

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 7(8): 15484-15496.

DOI: 10.3934/math.2022848 Received: 29 January 2022 Revised: 30 April 2022 Accepted: 13 May 2022

Published: 22 June 2022

Research article

An existence result involving both the generalized proportional Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations through generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem

 $Rahul^1$, Nihar Kumar Mahato 1 , Sumati Kumari Panda 2 , Manar A. Alqudah 3 and Thabet Abdeljawad 4,5,*

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, IIITDM Jabalpur, India
- ² Department of Mathematics, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam 532 127, Andhra Pradesh, India
- Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, P. O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia
- ⁵ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, 40402 Taichung, Taiwan
- * Correspondence: Email: tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa.

Abstract: In this paper, we propose and prove an extension and generalization, which extends and generalizes the Darbo's fixed point theorem (DFPT) in the context of measure of noncompactness (MNC). Thereafter, we use DFPT to investigate the existence of solutions to mixed-type fractional integral equations (FIE), which include both the generalized proportional (κ , τ)-Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integral equations. We've included a suitable example to strengthen the article.

Keywords: (κ, τ) -type generalized proportional fractional integral equation; generalized proportional Hadamard fractional (GPHF) integral equation; MNC; DFPT

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 26D15, 47H10

1. Introduction

The MNC is one of the most powerful tool of modern mathematical analysis, which was introduced by Kuratowski [1] in 1930. It was generalized by Banas [2] for solving functional equations, which is applicable to numerous mathematical problems. Darbo [3] has generalized of Schauder fixed point theorem (SFPT) and Banach contraction principle, using the concept of MNC.

In the present time, the fixed point theory (FPT) have so many applications in several area of mathematics along with FPT can be apply for the existence of solutions of FIE. It is still continue to

earned the attention of researchers in various applications of functional calculus in science and technology.

Fractional calculus is a very powerful tool to achieve differentiation and integration with real or complex number order of operators. For recent research on fractional calculus, we refer the reader to (see [4–12]). On the other hand, there are various known forms of fractional integrals and their applications. For example: Katugampola [13] introduced a new FIE, which generalize Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard FIE into single form, Mubeen and Habibullah [14] have introduced the κ -fractional integral of Riemann-Liouville by using κ -gamma function defined by Diaz and Pariguan [15], Mehmet et al. [16] generalized a new FIE known as (κ, τ) -Riemann-Liouville FIE. Jarad et al. [17] have given the concept of generalized proportional integral operator, which has been specify certain probability density functions and has interested applications in statistics.

Inspired and motivated by ([16, 17]), in the context of MNC, we generalize the DFPT and a new FIE involving both the generalized proportional (κ , τ)-Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard. Thereafter, we use DFPT to investigate the existence of solutions of mixed-type FIE, which include both the generalized proportional (κ , τ)-Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard.

We have used the notations in this paper.

- Ξ : Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\Xi}$.
- $\bar{\aleph}$: closure of \aleph .
- *Conv***%**: convex closure of **%**.
- \mathfrak{M}_{Ξ} : subset of all nonempty and bounded subsets of Ξ .
- \mathfrak{N}_{Ξ} : subset which contains all relatively compact sets.
- \mathbb{R} : $(-\infty, \infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and \mathbb{N} be set of natural numbers.

Banas and Lecko [18] have defined MNC as follows:

Definition 1.1. A mapping $\Lambda: \mathfrak{M}_{\Xi} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be MNC in Ξ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (N_1) The family $\ker \Lambda = \{\aleph \in \mathfrak{M}_\Xi : \Lambda(\aleph) = 0\}$ is nonempty and $\ker \Lambda \subset \mathfrak{N}_\Xi$.
- $(N_2) \aleph_1 \subset \aleph_2 \implies \Lambda(\aleph_1) \leq \Lambda(\aleph_2).$
- $(N_3) \Lambda(\bar{\aleph}) = \Lambda(\aleph) = \Lambda(Conv\aleph).$
- $(N_4) \Lambda(k\aleph_1 + (1-k)\aleph_2) \le k\Lambda(\aleph_1) + (1-k)\Lambda(\aleph_2), for k \in [0,1].$
- (N_5) If (\aleph_n) is a sequence of closed sets from \mathfrak{M}_Ξ , such that $\aleph_{n+1} \subset \aleph_n$ for n=1,2,3,... and if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Lambda(\aleph_n) = 0$, then $\aleph_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \aleph_n \neq \emptyset$.

Example 1.2. Let $\Xi = C(I)$ be the space of real continuous functions on I, where I = [a,b]. Then $g: \mathfrak{M}_\Xi \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined as

$$||g|| = \sup\{||g(t)|| : t \in I\}, g \in \Xi.$$

Then g satisfies all the properties of MNC.

