

AIMS Mathematics, 8(10): 24999–25016. DOI: 10.3934/math.20231276 Received: 24 July 2023 Revised: 21 August 2023 Accepted: 22 August 2023 Published: 28 August 2023

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

# Research article

# Infinity norm upper bounds for the inverse of $SDD_k$ matrices

# Xiaodong Wang and Feng Wang\*

College of Data Science and Information Engineering, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, China

\* Correspondence: Email: wangfeng@gzmu.edu.cn.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of *H*-matrices called  $SDD_k$  matrices, which contains SDD matrices and  $SDD_1$  matrices as special cases, and present some properties of  $SDD_k$  matrices. Based on these properties, we also provide new infinity norm bounds for the inverse of SDD matrices and  $SDD_k$  matrices. It is proved that these new bounds are better than some existing results in some cases. Numerical examples demonstrate the effectiveness of the obtained results.

**Keywords:**  $SDD_k$  matrices; SDD matrices;  $SDD_1$  matrices; infinity norm; upper bound **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 15A18, 15A69, 65G50, 90C33

# 1. Introduction

Let *n* be an integer number,  $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , and let  $C^{n \times n}$  be the set of all complex matrices of order *n*. A matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is called a strictly diagonally dominant (*SDD*) matrix [1] if

$$|m_{ii}| > r_i(M) = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^n |m_{ij}|, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

It was shown that an *SDD* matrix is an *H*-matrix [1], where matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is called an *H*-matrix [1–3] if and only if there exists a positive diagonal matrix *X* such that *MX* is an *SDD* matrix [1, 2, 4]. *H*-matrices are widely applied in many fields, such as computational mathematics, economics, mathematical physics and dynamical system theory, see [1,4–6]. Meanwhile, upper bounds for the infinity norm of the inverse matrices of *H*-matrices can be used in the convergence analysis of matrix splitting and matrix multi-splitting iterative methods for solving the large sparse of linear equations [7], as well as linear complementarity problems. Moreover, upper bounds of the infinity norm of the inverse for different classes of matrices have been widely studied, such as *CKV*-type matrices [8], *S*-*SDDS* matrices [9], *DZ* and *DZ*-type matrices [10, 11], *Nekrasov* matrices [12–15], *S*-*Nekrasov* matrices [16], *Q*-*Nekrasov* matrices [17], *GSDD*<sub>1</sub> matrices [18] and so on. In 2011, Peňa [19] proposed a new subclass of *H*-matrices called  $SDD_1$  matrices, whose definition is listed below. A matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is called an  $SDD_1$  matrix if

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i(M), \quad \forall i \in N_1(M),$$

where

$$p_{i}(M) = \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_{j}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|,$$
  

$$N_{1}(M) = \{i ||m_{ii}| \le r_{i}(M)\}, \quad N_{2}(M) = \{i ||m_{ii}| > r_{i}(M)\}$$

In 2023, Dai et al. [18] gave a new subclass of *H*-matrices named generalized  $SDD_1$  ( $GSDD_1$ ) matrices, which extends the class of  $SDD_1$  matrices. Here, a matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is said a  $GSDD_1$  matrix if

$$r_i(M) - p_i^{N_2(M)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N_2(M),$$

and

$$\left(r_i(M) - p_i^{N_2(M)}(M)\right) \left(|a_{jj}| - p_j^{N_1(M)}(M)\right) > p_i^{N_1(M)}(M)p_j^{N_2(M)}(M), \quad \forall i \in N_2(M), \ \forall j \in N_1(M), \ \forall j \in N_1(M)$$

where

$$p_i^{N_2(M)}(M) = \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|, \quad p_i^{N_1(M)}(M) = \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}|, \quad i \in N.$$

Subsequently, some upper bounds for the infinite norm of the inverse matrices of SDD matrices,  $SDD_1$  matrices and  $GSDD_1$  matrices are presented, see [18,20,21]. For example, the following results that will be used later are listed.

