Mathematics

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 8(11): 25772–25803.
DOI:10.3934/math.20231315
Received: 17 May 2023
Revised: 10 July 2023
Accepted: 18 July 2023
Published: 07 September 2023

Research article

The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis on elliptic complex fields

Xian Hemingway*

Department of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha 410000, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: amicc-wx@outlook.com.

Abstract: In this paper, we will introduce a new algebraic system called the elliptic complex, and consider the distribution of zeros of the function $L(s,\chi)$ in the corresponding complex plane. The key to this article is to discover the limiting case of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis on elliptic complex fields and, taking a series of elliptic complex fields as variables, to study the ordinary properties of their distributions about the non-trivial zeros of $L(s,\chi)$. It is on the basis of these considerations that we will draw the following conclusions. First, the zeros of the function $L(s,\chi)$ on any two elliptic complex planes correspond one-to-one. Then, all non-trivial zeros of the $L(s,\chi)$ function on each elliptic complex plane are distributed on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ due to the critical case of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Ultimately we proved the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

Keywords: the elliptic complex; the normal ellipse; the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ and $\xi(s,\chi)$; the critical case of GRH; the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis
Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R42, 11R54

1. Introduction

It is well known that Riemann studied the distribution of nontrivial zeros of the function $\zeta(s)$ in the complex plane and obtained the conclusion that the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ were necessarily distributed in the critical region $0 < \Re(s) < 1$ and symmetric about the point $s = 1/2$. He ventured to guess that the nontrivial zeros of the function $\zeta(s)$ were distributed on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. This hypothesis has not been proved so far.

In order to solve the problem of the distribution of primes in arithmetic series, Dirichlet introduced the Dirichlet function $L(s,\chi)$. After analysis, mathematicians also gave the conjecture that the zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ are all distributed on the line $\Re(s) = 1/2$.

In the present paper, we will construct the binary algebraic system shown below as a basis for the study of the L-function, which is also the key to solving the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

Definition 1.1. [1] Denote by $\forall x, y \in R$, the numbers of the form $z = x + iy$ as the elliptic complexes, where i satisfies $i^2 = \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^-$. The set of all elliptic complexes is denoted $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

To avoid confusion we will refer to the elliptic complex with $\lambda = -1$ as the circular complex, i.e., the complex $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{-1}$ invented by the mathematical predecessors.

It is easy to show that all elliptic complexes satisfying the definition are divisible algebraic numbers that satisfy both the multiplicative exchange law and the multiplicative combined law, and are the number fields.

Let $z^* = x - iy$ be the conjugate complex of the complex z with $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, similarly, while $N(z) = zz^*$ be the norm of the complex z which obviously satisfies $N(z_1)N(z_2) = N(z_1 z_2)$. Correspondingly, the distance from the origin to the complex number z is $|z| = \sqrt{N(z)}$, which is also known as the modulus of the complex z. Typically, the distance between any two complex numbers z_1 and z_2 is $|z_1 - z_2|$, which can also be derived accordingly.

The calculus is subsequently first introduced into the study of the elliptic complexes to reach some basic conclusions on the theory of the elliptic complex functions where the results not relevant to this paper are not given. These conclusions are used to study the basic properties and theory of the function $\zeta(s)$ on the elliptic complex fields.

2. Preliminaries

Suppose that $p = -\lambda = q^2$ would be made throughout the rest for convenience, and according to the basic operations of elliptic complexes, it is easy to prove [1, 2]

$$e^{x+\frac{i}{q}y} = e^x\left(\cos y + \frac{i}{q}\sin y\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where e is the base of the natural logarithm, and $\sin\theta$ and $\cos\theta$ are the sine and cosine functions of θ, respectively. This is Euler's formula on elliptic complex fields whose proof, including some of the details as follows, would be detailed in Appendix A.

For a point $A(x, y)$ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, let the origin of the coordinates be O, then, the corresponding vector $\overrightarrow{OA} = x + iy$. Now, consider the vector $\overrightarrow{OB} = i\overrightarrow{OA} = -py + ix$, which coordinates of point B is $B(-py, x)$. Thus it leads to the fact that

$$k_{OA} \cdot k_{OB} = (-1) \times \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \tag{2.2}$$

where, with the case of $y = 0$ in particular, easy to get clearly that the vector $\overrightarrow{OA}$ is on the x-axis and the vector $\overrightarrow{OB}$ on the y-axis in the complex plane. It can be seen that when $\lambda \neq -1$, the coordinate system corresponding to the elliptic complex plane is no longer a right-angle coordinate system, but a oblique coordinates system.

It is easy to get that $\varphi = \frac{\arcsin 1}{q}$ is the angle between the positive y-axis and the positive x-axis in the xOy coordinate system, so that a positive or negative q characterizes the chirality of the coordinate system where in particular the negative q corresponds to the left-handed coordinate system.

2.1. *The normal ellipse*

In order to clarify the representation of an ellipse in the elliptic complex plane, we need to redefine the ellipse.

Definition 2.1. An ellipse of the form $C : \frac{x^2}{a^2}+\frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1, a > b > 0$ is defined to have the (real) eccentricity of $e = \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2}}$ and two real foci of $F_1 = \left(\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}, 0\right)$ and $F_2 = \left(-\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}, 0\right)$, while the imaginary eccentricity of $e' = \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2}{b^2}}$ and two imaginary foci of $F_1' = \left(0, \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}\right)$ and $F_2' = \left(0, -\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}\right)$.

By the definition of the norm and modulus of the elliptic complex $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$,

$$\frac{x^2}{N(z)} + \frac{y^2}{\frac{N(z)}{q^2}} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{x^2}{|z|^2} + \frac{y^2}{\left(\frac{|z|}{q}\right)^2} = 1, \tag{2.3}$$

whose geometric meaning is an ellipse centred on the origin of the coordinates in the complex plane. In the case where $0 < |q| < 1$, the long semi-axis of the ellipse is $m = \frac{|z|}{|q|}$ and the short semi-axis is $n = |z|$, and the direction of the short axis at this point is said to be the direction of the major axis of the ellipse. When $|q| \geq 1$, the opposite is true and the direction of the long axis is said to be the direction of the major axis of the ellipse. The length of the long axis (or short axis) in the direction of the major axis is called the major axis length of the ellipse and half of the major axis length is called the principal diameter. An ellipse with the principal semidiameter of 1 is called a unit ellipse.

Definition 2.2. When $0 < |q| < 1$, an ellipse in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is said to be the normal ellipse with its (real) eccentricity satisfing $e = \sqrt{\left|1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right|}$ and the direction of its principal axis being parallel to the x-axis. When $|q| > 1$, an ellipse in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is said to be the normal ellipse with its imaginary eccentricity satisfing $e' = \sqrt{\left|1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right|}$ and the direction of its principal axis being parallel to the x-axis, at which time the eccentricity of the normal ellipse is also $e = \sqrt{\left|1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right|}$. In a special way, the normal ellipse of the circular complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{-1}$ is a circle.

It is easy to know that the distance from any point on a normal ellipse to the center of the ellipse is equal and all equal to its principal semidiameter. Further, the normal ellipse with z_0 as the centre and r as the principal semidiameter can be expressed as $|z - z_0| = r$.

The normal ellipse is the most fundamental geometric element in the elliptic complex plane, and its use in many proofs in analysis is as important as that of the circle in the circular complex plane. We consider that any geometric object in the complex plane is articulated by a series of normal ellipses $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \cdots, \Gamma_n, \cdots$, whose corresponding principal diameters $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, \cdots$ satisfy $\max(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, \cdots) \to 0$.

Where not otherwise specified, all references to ellipses below are to the normal ellipses.

2.2. *Basic results for the elliptic complex functions*

Using the mathematician's method of introducing calculus to circular complexes, we would arrive at the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ be a function of the complex variable defined on a region D of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, then, a sufficient condition for $f(z)f(z)$ at $z = x + iy \in D$ is that the functions $u(x, y), v(x, y)$ are differentiable at (x, y) and satisfy [2, 3]*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -q^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \tag{2.4}$$

where q meets $i^2 = \lambda = -q^2 (q \in \mathbb{R}^*)$.

The proofs of Theorems 2.3, together with the other propositions and theorems below, are detailed in Appendix B.

The basic elementary functions can be defined on elliptic complex fields next, and it is easy to know that they are all analytic functions.

1) The exponential function: $e^z = e^x \left(\cos y + \frac{i}{q} \sin y\right)$, where $z = x + \frac{i}{q} y$;

2) The trigonometric functions: the cosine and sine functions are defined as [2]

$$\cos z = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\frac{z}{q}} + e^{-i\frac{z}{q}}\right), \ \sin z = \frac{q}{2i}\left(e^{i\frac{z}{q}} - e^{-i\frac{z}{q}}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

where it is easy to yield that the zero of the function $\sin z$ is $k\pi$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ and of the function $\cos z$ is $(k + \frac{1}{2})\pi$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. Further, there are the following conclusions apparently.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ be two arbitrary complex planes, then, the function e^z has the same value on $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ if and only if z is a real number. Similarly, the functions $\cos z$ and $\sin z$ have the same properties.*

Proof. Suppose $z = a + ib$, then, $e^z = e^a \left[\cos(qb) + \frac{i}{q} \sin(qb)\right]$. As q is a non-zero constant, the function e^z is equal on any two complex planes $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ only if $b = 0$, i.e., z is a real number, which leads to $e^z = e^a$.