Remark 1.3. Since
$$\Lambda(\aleph_{\infty}) = \bigcup \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \aleph_n\right) \leq \Lambda(\aleph_n), \ \Lambda(\aleph_{\infty}) = 0.$$
 So $\aleph_{\infty} \in ker\Lambda$.

Theorem 1.4. (Schauder) [19] A mapping $\mathfrak{I}: \aleph \to \aleph$ which is compact and continuous have a fixed point (\mathbb{FP}), where \aleph is a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex (NBCC) subset of a Banach space Ξ .

Theorem 1.5. (Darbo) [20] Let $\mathfrak{I}: \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a continuous mapping and Λ is an MNC. If for any nonempty subset ς of \mathfrak{S} , there exists a $k \in [0, 1)$ having the inequality,

$$\Lambda(\Im\varsigma) \leq k \Lambda(\varsigma),$$

then the mapping \mathfrak{I} have a \mathbb{FP} in \aleph .

Definition 1.6. Let \aleph be bounded subset of metric space Ξ . Then for bounded set \aleph , the Hausdorff MNC Λ is defined as

$$\Lambda(\aleph) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : \aleph \text{ has finite } \epsilon - \text{net in } \Xi \}.$$

Definition 1.7. [21] The functions $F : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, which are continuous known by C-class function if it satisfies

- (F_1) $F(\omega, \nu) \leq \omega$.
- (F_2) $F(\omega, \nu) = \omega \implies \omega = 0$ or $\nu = 0$ for all $\omega, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.8. (i) $F(\omega, \nu) = \omega - \nu$.

(ii) $F(\omega, v) = k\omega$, where $0 \le k < 1$.

Definition 1.9. Suppose Ψ is the set of continuous functions $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

- (a) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0.
- (b) ψ is non decreasing.
- (c) $\psi(t) < t$ for every t > 0.

Let $\Xi = C(I)$ be the space of real continuous functions on I, where I = [a, b]. Then Ξ is a Banach space with the norm

$$|| \varkappa || = \sup \{ |\varkappa(\varsigma)| : \varsigma \in I \}, \ \varkappa \in \Xi.$$

Let Υ be a non-empty bounded subset of Ξ then, for $\varkappa \in \Upsilon$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $\omega(\varkappa, \epsilon)$ be the modulus of the continuity of \varkappa and defined as

$$\omega(\varkappa,\epsilon) = \sup \{ |\varkappa(\varsigma_1) - \varkappa(\varsigma_2)| : \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I, |\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2| \le \epsilon \}.$$

Again, we define

$$\omega(\Upsilon, \epsilon) = \sup \{ \omega(\varkappa, \epsilon) : \varkappa \in \Upsilon \},$$

$$\omega_0(\Upsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \omega(\Upsilon, \epsilon).$$

Hence the function ω_0 is a MNC in Ξ in such a way that the Hausdorff MNC Λ is given by $\Lambda(\Upsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(\Upsilon)$ (see [2]).

2. Generalization of Darbo's fixed point theorem

Theorem 2.1. Let $(\Xi, \| \cdot \|)$ be a Banach space. Suppose $\mathfrak{I} : \aleph \to \aleph$ is a continuous, nondecreasing and bounded (CNB) mapping fulfills the following inequality

$$\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{R})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right) \leq F\left(\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{R})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right), \psi\left(\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{R})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right)\right)\right) \tag{2.1}$$

for each bounded \Re of Ξ , where $\pi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous functions, $\vartheta: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is nondecreasing, continuous functions with $\vartheta(\varsigma) = 0$ if and only if $\varsigma = 0$, $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0,1)$ is a continuous function and Λ is MNC. Then \Im contains at least one \mathbb{FP} .

Proof. Assume that \aleph_n with $\aleph_0 = \aleph$ and $\aleph_{n+1} = conv(\mathfrak{I}\aleph_n)$ for all $n \ge 0$. Also, $\mathfrak{I}\aleph_0 = \mathfrak{I}\aleph \subseteq \aleph = \aleph_0$, $\aleph_1 = conv(\mathfrak{I}\aleph_0) \subseteq \aleph = \aleph_0$. Continuing in the similar manner gives

$$\aleph_0 \supset \aleph_1 \supset, ..., \supset \aleph_n \supset, ... \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\Lambda(\aleph_n) > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(\mathfrak{I}\aleph_n) \\ \int_0^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is positive decreasing sequence. By using (2.1),

we have $\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_{n+1})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right) = \vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(conv(\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{N}_{n}))} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right) = \vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{N}_{n})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right)$ $\leq F\left(\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_{n})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right), \psi\left(\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathbf{N}_{n})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right)\right)\right)$ $\leq \vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}\mathbf{N}_{n})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right).$

