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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fourth-order differential equations $$
\begin{aligned} & u^{(4)}+c(x) u=\lambda a(x) f(u), \quad x \in(0,1), \\ & u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, \end{aligned}
$$ where $a(x)$ may change signs. The proof of main results is based on Leray-Schauder's fixed point theorem and the properties of Green's function of the fourth-order differential operator $L_{c} u=u^{(4)}+$ $c(x) u$.
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## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear mathematical models [1,2] were widely used in many fields. In particular, boundary value problems of nonlinear differential equations have received extensive attention and have been intensively studied in the past thirty years, see $[3,4]$. We point out that boundary value problems for second order differential equations, see, for example [5-9] and the references therein. While studies about boundary value problems of nonlinear fourth-order differential equations are much more less. One of the earliest papers about boundary value problems of nonlinear fourth-order differential operator is [10] from R. Ma and H. Wang, there they concerned the following problem

$$
y^{(4)}-h(x) f(y(x))=0, \quad x \in(0,1)
$$

with boundary condition

$$
y(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
$$

or

$$
y(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0 .
$$

By the fixed point theorem in cone, they proved the existence of positive solutions under the conditions that $f$ is either superlinear or sublinear. In another paper [11], the author obtained the positive solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{(4)}+\beta u^{\prime \prime}-\alpha u=f(t, u), \quad x \in(0,1) \\
& u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

by the fixed point theorem in cone. R.Vrabel [12] studied the upper solution and lower solution of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{(4)}(x)+\lambda y^{\prime \prime}(x)=h(x, y(x)), \quad x \in(0,1) \\
& y(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are many other papers we will not list but we find that they have a common point, that is, the fourth-order differential operators they dealt with can be resolved into composition of two secondorder positive linear operators. And therefore, the corresponding Green's function for fourth-order linear operator is the form of the product of two Green's functions for second-order linear operators.

In a recently paper [13], Drábet discussed the existence of positive solutions for the following fourth-order linear problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{(4)}+c(x) u=h(x), \quad x \in(0,1), \\
& u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the fourth-order differential operator can not be resolution into composition of two second-order positive linear operators. For more results on nonlinear fourth-order differential operator problems we can refer to $[14,15]$.

Based on the above literature inspiration. We now consider the fourth-order nonlinear equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}+c(x) u=\lambda a(x) f(u),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c(x), a(x)$ satisfy some conditions that we will give bellow, especially, $a(x)$ may change signs.
We make the following assumptions throughout the paper:
(A1) $-\pi^{4}<c(x)<c_{0}$,
(A2) $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $f(0)>0$,
(A3) $a:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $a(x) \not \equiv 0$, and there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y) d y \geq K \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{-}(y) d y
$$

for every $x \in(0,1)$, where $a^{+}$(resp. $a^{-}$) is the positive (resp. negative) part of $a, c_{0}$ is the constant given in [13], and $G(x, y)$ is the Green's function of $L_{c}$ with boundary conditions (1.2).

Our main result is as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let (A1)-(A3) hold. Then there exists a positive number $\lambda^{*}$ such that (1.1) and (1.2) have a positive solution for $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$.

Remark 1.1. Since the fourth-order differential operator can not be resolution into composition of two second-order positive linear operators, as a result, the Green's function have no explicit expression. So the method or technic used in [10-12] does not work. To deal with the new case and the difficult it brings, we are inspired by the method to second-order elliptic boundary value problems in [8], and the result that the fourth-order operator $u^{(4)}+c(x) u$ is strictly inverse positive in [13, 16]. Thanks to the existence and its properties of the Green's function given in [17-19], we obtain the existence of a positive solution to the problems (1.1) and (1.2).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we present two important lemmas. The main method we use is the fixed point theorem of Leray-Schauder type. We refer interested readers to the literature [20,21].