The same conclusion can be likewise drawn due to the fact that [2, 4]

$$\cos z = \frac{q}{2i}\left(e^{-qb} - e^{qb}\right)\sin a + \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-qb} + e^{qb}\right)\cos a,$$

which leads to $\cos z = \cos a$ if $b = 0$, and

$$\sin z = \frac{q}{2i}\left(e^{-qb} - e^{qb}\right)\cos a + \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-qb} + e^{qb}\right)\sin a,$$

which leads to $\sin z = \sin a$ if $b = 0$. □

Now, define $\sinh z = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{2}$ and $\cosh z = \frac{e^z + e^{-z}}{2}$ as the hyperbolic sine function and hyperbolic cosine function of z, respectively. The definitions of the other trigonometric functions are the same as those on the circular complex plane and are of no further interest here.

3) The definition of a argument function on an elliptic complex domain is the same as on a circular complex domain. Define the logarithmic function as

$$w = \operatorname{Log} z = \log |z| + \frac{i}{q} \operatorname{Arg} z. \tag{2.6}$$

As for the power and radical functions, they are also derived on the basis of logarithmic functions, which would not be listed here.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, then, $z = z^*$ holds when $\lambda \to 0^-$.*

Proof. Since z can be expressed as $z = Re^{i\theta}$, the equation $z = z^*$ clearly holds when $R = 0$. When $R \neq 0$, if $\lambda = -q^2 \to 0^-$, then, based on Eq (A.1) in Appendix A.

$$\frac{z}{z^*} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0^-} e^{2i\theta} = \lim_{q \to 0} \left[\cos(2q\theta) + \frac{i}{q} \sin(2q\theta) \right] = 1,$$

which means that the equation $z = z^*$ holds constantly [2, 3, 5]. □

In fact, Proposition 2.5 tells us that the geometric plane corresponding to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as $\lambda \to 0^-$ is a plane where the angle between the x-axis and the y-axis tends to zero.

Consider the integral of the function $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ on the curve C in the elliptic complex plane, which is easily accessible by Eq (2.4).

$$\int_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x - q^2 v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y + i \int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x + v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y. \tag{2.7}$$

With regard to the integral operations, the following results are available.

Proposition 2.6. *Let C be the elliptical circumference $|z - \alpha| = \rho$, taking the positive direction of the complex plane, then, we will see that [2]*

$$\int_C \frac{1}{(z - \alpha)^n}\mathrm{d}z = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi i}{q}, & n = 1; \\ 0, & n \neq 1, n \in Z, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

which is essential for the derivation of the elliptic complex analysis.

Proof. It is clear that $C : |z - \alpha| = \rho$, i.e. $C : z = \alpha + \rho e^{i\frac{t}{q}}$ $(0 \leq t \leq 2\pi)$, thus $\mathrm{d}z = i\frac{\rho}{q}e^{i\frac{t}{q}}\mathrm{d}t$. When $n = 1$, the integral sought is

$$\int_C \frac{1}{z - \alpha}\mathrm{d}z = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i\frac{\rho}{q}e^{i\frac{t}{q}}\mathrm{d}t}{\rho e^{i\frac{t}{q}}} = \frac{2\pi i}{q}.$$

As $n \neq 1$, the integral is

$$\int_C \frac{1}{(z - \alpha)^n}\mathrm{d}z = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i\frac{\rho}{q}e^{i\frac{t}{q}}\mathrm{d}t}{\rho^n e^{i\frac{nt}{q}}} = \frac{i}{q\rho^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\frac{i}{q}(n-1)t}\mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

The proposition is thus proved. □

Similarly, there is a corresponding Cauchy integral theorem for curves in the elliptic complex plane as follows.

Theorem 2.7. *Let C be any simple closed curve in the region D, then,*

$$\int_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

As a further step, the integration equation can be accessed by combining Eq (2.8) with Cauchy's integral theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let D be a bounded region bounded by a finite number of simple closed curves C, and the function $f(z)$ be analytic over the closed region $\overline{D}$ consisting of D and C, then, with $\forall z \in D$ there is the formula*

$$f(z) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta, \tag{2.10}$$

and the higher order derivative formula

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{q \cdot n!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} \mathrm{d}\zeta \ (n = 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{2.11}$$

With reference to the basic theory of series, the results on the elliptic complex fields are almost identical to those on the circular complex fields and will not be repeated. As a matter of course, the following conclusions are drawn with regard to the residues.

Theorem 2.9. *Supposing D being a bounded region in the complex plane whose boundary is a (or a finite composition of) simple closed curves, if the function $f(z)$ is analytic in D except for a finite number of isolated singularities $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n$, and also analytic on the boundary $C = \partial D$, then, there is [2, 5]*

$$\oint_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \frac{2\pi i}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Res}\,(f, z_k), \tag{2.12}$$

where the integral along C is drawn in the positive direction* about region D in the complex plane.

2.3. Fourier and Merlin transforms on the elliptic complex plane

The formula for the Fourier integral

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) e^{-i\frac{ws}{q}} \mathrm{d}s \right] e^{i\frac{wt}{q}} \mathrm{d}w, \tag{2.13}$$

over the elliptic complex field is easily derived from the Fourier series, thus there is the concept of the Fourier transform.

Definition 2.10.† If the function $f(t)$ satisfies the conditions of the Fourier integral theorem on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, the function [5, 6]

$$F(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i\frac{wt}{q}} \mathrm{d}t \tag{2.14}$$

is said to be the Fourier transform of $f(t)$. While the function

$$F^{-1}(F(w)) := f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(w) e^{i\frac{wt}{q}} \mathrm{d}w \tag{2.15}$$

is the inverse Fourier transform of F(w).

*Our thumb passes from the inner side of the complex plane to the outer side, and the other four fingers bend from the positive x-axis to the positive y-axis, so that the direction of bending of the four fingers is the positive direction of the complex plane. It is easily shown that the positive direction of the complex plane is counterclockwise with the case of $q > 0$ corresponding to a right-handed coordinate system, and counterclockwise with the case of $q < 0$ corresponding to a right-handed coordinate system.

†Correspondingly, the Fourier transform on the elliptic complex field has two forms, the other of which would be derived in Appendix *C*.

Suppose $s = c - \frac{i}{q}\omega$, then, $\omega = \frac{i}{q}(s - c)$ and $\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{i}{q}\mathrm{d}s$ which leads to that

$$F[i(s-c)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(s-c)t} f(t)\mathrm{d}t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{st} \cdot [e^{-ct} f(t)]\mathrm{d}t, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$f(t) = \frac{i}{2\pi q}\int_{c+i\infty}^{c-i\infty} e^{(c-s)t} F[i(s-c)]\mathrm{d}s = \frac{q \cdot e^{ct}}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{-st} F[i(s-c)]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.17}$$

Next, suppose again that $t = \ln x, \mathrm{d}t = x^{-1}\mathrm{d}x$, yielding

$$F[i(s-c)] = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1}[x^{-c} f(\ln x)]\mathrm{d}x, \tag{2.18}$$

along with

$$f(\ln x) = \frac{q \cdot x^{c}}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-s} F[i(s-c)]\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.19}$$

where with the case of assuming $g(x) = x^{-c} f(\ln x)$ and $G(s) = F[i(s-c)]$, we would arrive at

$$G(s) = \{\mathcal{M}g\}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} g(x)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{2.20}$$

which is said to be the Merlin transform of $g(s)$, and

$$g(x) = \{\mathcal{M}^{-1}G\}(t) = \frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-s} G(s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.21}$$

which is the inverse Merlin transform of $G(s)$. The presence of a real number c which could be appropriately selected in the inverse conversion formula could avoid possible poles in the integration path.

3. Dirichlet functions on the elliptic complex fields

3.1. Dirichlet characters and Dirichlet functions

In a similar way to the study of the Riemann hypothesis, the definition of the arithmetic series is here first extended to the elliptic complex fields.

Definition 3.1. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_m{}^* \to \mathbb{C}_\lambda{}^*$ be a group homomorphism satisfying the multiplicative operation [5, 7]

$$\chi(ab) = \chi(a)\chi(b),\ \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_m{}^*.$$

Thus call χ the Dirichlet character (mod m) on the elliptic complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. For convenience, χ can also be written as $\chi(n) = \chi(n; m)$. Further, define

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

to be the Dirichlet function with respect to the character χ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

It is easy to conclude that $\chi(1) = 1$, and $[\chi(n)]^{\varphi(m)} = 1$, which means that the identity χ is the $\varphi(m)$-th root of unity for $(n, m) = 1$ and further that $\chi(-1) = \pm 1$. If $\chi(-1) = 1$, then χ is said to be an even character. If $\chi(-1) = -1$, then χ is said to be an odd character. Since χ is a unit root, the inverse of the identity χ satisfies $\chi^{-1} = \overline{\chi}$.

Definition 3.2. If $\chi(n) = 1$ is constant when $(n, m) = 1$, call it the trivial character, denoted $\chi_0(n)$. The rest of the characters are termed the non-trivial characters. If the values of them take only real values, they are referred to as the real characters, otherwise they are referred to as complex characters.

Apparently, the real characters on any complex field $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ are the same as those on $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$, but the difference is the complex characters. Let $\chi(n)_\lambda$ be the character on the complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. Then, based on the properties of χ and the Euler formula shown in Eq (A.6), it follows that $\Re\left[\chi(n)_{\lambda_1}\right] = \Re\left[\chi(n)_{\lambda_2}\right]$ and [1, 5, 8]

$$q_1 \cdot \Im\left[\chi(n)_{\lambda_1}\right] = q_2 \cdot \Im\left[\chi(n)_{\lambda_2}\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lambda_1 = -{q_1}^2$ and $\lambda_2 = -{q_2}^2$.