Since ϑ is nondecreasing function, we get

$$\vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\aleph_{n+1})} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right) \leq \vartheta\left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\Im\aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma)d\varsigma\right).$$

Then $\left\{\begin{pmatrix} \int_{0}^{\Lambda(\Im \aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \end{pmatrix}\right\}$ is positive decreasing and bounded below, so it converges to $r = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} \int_{0}^{\Lambda(\Im \aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \end{pmatrix}\right\}$. Now suppose r > 0, then from Eq (2.1), we have

$$0 \leq \vartheta \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\aleph_{n+1})} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right) = \vartheta \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(conv(\Im\aleph_n))} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right) = \vartheta \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\Im\aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right)$$
$$\leq F \left(\vartheta \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right), \psi \left(\vartheta \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda(\aleph_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right) \right) \right),$$

 $i.e., \vartheta \left(\int\limits_0^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{R}_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right) \le F \left(\vartheta \left(\int\limits_0^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{R}_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right), \psi \left(\vartheta \left(\int\limits_0^{\Lambda(\mathfrak{R}_n)} \pi(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right) \right) \right).$ Taking the limit $n \to \infty$ on both the sides of this inequality, we have

$$\vartheta(r) \leq F\left(\vartheta(r), \psi(\vartheta(r))\right) \leq \vartheta(r)$$

which means

$$F(\vartheta(r), \psi(\vartheta(r))) = \vartheta(r),$$

so from (F_2) , we get $\vartheta(r) = 0$, hence r = 0. which implies $\Lambda(\aleph_n) \to 0$.

Since \aleph_n is nested sequence, so by the (N_5) property of (MNC), we conclude that $\aleph_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \aleph_n$ is NBCC of Ξ . Also, we know that $\aleph_\infty \in ker\Lambda$. Therefore \aleph_∞ is compact and invariant under the mapping \mathfrak{I} . Hence by the SFPT, \mathfrak{I} have a \mathbb{FP} in $\aleph_\infty \subset \Xi$.

Corollary 2.2. If we take $\pi(\varsigma) = 1$ for $\varsigma \in [0, \infty)$ in Theorem (2.1), then we have

$$\vartheta(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})) \leq F(\vartheta(\Lambda(\aleph)), \psi(\vartheta(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})))$$
.

Corollary 2.3. Take $F(\omega, \nu) = \omega \nu$ in Corollary(2.2), then we have

$$\vartheta\left(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})\right) \leq \psi\left(\vartheta\left(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})\right)\right)\vartheta\left(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})\right).$$

It is extension of DFPT extended by Ghaemi and Samadi [23].

Corollary 2.4. Take $F(\omega, \nu) = \omega - \nu$ in Corollary(2.2), then we have

$$\vartheta(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})) \leq \vartheta(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I})) - \psi(\vartheta(\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}))).$$

It is generalization of DFPT generalize by Parvaneh et al. [22].

Corollary 2.5. If we take $\psi(\tau) = k$, $0 \le k < 1$, $\vartheta(\tau) = \tau$ in Corollary (2.3), then we have

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{I}8) < k(\Lambda(8))$$
.

Then it is DFPT [20].

Corollary 2.6. If we take $\vartheta(\tau) = e^{\tau}$ and $\psi(\tau) = \tau - \tau^k$, $0 \le k < 1$ in Corollary (2.4), then we have DFPT [20]

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{IN}) \leq k(\Lambda(\aleph))$$
.

Corollary 2.7. If we take $\vartheta(\tau) = \tau$ and $\psi(\tau) = \tau - k\tau$, $0 \le k < 1$ in Corollary (2.4), then we have DFPT [20]

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{IN}) \leq k(\Lambda(\aleph))$$
.

Corollary 2.8. If we take $F(\omega, v) = k\omega$, where $0 \le k < 1$, $\vartheta(\tau) = \tau$ in Corollary (2.2), then we have

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{IN}) \leq k(\Lambda(\mathfrak{N}))$$
.

Then it is also DFPT [20].

Remark 2.9. Hence it can be seen that the Theorem 2.2 is the generalization of the DFPT.

3. Existence solutions of generalized proportional (κ, τ) -Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard FIE

We define a new generalization of Mehmet et al. [16] and known as the (κ, τ) -type generalized proportional FIE integral equation of order $\varpi > 0$ and defined as

$$({}_{\kappa}^{\tau}I_{a}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta)(\varsigma) = \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\varpi}\kappa\Gamma_{\kappa}(\varpi)} \int_{a}^{\varsigma} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \eta^{\tau}\Theta(\eta)d\eta,$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1], \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+/\{-1\}$ and $\varpi, \kappa > 0$.

