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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all functions defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$, which are analytic in $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Furthermore, let us denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ where the functions in $\mathcal{S}$ are also univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ be the subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of functions that are starlike of order $\gamma$ and convex of order $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma<1$. The analytic descriptions of the above two classes are respectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma<1\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\gamma)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma<1\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}(0) \equiv \mathcal{C}$, the class of all starlike and convex functions. Let $0 \leq \gamma<1$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ given in (1.1) with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be in the class of the close-to-convex function of order $\gamma$ if there exists a function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)>\gamma
$$

The class of all close-to-convex functions of order $\gamma$ are denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\gamma)$. For $0 \leq \gamma<1$, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form given in (1.1) with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be in the class of the close-to-star function of order $\gamma$ if there exists a function $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{f(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)>\gamma .
$$

The class of all close-to-star functions of order $\gamma$ are denoted by $C S^{*}(\gamma)$. For details on close-toconvex functions and close-to-star functions, one may refer to [20] and [28,29] (see [2] also).

It is already known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ that is defined by

$$
\left(f^{-1} \odot f\right)(z)=z(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

and

$$
\left(f \odot f^{-1}\right)(w)=w\left(|w|<r_{0}(h) ; r_{0}(f) \geq 1 / 4\right.
$$

(for details see [12]). It is to be remarked here that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and of the form (1.1), the inverse $f^{-1}$ may have an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{D}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=g(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ denote the class of functions of the form (1.1) defined on $\mathbb{D}$, for which the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and its inverse $f^{-1} \equiv g$ with Taylor series expansion as in (1.4), and both are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g(z)$ is an univalent extension of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\sigma$ denote the class of all bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$. The functions $\frac{z}{1-z}$, $\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ and $-\log (1-z)$ are in the class $\sigma$. It is interesting to note that the famous Koebe function $\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ is not bi-univalent. Lewin [21] investigated the class of bi-univalent functions $\sigma$ and obtained a bound $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.51$. Further, Brannan and Clunie [5] and Brannan and Taha [6] also worked on certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\sigma$ and obtained the bounds for their initial coefficients. The study of bi-univalent functions gained concentration as well as thrust, mainly due to the investigation of Srivastava et al. [32]. Brannan and Taha [6] defined the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\gamma$ and bi-convex functions of order $\gamma$. The bounds on $\left|a_{n}\right|(n=2,3)$ for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ (for details see [6]) were established and non-sharp. Subsequent to Brannan and Taha [6], lots of researchers ( [1], [7], [10-18], [22], [24], [35-37]) in recent times have introduced
and investigated several interesting subclasses of the class $\sigma$. They have obtained the bounds on the initial two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for the new bi-univalent classes, which they introduced and identified as non-sharp.

For $0 \leq \gamma<1$, let $\mathcal{N}(\gamma)$ denote the class of all functions of the form (1.1) and satisfy the condition $\mathfrak{R}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\gamma$. This is called the class of functions whose derivatives have a positive real part of order $\gamma$.

For $0 \leq \gamma<1$, a function $f \in \sigma$ given in (1.1) with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ if

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\gamma
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(g^{\prime}(w)\right)>\gamma .
$$

The class $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ was discussed in [32]. For $0 \leq \gamma<1$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(\gamma)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \sigma$ and of the form (1.1) and satisfy the conditions

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\varrho z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>\gamma
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(g^{\prime}(w)+\varrho w g^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)>\gamma .
$$

For $\varrho=0, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(\gamma) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$. This class $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(\gamma)$ involving complex order was considered in [33].
For $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $\tau \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\gamma)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \sigma$ and of the form (1.1) and satisfy the conditions

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left((1-\tau) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\tau f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\gamma
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left((1-\tau) \frac{g(w)}{w}+\tau g^{\prime}(w)\right)>\gamma .
$$

For $\tau=1, \mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{1}(\gamma) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$. The class $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{\tau}(\gamma)$ was investigated in [13]. As a matter of fact, it is to be mentioned that the class of bi-close-to-convex functions of order $\gamma$ in the sense of Kaplan was studied by [31], and the class of bi-close-to-convex functions was also studied by Cho et al. [8].

Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \gamma<1$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ denote the class of functions $p$, which are analytic and normalized with $p(0)=1$, satisfying the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{\mathfrak{R}(p(z))-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right| d \theta \leq k \pi
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{D}$. The class $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ was introduced by Padmanabhan and Parvatham [26] (see also [22]). If $\gamma=0$, we denote $\mathcal{P}_{k}(0)$ as $\mathcal{P}_{k}$. Hence, the class $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ (defined by Pinchuk [27]) represents the class of analytic functions $p(z)$ with $p(0)=1$, and the function $p \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$ will be having a representation

$$
p(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1-z e^{i t}}{1+z e^{i t}}\right| d \mu(t)
$$

where $\mu$ is a real-valued function with a bounded variation satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leq k, k \geq 2
$$

Remark 1. $\mathcal{P} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{2}$ is the class of analytic functions with a positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$, familiarly called as the class of Carathéodory functions.

For the class $\mathcal{P}_{k}$, the following lemma was proved.
Lemma 1. [27] For $p \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$, there exists $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
p(z)=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) p_{1}(z)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) p_{2}(z) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{k}(\gamma)$ represent the class of analytic functions $h(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $h(0)=0, h^{\prime}(0)=1$ and satisfying

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

This class generalizes the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma)$ of starlike functions of the order $\gamma$, investigated by Robertson [30]. For $\gamma=0$, we get the class $\mathcal{R}_{k}(0) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{k}$, the class of all functions of bounded radius rotation. Therefore, the functions $h \in \mathcal{R}_{k}$ will be having a representation

$$
h(z)=z \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\log \left(1-z e^{i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right\}
$$

where $\mu$ is a real-valued function with a bounded variation satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leq k, k \geq 2
$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma)$ denote the class of all analytic functions $h(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ normalized by $h(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(0)=1$, satisfying

$$
1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1
$$

For $\gamma=0$, we get the class $\mathcal{V}_{k}(0) \equiv \mathcal{V}_{k}$, the class of all analytic functions of a bounded boundary rotation studied by Paatero [25]. Therefore, the functions $h \in \mathcal{V}_{k}$ will be having a representation

$$
h^{\prime}(z)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}-\log \left(1-z e^{i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right\}
$$

where $\mu$ is a real-valued function with a bounded variation satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leq k, k \geq 2
$$

The class $\mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma)$ generalizes the class of all convex functions $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ of order $\gamma$, introduced by Robertson [30]. An interesting connection for the classes $\mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{k}(\gamma)$ with $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ was established by Pinchuk [27] and are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(z) \in \mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma) \Longleftrightarrow 1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \\
& h(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{k}(\gamma) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
h(z) \in \mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma) \Longleftrightarrow z h^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ whose members are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. It was pointed out by Paatero [25] that $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ whenever $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Pinchuk [27] also proved that functions in $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ are close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ if $2 \leq k \leq 4$ and, hence, are univalent. Brannan [4] showed that $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ is a subclass of the class $\mathcal{K}(\gamma)$ of the close-to-convex of order $\gamma=\frac{k}{2}-1$. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k}(\gamma)$ and $n=2,3$, then the sharp results are $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{k}{2}$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k^{2}+2}{6}$ (see [34]).
Lemma 2. [3,22] If $\Psi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}$ be such that $\Psi \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\gamma), n \geq 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider that the functions $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\gamma), \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\gamma), \forall n \geq 1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. [12, Theorem 2.14, p.44] If $\phi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}$ is a starlike function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}\right| \leq n, \forall n \geq 2 . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. [19] If $\phi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}$ is a starlike function, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left|g_{3}-\mu g_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}3-4 \mu & \text { for } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{1.11}\\ 1 & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1 \\ 4 \mu-3 & \text { for } \mu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

In the current article, we introduce new classes of bi-univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation. For these new classes, the authors first obtain two initial coefficient bounds. They also verify the special cases where the familiar Brannan and Clunie's conjecture are satisfied. Furthermore, the famous Fekete-Szegö inequality is obtained for these new classes of functions. The results of this article gives few interesting corollaries. Apart from a few of the results that generalize the earlier results existing in the literature, it also improvises the results of Srivastava et al. [32] and Frasin and Aouf [13].

Presume that if $f$ is given by (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=f^{-1}$.
For

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=z+g_{2} z^{2}+g_{3} z^{3}+g_{4} z^{4}+\cdots, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

one may get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(w)=w-g_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 g_{2}^{2}-g_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 g_{2}^{3}-5 g_{2} g_{3}+g_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\phi^{-1}(w)=\psi(w)$.
Throughout this article, unless or otherwise stated, $g, \phi$ and $\psi$ will have Taylor expansions as in (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14).