Set

$$
W=\left\{u \in C^{4}([0,1]): u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0\right\}
$$

and let the linear operator $L_{c}: W \rightarrow C([0,1])$ defined by

$$
L_{c} u=u^{(4)}+c(x) u .
$$

Then the boundary value problems (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent to the operator equation

$$
L_{c} u=\lambda a(x) f(u) .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let (A1) hold. Then $L_{c}$ is strictly inverse positive, and therefore it has a positive Green's function.

Proof. $L_{c}$ is strictly inverse positive, we can refer to $[13,16]$ and the reference therein. From the definition of $L_{c}$ is strictly inverse positive there and the well-known truth that

$$
L_{c} u=h(x)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\left.u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) h(y)\right) d y
$$

we can get the positiveness of the Green's function $G(x, y)$ immediately.

Lemma 2.2. Let (A1)-(A3) hold, and let $0<\delta<1$. Then there exists a positive number $\bar{\lambda}$ such that, for $0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$, the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}+c(x) u=\lambda a(x)^{+} f(u)  \tag{2.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a positive solution $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}$ with $\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x) \geq \lambda \delta f(0) p(x), \quad x \in(0,1)
$$

where $p(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y) d y$.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $L_{c}$ is strictly inverse positive, and therefore it has a positive Green's function $G(x, y)$. For each $u \in C([0,1])$, let

$$
A u(x)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y) f(u(y)) d y, \quad x \in[0,1] .
$$

Then the fixed points of $A$ are solutions of problems (2.1) and (2.2). We now verify the condition of Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem to show that $A$ has a fixed point for $\lambda$ small.

Firstly, $A: C([0,1]) \rightarrow C([0,1])$ is completely continuous by the assumptions and Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Secondly, we find a bounded open set $\Omega$ with $0 \in \Omega$ in $C([0,1])$, such that for $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$, if $u=\theta A u$, then $u \bar{\epsilon} \partial \Omega$.

By (A2), the function $g(s)=\frac{f(s)}{f(0)}$ is continuous and $g(0)=1$, since $0<\delta<1$, we can choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
f(s)>\delta f(0) \quad s \in[0, \varepsilon] .
$$

Also we have

$$
|A u|_{0} \leq \lambda|p|_{0} \tilde{f}\left(|u|_{0}\right) \leq \lambda|p|_{0} \tilde{f}(\varepsilon), \quad u \in[0, \varepsilon],
$$

where $\tilde{f}(t)=\max _{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s)$, and $|\cdot|_{0}$ is the usual norm in $C([0,1])$.
Suppose $\lambda<\frac{1}{2 \mid p \rho_{0} \tilde{f}(\varepsilon)}=: \bar{\lambda}$, then there exists a $A_{\lambda} \in(0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}\left(A_{\lambda}\right)}{A_{\lambda}}=\frac{1}{2 \lambda|p|_{0}}
$$

Let $\Omega=\left\{u \in C([0,1]):|u|_{0}<A_{\lambda}\right\}$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $u=\theta A u$. Then we have

$$
|u|_{0} \leq|A u|_{0} \leq \lambda|p|_{0} \tilde{f}\left(|u|_{0}\right),
$$

or

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}\left(|u|_{0}\right)}{|u|_{0}} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda|p|_{0}}
$$

So $u \neq A_{\lambda}$, which means $u \bar{\epsilon} \partial \Omega$.
By the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, $A$ has a fixed point $\tilde{u_{\lambda}}$ in $\Omega$ for $0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$, that is, problems (2.1) and (2.2) have a positive solution $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}$ with $\tilde{u}_{\lambda} \leq A_{\lambda}<\varepsilon$. Notice that $A_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x)=A \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x) \geq \lambda \delta f(0) p(x), \quad x \in(0,1) .
$$