Also, motivated by Hadamard [9], we define a new generalization of Hadamard FIE, which is known as GPHF integral equation of order $\varpi > 0$ and defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} H J_a^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) = \frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi)} \int_a^{\varsigma} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho - 1) \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)^{\varpi - 1} \frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta,$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1]$ and $\varsigma \in [a, b]$.

The present study, we have considered the following FIE:

$$\Theta(\varsigma) = F\left(\varsigma, \pounds(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{a=1}^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma), \begin{pmatrix} H I_{a=1}^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\varpi > 1$, $\kappa > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+ / \{-1\}$ and $\varsigma \in I = [a, b]$, a > 0, b = T.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{\nu_o} = \{\Theta \in \Xi : \|\Theta\| \le \nu_0\}$. We consider the following assumptions to solve the Eq (3.1):

(i) $F: I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}, \ \pounds: I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and there exists constants $\wp_1, \ \wp_2, \ \wp_3 \ge 0$, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(\varsigma, \pounds, I_1, J_1) - F(\varsigma, \bar{\pounds}, \bar{I}_1, \bar{J}_1) \right| &\leq \wp_1 \left| \pounds - \bar{\pounds} \right| + \wp_2 \left| I_1 - \bar{I}_1 \right| \\ &+ \wp_3 \left| J_1 - \bar{J}_1 \right|, \ \varsigma \in I, \ \pounds, I_1, J_1, \bar{\pounds}, \bar{I}_1, \bar{J}_1 \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathfrak{t}(\varsigma, P_1) - \mathfrak{t}(\varsigma, P_2)| \leq \wp_4 |P_1 - P_2|$$
, where $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) There exists $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, which satisfy

$$F = \sup\{|F(\varsigma, \pounds, I_1, J_1| : \varsigma \in I, \pounds \in [-L, L], I_1 \in [-\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}], J_1 \in [-\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}]\} \le \nu_0, \wp_1 \wp_4 < 1,$$

$$L = \sup\{|\pounds(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma))| : \varsigma \in I, \Theta(\varsigma) \in [-\nu_0, \nu_0]\},$$

$$\mathbf{I} = \sup\{|\binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_1^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta(\varsigma)| : \varsigma \in I, \Theta(\varsigma) \in [-\nu_0, \nu_0]\},$$

and

$$\mathbf{J} = \sup\{ | \left({}^{H} \mathbf{J}_{1}^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma) | : \varsigma \in I, \Theta(\varsigma) \in [-\nu_{0}, \nu_{0}] \}.$$

- (iii) $|F(\varsigma, 0, 0, 0)| = 0$, $\pounds(\varsigma, 0) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &(iv) \ \ \text{For a positive solution} \ \nu_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ \text{having inequality,} \\ & \ \, \wp_1 \wp_4 \nu_0 + \wp_2 \frac{\nu_0 \exp\left[\frac{(\wp-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho}\right](\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\varpi \rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \left(T^{(\tau+1)} - 1\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} + \wp_3 \frac{\nu_0 \exp\left[\frac{(\wp-1)\log T}{\rho}\right]}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi+1)} (\log T)^{\varpi} \leq \nu_0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. If the conditions (i)–(iv) holds, then the Eq (3.1) have a solution in $\Xi = C(I)$.

Proof. Let the operator $\mathfrak{I}: \mathcal{B}_{\nu_o} \to \Xi$ is define as

$$(\mathfrak{I}\Theta)(\varsigma) = F\left(\varsigma, \mathfrak{t}(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma), \begin{pmatrix} H J_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) \right).$$

Step 1: First, we have to prove \mathfrak{I} maps \mathcal{B}_{ν_a} into \mathcal{B}_{ν_a} . Let $\mathfrak{I} \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu_a}$, we have

$$\begin{split} |(\mathfrak{I}\Theta)(\varsigma)| &= |F\left(\varsigma, \pounds(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) \right) - F(\varsigma, 0, 0, 0)| + |F(\varsigma, 0, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq \wp_{1}|\pounds(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)) - 0| + \wp_{2}| \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) - 0| + \wp_{3}| \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma) - 0| + |F(\varsigma, 0, 0, 0)| \end{split}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} |\binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \rangle(\varsigma) - 0| &= \left| \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &= \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \right| \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_{0}(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho} \right]}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \eta^{\tau} d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_{0} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho} \right] (\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\varpi \rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \left(T^{(\tau+1)} - 1\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} |\binom{H}{J_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}}\Theta\Big)(\varsigma) - 0| &= \left|\frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho - 1)\left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi - 1} \frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_{0} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho - 1)\log T}{\rho}\right]}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi - 1} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu_{0} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho - 1)\log T}{\rho}\right]}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi + 1)} (\log T)^{\varpi}. \end{split}$$

Therefore if $\|\Theta\| < \nu_0$ then

$$\|\mathfrak{I}\Theta\| < \wp_1\wp_4\nu_0 + \wp_2\frac{\nu_0\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho}\right](\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\varpi\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1}\Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \left(T^{(\tau+1)}-1\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} + \wp_3\frac{\nu_0\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\log T}{\rho}\right]}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi+1)} (\log T)^{\varpi}.$$

So by the assumption (*iv*), \mathfrak{I} maps \mathcal{B}_{ν_0} into \mathcal{B}_{ν_0} .