## 2. Coefficient bounds for $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$

Definition 1. Suppose $0 \leq \gamma<1,2 \leq k \leq 4$ and $\eta \geq 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ given by (1.1) such that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Then, $f$ is said to be $\eta$-bi-close-to-star with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$ if there exists functions $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)+(1-\eta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{\phi(z)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{\psi(w)}\right)+(1-\eta)\left(\frac{g(w)}{\psi(w)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the analytic continuation of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$. The class of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$.
Remark 2. (i) For $\eta=1$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{1}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$, the class of bi-close-to-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
(ii) For $\eta=1$ and $\gamma=0$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{1}(k, 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k)$, the class of bi-close-to-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation.
(iii) For $\eta=1$ and $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{1}(2, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$, the class of bi-close-to-convex functions of order $\gamma$.
(iv) For $\eta=0$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{0}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$, the class of bi-close-to-star functions with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
(v) For $\eta=0$ and $\gamma=0$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{1}(k, 0) \equiv \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k)$, the class of bi-close-to-star functions with bounded boundary rotation.
(vi) For $\eta=0$ and $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{0}(2, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma)$, the class of bi-close-to-star functions of order $\gamma$.

Next, we obtain the initial coefficient bounds and $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$.
Theorem 1. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2+k(1-\gamma)}{1+\eta}, \sqrt{\frac{4+3 k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta}}\right\},  \tag{2.3}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{3+3 k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, if $\mu$ is real, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(3-4 \mu)+3 k(1-\gamma)(1-\mu)] & \text { for } \mu<0,  \tag{2.5}\\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(3-4 \mu)+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } 0 \leq \mu<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[1+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu<1 \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(4 \mu-3)+k(1-\gamma)(2 \mu-1)] & \text { for } 1 \leq \mu<2 \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(4 \mu-3)+3 k(1-\gamma)(\mu-1)] & \text { for } \mu \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $g, \phi$ and $\psi$ be given in the form (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14). Since $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$, there exists analytic functions $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)+(1-\eta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)=p(z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{\psi(w)}\right)+(1-\eta)\left(\frac{g(w)}{\psi(w)}\right)=q(w) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)+(1-\eta) f(z)=p(z) \phi(z) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(w g^{\prime}(w)\right)+(1-\eta) g(w)=q(w) \psi(w) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+\eta) a_{2}=g_{2}+p_{1}  \tag{2.12}\\
(1+2 \eta) a_{3}=g_{3}+g_{2} p_{1}+p_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(1+\eta) a_{2}=-g_{2}+q_{1} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2 \eta)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=-g_{3}+2 g_{2}^{2}-g_{2} q_{1}+q_{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2.12) and (2.14), we get $p_{1}=-q_{1}$. The addition of (2.13) and (2.15) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+2 \eta) a_{2}^{2}=q_{2}+p_{2}+g_{2}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)+2 g_{2}^{2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the relation $p_{1}=-q_{1}$ and using Lemma 3, (1.8), (1.9) and applying in (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+2 \eta)\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq 8+6 k(1-\gamma) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.12) and (2.17) essentially gives (2.3). Using Lemma 3, (1.8), (1.9) and applying in (2.13), we have (2.4).

Now, by (2.13) and (2.16) and for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{1+2 \eta}\left[g_{3}-\mu g_{2}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{1+2 \eta} g_{2} p_{1}[1-\mu]+\frac{1}{2(1+2 \eta)} p_{2}[2-\mu]-\frac{1}{2(1+2 \eta)} q_{2} \mu . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}\left|g_{3}-\mu g_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{2 k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta}|1-\mu|+\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+2 \eta)}[|2-\mu|+|\mu|] . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 4, we get (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
For the particular choice of $\eta=1$, Theorem 1 gives the following coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$ and is stated as a corollary below.

