuous at  $a_0 \in \delta$  if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\xi > 0$  where, for each  $\alpha \in \delta$ ,  $C_{J_2}(\psi a_0, \psi a_0, \psi \alpha) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $C_{J_1}(a_0, a_0, \alpha) < \xi$ .

**Example 3.7.** Let  $\delta = \mathbb{R}$  and,  $C_J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = |\alpha - \beta| + |\beta - \gamma|,$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the sequence  $\alpha_n$  such that,  $\alpha_n = \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$ . It is not hard to observe that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha, \alpha + \frac{1}{n}, \alpha + \frac{1}{n}) = 0$ . For that reason and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a sequence  $\alpha_n = \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$  where  $S(C_J, \delta, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, we present an example of  $C_J$ -metric space.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\delta = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = |\alpha| + |\beta| + 2|\gamma|$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta$ . And let  $\theta : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , where  $\theta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \max(2, |\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma|)$ . We have  $C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow |\alpha| + |\beta| + 2|\gamma| = 0$ , which gives  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 0$ .

Then, the hypotheses (2.2) are fulfilled, and the symmetry of  $C_J$  is satisfied too.

$$C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) = 2|\alpha| + 2|\gamma| = C_J(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha).$$

In the end, let's check the triangle inequality.

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta$  and  $\phi_n$  a convergent sequence in  $\delta$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\phi, \phi, \phi_n) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= |\alpha| + |\beta| + 2|\gamma| \\ &\leq 4|\alpha| + 4|\beta| + 4|\gamma| + 12|\phi_n| \\ &= 2(2|\alpha| + 2|\phi_n| + 2|\beta| + 2|\phi_n| + 2|\gamma| + 2|\phi_n|) \\ &= 2(C_J(\alpha, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\beta, \beta, \phi_n) + J(\gamma, \gamma, \phi_n)) \\ &\leq \max(2, |\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma|) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_J(\alpha, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\alpha, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\gamma, \gamma, \phi_n)) \\ &= \theta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_J(\alpha, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\alpha, \beta, \phi_n) + C_J(\gamma, \gamma, \phi_n)). \end{aligned}$$

So, this is an example of  $C_J$  metric space.

Next, we present an example of  $C_J$ -metric space that is not a  $J$ -metric spaces.

**Example 3.9.** Choose  $\delta = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Take  $C_J : \delta^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \iff \alpha = \beta = \gamma \\ \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \text{ are even and } \gamma = 2n + 1 \\ \frac{1}{\gamma}, & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \text{ are odd and } \gamma = 2n \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider  $\theta : \delta^3 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  as

$$\theta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta, & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \text{ are even and } \gamma = 2n + 1 \\ \gamma, & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \text{ are odd and } \gamma = 2n \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, it is not difficult to see that  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a  $C_J$ -metric space.

However,  $(\delta, C_J)$  is not a  $J$ -metric space.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a  $C_J$ -complete symmetric metric space, and  $g : \delta \rightarrow \delta$  is a continuous map satisfies

$$C_J(g\alpha, g\beta, g\gamma) \leq P(C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta. \quad (3.1)$$

Where,  $P : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a function and for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ ,

$$t > x, P(t) > P(x).$$

And,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n(t) = 0 \text{ for each fixed } t > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then,  $g$  has a unique fixed point in  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* Let's start with  $\alpha_0$  is an element in  $\delta$ , and  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \delta$  where,

$$\alpha_1 = g\alpha_0, \alpha_2 = g\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n = g^n \alpha_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

First, we have to start proving  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a Cauchy in  $\delta$ . Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) &= C_J(g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{m-1}) \leq P(C_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{m-1})) \\ &= P(C_J(g\alpha_{n-2}, g\alpha_{n-2}, g\alpha_{m-2})) \\ &\leq \vdots \\ &\leq P^n(C_J(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_{m-n})). \end{aligned}$$

After applying (3.1)  $n$  times and with assuming that  $m = n + q$  for some constant  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  to get

$$C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) \leq P^n(C_J(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_q)). \quad (3.4)$$

By applying the limit in (3.4) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Accordingly,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\delta$  and because of the completeness, there is  $\alpha \in \delta$  such that  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover,  $\alpha = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g\alpha_k = g\alpha$ . Thus,  $g$  has  $\alpha$  as a fixed point.