Step 2: Now, we have to prove \mathfrak{I} is continuous on \mathcal{B}_{ν_o} . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\Theta, \bar{\Theta} \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu_o}$ such that $\|\Theta - \bar{\Theta}\| < \epsilon$, we have

$$\left| \left(\Im \Theta \right) (\varsigma) - \left(\Im \bar{\Theta} \right) (\varsigma) \right| \leq \left| F \left(\varsigma, \pounds(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)), \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} I_1^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma), \begin{pmatrix} H J_1^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma) \right) - F \left(\varsigma, \pounds(\varsigma, \bar{\Theta}(\varsigma)), \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} I_1^{\varpi, \rho} \bar{\Theta} \right) (\varsigma), \begin{pmatrix} H J_1^{\varpi, \rho} \bar{\Theta} \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \wp_{1} \left| \mathfrak{t}(\varsigma, \Theta(\varsigma)) - \mathfrak{t}(\varsigma, \bar{\Theta}(\varsigma)) \right| + \wp_{2} \left| \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma) - \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi, \rho} \bar{\Theta} (\varsigma) \right| \\ + \wp_{3} \left| \binom{H}{1} \Im_{1}^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta (\varsigma) - \binom{H}{1} \Im_{1}^{\varpi, \rho} \bar{\Theta} (\varsigma) \right|.$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma) - \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \bar{\Theta} \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma) \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1) \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1} \right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \eta^{\tau} \left(\Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta) \right) d\eta \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1) \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1} \right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1} \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \eta^{\tau} \left| \Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta) \right| d\eta \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon(\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho} \right]}{\varpi \rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa} - 1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \left(T^{(\tau+1)} - 1 \right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} & \left| \left({}^{H} \mathbf{J}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma) - \left({}^{H} \mathbf{J}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \bar{\Theta} \right) (\varsigma) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi)} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho - 1) \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)^{\varpi - 1} \left(\Theta(\varsigma) - \bar{\Theta}(\varsigma) \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi)} \int_{1}^{\varsigma} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho - 1) \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta) \right)^{\varpi - 1} \left| \Theta(\eta) - \bar{\Theta}(\eta) \right| \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon \exp \left[\frac{(\rho - 1) \log T}{\rho} \right]}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi + 1)} \left(\log T \right)^{\varpi}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\|\Theta - \bar{\Theta}\| < \epsilon$, gives that

$$\left| (\mathfrak{I}\Theta)(\varsigma) - (\mathfrak{I}\bar{\Theta})(\varsigma) \right| < \wp_1 \wp_4 \epsilon + \wp_2 \frac{\epsilon^{(\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}} \exp\left[\frac{(\wp-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho}\right]}{\varpi \rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \left(T^{(\tau+1)} - 1\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} + \wp_3 \frac{\epsilon \exp\left[\frac{(\wp-1)\log T}{\rho}\right]}{\rho^{\varpi} \Gamma(\varpi+1)} (\log T)^{\varpi}.$$

If $\epsilon \to 0$, we get $\left| (\Im \Theta)(\varsigma) - (\Im \bar{\Theta})(\varsigma) \right| \to 0$. Hence \Im become continuous on \mathcal{B}_{ν_o} .

Step 3: Finally, to show an estimate of \mathfrak{I} with respect to ω_0 , suppose $\Upsilon(\neq \phi) \subseteq B_{\nu_0}$. For an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $\Theta \in \Upsilon$ and $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I$ such that $|\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1| \leq \epsilon$ and $\varsigma_2 \geq \varsigma_1$, then,

$$\begin{split} \left| \left(\mathfrak{I}\Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{2} \right) - \left(\mathfrak{I}\Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{1} \right) \right| &= \left| F \left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{2}, \Theta(\varsigma_{2})), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{2}) \right) \\ &- F \left(\varsigma_{1}, \pounds(\varsigma_{1}, \Theta(\varsigma_{1})), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{1}), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{1}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| F \left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{2}, \Theta(\varsigma_{2})), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{2}) \right) \\ &- F \left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{2}, \Theta(\varsigma_{2})), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{1}), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{2}) \right) \right| \\ &+ \left| F \left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{2}, \Theta(\varsigma_{2})), \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{1}), \begin{pmatrix} H \beth_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix}(\varsigma_{1}) \right) \right| \end{split}$$