**Theorem 1.** (Varah bound) [21] Let matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$   $(n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. Then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\min_{1\le i\le n}(|m_{ii}|-r_i(M))}$$

**Theorem 2.** [20] Let matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. Then

$$\|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon}{\min_{i \in N} Z_i}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \min_{i \in N} \frac{|m_{ii}| - p_i(M)}{r_i(M)},$$

where

$$Z_i = \varepsilon(|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) + \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{r_j(M) - p_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|}\right) |m_{ij}|.$$

**Theorem 3.** [20] Let matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. If  $r_i(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$ , then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M) - p_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|}.$$

**AIMS Mathematics** 

**Theorem 4.** [18] Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$  be a  $GSDD_1$  matrix. Then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max\left\{\varepsilon, \max_{i \in N_2(M)} \frac{r_i(M)}{|m_{ii}|}\right\}}{\min\left\{\min_{i \in N_2(M)} \phi_i, \min_{i \in N_1(M)} \psi_i\right\}},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_i &= r_i(M) - \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| - \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| \varepsilon, \\ \psi_i &= |m_{ii}| \varepsilon - \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| \varepsilon + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|, \end{split}$$

and

$$\max_{i \in N_1(M)} \frac{p_i^{N_2(M)}(M)}{|m_{ii}| - p_i^{N_1(M)}(M)} < \varepsilon < \min_{j \in N_2(M)} \frac{r_j(M) - p_j^{N_2(M)}(M)}{p_j^{N_1(M)}(M)}$$

On the basis of the above articles, we continue to study special structured matrices and introduce a new subclass of *H*-matrices called  $SDD_k$  matrices, and provide some new upper bounds for the infinite norm of the inverse matrices for SDD matrices and  $SDD_k$  matrices, which improve the previous results. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we propose a new subclass of *H*-matrices called  $SDD_k$  matrices, which include SDD matrices and  $SDD_1$  matrices, and derive some properties of  $SDD_k$  matrices. In Section 3, we present some upper bounds for the infinity norm of the inverse matrices for SDD matrices and  $SDD_k$  matrices, and provide some comparisons with the well-known Varah bound. Moreover, some numerical examples are given to illustrate the corresponding results.

#### **2.** $SDD_k$ matrices

In this section, we propose a new subclass of *H*-matrices called  $SDD_k$  matrices, which include SDD matrices and  $SDD_1$  matrices, and derive some new properties.

**Definition 1.** A matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is called an  $SDD_k$  matrix if there exists  $k \in N$  such that

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M), \quad \forall i \in N_1(M),$$

where

$$p_{i}^{(k)}(M) = \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|,$$
  
$$p_{i}^{(0)}(M) = \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_{j}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Immediately, we know that  $SDD_k$  matrices contain SDD matrices and  $SDD_1$  matrices, so

$$\{SDD\} \subseteq \{SDD_1\} \subseteq \{SDD_2\} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \{SDD_k\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** A matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is an  $SDD_k(k \ge 2)$  matrix if and only if for  $\forall i \in N$ ,  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\forall i \in N_1(M)$ , from Definition 1, it holds that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M)$ .

For  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ , we have that  $|m_{ii}| > r_i(M)$ . When k = 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |m_{ii}| > r_i(M) &\geq \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(0)}(M) \\ &\geq \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(0)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(1)}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) (k \le l, l > 2)$  holds for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ . When k = l + 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m_{ii}| > r_i(M) &\geq \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(l-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(l-1)}(M) \\ &\geq \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(l-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(l)}(M). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain that for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M)$ . Consequently, it holds that matrix *M* is an *SDD*<sub>k</sub> matrix if and only if  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M)$  for  $\forall i \in N$ . The proof is completed.

**Lemma 2.** If  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is an  $SDD_k (k \ge 2)$  matrix, then M is an H-matrix.

*Proof.* Let *X* be the diagonal matrix  $diag\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , where

$$(0 <) x_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in N_1(M), \\ \frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon, & j \in N_2(M), \end{cases}$$

and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|m_{ii}| - p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{\sum\limits_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}|}.$$

If  $\sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| = 0$ , then the corresponding fraction is defined to be  $\infty$ . Next we consider the following two cases.