Corollary 1. Let $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2+k(1-\gamma)}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{4+3 k(1-\gamma)}{3}}\right\}=\sqrt{\frac{4+3 k(1-\gamma)}{3}},  \tag{2.20}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 1+k(1-\gamma) \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3}[(3-4 \mu)+3 k(1-\gamma)(1-\mu)] & \text { for } \mu<0,  \tag{2.22}\\ \frac{1}{3}[(3-4 \mu)+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } 0 \leq \mu<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3}[1+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu<1, \\ \frac{1}{3}[(4 \mu-3)+k(1-\gamma)(2 \mu-1)] & \text { for } 1 \leq \mu<2 \\ \frac{1}{3}[(4 \mu-3)+3 k(1-\gamma)(\mu-1)] & \text { for } \mu \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Remark 3. It is evident to note that the familiar Brannan and Clunie's conjecture is true for $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$, the class of bi-close-to-convex functions with a bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$, for all $2 \leq k \leq 4$ if $\frac{3 k-2}{3 k} \leq \gamma<1$.

For the particular choice of $\eta=0$, Theorem 1 gives the following coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{C S} S_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$ and is stated as a corollary below.
Corollary 2. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $C S_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$. Further, if $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \{2+k(1-\gamma), \sqrt{4+3 k(1-\gamma)}\}=\sqrt{4+3 k(1-\gamma)},  \tag{2.23}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 3+3 k(1-\gamma) \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}(3-4 \mu)+3 k(1-\gamma)(1-\mu) & \text { for } \mu<0  \tag{2.25}\\ (3-4 \mu)+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu) & \text { for } 0 \leq \mu<\frac{1}{2} \\ 1+k(1-\gamma)(3-2 \mu) & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu<1 \\ (4 \mu-3)+k(1-\gamma)(2 \mu-1) & \text { for } 1 \leq \mu<2 \\ (4 \mu-3)+3 k(1-\gamma)(\mu-1) & \text { for } \mu \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

For the particular choice of $\gamma=0$, we denote $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$ by $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k)$. For the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k)$, Theorem 1 reduces the following corollary.
Corollary 3. If $f$ given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k)$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2+k}{1+\eta}, \sqrt{\frac{4+3 k}{1+2 \eta}}\right\} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{3(1+k)}{1+2 \eta}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(3-4 \mu)+3 k(1-\mu)] & \text { for } \mu<0, \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(3-4 \mu)+k(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } 0 \leq \mu<\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[1+k(3-2 \mu)] & \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu<1 \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(4 \mu-3)+k(2 \mu-1)] & \text { for } 1 \leq \mu<2 \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \eta}[(4 \mu-3)+3 k(\mu-1)] & \text { for } \mu \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

If we choose the function $\phi(z)=z$, we can get the following Theorem 2 very similar to that of Theorem 1. For the choice of $\phi(z)=z$, let us denote the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$ by $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[k, \gamma]$. Indeed, the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[k, \gamma]$ will consist of all functions $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1) and satisfy the conditions

$$
(1-\eta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\eta f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

and

$$
(1-\eta) \frac{g(w)}{w}+\eta g^{\prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

where $g$ is the analytic continuation of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$. However, for obtaining the bounds for the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[k, \gamma]$, the calculation needs to be reworked and we omit the details involved.

Theorem 2. If $f$ given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[k, \gamma], 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+\eta}, \sqrt{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta}}\right\},  \tag{2.26}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta},  \tag{2.27}\\
\left|a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. For the choice of $k=2$, Theorem 2 improves the bound of $\left|a_{3}\right|$ and verifies the bound of $\left|a_{2}\right|$, obtained by Frasin and Aouf [13].

For the particular choice of $k=2$, we denote $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[k, \gamma]$ by $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[\gamma]$. For the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[\gamma]$, Theorem 2 reduces the following corollary.
Corollary 4. If $f$ given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}[\gamma]$ and $0 \leq \gamma<1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+\eta}, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta}}\right\}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(5-3 \gamma)}{1+2 \eta} \\
& \quad\left|a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+2 \eta} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5. (i) Since

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{3} \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(5-3 \gamma)}{3},
$$

Corollary 4 verifies that the bound of $\left|a_{3}\right|$ is less than that of the bound given by Srivastava et al. [32].
(ii) For the particular choice of $\eta=1$ in Theorem 2, we have the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{1}[k, \gamma] \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$, consisting of all functions $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1) and satisfying the conditions

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

Finally, we will verify whether the Brannan and Clunie's conjecture is satisfied for the class $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$, and it is stated in the following corollary.
Corollary 5. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^{\eta}(k, \gamma)$, then for $\frac{3 k+2-4 \eta}{3 k} \leq \gamma<1, \eta \geq 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}
$$