$$-F\left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{1}, \Theta(\varsigma_{1})), \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1}), \binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right)$$

$$+\left|F\left(\varsigma_{2}, \pounds(\varsigma_{1}, \Theta(\varsigma_{1})), \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1}), \binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right|$$

$$-F\left(\varsigma_{1}, \pounds(\varsigma_{1}, \Theta(\varsigma_{1})), \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1}), \binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right|$$

$$\leq \wp_{3}\left|\binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right| + \wp_{2}\left|\binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)$$

$$+\wp_{1}\left|\pounds(\varsigma_{2}, \Theta(\varsigma_{2})) - \pounds(\varsigma_{1}, \Theta(\varsigma_{1}))\right| + \omega_{F}(I, \epsilon)(\varsigma_{1})\right|$$

$$\leq \wp_{3}\left|\binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{H}{2}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right| + \wp_{2}\left|\binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right|$$

$$+\wp_{1}\wp_{4}\left|\Theta(\varsigma_{2}) - \Theta(\varsigma_{1})\right| + \omega_{F}(I, \epsilon),$$

where $\omega_F(I, \epsilon) = \sup \{ |F(\varsigma_2, \pounds, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1) - F(\varsigma_1, \pounds, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1)| : |\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1| \le \epsilon; \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I \}$. Also,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma_{2}) - \begin{pmatrix} \tau I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \end{pmatrix} (\varsigma_{1}) \right| \\ &= \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \middle| \int_{1}^{\varsigma_{2}} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1) \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta \\ &- \int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1) \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta \middle| \\ &+ \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \middle| \int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}} \exp \left[\frac{(\rho-1) \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho} \right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1} - \eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta \end{split}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{\varsigma_{1}}^{\varsigma_{2}} \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \eta^{\tau} |\Theta(\eta)| d\eta$$

$$+ \frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}} \left|\left(\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1}\right] - \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma_{1}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \right) \eta^{\tau} \Theta(\eta) d\eta$$

$$\leq \frac{\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)T^{\tau+1}}{\rho}\right] (\tau+1)^{-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\varpi \rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1}\Gamma(\frac{1}{\kappa})} \|\Theta\|\left(T^{(\tau+1)}-1\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}$$

$$+ \|\Theta\|\frac{(\tau+1)^{1-\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}}{\rho^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\kappa^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}}\Gamma(\frac{\varpi}{\kappa})} \int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}} \left(\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} - \exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\varsigma_{2}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)}{\rho}\right] \left(\varsigma_{1}^{\tau+1}-\eta^{\tau+1}\right)^{\frac{\varpi}{\kappa}-1} \right) \eta^{\tau} d\eta.$$

As
$$\epsilon \to 0$$
, then $\varsigma_2 \to \varsigma_1$, and we have $\left| \left({}_{\kappa}^{\tau} I_1^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma_2) - \left({}_{\kappa}^{\tau} I_1^{\varpi, \rho} \Theta \right) (\varsigma_1) \right| \to 0$. And

$$\begin{split} &\left|\binom{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{I}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2})-\binom{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{I}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1})\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)}\left|\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{2}}\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \right. \\ &\left. -\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}}\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \right| \\ &\left. +\frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)}\left|\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}}\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \right. \\ &\left. -\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}}\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{1})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{1})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)}\int_{\varsigma_{1}}^{\varsigma_{2}}\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \\ &\left. +\frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)}\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}}\left|\left(\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \right. \right. \\ &\left. -\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{1})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\right)\frac{\Theta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\log T}{\rho}}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi+1)}\right]\left(\log T)^{\varpi} \\ &\left. +\|\Theta\|\frac{1}{\rho^{\varpi}\Gamma(\varpi)}\int_{1}^{\varsigma_{1}}\left|\left(\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1} \right. \\ &\left. -\exp\left[\frac{(\rho-1)(\log(\varsigma_{2})-\log(\eta))}{\rho}\right]\left(\log(\varsigma_{1})-\log(\eta)\right)^{\varpi-1}\right)\frac{1}{\eta}d\eta. \end{split}$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$, then $\varsigma_2 \to \varsigma_1$ and we have $\left| \binom{H}{1}_1^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right| (\varsigma_2) - \binom{H}{1}_1^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta (\varsigma_1) \right| \to 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \left| \left(\mathfrak{I}\Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{2} \right) - \left(\mathfrak{I}\Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{1} \right) \right| &\leq \wp_{3} \left| \left({}^{H} \mathsf{J}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{2} \right) - \left({}^{H} \mathsf{J}_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{1} \right) \right| + \wp_{2} \left| \left({}^{\tau}_{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{2} \right) - \left({}^{\tau}_{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho} \Theta \right) \left(\varsigma_{1} \right) \right| \\ &+ \wp_{1} \wp_{4} \omega(\Theta, \epsilon) + \omega_{F}(I, \epsilon), \end{split}$$

gives

$$\omega(\mathfrak{I}\Theta,\epsilon) \leq \wp_{3} \left| \binom{H}{I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{H}{I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1}) \right| + \wp_{2} \left| \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{2}) - \binom{\tau}{\kappa} I_{1}^{\varpi,\rho}\Theta\right)(\varsigma_{1}) \right| + \wp_{1}\wp_{4}\omega(\Theta,\epsilon) + \omega_{F}(I,\epsilon).$$