Assume that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are two fixed points of  $g$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= C_J(g\alpha_1, g\alpha_1, g\alpha_2) \leq P(C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \\ &\leq P^2(C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \\ &\leq \vdots \\ &\leq P^n(C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit for the above inequalities as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $C_J(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ .

Thus,  $g$  has a unique fixed point in  $\delta$  as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a  $C_J$ -complete symmetric metric space and  $g : \delta \rightarrow \delta$  be a mapping that satisfies,

$$C_J(g\alpha, g\beta, g\gamma) \leq \phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)C_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\phi \in A$ , and  $\phi : \delta^3 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\phi(g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \leq \phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \text{ and } \{g : \delta \rightarrow \delta\}$$

$g$  is a given mapping. Then  $g$  has a unique fixed point in  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0$  be an arbitrary element in  $\delta$ . We establish the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  as follows  $\{\alpha_n = g^n \alpha_0\}$ .

Let's start by proving that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For all natural numbers  $n, m$ , we assume that that  $n < m$  and assume that there is  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $m = n + q$ . By applying (3.6) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) &= C_J(g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{m-1}) \\ &\leq \phi(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{m-1}) C_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{m-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \phi^n(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_q) C_J(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_q). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and taking  $\phi$  into consideration, we get  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 0$ , so  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Then, by the completeness definition of  $\delta$ , there is a  $\alpha \in \delta$  such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

We will show that  $\alpha$  is a fixed point of  $g$ . From (3.7), we conclude that  $\alpha_n \in S(C_J, \delta, \alpha)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_{n-1}) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

By using the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha) &\leq \theta(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [2C_J(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha_n) + C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n)] \\ &= 2\theta(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(g\alpha, g\alpha, g\alpha_{n-1}) \\ &\leq 2\theta(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_{n-1}) C_J(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By applying (3.9) in (3.10) we obtain that  $C_J(g\alpha, g\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ , that is  $g\alpha = \alpha$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

To prove the uniqueness let,  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \delta$  are two fixed points of  $g$  such that  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ ,  $g\beta_1 = \beta_1$  and  $g\beta_2 = \beta_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) &= C_J(G\beta_1, g\beta_1, g\beta_2) \\ &\leq \phi(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) C_J(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) \\ &< C_J(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\phi(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) < 1$ , then  $C_J(\beta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) = 0$  which implies that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $(\delta, C_J)$  is a complete symmetric  $C_J$ -metric spaces,  $g : \delta \rightarrow \delta$  is a continuous map where

$$C_J(g\alpha, g\beta, g\gamma) \leq aC_J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + bC_J(\alpha, g\alpha, g\alpha) + cC_J(\beta, g\beta, g\beta) + dC_J(\gamma, g\gamma, g\gamma) \quad (3.11)$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \delta$  where

$$0 < a + b < 1 - c - d, \quad (3.12)$$

$$0 < a < 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Then, there is a unique fixed point of  $g$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0 \in \delta$  be an arbitrary point of  $\delta$  and  $\{\alpha_n = g^n \alpha_0\}$  be a sequence in  $\delta$  to get.

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) &= C_J(g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_n, g\alpha_n) \\ &\leq aC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) + bC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \\ &\quad + cC_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) + dC_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (a + b)C_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) + (c + d)C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq \frac{a + b}{1 - c - d} C_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n).$$

By taking  $k = \frac{a + b}{1 - c - d}$ , then by using (3.12) we will have  $0 < k < 1$ .

$$C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) \leq k^n C_J(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1).$$

Which gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

We denote  $C_{J_n} = C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1})$ . For each  $n, m \in N, n < m$ , and suppose that there is a fixed  $q \in N$  such that  $m = n + q$ . we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) &= C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+q}) = C_J(g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{n-1}, g\alpha_{n+q-1}) \\ &\leq aC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n+q-1}) + bC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) + cC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \\ &\quad + dC_J(\alpha_{n+q-1}, \alpha_{n+q}, \alpha_{n+q}) \\ &= aC_J(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n+q-1}) + (b + c)C_{J_{n-1}} + dC_{J_{n+q-1}} \\ &\leq a[aC_J(\alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n+q-2}) + (c + d)C_{J_{n-2}} + \alpha_{J_{n+q-2}}] + (b + c)C_{J_{n-1}} \\ &\quad + dC_{J_{n+q-1}} \\ &= a^2C_J(\alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n+q-2}) + a(c + d)C_{J_{n-2}} + aaC_{J_{n+q-2}} + (b + c)C_{J_{n-1}} \\ &\quad + dC_{J_{n+q-1}} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq a^n C_J(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_q) + (b + c) \sum_{k=1}^n a^{k-1} C_{J_{(n-k)}} + d \sum_{k=1}^n a^{k-1} C_{J_{(n+q-k)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By applying the limit in (3.15) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = 0.$$