3.2. Gauss sums on the elliptic complex fields

It is easy to know that the n-th unit root on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is

$$w_k = e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2k\pi}{n}} = \left(\cos\frac{2k\pi}{n} + \frac{i}{q}\sin\frac{2k\pi}{n}\right), k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1, \tag{3.2}$$

where $w_0 = 1$, when $k = 0$, and the conjugate corresponding to w_k is ${w_k}^* = w_{n-k}$.

Now, note that $e(x) =: \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{q}x\right)$ is a function on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. From the property of the unit root [5, 9],

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h} e\left(\frac{kn}{h}\right) = \begin{cases} h, & h|n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Denote the Gauss sum $G(n;\chi)$ of the character $\chi(\bmod\ h)$ by

$$G(n;\chi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h} \chi(k) e\left(\frac{kn}{h}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

which means that, multiplying the Gauss sum by $\chi(n)$,

$$\chi(n)G(n;\chi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h} \chi(nk) e\left(\frac{kn}{h}\right) = \sum_{m\in n\mathbb{Z}_h} \chi(m) e\left(\frac{m}{h}\right),$$

with the case of $(n, h) = 1$, which leads to that $n\mathbb{Z}_h = \mathbb{Z}_h$. Thus,

$$G(n;\chi) = \overline{\chi}(n) G(1;\chi). \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore, combining Eqs (3.3) and (3.5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}|G(1;\chi)|^2 &= \overline{G(1;\chi)}G(1;\chi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h}\overline{\chi}(k)G(1;\chi)e\left(\frac{-k}{h}\right)\\ &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h}G(k;\chi)e\left(\frac{-k}{h}\right) = \sum_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}_h}\chi(m)e\left(\frac{km}{h}\right)e\left(\frac{-k}{h}\right)\\ &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_h}\chi(m)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h}e\left(\frac{k(m-1)}{h}\right) = \chi(1)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_h}1 = h,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to $|G(1;\chi)| = \sqrt{h}$.

The definitions of the induced modulus and the primitive character over $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ are not repeated here.

It is straightforward to show that the function $L(s,\chi)$ has no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geqslant 1$ and is convergent in the region $\Re(s) > 0$ when $\chi \neq \chi_0$. These results are all consistent with those in the circular complex field.

4. The functional equations for Dirichlet functions

Suppose that χ is the character (mod h) on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, and [5,10]

$$\varepsilon(\chi) = \begin{cases}0, & \chi(-1) = 1;\\ 1, & \chi(-1) = -1.\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Define the Dirichlet L-function of the completion, supposing $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$, as

$$\hat{L}(s,\chi) = h^{\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+\varepsilon(\chi))L(s,\chi), \tag{4.2}$$

which could eliminate the effect of the trivial zeros of $L(s,\chi)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Supposing the function*

$$\theta(x,a) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(n+a)^2\pi x}, x > 0, \tag{4.3}$$

it follows that

$$\theta\left(\frac{1}{x},a\right) = \sqrt{x}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x n^2 + \frac{2\pi i}{q}na}. \tag{4.4}$$

In particular, $\theta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \sqrt{x}\theta(x)$ when $a = 0$, where $\theta(x) = \theta(x,0)$.

Proof. Suppose the function $f(u) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{x}(u+a)^2\right)$ while $u + a = xy$. According to Eq (C.11), the Fourier transform of $f(u)$ is [5,11]

$$\begin{aligned}g(v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{x}(u+a)^2\right)e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{q}vu}\mathrm{d}u\\ &= xe^{-\pi x v^2 + \frac{2\pi i}{q}va}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-\pi x(y+\frac{i}{q}v)^2}\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Then, applying the integral theorem as shown in Theorem 2.7,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x(y+iv)^2}\mathrm{d}y = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi xy^2}\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}, \tag{4.5}$$

which resulted in $g(v) = \sqrt{x}e^{-\pi xv^2+\frac{2\pi i}{q}va}$.

Following the Poisson summation formula as shown in Eq (C.19),

$$\theta(\frac{1}{x}, a) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(n+a)^2\frac{\pi}{x}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n) = \sqrt{x}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi xn^2+\frac{2\pi i}{q}na}. \tag{4.6}$$

Thus the proposition is proved. □

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that* χ *is the character* (mod h) *on* $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ *with* $\lambda = -q^2$, *and the function [5, 12]*

$$\psi(x,\chi) =: \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(m)e^{-m^2\pi x/h}, \tag{4.7}$$

when $\chi(-1) = 1$, along with the function

$$\phi(x,\chi) =: \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m\chi(m)e^{-m^2\pi x/h}, \tag{4.8}$$

when $\chi(-1) = -1$. Then, it follows that

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right) = \tau(\chi)\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(x,\overline{\chi}), \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right) = -\frac{i}{q}\tau(\chi)x\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(x,\overline{\chi}), \tag{4.10}$$

where $\tau(\chi) = G(1;\chi)$.

Proof. In accordance with Lemma 4.1,

$$\theta(hx, \frac{m}{h}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(nh+m)^2\pi x/h}.$$

Thus, combining Eq (3.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right) &= \sum_{m=1}^{h}\chi(m)\cdot\theta\left(\frac{h}{x},\frac{m}{h}\right) = \sqrt{x/h}\sum_{m=1}^{h}\chi(m)\sum_{m=1}^{h} e^{-\frac{\pi xn^2}{h}+\frac{2\pi i}{q}\frac{nm}{h}} \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G(n;\chi)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi xn^2}{h}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} G(1;\chi)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\overline{\chi}(n)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi xn^2}{h}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} G(1;\chi)\psi\left(x,\overline{\chi}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields Eq (4.9).

To differentiate with respect to a on both sides of Eq (4.4) gives

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(n+a)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi(n+a)^2}{x}\right)=-\frac{i}{q}x^{\frac{3}{2}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}ne^{-\pi xn^2+\frac{2\pi i}{q}na}. \tag{4.11}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right)&=h\sum_{m=1}^{h}\chi(m)\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(l+\frac{m}{h}\right)\exp\left(-\pi\frac{h}{x}\left(l+\frac{m}{h}\right)^2\right)\\&=-\frac{i}{q}x\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}G(l;\chi)l\exp\left(-\frac{\pi xl^2}{h}\right)\\&=-\frac{i}{q}G(1;\chi)x\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(x,\overline{\chi}),\end{aligned}$$

which yields Eq (4.10). □

Due to the fact that $\chi(0)=0$ and, for any positive integer m, that $\chi(-m)=\chi(-1)\chi(m)=\chi(m)$ when $\chi(-1)=1$, and $(-m)\chi(-m)=m\chi(m)$ when $\chi(-1)=-1$, it follows that

$$\psi_1(x,\chi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\chi(m)e^{-m^2\pi x/h}=\frac{1}{2}\psi(x,\chi), \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\phi_1(x,\chi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}m\chi(m)e^{-m^2\pi x/h}=\frac{1}{2}\phi(x,\chi). \tag{4.13}$$

Next use these conclusions to prove the following proposition.

Theorem 4.3. *The Dirichlet L-function can be analytically extended to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation*

$$\hat{L}(s,\chi)=W(\chi)\hat{L}(1-s,\overline{\chi}), \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$W(\chi)=\frac{G(1,\chi)}{\left(\frac{i}{q}\right)^{\varepsilon(\chi)}\sqrt{h}} \tag{4.15}$$

is the unit root on the complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and χ is the primitive character (mod h) over $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ with $\lambda=-q^2$.

Proof. When $\chi(-1)=1$, according to the Laplace transform formula on the elliptic complex fields which is the same as one on the circular complex field,

$$\int_0^{\infty}x^{z-1}e^{-\lambda x}\mathrm{d}x=\frac{\Gamma(z)}{\lambda^z}. \tag{4.16}$$

Now supposing $z=s/2$, $\lambda=\pi n^2/h$,

$$\int_0^{\infty}x^{s/2-1}e^{-\pi n^2x/h}\mathrm{d}x=\frac{\Gamma(s/2)}{(\pi n^2/h)^{s/2}},$$

which leads to, following Eq (4.12), that

$$\int_0^\infty \psi_1(x,\chi)x^{s/2-1}\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \chi(n)\int_0^\infty e^{-n^2\pi x/h}x^{s/2-1}\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}\frac{\Gamma(s/2)}{(\pi/h)^{s/2}} = L(s,\chi)\Gamma(s/2)\pi^{-s/2}h^{s/2}.$$

Thus, by the definition of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$,

$$\hat{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \psi(x,\chi)x^{s/2-1}\mathrm{d}x, \tag{4.17}$$

which result in, in combination with Eq (4.9), that

$$\widehat{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \psi(x,\chi)x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}\int_1^\infty \psi(x,\chi)x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_1^\infty \psi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right)x^{-\frac{s}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \psi\left(\frac{1}{x},\chi\right)x^{-\frac{s}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}G(1;\chi)h^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty \psi\left(x,\overline{\chi}\right)x^{\frac{1-s}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}x,$$

thereby providing that

$$\widehat{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{G(1,\chi)}{\left(\frac{i}{\,}\right)^0\sqrt{h}}\widehat{L}(1-s,\overline{\chi}). \tag{4.18}$$

