



Research article

On the modified of the one-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard equation with a source term

Dieunel DOR^{1,2,*}

¹ Universite de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathematiques et Applications, UMR CNRS 7348, SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie-Teleport 2 F-86962 Chasseneuil Futuroscope Cedex, France

² Departement de Mathematiques, Universite d'Etat d'Haiti, Ecole Normale Superieure, Laboratoire des Sciences pour l'Environnement et l'Energie (LS2E), HT6115 Canape-Vert, Port-au-Prince, Haiti

* **Correspondence:** E-mail: dieunel.dor@math.univ-poitiers.fr; Tel:+33 769915980.

Abstract: We consider the modified Cahn-Hilliard equation that govern the relative concentration ϕ of one component of a binary system. This equation is characterized by the presence of the additional inertial term $\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}$ which stands for the relaxation of the diffusion flux. This equation is associated with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We study the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions in one space dimension. We also prove the existence of the global attractor and exponential attractors.

Keywords: Cahn-Hilliard equation; well posedness; strongly continuous semigroups; global attractors; robust exponential attractors

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35G20, 35L60, 35Q92, 35B41

1. Introduction

The Cahn-Hilliard equation,

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \Delta(\Delta\phi - f(\phi)) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

is very important in science of materials. This equation is a simple model for the phase separation processes of a binary at a fixed temperature. We refer the reader to [11, 12] for more details. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the derivative of a double-well potential F whose correspond to the phases of material. A typical nonlinear model is given by

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{4}(s^2 - 1)^2$$

i.e.

$$f(s) = s^3 - s$$

The function $\phi(x, t)$ represents the concentration of one of the metallic components of the alloy. It also worth to note that the sole given by Eq (1.1) is not sufficient for the accurate description of the whole variety of physical phenomena arising in this theory, so a number of various modifications this equation was introduced (see [2, 13–16, 18, 19]). On the interesting from both mathematical and physical point of view modifications of the Cahn-Hilliard equation is the following hyperbolic relaxation of the Cahn-Hilliard equation or the Hyperbolic Cahn-Hilliard equation:

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi}{dt} + \Delta(\Delta\phi - f(\phi)) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

which was introduced by P. Galenko and Coauthors (see [3–10]) in order to treat in a more accurate way the non-equilibrium effects in spinodal decomposition. In a fact, the inertial term $\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}$ changes the type of the equation (from parabolic to hyperbolic) and the analytical properties of its solutions. Equation (1.2) endowed with Dirichlet boundary conditions has been studied in [1] by S. Gatti, V. Pata and M. Grasselli, A. Miranville who proved the existence of Global attractors and exponential attractors for the usual cubic nonlinear term in dimension one of space. In this paper, we consider the problem in [1] by adding a source term that is,

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi}{dt} + \Delta(\Delta\phi - f(\phi)) = g(\phi) \quad (1.3)$$

where g is defined by

$$g(\phi) = \frac{k\phi}{k' + |\phi|}, \quad k, k' \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

and function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 , Lipschitzian and bounded.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the problem and fix some notations of operators and spaces. In section 3, we derive a priori estimates which allow us to prove, in section 4, the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions. In section 5, setting of dissipativity and in section 6, dissipativity in higher-order spaces. Finally, in section 7, the existence of exponential attractors.

2. Setting of the problem

Let us consider the following initial and boundary value problem in a bounded open interval of \mathbb{R} , with boundary Γ :

$$\tau_D \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + \Delta^2\phi - \Delta f(\phi) - g(\phi) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi(0) = \phi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\phi(0)}{\partial t} = \phi_1 \quad (2.2)$$

$$\phi = \Delta\phi = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma \quad (2.3)$$

where ϕ is the order parameter, τ_D is the relaxation time of the diffusion flow, f is the nonlinear regular potentials and g the source term.

Assume that :

$$f \text{ is of class } C^2 \quad (2.4)$$

$$f(0) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

$$f(s)s \geq F(s) - c_1 \geq c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \geq 0, \quad \text{where } F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt \quad (2.6)$$

$$F(s) \geq c_3 s^4 - c_4, \quad c_3 > 0, c_4 \geq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

$$f'(s) \geq -c_5, \quad c_5 \geq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

The source term g satisfies the following properties:

$$g \text{ is of class } C^1 \quad (2.9)$$

$$g \text{ is bounded} \quad (2.10)$$

$$g \text{ is lipschitz} \quad (2.11)$$

Notations : We denote by $((.,.))$ the usual scalar product on $L^2(0, l)$ associated to the norm $\|.\|$. Also we set $\|.\|_{-1} = \|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|$, where $-\Delta$ stands for the minus Laplace operator associated with (homogeneous) Dirichlet boundary conditions (it is a strictly positive, self-adjoint and unbounded linear operator with compact inverse $(-\Delta)^{-1}$). Note that $\|.\|_{-1}$ is equivalent to the usual norm on $H^{-1}(0, l)$, where $H^{-1}(0, l) = H_0^1(0, l)'$. More generally, $\|.\|_X$ denotes the norm on the Banach space X . We pose :

$$A = -\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega =]0, l[\subset \mathbb{R}$$