**Case 1:** For each  $i \in N_1(M)$ , it is not difficult to see that  $|(MX)_{ii}| = |m_{ii}|$ , and

AIMS Mathematics

$$\begin{split} r_{i}(MX) &= \sum_{j=1, j \neq i} |m_{ij}| x_{j} \\ &= \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left( \frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon \right) |m_{ij}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left( \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon \right) |m_{ij}| \\ &= \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \varepsilon |m_{ij}| \\ &= p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) + \varepsilon \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| \\ &< p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) + |m_{ii}| - p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) \\ &= |m_{ii}| = |(MX)_{ii}|. \end{split}$$

**Case 2:** For each  $i \in N_2(M)$ , we can obtain that

$$|(MX)_{ii}| = |m_{ii}| \left(\frac{p_i^{k-1}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon\right) = p_i^{(k-1)}(M) + \varepsilon |m_{ii}|,$$

and

$$\begin{split} r_{i}(MX) &= \sum_{j \in I, j \neq i} |m_{ij}| x_{j} \\ &= \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left( \frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon \right) |m_{ij}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left( \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon \right) |m_{ij}| \\ &= \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \varepsilon |m_{ij}| \\ &= p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) + \varepsilon \sum_{j \in N_{2}(A) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| \\ &\leq p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) + \varepsilon |m_{ii}| \\ &= |(MX)_{ii}|. \end{split}$$

Based on Cases 1 and 2, we have that MX is an SDD matrix, and consequently, M is an H-matrix. The proof is completed.

According to the definition of  $SDD_k$  matrix and Lemma 1, we obtain some properties of  $SDD_k$  matrices as follows:

AIMS Mathematics

**Theorem 5.** If  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  is an  $SDD_k$  matrix and  $N_1(M) \ne \emptyset$ , then for  $\forall i \in N_1(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \ne i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose that for  $\forall i \in N_1(M)$ ,  $\sum_{\substack{j \neq i, j \in N_2(M) \\ i \neq i, j \in N_2(M)}} |m_{ij}| = 0$ . By Definition 1, we have that  $p_i^{(k-1)}(M) = r_i(M)$ ,  $\forall i \in N_1(M)$ . Thus, it is easy to verify that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) = r_i(M) \ge |m_{ii}|$ , which is a contradiction. Thus for  $\forall i \in N_1(M)$ ,  $\sum_{\substack{j \neq i, j \in N_2(M) \\ j \neq i, j \in N_2(M)}} |m_{ij}| > 0$ . The proof is completed.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an  $SDD_k (k \ge 2)$  matrix. It holds that  $\sum_{j \ne i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0$ ,  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ . Then

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-2)}(M) > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N_2(M),$$

and

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 and the known conditions that for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \neq i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0$ , it holds that

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-2)}(M) > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N_2(M),$$

and

$$|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M), \quad \forall i \in N.$$

We now prove that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$  and consider the following two cases.

**Case 1:** If  $N_1(M) = \emptyset$ , then *M* is an *SDD* matrix. It is easy to verify that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0$ ,  $\forall i \in N_2(M) = N$ .

**Case 2:** If  $N_1(M) \neq \emptyset$ , by Theorem 5 and the known condition that for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \neq i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0.04$ 

0, then it is easy to obtain that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$ . From Cases 1 and 2, we have that  $|m_{ii}| > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$ . The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 7.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an  $SDD_k(k \ge 2)$  matrix and for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \ne i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0$ . Then there exists a diagonal matrix  $X = diag\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  such that MX is an SDD matrix. Elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are determined by

$$x_i = \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

*Proof.* We need to prove that matrix MX satisfies the following inequalities:

$$|(MX)_{ii}| > r_i(MX), \quad \forall i \in N.$$

From Theorem 6 and the known condition that for  $\forall i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \neq i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0$ , it is easy to verify that

$$0 < \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} < \frac{p_i^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} < 1, \quad \forall i \in N_2(M).$$

AIMS Mathematics

For each  $i \in N$ , we have that  $|(MX)_{ii}| = p_i^{(k-1)}(M)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i}(MX) &= \sum_{j=1, j\neq i} |m_{ij}| x_{j} \\ &= \sum_{j\in N_{1}(M)\setminus\{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j\in N_{2}(M)\setminus\{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \\ &< \sum_{j\in N_{1}(M)\setminus\{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j\in N_{2}(M)\setminus\{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \\ &= p_{i}^{(k-1)}(M) = |(MX)_{ii}|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|(MX)_{ii}| > r_i(MX)$$

Therefore, MX is an SDD matrix. The proof is completed.