Using the uniform continuity of F on $I \times [-\pounds, \pounds] \times [-I, I] \times [-J, J]$, we get $\omega_F(I, \epsilon) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Taking sup and $\epsilon \to 0$ we get,

$$\omega_0(\mathfrak{I}\Upsilon) \leq \wp_1\wp_4\omega_0(\Upsilon),$$

Thus, by DFPT \mathfrak{I} has a \mathbb{FP} in $\Upsilon \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\nu_0}$. Hence the Eq (3.1) have a solution in Ξ .

Example 3.2. Consider the following FIE

$$\Theta(\varsigma) = \varsigma^{2} + \frac{\Theta(\varsigma)}{1 + \varsigma^{4}} + \frac{\binom{\frac{1}{5}}{15}I_{1}^{5,\frac{1}{5}}\Theta}{5^{75}} + \frac{\binom{H}{2}_{1}^{5,\frac{1}{5}}\Theta}{5^{10}}(\varsigma)}{5^{10}}$$
(3.2)

for $\varsigma \in [1, 2] = I$.

Here

$$\left(\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{\frac{1}{5}} I_1^{5,\frac{1}{5}} \Theta \right) (\varsigma) = \frac{5^{74}}{6^{24} \Gamma(25)} \int_1^{\varsigma} \exp[-(\varsigma^{\frac{3}{2}} - \eta^{\frac{3}{2}})] (\varsigma^{\frac{3}{2}} - \eta^{\frac{3}{2}}) \eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(\varsigma) d\eta,$$

and

$$\left({}^{H}\mathsf{J}_{1}^{5,\frac{1}{5}}\varTheta\right)(\varsigma) = \frac{3125}{\Gamma(5)}\int_{1}^{\varsigma}\exp\left[-4\left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta)\right)\right]\left(\log(\varsigma) - \log(\eta)\right)^{4}\frac{\varTheta(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta.$$

Also $F(\varsigma, \pounds, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1) = \varsigma^2 + \pounds + \frac{\mathcal{I}_1}{5^{75}} + \frac{\mathcal{J}_1}{5^{10}}$ and $\pounds(\varsigma, \Theta) = \frac{\Theta(\varsigma)}{1+\varsigma^4}$. It is obvious that F, \pounds are continuous satisfying

$$|\pounds(\varsigma, P_1) - \pounds(\varsigma, P_2)| \le \frac{|P_1 - P_2|}{2}$$

and $\left| F(\varsigma, \pounds, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1) - F(\varsigma, \bar{\pounds}, \bar{\mathcal{I}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{J}}_1) \right| \le \left| \pounds - \bar{\pounds} \right| + \frac{1}{5^{75}} \left| \mathcal{I}_1 - \bar{\mathcal{I}}_1 \right| + \frac{1}{5^{10}} \left| \mathcal{J}_1 - \bar{\mathcal{J}}_1 \right|.$ Therefore $\wp_1 = 1$, $\wp_2 = \frac{1}{5^{75}}$, $\wp_3 = \frac{1}{5^{10}}$ and $\wp_4 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\wp_1 \wp_4 = \frac{1}{2(5^{10})} < 1$ If $\|\Theta\| \le \nu_0$ then

$$L = \frac{\nu_0}{2}, \ \mathbf{I} = \frac{\nu_0 \left[\exp(-4(2^{\frac{6}{5}}))\right] 5^{74} (2^{\frac{6}{5}} - 1)^{25}}{(6^{25})\Gamma(5)}, \ \mathbf{J} = \frac{\nu_0 5^5 \exp[-4(\log 2)] (\log 2)^4}{\Gamma(6)}.$$

Further,

$$|F(\varsigma, \pounds, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{J}_1| \leq \frac{\nu_0}{2} + \frac{\nu_0[\exp(-4(2^{\frac{6}{5}}))]5^{74}(2^{\frac{6}{5}} - 1)^{25}}{(6^{25})\Gamma(5)} + \frac{\nu_0 5^5 \exp[-4(\log 2)](\log 2)^4}{\Gamma(6)} \leq \nu_0.$$

If we choose $v_0 = 5$, then we have

$$L = \frac{5}{2}, \ \mathbf{I} = \frac{5^{74} [\exp(-4(2^{\frac{6}{5}}))](2^{\frac{6}{5}} - 1)^{25}}{(6^{25})\Gamma(5)}, \ \mathbf{J} = \frac{5^{6} \exp[-4(\log 2)](\log 2)^{4}}{\Gamma(6)}.$$
$$F \le 5, \ \sigma_{1}\sigma_{4} < 1.$$

As we see, all the assumption of Theorem 3.1 from (i)–(iv) are fulfills. So by the Theorem 3.1 we conclude that the Eq (3.1) have a solution in Ξ .