When $\chi(-1) = -1$, supposing $z = (s+1)/2$, $\lambda = \pi n^2/h$ on Eq (4.16),

$$\int_0^\infty x^{(s+1)/2-1}e^{-\pi n^2 x/h}\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}{(\pi n^2/h)^{(s+1)/2}},$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty \phi_1(x,\chi)x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n\chi(n)\int_0^\infty e^{-n^2\pi x/h}x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}{(\pi/h)^{(s+1)/2}}$$
$$= h^{1/2}L(s,\chi)\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})\pi^{-(s+1)/2}h^{(s+1)/2}$$
$$= h^{1/2}\hat{L}(s,\chi),$$

which leads to, following Eq (4.13), that

$$\hat{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty \phi(x,\chi)x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.19}$$

Hence, combining Eq (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}(s,\chi) &= \frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\int_0^1 \phi(x,\chi)x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\int_1^\infty \phi(x,\chi)x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\int_1^\infty \phi(x^{-1},\chi)x^{-(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\int_0^1 \phi(x^{-1},\chi)x^{-(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}h^{-1/2}\frac{i}{q}G(1;\chi)h^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty \phi(x,\overline{\chi})x^{-s/2}\mathrm{d}x,\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\hat{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{G(1,\chi)}{\frac{i}{q}h^{1/2}}\hat{L}(1-s,\overline{\chi}). \tag{4.20}$$

In summary, the proposition is proved. □

Under Theorem 4.3, the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ is invariant under the substitution $s \to 1-s, \chi \to \overline{\chi}$, which leads to the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.4. *When χ is a real character, the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ are symmetric with respect to $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and the function $\hat{L}(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi)$ is a real even function.*

By Proposition 2.5, the character χ on the complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ satisfies $\overline{\chi} = \chi$ when $\lambda \to 0^-$, so there is the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.5. *When $\lambda \to 0^-$, the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ are symmetric with respect to $s = \frac{1}{2}$ if χ is a complex character on the field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.*

When $\chi(-1) = -1$, suppose $\xi(s,\chi) = h^{s/2}L(s,\chi)$ for convenience of the next operation.

5. An equivalent proposition for the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis

Without the special remarks, in the following we assume that χ is the primitive character (mod h) with $h \geqslant 3$ over the complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and that $s = \sigma + \frac{i}{q}t \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda$. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis is noted as GRH later for convenience [5].

5.1. The case when χ is a real character and satisfies $\chi(-1) = 1$

When $\chi(-1) = 1$, depending on Eq (4.17),

$$h^{s/2}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \psi(x,\chi)x^{s/2-1}\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\infty \psi(x^2,\chi)x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{5.1}$$

According to Eq (2.20), Eq (5.1) is exactly of the form of a Merlin transformation, i.e.,

$$h^{s/2}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s,\chi) = \{\mathcal{M}\psi(x^2,\chi)\}(s). \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore, using the inverse formula of the Merlin transform,

$$\psi(x^2,\chi)=\frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty}h^{s/2}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s,\chi)x^{-s}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{5.3}$$

due to the fact that the integrand has no poles at $\sigma>0$. Replacing $x\to e^u$ gives

$$\psi(e^{2u},\chi)=\frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty}h^{s/2}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s,\chi)e^{-us}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.4}$$

And now let $s=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t$, then Eq (5.4) can be reduced to

$$\underbrace{\psi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{u/2}}_{\Psi_1\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{i}{q}ut}\hat{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{5.5}$$

where, according to Corollary 4.4, the function

$$\Psi_1\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)=\psi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{u/2}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\chi(n)e^{-\pi n^2e^{2u}/h+u/2}$$

is also an even function, so the combination of the Fourier inversion formula as seen in Definition 2.10 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right)&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Psi_1\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)e^{\frac{i}{q}ut}\mathrm{d}u=2\int_0^{\infty}\Psi_1\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)\cos(ut)\mathrm{d}u\\&=4\int_0^{\infty}\Psi_1\left(u,\chi\right)\cos(2xt)\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned}$$

Supposing ${}_0^1H(\varpi,z)=\int_0^{\infty}e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_1\left(u,\chi\right)\cos(zu)\mathrm{d}u$,

$${}_0^1H(0,z)=\frac{1}{4}\hat{L}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right), \tag{5.6}$$

which the following conclusion can be drawn by combining the properties of $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ by.

Proposition 5.1. *Let χ be a real primitive character $(\bmod\ h)$ with $h\geqslant 3$ over $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and satisfy $\chi(-1)=1$. The GRH with respect to χ holds when and only when all zeros of the function ${}_0^1H(0,z)$ are real.*

Based on the definition of the function ${}_0^1H(0,z)$, the following conclusion holds in conjunction with Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ be any two complex planes. The function ${}_0^1H(0,z)$ has equal values in $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ provided that z is a definite real number.*

5.2. The case when χ is a real character and satisfies $\chi(-1) = -1$

When $\chi(-1) = -1$, following Eq (4.19),

$$h^s\pi^{-(s+1)/2}\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})L(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \phi(x,\chi)x^{(s+1)/2-1}\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\infty \phi(x^2,\chi)x^s\mathrm{d}x. \tag{5.7}$$

Similarly, Eq (5.7) is exactly of the form of a Merlin transformation, that is

$$h^{s-1}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s-1,\chi) = \{\mathcal{M}\phi(x^2,\chi)\}(s), \tag{5.8}$$

which leads to, using the inverse formula of the Merlin transform, that

$$\phi(x^2,\chi) = \frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} h^{s-1}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s-1,\chi)x^{-s}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.9}$$

Replacing Eq (5.9) by $x \to e^u$ yields [5]

$$\phi(e^{2u},\chi) = \frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} h^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\hat{L}(s-1,\chi)e^{-us}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.10}$$

Hereby let $s-1 = \frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t$, then, Eq (5.10) can be turned into

$$\underbrace{\phi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{3u/2}}_{\Psi_2(\frac{u}{2},\chi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i}{q}ut}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{5.11}$$

where the function

$$\Psi_2\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right) = \phi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{3u/2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n\chi(n)e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2u}/h+3u/2}$$

is equally an even function, resulting in that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Psi_2\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)e^{\frac{i}{q}ut}\mathrm{d}u = 2\int_0^\infty \Psi_2\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)\cos(ut)\mathrm{d}u\\ &= 4\int_0^\infty \Psi_2(u,\chi)\cos(2xt)\mathrm{d}u,\end{aligned}$$

Given the function ${}^2_0H(\varpi,z) = \int_0^\infty e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_2(u,\chi)\cos(zu)\mathrm{d}u$,

$${}^2_0H(0,z) = \frac{1}{4}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right), \tag{5.12}$$

which likewise brings us to the following conclusion.

Proposition 5.3. *Let χ be a real primitive character* (mod *h*) *with $h \geqslant 3$ over $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and satisfy $\chi(-1) = -1$. The GRH with respect to χ holds when and only when all zeros of the function ${}^2_0H(0,z)$ are real.*

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ be any two complex planes. The function ${}^2_0H(0,z)$ has equal values in $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ provided that z is a definite real number.*

The zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ corresponding to the complex characters are not necessarily symmetric about the point $s = 1/2$, so its equivalence condition about the GRH will be discussed later.

6. Correspondence of zeros on the different complex planes

Since the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ has no poles in the region $\Re(s) \in (0,1)$, allowing $\Delta \in (0,1)$ to be a given constant, Eqs (5.4) and (5.10) can be easily transformed into that

$$\psi(e^{2u},\chi) = \frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\Delta-i\infty}^{\Delta+i\infty} \hat{L}(s,\chi)e^{-us}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{6.1}$$

which corresponds to the case of even character, along with that [5, 6, 13]

$$\phi(e^{2u},\chi) = \frac{q}{2\pi i}\int_{\Delta-i\infty}^{\Delta+i\infty} h^{\frac{s-1}{2}}\hat{L}(s-1,\chi)e^{-us}\mathrm{d}s, \tag{6.2}$$

corresponding to the case of the odd character. To let $s = \Delta + \frac{i}{q}t$ on Eq (6.1), it follows that

$$\underbrace{\psi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{u\Delta}}_{\Psi_1^\Delta\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i}{q}ut}\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{6.3}$$

And suppose $s - 1 = \Delta + \frac{i}{q}t$ on Eq (6.2), to find that

$$\underbrace{\phi(e^{2u},\chi)e^{u(\Delta+1)}}_{\Psi_2^\Delta\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i}{q}ut}\xi\left(\Delta+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{6.4}$$

From Eq (6.3) combined with the inverse Fourier transform formula,

$$\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{i}{q}t,\chi\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Psi_1^\Delta\left(\frac{u}{2},\chi\right)e^{\frac{i}{q}ut}\mathrm{d}u = 2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Psi_1^\Delta(u,\chi)\,e^{\frac{i}{q}u(2t)}\mathrm{d}u. \tag{6.5}$$

Now suppose that

$${}^1H^\Delta(\varpi,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_1^\Delta(u,\chi)\cos(zu)\mathrm{d}u,$$

and that

$${}^1F^\Delta(\varpi,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_1^\Delta(u,\chi)\sin(zu)\mathrm{d}u.$$

Depending on the definition of the trigonometric function as seen in Eq (2.5),

$${}^1H^\Delta(0,z) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right)+\frac{1}{2}\hat{L}\left(\Delta-\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right) = \Re\left[\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right)\right], \tag{6.6}$$

and

$${}^1F^\Delta(0,z) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right)-\frac{1}{2}\hat{L}\left(\Delta-\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right) = q\Im\left[\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{iz}{2q},\chi\right)\right], \tag{6.7}$$

which could lead to that

$$\hat{L}\left(\Delta+\frac{i}{2q}z,\chi\right) = {}^1H^\Delta(0,z)+\frac{i}{q}\cdot{}^1F^\Delta(0,z), \tag{6.8}$$

where $\hat{L}\left(\Delta + \frac{i}{2q}z, \chi\right) = 0$ if and only if ${}^1H^{\Delta}(0, z) = 0$ and ${}^1F^{\Delta}(0, z) = 0$.