## 3. Coefficient bounds for $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma)$

We start the section by introducing the definition of $\alpha$-bi-convex function of a bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
Definition 2. Suppose $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $\alpha$ be real. A function $f$ given by (1.1) is said to be $\alpha$-bi-convex function with a bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$ with $f(z) \cdot f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ if for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the analytic continuation of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$. The class of all $\alpha$-bi-convex functions with a bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$ are denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma)$.
Remark 6. (i) For $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(2, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(\gamma)$, the class of $\alpha$-bi-convex functions of order $\gamma$.
(ii) For $\alpha=0$, we get $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{0}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$ [22], the class of bi-starlike functions with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
(iii) For $\alpha=0$ and $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{0}(2, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma)$, the class of bi-starlike functions of order $\gamma$.
(iv) For $\alpha=1$, we get $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{1}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$ [22], the class of bi-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
(v) For $\alpha=1$ and $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{1}(2, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$, the class of bi-convex functions of order $\gamma$.

Theorem 3. If $f$ given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+\alpha}},  \tag{3.3}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+\alpha},  \tag{3.4}\\
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+2 \alpha)} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+2 \alpha)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{3+5 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)}$ and $\delta=\frac{1+3 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)}$.
Proof. Let $g$ be given in the form (1.12). Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(k, \gamma)$, there exists analytic functions $p, q \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=p(z) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)=q(w) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) z f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha\left(f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) f(z)=p(z) f^{\prime}(z) f(z) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) w g^{\prime}(w) g^{\prime}(w)+\alpha\left(g^{\prime}(w)+w g^{\prime \prime}(w)\right) g(w)=q(w) g^{\prime}(w) g(w) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+\alpha) a_{2}=p_{1},  \tag{3.13}\\
2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}=(1+3 \alpha) a_{2}^{2}+p_{2},  \tag{3.14}\\
-(1+\alpha) a_{2}=q_{1} \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+2 \alpha)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)+2 a_{2}^{2}=-3 a_{2} q_{1}+q_{2} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (3.13) and (3.15), we get $p_{1}=-q_{1}$. The addition of (3.14) and (3.16) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+\alpha) a_{2}^{2}=p_{2}+q_{2} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (1.8) and (1.9) in (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+\alpha} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This essentially gives (3.3). An application of (3.18), (1.8) and (1.9) in (3.14) at once gives (3.4). Now, (3.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{1+3 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{p_{2}}{2(1+2 \alpha)} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{\left|p_{2}\right|}{2(1+2 \alpha)} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+2 \alpha)}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{1+3 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (3.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{3+5 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{-q_{2}}{2(1+2 \alpha)} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{\left|q_{2}\right|}{2(1+2 \alpha)} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+2 \alpha)}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{3+5 \alpha}{2(1+2 \alpha)} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

For the particular choice of the function $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 3, we have the following coefficient bounds for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$.

Corollary 6. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{k(1-\gamma)}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq k(1-\gamma), \\
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{3}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2} .
$$

Remark 7. For the choice of $k=2$, Corollary 6 verifies the coefficient bounds of $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$, obtained by Mishra and Soren [23], for the class of bi-starlike functions of order $\gamma$.

For the particular choice of the function $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 3, we have the following coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma)$.

Corollary 7. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(k, \gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2}}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2} \\
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{3}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{6} .
$$

## 4. Coefficient bounds for $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma)$

Definition 3. Suppose $0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $\beta$ be real. A function $f$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma)$ if it satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\beta \frac{w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g(w)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the analytic continuation of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$.
A similar type of the class with the left hand side expression involving subordination was studied in [1], [9] and [38].

Remark 8. (i) For $\beta=0$, we get $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, 0, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$, the class of bi-starlike functions with bounded boundary rotation of order $\gamma$.
(ii) For $\beta=0$ and $k=2$, we get $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(2,0, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\gamma)$, the class of bi-starlike functions of order $\gamma$.
(iii) For $\beta=0, k=2$ and $\gamma=0$, we get $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(2,0,0) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}$, the class of bi-starlike functions.