3. A priori estimates

In this section, we will establish a number of important inequalities that will be used later in the proof of existence, uniqueness, regularity of solution and the existence of finite-dimensional attractors. In

what follows, the poincaré, Holder and young inequalities are extensively used, without further referring to them. We rewrite (2.1) in the equivalent form:

$$\tau_D \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + A^2 \phi + A f(\phi) - g(\phi) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

We multiply (3.1) by $A^{-1}\phi$, integrate over Ω and have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (2\tau_D (\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi) + \|\phi\|_{-1}^2) - 2\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} f(\phi)\phi dx - 2(g(\phi), A^{-1}\phi) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

We then multiply (3.1) by $A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}$ and have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(\phi)dx) + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 - 2(g(\phi), A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

The sum of (3.3) and α times (3.2), (where $\alpha > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}H + (2 - 2\alpha\tau_D)\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + 2\alpha \int_{\Omega} f(\phi)\phi dx - 2\alpha(g(\phi), A^{-1}\phi) - 2(g(\phi), A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$H = 2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi) + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(\phi)dx \quad (3.5)$$

Let $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2\tau_D})$ we have

$$|2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi)| \leq \frac{\tau_D}{2}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2$$

Owing to (2.7) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(\phi)dx \geq c_3\|\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 - c_4(\Omega)$$

The Eq (3.5) satisfies

$$c_6(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4) - c_4(\Omega) \leq H \leq c_7(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4) + c_8(\Omega), \quad c_6, c_7 > 0 \text{ and } c_8, c_4 \geq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

Owing (2.10) and thanks to Young and Poincare inequalities we have

$$|(g(\phi), A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt})| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + c_9$$

and

$$\alpha|(g(\phi), A^{-1}\phi)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + c_{10}$$

For $\frac{3}{4} - \alpha\tau_D > 0$, the Eq (3.4) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}H + c_{11}H \leq c_{12} \quad (3.7)$$

Apply the Gronwall's lemma to (3.7), we obtain

$$\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\phi(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\phi(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \leq e^{-c_{11}t}(H(0)) + c_{13} \quad (3.8)$$

We multiply (3.1) by ϕ , integrate over Ω and have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[2\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, \phi) + \|\phi\|^2] - 2\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + 2\|\Delta\phi\|^2 + 2(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\phi) - 2(g(\phi), \phi) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

We then multiply (3.1) by $\frac{d\phi}{dt}$ and have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi\|^2] + 2(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 - 2(g(\phi), \frac{d\phi}{dt}) = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

The sum of (3.10) and λ times (3.9), (where $\lambda > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Y(t) + 2(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 - 2(g(\phi), \frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2\lambda\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + 2\lambda\|\Delta\phi\|^2 \\ + 2\lambda(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\phi) - 2\lambda(g(\phi), \phi) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$Y = \tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi\|^2 + 2\lambda\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, \phi) + \lambda\|\phi\|^2 \quad (3.12)$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2\tau_D})$ we have

$$|2\lambda\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, \phi)| \leq \frac{\tau_D}{2}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \lambda\|\phi\|^2$$

The Eq (3.12) satisfies

$$c_{14}(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi\|^2) \leq Y \leq c_{15}(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi\|^2) \quad (3.13)$$

Owing (2.10) and thanks to Young and Poincare inequalities we have

$$|(g(\phi), \frac{d\phi}{dt})| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + c_{16}$$

and

$$\lambda|(g(\phi), \phi)| \leq \frac{\lambda}{4}\|\phi\|^2 + c_{17}$$

Owing (2.8), we have

$$\lambda(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\phi) \geq -\lambda c_5\|\nabla\phi\|^2 \quad (3.14)$$

Owing (2.2) and thanks to Young inequality and $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f'(\phi)\nabla\phi, \nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt})| &\leq c_{18}(1 + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2)\|\nabla\phi\|\|\nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{19}(1 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2)\|\nabla\phi\|\|\nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{19}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + c_{19}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^6 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda\tau_D > 0$ and $(c_{19} - \lambda c_5) > 0$, the Eq (3.4) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y(t) + c_{20}Y(t) + c_{21}(\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^6 + \|\nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2) \leq c_{22} \quad (3.15)$$

Apply the Gronwall's lemma to (3.15), we obtain

$$\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi\|^2 \leq e^{-c_{20}t}(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi(0)}{dt}\|^2 + \|\phi(0)\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi(0)\|^2) + c_{23} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\int_0^t (\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^6 + \|\nabla\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2) dx \leq e^{-c_{20}t}(\tau_D\|\frac{d\phi(0)}{dt}\|^2 + \|\phi(0)\|^2 + \|\Delta\phi(0)\|^2) + c_{23} \quad (3.17)$$

4. Existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions

The existence of solution of the system is based on the Galerkin method.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the hypotheses (2.4), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.11) are verified and for $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$ then the system (2.1)-(2.3) has a unique solution $(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})$ such that:*

$$(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)), \quad \text{for all } T > 0$$

Proof.