## 3. Infinity norm upper bounds for the inverse of *SDD* and *SDD*<sub>k</sub> matrices

In this section, by Lemma 2 and Theorem 7, we provide some new upper bounds of the infinity norm of the inverse matrices for  $SDD_k$  matrices and SDD matrices, respectively. We also present some comparisons with the Varah bound. Some numerical examples are presented to illustrate the corresponding results. Specially, when the involved matrices are  $SDD_1$  matrices as subclass of  $SDD_k$  matrices, these new bounds are in line with that provided by Chen et al. [20].

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an  $SDD_k (k \ge 2)$  matrix. Then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max\left\{1, \max_{i \in N_2(M)} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon\right\}}{\min\left\{\min_{i \in N_1(M)} U_i, \min_{i \in N_2(M)} V_i\right\}},$$

where

$$U_{i} = |m_{ii}| - \sum_{j \in N_{1}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| - \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon\right) |m_{ij}|,$$
  
$$V_{i} = \varepsilon(|m_{ii}| - \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}|) + \sum_{j \in N_{2}(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M) - p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|}\right) |m_{ij}|,$$

and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|m_{ii}| - p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{\sum\limits_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}|}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 8, Issue 10, 24999–25016.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, we have that there exists a positive diagonal matrix X such that MX is an SDD matrix, where X is defined as Lemma 2. Thus,

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} = ||X(X^{-1}M^{-1})||_{\infty} = ||X(MX)^{-1}||_{\infty} \le ||X||_{\infty} ||(MX)^{-1}||_{\infty},$$

and

$$||X||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i = \max \left\{ 1, \max_{i \in N_2(M)} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Notice that MX is an SDD matrix. Hence, by Theorem 1, we have

$$||(MX)^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1\leq i\leq n}(|(MX)_{ii}|-r_i(MX))}.$$

Thus, for any  $i \in N_1(M)$ , it holds that

$$|(MX)_{ii}| - r_i(MX) = |m_{ii}| - \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| - \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon\right) |m_{ij}| = U_i.$$

For any  $i \in N_2(M)$ , it holds that

$$\begin{split} |(MX)_{ii}| - r_i(MX) &= p_i^{(k-1)}(M) + \varepsilon |m_{ii}| - \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| - \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon\right) |m_{ij}| \\ &= \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \varepsilon |m_{ii}| \\ &- \sum_{j \in N_1(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}| - \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} + \varepsilon\right) |m_{ij}| \\ &= \varepsilon (|m_{ii}| - \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} |m_{ij}|) + \sum_{j \in N_2(M) \setminus \{i\}} \left(\frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|}\right) |m_{ij}| \\ &= V_i. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we get

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max\left\{1, \max_{i \in N_2(M)} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{i|}|} + \varepsilon\right\}}{\min\{\min_{i \in N_1(M)} X_i, \min_{i \in N_2(M)} Y_i\}}.$$

The proof is completed.

From Theorem 8, it is easy to obtain the following result.

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 8, Issue 10, 24999–25016.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. Then

$$\|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_i|} + \varepsilon}{\min_{i \in N} Z_i},$$

where  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$Z_{i} = \varepsilon(|m_{ii}| - r_{i}(M)) + \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \left( \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M) - p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} \right) |m_{ij}|,$$

and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|m_{ii}| - p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{r_i(M)}.$$

**Example 1.** Consider the  $n \times n$  matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1.5 \\ 1.5 & 4 & 2 \\ & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & 4 & 8 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & 3.5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Take that n = 20. It is easy to verify that *M* is an *SDD* matrix.