By Proposition 2.4, the functions ${}^1H^{\Delta}(0, z)$ or ${}^1F^{\Delta}(0, z)$ have the same value on any two complex planes $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ when z is a definite real number, leading to the following conclusion.

Proposition 6.1. *The zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s, \chi)$ on any two complex planes $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ are in one-to-one correspondence. Let $\lambda_1 = -{q_1}^2$ and $\lambda_2 = -{q_2}^2$. Precisely, if $s = \Delta + \frac{i}{q_1}z$, where z is a real number, is the zero of the function $\hat{L}(s, \chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$, then $s' = \Delta + \frac{i}{q_2}z$ has to be the zero of the function $\hat{L}(s, \chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$.*

If we set $\Delta = \frac{1}{2} + R\cos\theta$ and $z = R\sin\theta$, where $R \geqslant 0$, it follows that $s = \frac{1}{2} + Re^{\frac{i}{q_1}\theta}$ and $s' = \frac{1}{2} + Re^{\frac{i}{q_2}\theta}$. Based on the definition of the normal ellipse, there is the following conclusion.

Corollary 6.2. *If the zero of the function $\hat{L}(s, \chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ is on the normal ellipse centred at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and with $R \geqslant 0$ as its principal semi-diameter, then its zero on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ is also on the normal ellipse centred at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and with R as its principal semi-diameter correspondingly [6, 13].*

Equivalently, when χ is an odd character, it follows from Eq (6.4) combined with the inverse Fourier transform formula, it follows that

$$\xi\left(\Delta + \frac{i}{q}t, \chi\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_2^{\Delta}\left(\frac{u}{2}, \chi\right) e^{\frac{i}{q}ut}\mathrm{d}u = 2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_2^{\Delta}(u, \chi)\, e^{\frac{i}{q}u(2t)}\mathrm{d}u. \tag{6.9}$$

Now assume that

$${}^2H^{\Delta}(\varpi, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_2^{\Delta}(u, \chi)\cos(zu)\mathrm{d}u,$$

and that

$${}^2F^{\Delta}(\varpi, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\varpi u^2}\Psi_2^{\Delta}(u, \chi)\sin(zu)\mathrm{d}u,$$

which could analogously lead to that

$$\xi\left(\Delta + \frac{i}{2q}z, \chi\right) = {}^2H^{\Delta}(0, z) + \frac{i}{q}\cdot {}^2F^{\Delta}(0, z), \tag{6.10}$$

where $\xi\left(\Delta + \frac{i}{2q}z, \chi\right) = 0$ if and only if ${}^2H^{\Delta}(0, z) = 0$ and ${}^2F^{\Delta}(0, z) = 0$.

The following conclusions can be drawn in the same way.

Proposition 6.3. *The zeros of the function $\xi(s, \chi)$ on any two complex planes $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ are in one-to-one correspondence. Precisely, if $s = \Delta + \frac{i}{q_1}z$, where z is a real number, is the zero of the function $\xi(s, \chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$, then $s' = \Delta + \frac{i}{q_2}z$ has to be the zero of the function $\xi(s, \chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$.*

Corollary 6.4. *If the zero of the function $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ is on the normal ellipse centred at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and with $R \geqslant 0$ as its principal semi-diameter, then its zero on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ is also on the normal ellipse centred at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and with R as its principal semi-diameter correspondingly [6].*

Obviously, Propositions 6.1 and 6.3, along with Corollaries 6.2 and 6.4 above, hold irrespective of whether χ is a real or complex character.

From the above analysis, it is easy to conclude that the equivalent proposition of the GRH corresponding to the complex character is as follows.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and χ be the complex primitive character* (mod *h*) *with $h \geqslant 3$ over $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. If $\chi(-1) = 1$, the corresponding GRH holds when and only when the zeros of the function ${}^1H^\Delta(0,z) + \frac{i}{q} \cdot {}^1F^\Delta(0,z)$ are all real. Additionally, in the event that $\chi(-1) = -1$, the corresponding GRH holds when and only when the zeros of the function ${}^2H^\Delta(0,z) - \frac{i}{q} \cdot {}^2F^\Delta(0,z)$ are all real.*

7. Proof of the GRH

According to our previous definition of the elliptic complex $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, the equation corresponding to the norm degenerates to the form $x^2 = N(z)$ when $\lambda = 0$, representing two straight lines symmetric about the y-axis perpendicular to the x-axis in the complex plane. Considering that any geometric feature in the complex plane is articulated by a corresponding normal ellipse, and that the normal ellipse on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ is an ellipse whose principal axis, i.e., the x-axis, is infinitely compressed, the figure articulated by such ellipses can only be a line perpendicular to the x-axis. However, it is clearly inappropriate to study the complex number $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ directly with $\lambda = 0$, because such an algebraic system is not divisible.

7.1. The critical case of the GRH on elliptic complex fields

When $\lambda \to 0^-$, the complex number $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is clearly a divisible algebra, which of course satisfies Theorem 4.3, and the norm of the complex number $s = x + iy$ is

$$N(s) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0^-} (x^2 - \lambda y^2) = x^2.$$

From the symmetry of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ for the case of $\chi(-1) = 1$ and $\xi(s,\chi)$ for the case of $\chi(-1) = -1$, it follows that the zeros of $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ as well as $\xi(s,\chi)$ are symmetric about the point $s = 1/2$. Therefore, the equation $\hat{L}(s,\chi) = 0$, together with $\xi(s,\chi) = 0$, holds if and only if $N(s) = x^2 = 1/4$, i.e., $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ when $\lambda \to 0^-$.

Pursuant to Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5, this result holds for both real and complex characters.

The geometric significance of this result can be further obtained from Corollaries 6.2 and 6.4. Since all the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ must occur symmetrically on a series of normal ellipses centred at the point $s = 1/2$, thus the geometry of this result is such that, as $\lambda \to 0^-$, all such normal ellipses are compressed into the straight line segments passing through the point $s = 1/2$ and symmetrical about the x-axis. Therefore, with $\lambda \to 0^-$, all the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ are distributed on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ perfectly naturally.

Now, let $\lambda_0 = -p_0 \to 0^-$. The distribution of zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the elliptic complex field $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ with $p = -\lambda \in [p_0, 1]$ would be explored, according to the continuity approach in analysis, while consider such a proposition as follows.

Proposition 7.1. *The zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$, with the case of $p_1 = -\lambda_1 \in [p_0, 1)$, are all distributed on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, then the zeros of $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$, with the case of $p_2 = -\lambda_2 \in (p_1, 1]$, are all distributed on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.*

If this proposition can be shown, combined with the critical case when $\lambda \to 0^-$, the GRH on elliptic complex fields can also be proved.

7.2. The ultimate proof

In actual fact, there is a more powerful formulation for Proposition 7.1 as the following proposition.

Proposition 7.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and one real number $p = -\lambda \in [p_0, 1)$, if the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ are all distributed on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, then the zeros of $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ are all distributed on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ where λ_1 meets $p_1 = p + \varepsilon = -\lambda_1$.*

Proof. Now let $p = q^2$ and $\varepsilon = \delta^2$ where q and δ are real numbers that are both positive or negative at the same time.

The case of the real characters is discussed at the outset.

As assumed in Proposition 7.2, in conjunction with Propositions 5.1 and 5.3, the zeros of the function

$$ {}^1_0H(0,z) = \frac{1}{4}\hat{L}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iz}{2q}, \chi\right) \quad \text{or} \quad {}^2_0H(0,z) = \frac{1}{4}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iz}{2q}, \chi\right) $$

on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ are all real. Based on Propositions 5.2 and 5.4, it follows that these corresponding points are also the zeros of the function

$$ {}^1_0H_\varepsilon(0,z) = \frac{1}{4}\hat{L}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iz}{2(q+\delta)}, \chi\right) \quad \text{or} \quad {}^2_0H_\varepsilon(0,z) = \frac{1}{4}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iz}{2(q+\delta)}, \chi\right) $$

on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$.

Combining this with Propositions 6.1 and 6.3 shows that all zeros of the function ${}^1_0H_\varepsilon(0,z)$ or ${}^2_0H_\varepsilon(0,z)$ are also real, so that all zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ are distributed on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Similarly, Proposition 6.5, used in combination with Propositions 6.1 and 6.3, could prove the case for the complex characters. □

In the above, the proof of the GRH on elliptic complex fields is complete. This conclusion holds for all elliptic complex planes $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ with $p = -\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$, including the circular complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ naturally.

As a matter of fact, for any $\lambda_1 = -q_1^2$ and $\lambda_2 = -q_2^2$ with $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$, if the imaginary part of the n-th zero of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}$ is β_n, then correspondingly the imaginary part of the n-th zero on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_2}$ is $\beta_n{}' = \dfrac{q_1}{q_2}\beta_n$, while their real part is $1/2$.

8. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we first construct the elliptic complexes $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ and introduce them into the calculus to obtain the corresponding theory of complex variables' function. Further, the problem of the distribution of the zeros of the function $L(s,\chi)$ on the corresponding elliptic complex fields is discussed, which contributed to the analytic extended form and functional equation of $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$.