a) Existence

Consider a spectral basis $(\omega_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of $-\Delta$ associated with eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \dots$ which forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ and orthogonal in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. We pose $V_n = \text{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ this spectral basis and

$$\phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{i,n} \omega_i$$

Then we consider the following approximating problem, written in the functional form

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 \phi_n}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi_n}{dt} + \Delta^2 \phi_n - \Delta f(\phi_n) - g(\phi_n) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

$$\phi_n(0) = \phi_{0,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi_n(0)}{dt} = \phi_{1,n} \quad (4.2)$$

Replacing ϕ by ϕ_n in Eqs (3.17), (3.16) and 3.8), we find:

1. ϕ_n is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$
2. $\frac{d\phi_n}{dt}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-1}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$

Finally, the passage to the limit is based on classical (Aubin-Lions type) compactness results, we find the result of first part of Theorem (4.1).

b) Uniqueness.

Let us consider $(\phi_1, \frac{d\phi_1}{dt})$ and $(\phi_2, \frac{d\phi_2}{dt})$ two solutions of system (2.1)-(2.3) with respective initial conditions $(\phi_{0,1}, \frac{d\phi_{0,1}}{dt})$ and $(\phi_{0,2}, \frac{d\phi_{0,2}}{dt})$ in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$. we pose

$$(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt}) = (\phi_1 - \phi_2, \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} - \frac{d\phi_2}{dt})$$

The system (2.1)-(2.3) becomes

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 \phi}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi}{dt} + A^2 \phi + A(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)) - (g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$\phi(0) = \phi_{0,1} - \phi_{0,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi(0)}{dt} = \phi_{1,1} - \phi_{1,2} \quad (4.4)$$

We multiply (4.3) by $A^{-1}\phi$, integrate over Ω and have

$$\frac{d}{dt} [2\tau_D (\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi) + \|\phi\|_{-1}^2] - 2\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + 2(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \phi) \quad (4.5)$$

$$-2(g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\phi) = 0$$

We then multiply (4.3) by $A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}$ and have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2] + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2(g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

The sum of (4.6) and α times (4.5), (where $\alpha > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t) - 2\alpha\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \phi) + 2(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \quad (4.7)$$

$$-2\alpha(g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\phi) - 2(g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) = 0$$

where

$$X = 2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi) + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 \quad (4.8)$$

Let $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2\tau_D})$ we have

$$|2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{-1}\phi)| \leq \frac{\tau_D}{2} \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2$$

The Eq (4.8) satisfies

$$c_{24}(\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2) \leq X \leq c_{25}(\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \alpha\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2) \quad (4.9)$$

Thanks to (2.8) and inequality of Poincare, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \phi) &= \alpha(\phi \int_0^1 f'(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2) ds, \phi) \\ &\geq -\alpha c_5 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \frac{d\phi}{dt})| &= |A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi \int_0^1 f'(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2) ds), A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}| \\ &\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi \int_0^1 f'(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2) ds)\| \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the inequality of Holder and $H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ (where $n=1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi \int_0^1 f'(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2) ds)\| &\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi \int_0^1 f'(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2) ds\| \\ &+ \|\phi \int_0^1 f''(s\phi_1 + (1-s)\phi_2)(sA^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_1 + (1-s)A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_2) ds\| \\ &\leq c_{25}(1 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_1\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi_2\|^2) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\| \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Young's inequality, we have

$$|(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \frac{d\phi}{dt})| \leq C(\|\nabla\phi_1\|, \|\nabla\phi_2\|)\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 \quad (4.10)$$

Thanks to (2.21) and the inequalities of Young and Poincare, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha|((g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\phi))| &\leq \alpha\|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|\|A^{-1}\phi\| \\ &\leq \alpha c_{26}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi\| \\ &\leq \alpha c_{26}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\phi\|_{-1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |((g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2), A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}))| &\leq \|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|\|A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{27}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{27}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{3}{2} - 2\alpha\tau_D > 0$ and $C(\alpha, \|\nabla\phi_{0,1}\|, \|\nabla\phi_{0,2}\|) = Q \in L^1(0, T)$ (because $\phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$), the Eq (4.7) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t) + QX(t) \leq 0 \quad (4.11)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (4.11), we obtain

$$X(t) \leq e^{-Qt}X(0) \quad (4.12)$$

Hence the uniqueness, as well as the continuous dependence with respect to the initial data. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem (4.1) are verified and for $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times L^2(\Omega)$ then the system (2.1)-(2.3) has a unique solution $(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})$ such that:*

$$(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad \text{for all } T > 0$$

Proof. Thanks to the estimates (3.17), (3.16) and 3.8), we find the result of the Theorem (4.2). \square

5. Dissipativity

We set $\Phi_0^{\tau_D} = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

It follows from Theorem (4.1) that we can define the continuous family of operators

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau_D}(t) : \Phi_0^{\tau_D} &\rightarrow \Phi_0^{\tau_D} \\ (\phi_0, \phi_1) &\mapsto (\phi(t), \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\phi(t), \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt})$ is the unique solution to our system.