The proof is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $q(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{-}(y) d y$, recall that $p(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y) d y$. By (A2),

$$
q(x) \leq \frac{1}{K} p(x)
$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.2 that $g(0)=1$, there is a $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and we can choose $1<\sigma<K$, such that $f(s)<\sigma f(0)$, and $\gamma=\frac{\sigma}{K} \in(0,1)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x) f(s) \leq \gamma f(0) p(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in[0, \alpha], \quad x \in(0,1)$. Fix $\delta \in(0,1)$ and let $\lambda^{*}>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right|_{0}+\lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0} \leq \alpha \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, where $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}$ is the solution of (2.1) and (2.2) given by Lemma 2.2, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)-f(t)| \leq \frac{\delta-\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s, t \in[-\alpha, \alpha]$ with $|s-t| \leq \lambda^{*} \delta f(0)|p|_{0}$.
Let $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, we look for a solution $u_{\lambda}=\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+v_{\lambda}$. Since $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}$ is the solution of (2.1) and (2.2), then $v_{\lambda}$ solves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{c} v_{\lambda}=\lambda a^{+}\left[f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+v_{\lambda}\right)-f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right)\right]-\lambda a^{-} f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+v_{\lambda}\right), \quad x \in(0,1), \\
& v_{\lambda}(0)=v_{\lambda}(1)=v_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(0)=v_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $w \in C([0,1])$, let $v=A w$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{c} v=\lambda a^{+}\left[f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+w\right)-f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right)\right]-\lambda a^{-} f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+w\right), \quad x \in(0,1), \\
& v(0)=v(1)=v^{\prime \prime}(0)=v^{\prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the operator $A$ is as in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A w(x)= & \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y)\left[f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)+w(y)\right)-f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)\right)\right] d y \\
& -\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{-}(y) f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)+w(y)\right) d y, \quad x \in[0,1],
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A$ is completely continuous.
Let

$$
\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}=\left\{v \in C([0,1]) ;|v|_{0} \leq \lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0}\right\},
$$

if $v \in C\left(\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$, such that $v=\theta A v$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(x)= & \lambda \theta \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{+}(y)\left[f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)+v(y)\right)-f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)\right)\right] d y \\
& -\lambda \theta \int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) a^{-}(y) f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(y)+v(y)\right) d y, \quad x \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

we are going to show that

$$
|v|_{0} \neq \lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0} .
$$

Suppose the contrary that $|v|_{0}=\lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0}$. Then by (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$
\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+v\right|_{0} \leq\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right|_{0}+|v|_{0} \leq \alpha,
$$

and

$$
\left|f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}+v\right)-f\left(\tilde{u}_{\lambda}\right)\right|_{0} \leq \frac{\delta-\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0)
$$

together with (3.1) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
|v(x)| & \leq \lambda \cdot \frac{\delta-\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0) p(x)+\lambda \gamma f(0) p(x) \\
& =\lambda \cdot \frac{\delta+\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0) p(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
|v|_{0} \leq \lambda \cdot \frac{\delta+\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0)|p|_{0}<\lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0}
$$

a contradiction.
By the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, A has a fixed point $v_{\lambda}$ in $\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}$ with $\left|v_{\lambda}\right|_{0} \leq \lambda \delta f(0)|p|_{0}$. Hence $v_{\lambda}$ satisfies (3.4), and using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda}(x) & =\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x)+v_{\lambda}(x) \geq \tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x)-\left|v_{\lambda}(x)\right| \\
& \geq \lambda \delta f(0) p(x)-\lambda \cdot \frac{\delta+\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0) p(x)=\lambda \cdot \frac{\delta-\gamma}{2} \cdot f(0) p(x)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proved that $u_{\lambda}$ is a positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2).

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we mainly study the existence of solutions to a class of nonlinear fourth-order Dilrichlet boundary value problems through Leray-Schauder's fixed point theorem, and show the asymptotic behavior of the solution as $\lambda$ changes. In the future, we can try to construct such solutions, give the properties of the solutions, or study numerical solutions for such problems.
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