4. Conclusions

In the present paper, we have generalized the DFPT and introduced a new class of (κ, τ) -fractional integral operators, which can be reduce to another related operators by choosing suitable values of κ , τ and ρ . Then, we established the existence of solution involving both the generalized proportional FIE of Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard, using DFPT. Finally, obtained result is illustrated by an example.

Acknowledgments

M. A. Alqudah was supported by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2022R14), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The author T. Abdeljawad would like to thank Prince Sultan University for the support through the TAS research lab.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets, Fundam. Math., 15 (1930), 301–309.
- 2. J. Banas, K. Goebel, *Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces*, Marcel Dekker: New York, 1980.
- 3. G. Darbo, Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto (Italian), *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **24** (1955), 84–92.
- 4. Rahul, N. K. Mahato, Existence solution of a system of differential equations, using generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem, *AIMS Mathematics*, **6** (2021), 13358–13369. https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021773
- 5. R. Arab, H. K. Nashine, N. H. Can, T. B. Tran, Solvability of functional-integral equations (fractional order) using measure of noncompactness, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2487-4
- 6. Z. Dahmani, L. Tabharit, S. Taf, New generalizations of Gruss inequality using Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2** (2010), 93–99.
- 7. L. G. Romero, L. L. Luque, G. A. Dorrego, R. A. Cerutti, On the *k*-Riemann Liouville fractional derivative, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, **8** (2013), 41–51.
- 8. M. Tunc, On new inequalities for *h*-convex functions via Riemann-Liouville fractional integration, *Filomat*, **27** (2013), 559–565. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1304559T
- 9. J. Hadamard, Essai sur l'etude des fonctions donnees par leur developpement de Taylor, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1892.
- 10. R. Bourguiba, F. Toumi, Positive solutions for singular semipositone nonlinear fractional differential system, *Filomat*, **35** (2021), 169–179. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2101169B
- 11. S. Etemad, M.M. Matar, M.A. Ragusa, S. Rezapour, Tripled fixed points and existence study to a tripled impulsive fractional differential system via measures of noncompactness, *Mathematics*, **10** (2022), 25. https://doi.org/10.3390/math10010025
- 12. W. Shammakh, H. Z. Alzumi, B. A. AlQahtani, On more general fractional differential equations involving mixed generalized derivatives with nonlocal multipoint and generalized fractional integral boundary conditions, *J. Funct. Spaces*, **2020** (2020), 3102142. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3102142

- 13. U. N. Katugompola, New approach generalized fractional integral, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218** (2011), 860–865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.062
- 14. S. Mubeen, G. M. Habibullah, *k*-fractional integrals and application, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, **7** (2012), 89–94.
- 15. R. Diaz, E. Pariguan, On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer *k*-symbol, *Divulg. Math.*, **15** (2007), 179–192.
- 16. M. Z. Sarikaya, Z. Dahmani, M. E. Kiris, F. Ahmad, (*k*; *s*)-Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and applications, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.*, **45** (2016), 77–89. https://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.20164512484
- 17. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, Generalized fractional derivatives generated by a class of local proportional derivatives, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.*, **226** (2017), 3457–3471. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2018-00021-7
- 18. J. Banas, M. Lecko, Solvability of infinite systems of differential equations in Banach sequence spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **2** (2001), 363–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(00)00708-1
- 19. A. Aghajani, A. Banas, N. Sabzali, Some generalizations of Darbo's fixed point theorem and applications, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **20** (2013), 345–358. https://doi.org/10.36045/bbms/1369316549
- 20. I. Altun, D. Turkoglu, A Fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general condition of operator type, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, **9** (2007), 9–14.
- 21. A. H. Ansari, Note on $\phi \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, *The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics and Application*, 2014, 377–380.
- 22. V. Parvaneh, N. Hussain, A. Mukheimer, H. Aydi, On fixed point results for modified JS-contractions with applications, *Axioms*, **8** (2019), 84. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms8030084
- 23. A. Samadi, M. B. Ghaemi, An extension of Darbo's fixed point theorem and its application to coupled fixed point and integral equations, *Filomat*, **28** (2014), 879–886. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1404879S



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)