Theorem 5.1. *The semigroup $\{S_{\tau_D}(t), t \geq 0\}$ associated with (2.1)-(2.3) possesses a bounded absorbing set β_0 in $\Phi_0^{\tau_D}$ such that, for every bounded set $B \subset \Phi_0^{\tau_D}$, there exist $t_0 = t_0(B) \geq 0$ such that $t \geq t_0$ implies $S_{\tau_D}(t) \subset \beta_0$. Therefore, $S_{\tau_D}(t)$ is dissipative in $\Phi_0^{\tau_D}$.*

Proof.

The result of theorem follows directly from (3.8). \square

Remark 5.2. *We can assume, without loss of generality that β_0 is positively invariant by $S_{\tau_D}(t)$, i.e., $S_{\tau_D}(t)\beta_0 \subset \beta_0, \forall t \geq 0$.*

Lemma 5.3. *For any $R \geq 0$, there exist $K = K(R) \geq 0$ such that, for any two initial data $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in \Phi_0^{\tau_D}$ with $\|\phi_i\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}} \leq R$, there holds*

$$\|S_{\tau_D}(t)\phi_0 - S_{\tau_D}(t)\phi_1\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}} \leq e^{Kt}\|\phi_0 - \phi_1\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\phi_0 = (\phi_0^1, \phi_0^2)$ and $\phi_1 = (\phi_1^1, \phi_1^2)$

Proof.

Given two solutions ϕ^1 and ϕ^2 corresponding to different initial data $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in \Phi_0^{\tau_D}$, the difference $\phi = \phi^1 - \phi^2$ fulfills

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi}{dt} + A^2\phi + A(f(\phi^1) - f(\phi^2)) - (g(\phi^1) - g(\phi^2)) = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

Multiplying (5.2) by $A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tau_D \|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla\phi\|^2) + 2\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 = -2(f(\phi^1) - f(\phi^2), \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(g(\phi^1) - g(\phi^2), A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \quad (5.3)$$

Thanks to Theorem(5.1), we have

$$\|(A^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(\phi^1) - f(\phi^2)))\| \leq c_{28}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|$$

Thanks to (2.21) and the inequalities of Young and Poincare, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(g(\phi^1) - g(\phi^2), A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt})| &\leq \|g(\phi^1) - g(\phi^2)\| \|A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{29}\|\phi^1 - \phi^2\| \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\| \\ &\leq c_{29}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|(\phi(t), \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt})\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}} \leq C\|(\phi(t), \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt})\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}} \quad (5.4)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (5.4), we obtain the resultant of the Lemma. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorems (5.1) and (4.1), the Semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}(t), t \geq 0\}$ defined on the phase $\Phi_0^{\tau_D}$ itself has a global attractor A_{τ_D} in $\Phi_1^{\tau_D}$.*

Proof.

We decompose the semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}(t), t \geq 0\}$ into a sum of two semi-groups so that the first tends to 0 when t tends to infinity and the second is asymptotically compact in $\Phi_1^{\tau_D}$

$$S_{\tau_D}(t) = S_{\tau_D}^1(t) + S_{\tau_D}^2(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

Consider the following decomposition:

$$\left(\phi(t), \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}\right) = \left(w(t), \frac{dw(t)}{dt}\right) + \left(v(t), \frac{dv(t)}{dt}\right) \quad (5.5)$$

where $(v(t), \frac{dv(t)}{dt})$ is the solution of system

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} + \frac{dv}{dt} + A^2 v + A(f(\phi) - f(w)) + c_5 v = 0 \quad (5.6)$$

$$v(0) = \phi_0 \quad \frac{dv(0)}{dt} = \phi_1 \quad (5.7)$$

associated with the semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}^1(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $(w(t), \frac{dw(t)}{dt})$ is the solution of system

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} + \frac{dw}{dt} + A^2 w + A f(w) + c_5 w - c_5 \phi = g(\phi) \quad (5.8)$$

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \frac{dw(0)}{dt} = 0 \quad (5.9)$$

associated with the semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}^2(t), t \geq 0\}$. To continue the proof, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem (5.1), the semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}^2(t), t \geq 0\}$ associated with system (5.8)-(5.9) is dissipative in $\Phi_0^{\tau_D}$.*

Proof of the Lemma (5.5).

Multiplying (5.8) by $A^{-1}w$ and $A^{-1}\frac{dw}{dt}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(2\tau_D \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|w\|_{-1}^2) - 2\tau_D \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2 \int_0^l f(w)w dx + 2c_5 \|w\|_{-1}^2 + 2\|\nabla w\|^2 \\ - 2c_5(\phi, A^{-1}w) = 2(g(\phi), A^{-1}w) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tau_D \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla w\|^2 + 2 \int_0^l F(w) dx + c_5 \|w\|_{-1}^2) + 2\|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 - 2c_5(\phi, A^{-1}\frac{dw}{dt}) = 2(g(\phi), A^{-1}\frac{dw}{dt}) \quad (5.11)$$