By calculations, we have that for k = 2,

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon_1 = 0.5859 + \varepsilon_1, \quad \min_{i \in N} Z_i = 0.4414 + 0.5\varepsilon_1, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 < 0.4732.$$

For k = 4,

$$\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{p_i^{(3)}(M)}{|a_{ii}|} + \varepsilon_2 = 0.3845 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i = 0.2959 + 0.5\varepsilon_2, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_2 < 0.7034.$$

For k = 6,

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(5)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon_3 = 0.2504 + \varepsilon_3, \quad \min_{i \in N} Z_i = 0.1733 + 0.5\varepsilon_3, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_3 < 0.8567.$$

For k = 8,

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(7)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon_4 = 0.1624 + \varepsilon_4, \quad \min_{i \in N} Z_i = 0.0990 + 0.5\varepsilon_4, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_4 < 0.9572.$$

AIMS Mathematics

So, when k = 2, 4, 6, 8, by Corollary 1 and Theorem 1, we can get the upper bounds for  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty}$ , see Table 1. Thus,

$$\|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{0.5859 + \varepsilon_1}{0.4414 + 0.5\varepsilon_1} < 2, \quad \|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{0.3845 + \varepsilon_2}{0.2959 + 0.5\varepsilon_2} < 2,$$

and

$$\|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{0.2504 + \varepsilon_3}{0.1733 + 0.5\varepsilon_3} < 2, \qquad \|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{0.1624 + \varepsilon_4}{0.0990 + 0.5\varepsilon_4} < 2.$$

Moreover, when k = 1, by Theorem 2, we have

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le \frac{0.7188 + \varepsilon_5}{0.4844 + 0.5\varepsilon_5}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_5 < 0.3214.$$

k	2	4	6	8
Cor 1	$\frac{0.5859 + \varepsilon_1}{0.4414 + 0.5\varepsilon_1}$	$\frac{0.3845+\varepsilon_2}{0.2959+0.5\varepsilon_2}$	$\frac{0.2504+\varepsilon_3}{0.1733+0.5\varepsilon_3}$	$\frac{0.1624 + \varepsilon_4}{0.0990 + 0.5\varepsilon_4}$
Th 1	2	2	2	2

The following Theorem 9 shows that the bound in Corollary 1 is better than that in Theorem 1 of [20] in some cases.

**Theorem 9.** Let matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. If there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \le \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|,$$

then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon}{\min_{i \in N} Z_i} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))},$$

where  $Z_i$  and  $\varepsilon$  are defined as in Corollary 1, respectively.

*Proof.* From the given condition, we have that there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \le \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|,$$

then

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) + \varepsilon \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))$$

$$\leq \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \varepsilon \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)).$$

AIMS Mathematics

Thus, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \\ \leq \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \varepsilon \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \\ = \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| + \min_{i \in N} (\varepsilon(|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))) \\ \leq \min_{i \in N} \left( \varepsilon(|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) + \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \right) \\ = \min_{i \in N} Z_i.$$

Since *M* is an *SDD* matrix, then

$$|m_{ii}| > r_i(M), \quad Z_i > 0, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

It's easy to verify that

$$\frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon}{\min_{i \in N} Z_i} \le \frac{1}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

Thus, by Corollary 1, it holds that

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} + \varepsilon}{\min_{i \in N} Z_i} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

The proof is completed.

We illustrate Theorem 9 by the following Example 2.

**Example 2.** This is the previous Example 1. For k = 4, we have

$$\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{p_i^{(3)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) = 0.1923 < 0.2959 = \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(2)}(M) - p_j^{(3)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|.$$

Thus, by Theorem 8, we obtain that for each  $0 < \varepsilon_2 < 0.7034$ ,

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le \frac{0.3845 + \varepsilon_2}{0.2959 + 0.5\varepsilon_2} < 2 = \frac{1}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

However, we find that the upper bounds in Theorems 8 and 9 contain the parameter  $\varepsilon$ . Next, based on Theorem 7, we will provide new upper bounds for the infinity norm of the inverse matrices of  $SDD_k$  matrices, which only depend on the elements of the given matrices.