One of the difficulties of this essay is to find the correspondence between the zeros of the function $\hat{L}(s,\chi)$ or $\xi(s,\chi)$ in the elliptic complex plane.

And the key to this paper is to find a critical case of the GRH about the real and complex characters on the elliptic complex planes and to discover the equivalent propositions of the GRH. Based on the continuity method in analysis, we eventually proved the GRH on all complex planes due to Proposition 2.5 which shows the basic relationship of functions between the elliptic complex fields $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. X. K. Zhang, Congruences mudulo 2^3 for class numbers of general quadratic fields $Q(\sqrt{m})$ and $Q(\sqrt{m})$, *J. Number Theory*, **32** (1989), 332–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(89)90089-9
2. N. A. Lebedev, *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, Washington: American Mathematical Society, 1969.
3. T. S. Fiske, Theory of functions of a complex variable, *Science*, **14** (1901), 59–65.
4. M. Morse, *Topological methods in the theory of functions of a complex variable*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1949.
5. C. Pan, C. Pan, *Basics analytic number theory*, Harbin: Harbin University of Technology Press, 2016.
6. V. Chandee, K. Soundararajan, Bounding $|\xi(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$ on the Riemann hypothesis, *B. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **43** (2011), 243–250. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/bdq095

7. J. B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, D. Goldston, N. S. M. Gonek, D. R. Heath-Brown, On the distribution of gaps between zeros of the zeta-function, *Quart. J. Math.*, **36** (1985), 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/36.1.43

8. P. Bourgade, J. Kuan, Strong Szeg asymptotics and zeros of the Zeta-function, *Commun. Pur. Appl. Math.*, **67** (2014), 1028–1044. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21475

9. M. R. Murty, J. Esmonde, *Problems in algebraic number theory*, New York: Springer, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1007/b138452

10. A. Selberg, Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, *Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory*, Italy: Maiori, 1992, 367–385.

11. K. Broughan, *Equivalents of the Riemann hypothesis*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108178228

12. H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, 2 Eds., New York: Springer, 1980. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5927-3

13. A. M. Odlyzko, On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function, *Math. Comput.*, **48** (1987), 273–308. https://doi.org/10.2307/2007890

A. Appendix 1: The geometric significance of elliptic complexes and the proof of Euler's formula

There are various ways of proving Euler's formula on elliptic complex fields, and two of the more general methods are given merely here.

Proposition A.1. *Suppose* $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $i^2 = p = -q^2,\ q \in \mathbb{R}^*$, *then,*

$$e^{i\varphi} = \cos(q\varphi) + i\frac{1}{q}\sin(q\varphi), \tag{A.1}$$

where e is the base of the natural logarithm, and $\sin\theta,\ \cos\theta$ are the sine and cosine functions of θ respectively.

Proof. From the expansion of the MacLaurin series it follows that

$$e^x = 1 + \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \ldots + \frac{x^n}{n!} + o\left(x^n\right);$$

$$\cos x = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \ldots + (-1)^n\frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} + o\left(x^{2n+1}\right);$$

$$\sin x = \frac{x}{1!} - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \ldots + (-1)^n\frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + o\left(x^{2n+2}\right).$$

So there

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i\varphi} &= 1 + \frac{i\varphi}{1!} + \frac{(i\varphi)^2}{2!} + \ldots + \frac{(i\varphi)^n}{n!} + o\left((i\varphi)^n\right) \\
&= 1 - \frac{(q\varphi)^2}{2!} + \frac{(q\varphi)^4}{4!} - \frac{(q\varphi)^6}{6!} + \ldots + (-1)^n \frac{(q\varphi)^{2n}}{(2n)!} + o\left((q\varphi)^{2n+1}\right) \\
&+ i\frac{1}{q}\left[\frac{(q\varphi)}{1!} - \frac{(q\varphi)^3}{3!} + \frac{(q\varphi)^5}{5!} - \frac{(q\varphi)^7}{7!} + \ldots + (-1)^n \frac{(q\varphi)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + o\left((q\varphi)^{2n+2}\right)\right] \\
&= \cos(q\varphi) + i\frac{1}{q}\sin(q\varphi).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus proving the proposition. □

For Eq (A.1), consider the case where the elliptic complex is a pure imaginary number, i.e., supposing $\cos(q\varphi) = 0$ at which point $\sin(q\varphi) = 1$, to obtain $\varphi = \frac{\arcsin 1}{q}$. It can be seen that φ is the angle between the positive y-axis and the positive x-axis in the xOy coordinate system.

An alternative approach to the proof requires further consideration of the geometric significance of elliptic complexes. For a point $A(x, y)$ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, let the origin of the coordinates be O. Then, the corresponding vector $\overrightarrow{OA} = x + iy$, now consider the vector

$$\overrightarrow{OB} = i\overrightarrow{OA} = i(x + iy) = -py + ix.$$

So the coordinates of point B is $B(-py, x)$, which gives that

$$k_{OA} \cdot k_{OB} = (-1) \times \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \tag{A.2}$$

where, with the case of $y = 0$ in particular, easy to get clearly that the vector $\overrightarrow{OA}$ is on the x-axis and the vector $\overrightarrow{OB}$ on the y-axis in the complex plane. It can be seen that when $\lambda \neq -1$, the coordinate system corresponding to the elliptic complex plane is no longer a right-angle coordinate system, but a oblique coordinates system. It leads to the following definitions.

Definition A.2. Two lines l_1, l_2 in the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ are orthogonal if and only if

$$k_{l_1} \cdot k_{l_2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \tag{A.3}$$

which is denoted $l_1 \perp l_2$. With the case of $\lambda = -1$ especially, the two lines are orthogonal while $k_{l_1} \cdot k_{l_2} = -1$ in the circular complex plane.

Definition A.3. One line l is said to be orthogonal to one normal ellipse Γ if it passes through the centre O of the ellipse Γ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

It is easy to see that if the line l is orthogonal to the normal ellipse Γ, then the tangent l_c at the intersection of them satisfies $k_l \cdot k_{l_c} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ which shows that, namely, the line l is orthogonal to the tangent l_c. A detailed proof of this result would be given now.

Proof. Based on the translation invariance of one geometric figure in the complex plane, consider the special regular ellipse

$$\Gamma : \frac{x^2}{N(z)} + \frac{y^2}{N(z)/p} = 1,$$

where $N(z)$ is the square of the principal diameter of this ellipse. Let $p(x_0, y_0)$ be the (non-endpoint) tangent point on F, then the equation of its tangent line is

$$l_c : \frac{xx_0}{N(z)} + \frac{yy_0}{N(z)/p} = 1.$$

The slopes thus obtained are respectively

$$k_{l_c} = -\frac{x_0}{y_0} \cdot \frac{1}{p},\ k_l = \frac{y_0}{x_0}.$$

This leads to the required conclusion. □

Definition A.4. If two normal ellipses Γ_1 and Γ_2, with the centres O_1 and O_2 respectively, intersect at one point P on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, and the product of the slopes of the lines PO_1 and PO_2 is

$$k_{PO_1} \cdot k_{PO_2} = \frac{1}{\lambda},$$

then, the normal ellipse Γ_1 is said to be orthogonal to the normal ellipse Γ_2.

Consider now the vector

$$\overrightarrow{OC} = \frac{i}{q}\overrightarrow{OA} = -qy + \frac{i}{q}x.$$

Obviously, there would be $\overrightarrow{OC} \perp \overrightarrow{OA}$, along with $|\overrightarrow{OC}| = |\overrightarrow{OA}| = \sqrt{x^2 + q^2y^2}$ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$. Thus, the vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ are mutually orthogonal and equal in length, equivalent to

$$\vec{a} + \frac{i}{q}\vec{b} = \vec{0}, \tag{A.4}$$

which is the geometric meaning of the relationship between a complex number (or vector) z and another complex number (or vector) $\frac{i}{q}z$ on the elliptic complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

Now begins the proof of another approach to Euler's formula.

Proof. Set the initial value of the function $y = f(x)$ to

$$\begin{cases} y' = \frac{i}{q}y; \\ y(0) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

We find that the first equation of Eq ($A.5$) is a first-order ordinary differential equation in separable variables, and hence,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{i}{q}y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y} = \frac{i}{q}\int \mathrm{d}x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \ln|y| = \frac{i}{q}x + C_0.$$

Thereby, $|y| = e^{\frac{i}{q}x}e^{C_0}$, i.e., $y = \pm e^{C_0}e^{\frac{i}{q}x}$. Suppose $C = \pm e^{C_0}$, then $y = Ce^{ix}$ which was taken into the second equation while we can easily solve for $C = 1$. Consequently, according to the Picard-Lindelof theorem, $y = e^{\frac{i}{q}x}$ is the only solution to this problem.