The sum of (5.11) and α times (5.10), (where $\alpha > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(t) + 2\|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 - 2c_5(\phi, A^{-1}\frac{dw}{dt}) - 2\alpha\tau_D \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^l f(w)w dx + 2\alpha c_5 \|w\|_{-1}^2 \\ + 2\alpha\|\nabla w\|^2 - 2\alpha c_5(\phi, A^{-1}w) = 2\alpha(g(\phi), A^{-1}w) + 2(g(\phi), A^{-1}\frac{dw}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$H = \tau_D \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla w\|^2 + 2 \int_0^l F(w) dx + c_5 \|w\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{dw}{dt}, A^{-1}w) + \alpha\|w\|_{-1}^2 \quad (5.13)$$

Thanks to (2.6), we get

$$\alpha(f(w), w) \geq \alpha \int_0^l F(w) dx - c_1 |\Omega|$$

Owing the Theorem (5.1) and the inequality of Poincare, we have

$$-\alpha c_5(\phi, A^{-1}w) - c_5(\phi, A^{-1} \frac{dw}{dt}) \geq -\frac{\alpha c_5}{2} \|w\|_{-1}^2 - \frac{c_5}{2} \|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 - c_{30}$$

The Eq (5.12), satisfies (for $\alpha > 0$ such that $(\frac{3}{4} - 2\alpha\tau_D) > 0$)

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(t) + c_{31}H(t) \leq c_{32} \quad (5.14)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (5.14), we obtain the result of the Lemma. \square

To continue the proof of the theorem, we will multiply Eq (5.6) by $A^{-1}v$ and $A^{-1}\frac{dv}{dt}$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(2\tau_D(\frac{dv}{dt}, A^{-1}) + \|v\|_{-1}^2) + 2\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2c_5\|v\|_{-1}^2 - 2\tau_D\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2(f(\phi) - f(w), v) = 0 \quad (5.15)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tau_D\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + c_5\|v\|_{-1}^2) + 2\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2(f(\phi) - f(w), \frac{dv}{dt}) = 0 \quad (5.16)$$

The sum of (5.16) and λ times (5.15), (where $\lambda > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y(t) + 2\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2\alpha c_5\|v\|_{-1}^2 - 2\alpha\tau_D\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha(f(\phi) - f(w), v) \quad (5.17)$$

$$-2(f(\phi) - f(w) - vf'(w), \frac{dv}{dt}) = 0$$

where

$$Y = \tau_D\|\frac{dv}{dt}\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + c_5\|v\|_{-1}^2 + 2\alpha\tau_D(\frac{dv}{dt}, A^{-1}) + \alpha\|v\|_{-1}^2 + 2 \int_0^l (F(\phi) - F(w) - vf(w)) dx \quad (5.18)$$

Thanks to (2.8), we get

$$2 \int_0^l (F(\phi) - F(w) - vf(w)) dx \geq -\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 - \frac{c_5}{2}\|v\|_{-1}^2 \quad (5.19)$$

$$(f(\phi) - f(w), v) \geq -\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 - \frac{c_5}{2}\|v\|_{-1}^2 \quad (5.20)$$

The Eq (3.18), satisfies

$$c_{33}\|(v, \frac{dv}{dt})\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}}^2 \leq Y \leq c_{34}\|(v, \frac{dv}{dt})\|_{\Phi_0^{\tau_D}}^2 \quad (5.21)$$

Thanks to the Lemma (5.5) and $H_0^1(0, l)$ is an algebra, we have

$$2(f(\phi) - f(w) - vf'(w), \frac{dw}{dt}) \leq c_{35}\|\frac{dw}{dt}\|_{-1}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(\phi) - f(w) - vf'(w))\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_{35} \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|_{-1} \|\nabla v\|^2 \\ &\leq c_{36} \|\nabla v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The Eq (5.17), satisfies (for $\alpha > 0$ such that $(2 - 2\alpha\tau_D) > 0$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} Y(t) + c_{37} Y(t) \leq 0 \quad (5.22)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (5.22), we obtain the result of the first part of the theorem. Multiplying (5.8) by w and $\frac{dw}{dt}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \|Aw\|^2 + c_5 \|w\|^2 + \int_0^l f'(w) |\nabla w|^2 dx) + 2 \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 - 2c_5 (\phi, \frac{dw}{dt}) \\ &- \int_0^l f''(w) |\nabla w|^2 \frac{dw}{dt} dx = 2(g(\phi), \frac{dw}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (2\tau_D (\frac{dw}{dt}, w) + \|w\|^2) - 2\tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \|Aw\|^2 + \int_0^l f(w) Aw dx + c_5 \|w\|^2 - 2c_5 (\phi, w) = 2(g(\phi), w) \quad (5.24)$$