Then,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\delta$ . By the completeness definition, there is  $\alpha \in \delta$  where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} C_J(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

In addition,  $u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g\alpha_k = g\alpha$ . Therefore,  $g$  has  $u$  as a fixed point.

Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \delta$  are two fixed point of  $g$ ,  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ , where,  $g\gamma_1 = \gamma_1$ ,  $g\gamma_2 = \gamma_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= C_J(g\gamma_1, g\gamma_1, g\gamma_2) \\ &\leq aC_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) + (b+c)C_J(\gamma_1, g\gamma_1, g\gamma_1) + dC_J(\gamma_2, g\gamma_2, g\gamma_2) \\ &= aC_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) + (b+c)C_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_1) + dC_J(\gamma_2, \gamma_2, \gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(1-a)C_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq 0$ . Using (3.13) so this gives  $C_J(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$  that is  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ , which means that  $g$  has a unique a fixed point.  $\square$

#### 4. Applications

Throughout this section, we represent an example of (3.10), where we have a linear system of equations in  $R$ ,  $\theta$  is a continuous function, and we prove that this system has a unique solution by applying the fixed point theory.

Let  $\delta = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let the symmetric  $C_J$ -metric space  $(\delta, C_J)$  introduced by

$$C_J(d, \xi, \nu) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_i - \xi_i| + |d_i - \nu_i|,$$

for all  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \delta$ . such that

$$\theta(d, \xi, \nu) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (2, |d_i|, |\xi_i|, |\nu_i|).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} \varpi_{11}d_1 + \varpi_{12}d_2 + \varpi_{13}d_3 + \varpi_{1n}d_n = r_1 \\ \varpi_{21}d_1 + \varpi_{22}d_2 + \varpi_{23}d_3 + \varpi_{2n}d_n = r_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varpi_{n1}d_1 + \varpi_{n2}d_2 + \varpi_{n3}d_3 + \varpi_{nn}d_n = r_n \end{cases},$$

if

$$\Upsilon = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |\varpi_{ij}| + |1 + \varpi_{ii}| \right) < 1,$$

then the raised system of linear equations has a solution that is unique.

*Proof.* Let the map  $\sigma : \delta \rightarrow \delta$  introduced as  $\sigma d = (B + I_n)d - r$  where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_{11} & \varpi_{12} & \cdots & \varpi_{1n} \\ \varpi_{21} & \varpi_{22} & \cdots & \varpi_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varpi_{n1} & \varpi_{n2} & \cdots & \varpi_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$



$d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ ;  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $I_n$  is the identity matrix for  $n \times n$  matrices and  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let us show that  $C_J(\sigma d, \sigma \xi, \sigma \nu) \leq \Upsilon C_J(d, \xi, \nu)$ ,  $\forall d, \xi, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We define

$$\tilde{B} = B + I_n = (\tilde{b}_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

with  $\tilde{b}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varpi_{ij}, & j \neq i \\ 1 + \varpi_{ii}, & j = i \end{cases}$ . Hence,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |\varpi_{ij}| + |1 + \varpi_{ii}| \right) = \Upsilon < 1.$$

For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$(\sigma d)_i - (\sigma \xi)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{ij}(d_j - \xi_j), \quad (4.1)$$

$$(\sigma d)_i - (\sigma \nu)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{ij}(d_j - \nu_j). \quad (4.2)$$

Accordingly, using (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_J(\sigma d, \sigma \xi, \sigma \nu) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|(\sigma d)_i - (\sigma \xi)_i| + |(\sigma d)_i - (\sigma \nu)_i|) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| |d_j - \xi_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| |d_j - \nu_j| \right) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (|d_k - \xi_k| + |d_k - \nu_k|) \\ &= \Upsilon C_J(d, \xi, \nu) = \Phi(C_J(d, \xi, \nu)), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\Phi(t) = \Upsilon t$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ . Notice that, all the conditions of Theorem 3.10 are fulfilled. Consequently,  $\sigma$  has a unique fixed point. Accordingly, the raised linear system has a unique solution.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusions

We have introduced a new metric-type spaces, where we have proved fixed point theorems for self-mapping on such spaces. Our results generalize many well-known theorems in the field of fixed point theory. Also, we presented an application of our results to systems of linear equations. As a future work, our results can be used in fractional differential equations see [19–21], which include the most recent fractional definitions “Abu-Shady-Kaabar” fractional derivative. In closing, we would like to bring to the reader’s attention the following open questions. Note that in Theorems 3.10 and 3.11, we assumed that the map is continuous. Can we replace the hypothesis of continuity with a weaker condition? How could  $C_J$ -metric space help in the “Abu-Shady-Kaabar” operators?

## Acknowledgments

The authors S. Subhi and N. Mlaiki would like to thank Prince Sultan University for paying the publication fees for this work through TAS LAB.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

1. N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, A new type of three-dimensional metric spaces with applications to fractional differential equations, *AIMS Math.*, **7** (2022), 17802–17814. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2022980>
2. S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, **3** (1922), 133–181. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181>
3. P. Debnath, N. Konwar, S. Radenović, *Metric fixed point theory*, Applications in Science, Engineering and Behavioural Sciences, Springer, Singapore, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4896-0>
4. P. Debnath, H. M. Srivastava, P. Kumam, B. Hazarika, *Fixed point theory and fractional calculus*, Recent Advances and Applications, Springer Singapore, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0668-8>
5. T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. UL Ain, A generalization of  $b$ -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics*, **5** (2017), 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math5020019>
6. S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, **64** (2012), 258–266.
7. N. Mlaiki,  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mapping on  $S$ -metric space, *Math. Sc. Lett.*, **4** (2015), 9–12.
8. N. Mlaiki, Common fixed points in complex  $S$ -metric space, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, **4** (2014), 509–524.
9. N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, A fixed point in  $S_b$ -metric spaces, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **16** (2016), 131–139. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.016.02.01>
10. N. Souayah, A fixed point in partial  $S_b$ -metric spaces, *An. Șt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța*, **24** (2016), 351–362. <https://doi.org/10.1515/auom-2016-0062>
11. N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, A coincident point principle for two weakly compatible mappings in partial  $S$ -metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9** (2016), 2217–2223. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.05.25>
12. H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, On generalized weakly  $G$ -contraction mapping in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 4222–4229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.10.007>

13. F. Gu, W. Shatanawi, Some new results on common coupled fixed points of two hybrid pairs of mappings in partial metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.*, **2019** (2019), 13. <https://doi.org/10.23952/jnfa.2019.13>
14. S. Romaguera, P. Tirado, A characterization of quasi-metric completeness in terms of  $\alpha$ - $\Psi$ -contractive mappings having fixed points, *Mathematics*, **8** (2020), 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8010016>
15. W. Shatanawi, V. C. Rajić, S. Radenović, A. Al-Rawashhdeh, Mizoguchi-Takahashi-type theorems in tvs-cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2012** (2012), 106. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-106>
16. W. Shatanawi, On  $w$ -compatible mappings and common coupled coincidence point in cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **25** (2012), 925–931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.10.037>
17. H. Huang, V. Todorcevic, S. Radenovic, Remarks on recent results for generalized  $F$ -contractions, *Mathematics*, **10** (2022), 768. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10050768>
18. I. Beg, K. Roy, M. Saha,  $S^{JS}$ -metric and topological spaces, *J. Math. Ext.*, **15** (2021), 1–16.
19. S. Etemad, M. Soudi, B. Telli, M. Kabbar, S. Rezapour, Investigation of the neutral fractional differential inclusions of Katugampola-type involving both retarded and advanced arguments via Kuratowski MNC technique, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2021** (2021), 214. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03377-x>
20. F. Martínez, M. K. A. Kaabar, A noval theoretical investigation of the Abu-Shady-Kabbar fractional derivative as a modeling tool science and engineering, *Comput. Math. Methods Med.*, **2022** (2022), 4119082. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4119082>
21. F. Martínez, I. Martínez, M. K. A. Kaabar, S. Paredesa, Solving systems of conformable linear differential equations via the conformable exponential matrix, *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, **12** (2021), 4075–4080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2021.02.035>



©2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)