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 8, Issue 10, 24999-25016.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an  $SDD_k(k \ge 2)$  matrix and for each  $i \in N_2(M)$ ,  $\sum_{j \ne i, j \in N_2(M)} |m_{ij}| > 0$ . Then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_i|}}{\min_{i \in N} \left( p_i^{(k-1)}(M) - \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \right)}$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 7 and 8, we have that there exists a positive diagonal matrix X such that MX is an SDD matrix, where X is defined as in Theorem 7. Thus, it holds that

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} = ||X(X^{-1}M^{-1})||_{\infty} = ||X(MX)^{-1}||_{\infty} \le ||X||_{\infty} ||(MX)^{-1}||_{\infty},$$

and

$$||X||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} x_i = \max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}$$

Notice that MX is an SDD matrix. Thus, by Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{split} \|(MX)^{-1}\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\|(MX)_{ii}\| - r_i(MX))} \\ &= \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\|m_{ii}x_i\| - r_i(MX))} \\ &= \frac{1}{\min_{i \in N} \left( p_i^{(k-1)}(M) - \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{\|m_{ij}\|} \|m_{ij}\| \right)}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \left( p_i^{(k-1)}(M) - \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \right)}.$$

The proof is completed.

Since *SDD* matrices are a subclass of  $SDD_k$  matrices, by Theorem 10, we can obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. If  $r_i(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$ , then there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|}.$$

Two examples are given to show the advantage of the bound in Theorem 10.

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 8, Issue 10, 24999–25016.

**Example 3.** Consider the following matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 40 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 10 & -4.1 & -4 & -6 \\ -20 & -2 & 33 & -4 & -8 \\ 0 & -4 & -6 & 20 & -2 \\ -30 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 40 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that *M* is not an *SDD* matrix, an *SDD*<sub>1</sub> matrix, a *GSDD*<sub>1</sub> matrix, an *S-SDD* matrix, nor a *CKV*-type matrix. Therefore, we cannot use the error bounds in [1, 8, 9, 18, 20] to estimate  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty}$ . But, *M* is an *SDD*<sub>2</sub> matrix. So by the bound in Theorem 10, we have that  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 0.5820$ .

**Example 4.** Consider the tri-diagonal matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  arising from the finite difference method for free boundary problems [18], where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} b + \alpha \sin\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & c & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a & b + \alpha \sin\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) & c & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & a & b + \alpha \sin\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) & c \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a & b + \alpha \sin(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Take that n = 4, a = 1, b = 0, c = 3.7 and  $\alpha = 10$ . It is easy to verify that M is neither an SDD matrix nor an  $SDD_1$  matrix. However, we can get that M is a  $GSDD_1$  matrix and an  $SDD_3$  matrix. By the bound in Theorem 10, we have

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 8.2630,$$

while by the bound in Theorem 4, it holds that

. .

$$\|M^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\left\{2.1488 - \varepsilon, 0.3105, 2.474\varepsilon - 3.6272\right\}}, \quad \varepsilon \in (1.4661, 2.1488).$$

The following two theorems show that the bound in Corollary 2 is better than that in Theorem 1 in some cases.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. If  $r_i(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$  and there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \ge \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)),$$

then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|}}|m_{ij}|} < \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

*Proof.* Since *M* is an *SDD* matrix, then  $N_1(M) = \emptyset$  and *M* is an *SDD<sub>k</sub>* matrix. By the given condition that  $r_i(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$ , it holds that

$$|m_{ii}| > r_i(M) > \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(0)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N,$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$p_i^{(0)}(M) = \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{r_j(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| > \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(0)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = p_i^{(1)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$|m_{ii}| > r_i(M) > p_i^{(0)}(M) > \dots > p_i^{(k-1)}(M) > 0, \quad \forall i \in N,$$

that is,

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} < 1.$$

Since there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| \ge \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)),$$

then we have

$$\frac{\max_{i\in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i\in N} \sum_{j\in N\setminus\{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|} < \frac{1}{\min_{1\leq i\leq n}(|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

Thus, from Corollary 2, we get

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|} < \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

The proof is completed.

We illustrate the Theorem 11 by following Example 5.