The sum of (5.23) and α times (5.24), (where $\alpha > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + 2 \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 - 2c_5 (\phi, \frac{dw}{dt}) - 2\alpha\tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \alpha \|Aw\|^2 - \int_0^l f''(w) |\nabla w|^2 \frac{dw}{dt} dx \\ &+ \alpha \int_0^l f(w) Aw dx + \alpha c_5 \|w\|^2 - 2\alpha c_5 (\phi, w) = 2\alpha (g(\phi), w) + 2(g(\phi), \frac{dw}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$X = \tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \|Aw\|^2 + c_5 \|w\|^2 + \int_0^l f'(w) |\nabla w|^2 dx + 2\tau_D (\frac{dw}{dt}, w) + \|w\|^2$$

for $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2\tau_D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{38} (\tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \|Aw\|^2 + \|w\|^2 + \int_0^l f'(w) |\nabla w|^2 dx) \leq X(t) \leq c_{39} (\tau_D \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + \|Aw\|^2 \\ + \|w\|^2 + \int_0^l f'(w) |\nabla w|^2 dx) \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Theorem (5.1) and the inequalities of Young and Poincare, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2c_5 (\phi, \frac{dw}{dt}) - 2\alpha c_5 (\phi, w) &\geq -c_5 \|\phi\| \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\| - \alpha c_5 \|\phi\| \|w\| \\ &\geq -c_5 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi\| \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\| - \alpha c_5 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi\| \|w\| \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 - \frac{\alpha c_5}{2} \|w\|^2 - \alpha c_{40} \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma (5.5) and the inequalities of Young and Poincare, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f''(w)|\nabla|^2 \frac{dw}{dt} dx &\leq c_{41} \|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla w\|^2 \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\| \\ &\leq c_{41} \|Aw\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|Aw\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{dw}{dt} \right\|^2 + c_{42} \end{aligned}$$

The Eq (5.25), satisfies (for $\alpha > 0$ such that $(\frac{3}{4} - 2\alpha\tau_D) > 0$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + c_{43} X(t) \leq c_{44}, \quad c_{43} > 0, \quad c_{44} \geq 0 \quad (5.26)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (5.26), we obtain the result of the second part of the theorem. \square

Corollary 5.6. *The global attractor A_{τ_D} is bounded in $\Phi_1^{\tau_D}$, with a bound independent of τ_D .*

6. Dissipativity in higher-order spaces

Our goal in this part is to show that for f and g satisfying compatibility conditions, the semi-group $\{S_{\tau_D}(t), t \geq 0\}$ defined on the space $\Phi_n^{\tau_D}$ (for $1 \leq n \leq 4$) has an absorbing and closed set $B_{\tau_D}^n$ in $\Phi_n^{\tau_D}$. We assume that:

$$f \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad f''(0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 3, 4 \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$g^{(n-1)}(\phi) \text{ is bounded} \quad (6.2)$$

If $n = 1$, then (6.1)-(6.2) does not add anything to our previous assumptions on f and g . Notice that the derivative of the classical Cahn-Hilliard potential satisfies (6.1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (6.1)-(6.2) hold for some $1 \leq n \leq 4$. Then there exists $R_n > 0$ such that the closed ball $B_{\tau_D}^n$ of $\Phi_n^{\tau_D}$ centered at zero of radius R_n is a (bounded) absorbing set for $\{S_{\tau_D}(t), t \geq 0\}$ in $\Phi_n^{\tau_D}$. That is, for every bounded set $B \subset \Phi_n^{\tau_D}$, there exists $t_n = t_n(B)$ such that*

$$S_{\tau_D}(t)B \subset B_{\tau_D}^n, \quad t \geq t_n$$

Theorem (6.1) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma (6.2). The proof follows directly from the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem (6.1) hold. Given $\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n \geq 0$, there are $K_{n-1} = K_{n-1}(\rho_{n-1}) \geq 0$, $K_n = K_n(\rho_n) \geq 0$ and $\nu_n > 0$ such that, if*

$$\|(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_{n-1}^{\tau_D}} \leq \rho_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_n^{\tau_D}} \leq \rho_n$$

the following inequality holds:

$$\|S_{\tau_D}(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_n^{\tau_D}} \leq K_n e^{-\nu_n t} + K_{n-1}$$

Proof. Throughout this proof, $c_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ may depend on ρ_{n-1} . We exploit an inductive argument on $n \geq 1$. So, we assume that

$$\sup_{\|(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_{n-1}^{\tau_D}} \leq \rho_{n-1}} (\|A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi\|^2 + \tau_D \|A^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2) \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (6.3)$$

Notice that, for $n = 1$, (6.3) holds thanks to estimate (3.8). In particular, the assumptions on f yield that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \|f^{(k)}(\phi)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad f(\phi) \in D(A^{\frac{n}{2}}) \quad (6.4)$$

Thanks to formula the derivative of a composition of functions of the Faa di Bruno, there is

$$D^n f(\phi) = f'(\phi)D^n \phi + \Gamma \quad (6.5)$$

with $\Gamma = 0$ if $n = 1$, and

$$\Gamma = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \Gamma_k f^{(k)}(\phi)$$

where Γ_k is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$(D^1 \phi)^{i_1} (D^2 \phi)^{i_2} \dots (D^{n-1} \phi)^{i_{n-1}}$$

for some nonnegative integers i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} satisfying

$$i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1} = k \quad \text{and} \quad 2i_1 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = n$$

We multiply (3.1) by $A^{n-1}\phi$ and $A^{n-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (2\tau_D (\frac{d\phi}{dt}, A^{n-1}\phi) + \|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi\|^2) + 2\|A^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\phi\|^2 - 2\tau_D \|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 \\ = -2(A^{\frac{n}{2}} f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi) + 2(g(\phi), A^{n-1}\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\tau_D \|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\phi\|^2) + 2\|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 = -2(A^{\frac{n}{2}} f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(g(\phi), A^{n-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \quad (6.7)$$