Theorem 4. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+4 \beta}},  \tag{4.3}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+4 \beta},  \tag{4.4}\\
\left|a_{3}-\chi a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+3 \beta)} \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-v a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+3 \beta)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi=\frac{3+10 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)}$ and $v=\frac{1+2 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)}$.
Proof. Let $g$ be given in the form (1.12). Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \beta, \gamma)$, there exists analytic functions $p, q \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}=p(z) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\beta \frac{w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g(w)}=q(w) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)=p(z) f(z) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w g^{\prime}(w)+\beta w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)=q(w) g(w) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Eqs (4.11) and (4.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+2 \beta) a_{2}=p_{1}  \tag{4.13}\\
2(1+3 \beta) a_{3}=a_{2} p_{1}+p_{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(1+2 \beta) a_{2}=q_{1} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+3 \beta)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=-a_{2} q_{1}+q_{2} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (4.13) and (4.15), we get $p_{1}=-q_{1}$. By an addition of (4.14) and (4.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(1+3 \beta) a_{2}^{2}=a_{2}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)+p_{2}+q_{2} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying relation $p_{1}=-q_{1}$ in (4.17) and using Eq (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+4 \beta) a_{2}^{2}=p_{2}+q_{2} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (1.8) and (1.9) in (4.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{1+4 \beta} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This essentially gives (4.3). An application of (4.13), (1.8) and (1.9) in (4.14) at once gives (4.4). Now, Eq (4.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{3+10 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{-q_{2}}{2(1+3 \beta)} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\chi a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{\left|q_{2}\right|}{2(1+3 \beta)} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+3 \beta)}, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{3+10 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)} . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Eq (4.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\frac{1+2 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{p_{1}}{2(1+3 \beta)} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-v a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{\left|p_{1}\right|}{2(1+3 \beta)} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{2(1+3 \beta)}, \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1+2 \beta}{2(1+3 \beta)} . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.
Remark 9. (i) For $\beta=0, \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, 0, \gamma) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(k, \gamma)$ and Theorem 4 reduce to Corollary 6.
(ii) For $\beta=0$ and $k=2$, Theorem 4 reduces to the coefficient bounds of $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$, given by Mishra and Soren [23].

For $\beta=0, \gamma=0$ and $k=2$, Theorem 4 reduces to the following corollary as stated below.

Corollary 8. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(2,0,0) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 2, \\
\left|a_{3}-\frac{3}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1 .
$$

## 5. Coefficient bounds for class $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(k, \gamma)$

Definition 4. Suppose $0 \leq \gamma<1, \varrho \geq 0$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(k, \gamma)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1) and satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)+\varrho z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(w)+\varrho w g^{\prime \prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the analytic continuation of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{D}$.
Theorem 5. Let $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(k, \gamma), \varrho \geq 0,0 \leq \gamma<1$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{k(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2 \varrho)}}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2 \varrho)} \tag{5.4}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{3(1+2 \varrho)} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $g$ be given in the form (1.12). Since $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\varrho}(k, \gamma)$, there exists analytic functions $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\gamma)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)+\varrho z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=p(z) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(w)+\varrho w g^{\prime \prime}(w)=q(w) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.8) and (5.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+\varrho) a_{2}=p_{1} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3(1+2 \varrho) a_{3}=p_{2}  \tag{5.11}\\
& -2(1+\varrho) a_{2}=q_{1} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3(1+2 \varrho)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=q_{2} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (5.11) and (5.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{p_{2}+q_{2}}{6(1+\varrho)} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (1.8) and (1.9) in (5.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{k(1-\gamma)}{3(1+\varrho)} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This essentially yields (5.3). An application of (1.9) in (5.11) at once gives (5.4). Now, Eq (5.13) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}=\frac{-q_{2}}{3(1+2 \varrho)} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of (5.12) in (5.16) gives (5.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.
Remark 10. For $k=2$, Theorem 5 verifies the $\left|a_{2}\right|$ bound and improves the bound of $\left|a_{3}\right|$ obtained in [33].

## Concluding remarks and observations

In this article, we investigated the estimates of second and third Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions of order $\gamma$ with bounded boundary rotation. Also, interesting Fekete-Szegö coefficient estimates for functions in these subclasses were obtained. The authors have verified the special cases where the familiar Brannan and Clunie's conjecture were satisfied. Interesting remarks on the main results including improvements of the earlier bounds were also given. Apart from these remarks, which are given in the present article, more corollaries and remarks can be stated for the choice of $\gamma=0$, and those details are omitted.
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