Now let $y = \cos(x) + \frac{i}{q}\sin(x)$, which yields

$$y' = -\sin(x) + \frac{i}{q}\cos(x) = \frac{i}{q}(\cos(x) + \frac{i}{q}\sin(x)) = \frac{i}{q}y.$$

So it satisfies the first equation of $(A.5)$ and obviously satisfies the second. It follows that $y = e^{\frac{i}{q}x}$ and $y = \cos(x) + \frac{i}{q}\sin(x)$ are both solutions to the problem and, based on the Picard-Lindelof theorem, we reach the conclusion that

$$e^{\frac{i}{q}x} = \cos(x) + \frac{i}{q}\sin(x), \tag{A.6}$$

which is equivalent to Eq $(A.1)$ apparently. In general, Eq $(A.6)$ is more commonly used. □

In deed, the above result could be derived from $i^2 = -q^2$, namely, $\left(\frac{i}{q}\right)^2 = -1$, which could be substituted in the proof of Proposition $A.1$. Similar results for circular complex domains are easily obtained with $\left(1+\frac{i}{q}\right)^2 = \frac{2i}{q}$, $\left(1+\frac{i}{q}\right)^4 = -4$ and $\frac{i}{q}\left(1-\frac{i}{q}\right) = 1+\frac{i}{q}$.

According to the above analysis about the angle of co-ordinate axes, when $q = 1$, the angle is $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ between the forward y-axis and the forward x-axis, where the corresponding xOy coordinate system is the so-called right-handed right-angle coordinate system. When $q = -1$, similarly, the angle is $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ which corresponds to the left-handed right-angle coordinate system. In fact, the case $q = -1$ is the system of complex numbers corresponding to the root $i = -\sqrt{-1}$ of the equation $i^2 = -1$, which is one situation that the mathematicians have overlooked.

when $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq 0$, there would be $|\theta| = |\frac{\arcsin 1}{q}| > \frac{\pi}{2}$ with the case of $|q| \in (0, 1)$ and be $|\theta| = |\frac{\arcsin 1}{q}| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ with the case of $|q| \in (1, \infty)$. In this situation, the angle between the positive y-axis and the positive x-axis in the corresponding xOy coordinate system is no longer a right angle.

Further for $k > 0$, the cases of $q = k$ and $q = -k$ correspond to two systems with exactly opposite properties, similar to the positive and negative particles in quantum physics.

Of particular interest is the fact that above we have merely considered the case where q is a constant, corresponding to a linear coordinate system. If $q = q(t)$ is a function of a variable t, there would be $q'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}q(t)$, while introducing mathematical analysis, which represents the change in slope of the y-axis in the positive direction.

It is easy to know that if $q'(t)$ is constantly greater than 0 and $|q(t)| \in (1, \infty)$, such a coordinate system corresponds to the geometric object described by Riemannian geometry. While $q'(t)$ is constantly less than 0 and $|q(t)| \in (0, 1)$, such a coordinate system corresponds to the geometric object described by Lobachevskian geometry.

Obviously, there are more possible curvilinear coordinate systems to describe geometric objects than the above two cases, such as the coordinate system corresponding to $q'(t)$ not constantly less than 0 (or not constantly greater than 0) and $|q(t)| \in (s, t)$, where $s \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in (1, \infty)$, which would be more complex and will not be discussed here.

B. Appendix 2: Proof of the theory of the elliptic complex functions

In fact, according to Subsection 2.1, the elliptic complex plane also has its own topology.

Definition B.1. The set of points in the interior of a normal ellipse with z_0 as centre and $\delta > 0$ as principal semidiameter is called the δ-neighbourhood of z_0 in the complex plane, denoted by $U(z_0, \delta)$, namely,

$$U(z_0, \delta) = \{z|\, |z - z_0| < \delta, z \in \mathbb{C}_\lambda\}. \tag{B.1}$$

Apparently, it's an open elliptical disc.

B.1. Proof of Cauchy-Riemann equation

Proof. For the necessity, let $f'(z) = a + ib$ and $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta y$, then by the definition of the derivative, $f(z + \Delta z) - f(z) = f'(z)\Delta z + o(|\Delta z|)$ while $\Delta z \to 0$ namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) + iv(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y)] - [u(x, y) + iv(x, y)] \\
&= (a + ib)(\Delta x + i\Delta y) + o(|\Delta z|) \\
&= \left(a\Delta x - q^2 b\Delta y\right) + i(b\Delta x + a\Delta y) + o(|\Delta z|).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the real and imaginary parts on both sides gives that with $\rho \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - u(x, y) &= a\Delta x - q^2 b\Delta y + o(\rho) \\
v(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - v(x, y) &= b\Delta x + a\Delta y + o(\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$a = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad -q^2 b = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad b = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad a = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y},$$

that is,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -q^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \tag{B.2}$$

Thus, the necessity is shown, next is the sufficiency.

Due to the differentiability of the functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ at the point (x, y), with $\rho \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - u(x, y) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Delta x + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\Delta y + o(\rho), \\
v(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - v(x, y) &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\Delta x + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\Delta y + o(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the combination of the two formulas yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&[u(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) + iv(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y)] - [u(x, y) + iv(x, y)] \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Delta x + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\Delta y\right) + i\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\Delta x + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\Delta y\right) + o(|\Delta z|) \text{ (substitute Eq (B.2) into it)} \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Delta x - q^2\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\Delta y\right) + i\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\Delta x + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Delta y\right) + o(|\Delta z|) \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)(\Delta x + i\Delta y) + o(|\Delta z|) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)\Delta z + o(|\Delta z|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(z)$ is differentiable at $z = x + iy \in D$ and its derivative is

$$f^{'}(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \tag{B.3}$$

The sufficiency of the proposition is thus proved. □

Since the in-region derivability is equivalent to the in-region resolution, Theorem 2.3 is proved naturally.

B.2. Proof of the integral theorem i.e., Theorem 2.7

Proof. Let $z = x + iy \in D$ and $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$. As $f(z)$ is analytic in the region D, the functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are differentiable in D and satisfy Eq (B.2) and the following Green's formula

$$\oint_C P\mathrm{d}x + Q\mathrm{d}y = \iint_D \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y, \tag{B.4}$$

which leads to that $\int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x - q^2 v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y = \iint_D \left(-q^2\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$, namely,

$$\int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x - q^2 v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y = \iint_D \left(-q^2\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + q^2\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = 0, \tag{B.5}$$

and that

$$\int_C v(x, y)\mathrm{d}x + u(x, y)\mathrm{d}y = \iint_D \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = 0. \tag{B.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x - q^2 v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y + i\int_C u(x, y)\mathrm{d}x + v(x, y)\mathrm{d}y = 0, \tag{B.7}$$

which means that Theorem 2.7 is shown. □

So, there are the following conclusions.

Corollary B.2. *Let C be a simple closed curve and the function* $f(z)$ *analytic in the bounded region bounded by C, then* $\int_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = 0$ *holds.*

Theorem B.3. *Let* C_0 *and* $C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_n$ *enclose a multiply connected region D, D and its boundary form a closed region* $\overline{D}$. *If the function* $f(z)$ *is analytic over the region* $\overline{D}$, *then,* $\int_C f(z)\mathrm{d}z = 0$, *where* $C = C_0 + C_1^- + C_2^- + \cdots + C_n^-$ *are all the boundaries of the region D, which is also equivalent to*

$$\int_{C_0} f(z)\mathrm{d}z = \int_{C_1} f(z)\mathrm{d}z + \int_{C_2} f(z)\mathrm{d}z + \cdots + \int_{C_n} f(z)\mathrm{d}z. \tag{B.8}$$

B.3. Proof of the integral formula

We know that $|z - z_0|$ denotes the normal ellipse centered at $z_0 = (x_0, y_0)^T$, which can be expressed as $F : \dfrac{(x-x_0)^2}{a^2} + \dfrac{(y-y_0)^2}{b^2} = 1$, i.e., the parametric equation $F : \begin{cases} x - x_0 = a\cos t, \\ y - y_0 = b\sin t, \end{cases}$ $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. And according to the symmetry of the ellipse, the circumference of this normal ellipse is

$$L = 4\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2}\mathrm{d}t = 4\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{a^2\sin^2 t + b^2\cos^2 t}\mathrm{d}t.$$

For a unit normal ellipse in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, let $\lambda = -q^2$, and the principal semidiameter of the ellipse be r, then $q^2 = \dfrac{a^2}{b^2}$.

When $|q| \geq 1$, $r = a$ and the ellipse circumference is

$$L = 4a\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{(1-\cos^2 t) + \frac{b^2}{a^2}\cos^2 t}\mathrm{d}t = 4r\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\cos^2 t}\mathrm{d}t =: 4rE(q).$$

And when $0 < |q| \leq 1$, $r = b$ and the ellipse circumference is

$$L = 4b\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{b^2}\sin^2 t + \left(1 - \sin^2 t\right)}\mathrm{d}t = 4r\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - q^2)\sin^2 t}\mathrm{d}t =: 4rE'(q),$$

where

$$E(q) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\cos^2 t}\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{and} \quad E'(q) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1 - (1 - q^2)\sin^2 t}\mathrm{d}t \tag{B.9}$$

are called the perimeter coefficients of the normal ellipse on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ when $|q| \geq 1$ and when $0 < |q| \leq 1$, respectively. Clearly, for the definited complex plane $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$, the perimetric coefficient is a constant. When $\lambda = -1$, the perimeter coefficient meets $E(q) = E'(q) = \dfrac{\pi}{2}$ corresponding to the circular complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

Now begin the proof of Eq (2.10).

Proof. For any point z inside D, draw an elliptic circumference $C_\rho : |\zeta - z| = \rho$ such that the closed elliptic disk enclosed by C_ρ still falls inside D.