The sum of (6.7) and α times (6.6), (where $\alpha > 0$ is small enough) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} H(t) + 2\alpha \|A^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\phi\|^2 - 2\alpha \tau_D \|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + 2\|A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 = -2\alpha (A^{\frac{n}{2}} f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi) \\ + 2\alpha (g(\phi), A^{n-1}\phi) - 2(A^{\frac{n}{2}} f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(g(\phi), A^{n-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Thanks to (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5), we get

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\| \leq c_{45}$$

and

$$-2\alpha(A^{\frac{n}{2}}f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi) \leq \alpha c_{46}$$

Owing (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2(A^{\frac{n}{2}}f(\phi), A^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) &= -2(f'(\phi)A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi, A^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2(\Gamma, A^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \\ &= \int_0^l |A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi|^2 f''(\phi) \frac{d\phi}{dt} dx - \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^2 |A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi|^2 f'(\phi) dx - 2(\Gamma, A^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind (6.3)-(6.4), we now evaluate the terms of the right-hand side of the above equality. If $n = 1$, as in the proof of Theorem (5.4), we have

$$\int_0^l |A^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi|^2 f''(\phi) \frac{d\phi}{dt} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \|A\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\|^2 + c_{47}$$

$1 \leq n \leq 4$, then

$$\int_0^l |A^{\frac{n}{2}}\phi|^2 f''(\phi) \frac{d\phi}{dt} dx \leq c_{48} \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\|^2 + c_{49}$$

Concerning the last term, we have

$$-2(\Gamma, A^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \leq -2(A^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma, A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \leq c_{50} \left\| A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\|^2 + c_{51}$$

for $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2\tau_D})$, we have

$$c_{52} \|S_{\tau_D}(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_n^{\tau_D}}^2 \leq H \leq c_{53} \|S_{\tau_D}(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_n^{\tau_D}}^2 \quad (6.9)$$

Thanks to (6.2)-(6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(g(\phi), A^{n-1}\phi) &= 2\alpha(A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}g(\phi), A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi) \\ &\leq \alpha c_{54} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2(g(\phi), A^{n-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) &= 2(A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}g(\phi), A^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \\ &\leq c_{55} \left\| A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right\|^2 + c_{56} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, collecting the above inequalities, we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(t) + c_{57} H(t) \leq c_{58}, \quad c_{57} > 0, c_{58} \geq 0 \quad (6.10)$$

The conclusion follows from the Gronwall lemma. \square

Actually, up to (possibly) enlarging the radius R_n , the absorbing set $B_{\tau_D}^n$ is exponentially attracting in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$ as well.

Proposition 6.3. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem (6.1) for $1 \leq n \leq 4$ hold. Then there exist $\omega_n > 0$ and a positive increasing function J_n such that, for any bounded set $B \subset \Phi_{\tau_D}^0$,*

$$\text{dist}_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}(S_{\tau_D}(t)B, B_{\tau_D}^n) \leq J_n(R)e^{-\omega_n t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

where $R = \sup_{(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in B} \|(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$

Proof.

In the proof we will use the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $S(t)$ be a strongly continuous semi group on a Banach space Φ . Let $B_0, B_1, B_2 \subset \Phi$ be such that*

$$\text{dist}_{\Phi}(S(t)B_0, B_1) \leq K_1 e^{-\nu_1 t}, \quad \text{dist}_{\Phi}(S(t)B_1, B_2) \leq K_2 e^{-\nu_2 t}$$

for some $\nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ and $K_1, K_2 \geq 0$. Assume also that, for $z_1, z_2 \in \Phi$, then hold

$$\|S(t)z_1 - S(t)z_2\|_{\Phi} \leq e^{\nu_0 t} \|z_1 - z_2\|_{\Phi}$$

for some $\nu_0 \geq 0$. Then it follows that

$$\text{dist}_{\Phi}(S(t)B_0, B_2) \leq (K_1 + K_2)e^{-\nu t}$$

where $\nu = \frac{\nu_1 \nu_2}{\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_2}$. Here, dist_{Φ} denotes the usual Hausdorff semidistance in Φ .

The proof of Lemma (6.4) is given in [17].

Lemma 6.5. *Let (6.1)-(6.2) hold for some $1 \leq n \leq 4$. Then, up to taking a possibly larger R_n , we have*

$$\text{dist}_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}(S_{\tau_D}(t)B_{\tau_D}^{n-1}, B_{\tau_D}^n) \leq L_n e^{-\omega_n t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

for some $L_n \geq 0$ and some $\omega_n > 0$

Proof.