**Example 5.** Consider the matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$ , where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 \\ & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ & & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & & & 1 & 6 & 2 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 6 & 2 \\ & & & & & & 0.9 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take that n = 20. It is easy to check that M is an SDD matrix. Let

$$l_{k} = \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_{j}^{(k-2)}(M) - p_{j}^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|, \ m = \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (|m_{ii}| - r_{i}(M)).$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 8, Issue 10, 24999–25016.

By calculations, we have

$$l_2 = 0.2692 > 0.1 = m, \quad l_3 = 0.2567 > 0.1 = m, \quad l_4 = 0.1788 > 0.1 = m,$$
  
 $l_5 = 0.1513 > 0.1 = m, \quad l_6 = 0.1037 > 0.1 = m.$ 

Thus, when k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, the matrix M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 11. By Theorems 1 and 11, we can derive the upper bounds for  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty}$ , see Table 2. Meanwhile, when k = 1, by Theorem 3, we get that  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 1.6976$ .

k	2	3	4	5	6
Th 11	1.9022	1.5959	1.8332	1.7324	2.0214
Th 1	10	10	10	10	10

Table 2. The bounds in Theorem 11 and Theorem 1.

From Table 2, we can see that the bounds in Theorem 11 are better than that in Theorems 1 and 3 in some cases.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$  be an SDD matrix. If  $r_i(M) > 0 (\forall i \in N)$  and there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \le \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| < \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)),$$

then

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 7 and the given condition that  $r_i(M) > 0(\forall i \in N)$ , it is easy to get that

$$\sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| > 0, \quad \forall i \in N.$$

From the condition that there exists  $k \ge 2$  such that

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) \le \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|,$$

we have

$$\frac{\max_{i\in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i\in N} \sum_{j\in N\setminus\{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1\leq i\leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

**AIMS Mathematics** 

Thus, from Corollary 2, it holds that

$$||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \frac{\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|}}{\min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(k-2)}(M) - p_j^{(k-1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}|} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M))}.$$

The proof is completed.

Next, we illustrate Theorem 12 by the following Example 6.

**Example 6.** Consider the tri-diagonal matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n} (n \ge 2)$ , where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2.5 \\ -1.2 & 4 & -2 \\ & -2.8 & 5 & -1 \\ & & -2.8 & 5 & -1 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & -2.8 & 5 & -1 \\ & & & & -2.8 & 5 & -1 \\ & & & & & -2.8 & 5 & -1 \\ & & & & & & -1.2 & 4 & -2 \\ & & & & & & & -2.5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take that n = 20. It is easy to verify that *M* is an *SDD* matrix.

By calculations, we have that for k = 2,

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(1)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) = 0.2686 < \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(0)}(M) - p_j^{(1)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = 0.3250$$
$$< 0.5 = \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)).$$

For k = 5, we get

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(4)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) = 0.1319 < \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(3)}(M) - p_j^{(4)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = 0.1685$$
$$< 0.5 = \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)).$$

For k = 10, it holds that

$$\max_{i \in N} \frac{p_i^{(9)}(M)}{|m_{ii}|} \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)) = 0.0386 < \min_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j^{(8)}(M) - p_j^{(9)}(M)}{|m_{jj}|} |m_{ij}| = 0.0485$$
$$< 0.5 = \min_{i \in N} (|m_{ii}| - r_i(M)).$$

Thus, for k = 2, 5, 10, the matrix M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 12. Thus, from Theorems 12 and 1, we get the upper bounds for  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty}$ , see Table 3. Meanwhile, when k = 1, by Theorem 3, we have that  $||M^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 1.7170$ .

**AIMS Mathematics** 

From Table 3, we can see that the bound in Theorem 12 is sharper than that in Theorems 1 and 3 in some cases.

k	2	5	10			
Th 12	1.6530	1.5656	1.5925			
Th 1	2	2	2			

**Table 3.** The bounds in Theorem 12 and Theorem 1.

#### 4. Conclusions

 $SDD_k$  matrices as a new subclass of *H*-matrices are proposed, which include SDD matrices and  $SDD_1$  matrices, and some properties of  $SDD_k$  matrices are obtained. Meanwhile, some new upper bounds of the infinity norm of the inverse matrices for SDD matrices and  $SDD_k$  matrices are presented. Furthermore, we prove that the new bounds are better than some existing bounds in some cases. Some numerical examples are also provided to show the validity of new results. In the future, based on the proposed infinity norm bound, we will explore the computable error bounds of the linear complementarity problems for  $SDD_k$  matrices.

## Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

#### Acknowledgments

This research is supported by Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Projects (20191161), and the Natural Science Research Project of Department of Education of Guizhou Province (QJJ2023062, QJJ2023063).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### References

- 1. A. Berman, R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, New York: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1994.
- 2. V. Kostić, On general principles of eigenvalue localizations via diagonal dominance, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **41** (2015), 55–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-014-9349-0
- 3. L. Cvetković, V. Kostić, S. Rauški, A new subclass of *H*-matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **208** (2009), 206–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.11.037
- 4. C. Y. Zhang, New Advances in Research on H-Matrices, Beijing: Science Press, 2017.
- 5. L. Cvetković, *H*-matrix theory vs. eigenvalue localization, *Numer. Algor.*, **42** (2006), 229–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-006-9029-3

- 6. L. Cvetković, V. Kostić, R. Bru, F. Pedroche, A simple generalization of Geršgorin's theorem, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **35** (2011), 271–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-009-9143-6
- 7. R. S. Varga, *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, 2<sup>nd</sup>, Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- 8. D. L. Cvetković, L. Cvetković, C. Q. Li, *CKV*-type matrices with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **608** (2021), 158–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2020.08.028
- 9. L. Y. Kolotilina, Some bounds for inverses involving matrix sparsity pattern, J. Math. Sci., 249 (2020), 242–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-020-04938-3
- L. Y. Kolotilina, On Dashnic-Zusmanovich (DZ) and Dashnic-Zusmanovich type (DZT) matrices and their inverses, *J. Math. Sci.*, 240 (2019), 799–812. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-019-04397-5
- C. Q. Li, L. Cvetković, Y. M. Wei, J. X. Zhao, An infinity norm bound for the inverse of Dashnic-Zusmanovich type matrices with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 565 (2019), 99–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.12.013
- L. Cvetković, P. F. Dai, K. Doroslovački, Y. T. Li, Infinity norm bounds for the inverse of Nekrasov matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219** (2013), 5020–5024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.11.056
- 13. L. Gao, Q. Liu, New upper bounds for the infinity norm of Nekrasov matrices, *J. Appl. Math.*, **14** (2020), 723–733.
- 14. H. Orera, J. M. Peña, Infinity norm bounds for the inverse of Nekrasov matrices using scaling matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **358** (2019), 119–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.04.027
- 15. L. Y. Kolotilina, On bounding inverses to Nekrasov matrices in the infinity norm, *J. Math. Sci.*, **199** (2014), 432–437. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-014-1870-7
- 16. L. Cvetković, V. Kostić. K. Doroslovački, Max-norm bounds for the inverse S-Nekrasov (2012), 9498-9503. of matrices, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.03.040
- 17. L. Y. Kolotilina, Bounds for the inverses of generalized Nekrasov matrices, J. Math. Sci., 207 (2015), 786–794. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-015-2401-x
- 18. P. Dai, J. Li, S. Zhao, Infinity norm bounds for the inverse for *GSDD*<sub>1</sub> matrices using scaling matrices, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **42** (2023), 121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-022-02165-x
- 19. J. M. Peña, Diagonal dominance, Schur complements and some classes of *H*-matrices and *P*-matrices, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **35** (2011), 357–373. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-010-9160-5
- 20. X. Chen, Y. Li, L. Liu, Y. Wang, Infinity norm upper bounds for the inverse of *SDD*<sub>1</sub> matrices, *AIMS Math.*, **7** (2022), 8847–8860. http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/math.2022493
- 21. J. M. Varah, A lower bound for the smallest singular value of a matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **11** (1975), 3–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(75)90112-3



© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

AIMS Mathematics