Due to the fact that $f(\zeta)$ is continuous at $\zeta = z$, it follows that $\exists\delta > 0 (\delta < \rho)$ for $\forall\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{4E(q)}\left(\oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}\mathrm{d}\zeta - \frac{2\pi i}{q}f(z)\right)\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{4E(q)}\oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z}\mathrm{d}\zeta\right| \\ &< \frac{1}{4E(q)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \cdot 4rE(q) = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

with the case of $|q| > 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{4E'(q)}\left(\oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}\mathrm{d}\zeta - \frac{2\pi i}{q}f(z)\right)\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{4E'(q)}\oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z}\mathrm{d}\zeta\right| \\ &< \frac{1}{4E'(q)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \cdot 4rE'(q) = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

with the case of $0 < |q| < 1$.

In summary, as $E(q)$ and $E^{'}(q)$ being non-zero constants for a determined $q \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta = \frac{2\pi i}{q} f(z) \Leftrightarrow f(z) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \lim_{r\to 0} \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

According to Theorem $B.3$,

$$\oint_{C} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta = \oint_{C_\rho} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta = \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta (0 < r < \rho).$$

Eventually to conclude with

$$f(z) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta. \tag{B.10}$$

□

B.4. Proof of the higher order derivative formula

Proof. Consider first the case $n = 1$ where we need to prove that $f^{'}(z) = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \mathrm{d}\zeta$. For any $z \in D$, $\exists d > 0$ such that $U(z, 2d) \subset D$. Let $M = \max_{\zeta\in C}\{|f(\zeta)|\}$ and L be the arc length of C. When $0 < |h| < d$,

$$\begin{cases} |\zeta - z| > 2d > d, \\ |\zeta - z - h| \geq |\zeta - z| - |h| > d, \end{cases}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} - \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right| \\ = &\left| \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z - h} \mathrm{d}\zeta - \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right] - \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right| \\ = &\left| \frac{q \cdot h}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z - h)(\zeta - z)^2} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right| \leq \frac{|q| \cdot |h|}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{M}{d^3} \cdot L \to 0 \quad (h \to 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f^{'}(z) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \frac{q}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

Now, prove the general case using mathematical induction. Let the conclusion hold for $n = k$, namely,

$$f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{q \cdot k!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+1}} \mathrm{d}\zeta \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{B.11}$$

Then, when $n = k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z+h) - f^{(k)}(z)}{h} - \frac{q \cdot (k+1)!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+2}} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right| \\ = &\left| \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{q \cdot k!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z - h)^{k+1}} \mathrm{d}\zeta - \frac{q \cdot k!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+1}} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right] - \frac{q \cdot (k+1)!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+2}} \mathrm{d}\zeta \right| \\ = &\left| \frac{q \cdot (k+1)!}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(\zeta) \left[\frac{1}{(\zeta - z - h)^{k+1}(\zeta - z)} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^{k+2}} \right] \mathrm{d}\zeta + hO(1) \right| \to 0 \quad (h \to 0), \end{aligned}$$

which results in

$$f^{(k+1)}(z) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f^{(k)}(z+h) - f^{(k)}(z)}{h} = \frac{q\cdot(k+1)!}{2\pi i}\oint_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+2}}\mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

The proposition is thus proved. □

Corollary B.4. *Let the function $f(z)$ be analytic in the region D, then, $f(z)$ has derivatives with any order in D.*

C. Appendix 3: Fourier transform and Poisson summation formula

Any periodic function is capable of becoming the union of different sine functions, i.e.,

$$f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{T} + \varphi\right), \tag{C.1}$$

where T is the period and φ is the offset of the sine function, also known as the initial phase.

C.1. The Fourier series

Use of the formula

$$\sin(\alpha+\beta) = \sin\alpha\cos\beta + \sin\beta\cos\alpha$$

could simplify $C.1$ to obtain

$$f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[A_n \sin\varphi\cos\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{T}\right) + A_n\cos\varphi\sin\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{T}\right)\right].$$

Then, apply the substitution: $\begin{cases} a_n = A_n\sin\varphi, \\ b_n = A_n\cos\varphi, \end{cases}$

which results in the Fourier series

$$f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a_n\cos\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{T}\right) + b_n\sin\left(\frac{2\pi nx}{T}\right)\right]. \tag{C.2}$$

By means of Euler's formula, we can solve for

$$\cos z = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\frac{z}{q}} + e^{-i\frac{z}{q}}\right),\ \sin z = \frac{q}{2i}\left(e^{i\frac{z}{q}} - e^{-i\frac{z}{q}}\right). \tag{C.3}$$

And substituting it into Eq ($C.2$) yields

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{a_n}{2}\left(e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} + e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}}\right) - \frac{ib_n}{2q}\left(e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} - e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}}\right)\right] \\ &= A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_n - \frac{i}{q}b_n}{2}\cdot e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} + \frac{a_n + \frac{i}{q}b_n}{2}\cdot e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, apply the substitution : $c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{a_n - \frac{i}{q} b_n}{2}, & n > 0; \\ A_0, & n = 0; \\ \frac{a_n + \frac{i}{q} b_n}{2}, & n < 0, \end{cases}$

which leads to the exponential form of the Fourier series

$$f(x) = c_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(c_n e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} + c_{-n} e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} \right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}}. \tag{C.4}$$

Thus, $f(x)e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi kx}{T}} = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nx}{T}} \right) \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi kx}{T}}$, which is then integrated over one of the periods of $f(x)$. And the integration and summation signs are interchangeable, according to the dominant convergence theorem, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} f(x)e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi kx}{T}} \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi(n-k)x}{T}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi(n-k)x}{T}} \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

Provided that this integral converges absolutely, i.e., $\int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} |f(x)| \mathrm{d}x < \infty$, we are left with that the integral can be simplified to

$$c_k \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi(k-k)x}{T}} \mathrm{d}x = c_k \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} \mathrm{d}x = c_k T,$$

with the case of $n = k$, and to

$$c_n \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi(n-k)x}{T}} \mathrm{d}x = \frac{qTc_n}{2\pi i(n-k)} e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi(n-k)x}{T}} \Big|_{x_0}^{x_0+T} = 0,$$

with the case of $n \neq k$. Therefore,

$$c_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+T} f(x)e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi kx}{T}} \mathrm{d}x, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{C.5}$$

C.2. The Fourier transform

Now, further on, study the Fourier series $f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi nt}{T}}$, whose period is taken from -T/2 to T/2, where $c_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} f(t)e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi kt}{T}} \mathrm{d}t$.

Suppose $g(\xi) = \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} f(t)e^{-\frac{i}{q}\frac{2\pi \xi t}{T}} \mathrm{d}t$, then, $c_k = \frac{1}{T} g\left(\frac{k}{T}\right)$, and it follows that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{T}\right) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q}\left(\frac{n}{T}\right)t} \cdot \frac{1}{T}. \tag{C.6}$$

Following this, we then replace $\frac{n}{T}$ with ξ_n and $\frac{1}{T}$ with $\Delta\xi$ to get that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\xi_n) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi_n t} \Delta\xi. \tag{C.7}$$

In order for the Fourier series to be used to represent functions without periods, we can find the limit where $\Delta\xi$ tends to 0, i.e., $T \to \infty$, which means

$$f(t) = \lim_{\Delta\xi \to 0^+} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\xi_n) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi_n t} \Delta\xi. \tag{C.8}$$

According to the theory of calculus, the right-hand side of the equation is found to be a Riemann sum, and the series becomes an integral

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\xi) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi t} d\xi. \tag{C.9}$$

Following the same approach, we arrive at

$$g(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi t} dt. \tag{C.10}$$

Hence, it is said that $g(\xi)$ is the Fourier transform of $f(t)$, that is,

$$g(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\{f(t)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi t} dt. \tag{C.11}$$

Sometimes, for simplicity, $\mathcal{F}\{f(t)\}$ is denoted directly by $\hat{f}(\xi)$. And $f(t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $g(\xi)$, namely,

$$f(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{g(\xi)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\xi) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} \xi t} d\xi, \tag{C.12}$$

which, along with Eq (C.11), is called another form of the Fourier transform.

C.3. Poisson's summation formula

A Fourier expansion of $f(t)$ with period T yields

$$f(t + nT) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} k \frac{(t+nT)}{T}} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T}, \tag{C.13}$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t+nT-\frac{1}{2}T}^{t+nT+\frac{1}{2}T} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} kx/T} \mathrm{d}x. \tag{C.14}$$

Let $f(t)$ satisfy $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x < \infty$, then we can sum over $f(t+nT)$ to attain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t+nT) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T} \int_{t+nT-\frac{1}{2}T}^{t+nT+\frac{1}{2}T} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} kx/T} \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T} \underbrace{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{t+nT-\frac{1}{2}T}^{t+nT+\frac{1}{2}T} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} kx/T} \mathrm{d}x}_{S},
\end{aligned}$$

where the part S of it can be further simplified. Due to the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the intervals $\left[t+nT-\frac{T}{2}, t+nT+\frac{T}{2}\right]$ will eventually merge without overlap into the integration interval from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$,

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} kx/T} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} (k/T)x} \mathrm{d}x = \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{T}\right), \tag{C.15}$$

which could be substituted back into the above summation formula to yields

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi \frac{i}{q} kx/T} \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T}, \tag{C.16}$$

namely,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t+nT) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T} \hat{f}\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) e^{2\pi \frac{i}{q} kt/T}, \tag{C.17}$$

which is called Poisson's summation formula on elliptic complex fields. In special, given that $T = 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t+n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{\frac{i}{q}(2\pi kt)}. \tag{C.18}$$

If let $T = 1$ and $t = 0$, then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k). \tag{C.19}$$

Many summation problems can be simplified by Poisson's summation formula, especially for exponential functions which can be transformed into problems of integration.