We need to show that the solution map is the sum of a term exponentially decreasing (in norm) in the space $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$, and a term uniformly bounded in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^n$. Adapting the proof of the Theorem (5.4) (in view of the proof of Lemma (6.2)), it is immediate to see that the system (5.6)-(5.7) is exponentially stable in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$, whereas the solution w to (5.8)-(5.9) satisfies the uniform bound

$$\|(w(t), \frac{dw}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^n} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

for some c depending on the radius R_{n-1} of $B_{\tau_D}^{n-1}$. Redefining R_n to be greater than or equal to the above constant c , we reach the desired conclusion. \square

Proof of the Proposition 6.3. Till the end of the proof, we agree to redefine inductively the radius R_n so that Lemma (6.5) holds. Then, on account of the Lemma (5.3), Theorem (5.1), and Lemma (6.5), applying recursively Lemma (6.5), we get the result. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Let (6.1)-(6.2) hold for some $1 \leq n \leq 4$. Then the global attractor A_{τ_D} is bounded in $\Phi_n^{\tau_D}$, with a bound independent of τ_D .*

Theorem 6.7. *Let (6.1)-(6.2) hold for some $1 \leq n \leq 4$. Then*

$$\lim_{\tau_D \rightarrow 0} [dist_{\Phi_1^0}(A_{\tau_D}, \tilde{A}_0)] = 0$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_0 = \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \in A_0, \psi = -A(A\phi + f(\phi)) + g(\phi)\}$$

We point out that the Hausdorff semidistance in the above theorem is taken in Φ_1^0 (and not just in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$). Clearly, this is a stronger stability result.

7. Existence of exponential attractors

Provided that (6.1)-(6.2) hold for $n = 4$, there a robust family of exponential attractors $\{M_{\tau_D}\}$ which is uniformly bounded in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^n$. Besides, the basin of attraction of each M_{τ_D} coincides with the whole phase-space $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$. In particular, $A_{\tau_D} \subset M_{\tau_D}$.

We define the application \mathbb{J} by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J} : \mathbb{B}_0^4 &\rightarrow D(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \phi &\mapsto \mathbb{J}(\phi) = -A(A\phi + f(\phi)) + g(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

We then introduce the lifting maps $\mathbb{L}_{\tau_D} : \mathbb{B}_0^4 \rightarrow \Phi_{\tau_D}^0$ as

$$\mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}\phi = \begin{cases} (\phi, \mathbb{J}\phi), & \text{if } \tau_D > 0 \\ \phi, & \text{if } \tau_D = 0 \end{cases}$$

Remark 7.1. *Endowing \mathbb{B}_0^4 with the metric topology of $D(A^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, it is straightforward to check that \mathbb{J} is $\frac{1}{2}$ -Holder continuous from \mathbb{B}_0^4 into $D(A^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Indeed, such a Holder continuity is essential in order to apply the following Lemma (7.3) this is the reason why we shall work in \mathbb{B}_0^4 .*

Theorem 7.2. *Let (6.1)-(6.2) hold for $n = 4$. Then the semigroups $S_{\tau_D}(t)$ possess compact positively invariant sets $M_{\tau_D} \subset \mathbb{B}_0^4$ (called exponential attractors) with fulfill the following conditions.*

(T1) *There exist $\omega > 0$ and a positive increasing function J such that, for any bounded set $\mathbb{B} \subset \Phi_{\tau_D}^0$, there holds*

$$dist_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}(S_{\tau_D}(t)\mathbb{B}, M_{\tau_D}) \leq J(R)e^{-\omega t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

where $R = \sup_{(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathbb{B}} \|(\phi_0, \phi_1)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$

(T2) *The fractal dimension of M_{τ_D} is uniformly bounded with respect to τ_D .*

(T3) *There exist $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{8})$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$dist_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^{sym}(M_{\tau_D}, \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}M_0) \leq C\tau_D^\epsilon$$

The quantities ω , J , ϵ and C are independent of τ_D . Here, M_{τ_D} is the symmetric Hausdorff distance in $\Phi_{\tau_D}^0$.

Lemma 7.3. *There exist $\Delta_j \geq 0$, $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $t^* \geq t_n$ (all independent of τ_D) such that the following conditions hold.*

(L1) *The map $S_{\tau_D} = S_{\tau_D}(t^*)$ satisfies, every $z_1 = (\phi_0^1, \phi_1^1)$, $z_2 = (\phi_0^2, \phi_1^2)$ in \mathbb{B}_0^4 ,*

$$S_{\tau_D} z_1 - S_{\tau_D} z_2 = \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2) + \mathbb{N}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2)$$

where

$$\|\mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq k \|z_1 - z_2\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$$

$$\|\mathbb{N}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^1} \leq \Delta_1 \|z_1 - z_2\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$$

(L2) *The lifting map \mathbb{L}_{τ_D} fulfills*

$$\|S_{\tau_D}^k z - \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D} S_0^k \mathbb{P}_{\tau_D} z\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq \Delta_2^k \sqrt[k]{\tau_D}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_0^4, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{\tau_D}: \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_0^4$ is the projection onto the first component when $\tau_D > 0$, and the identity map, otherwise.

(L3) *For any $z \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$, there holds*

$$\|S_{\tau_D} z - \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D} S_0 \mathbb{P}_{\tau_D} z\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq \Delta_3 \sqrt[3]{\tau_D}, \quad \forall t \in [t^*, 2t^*]$$

(L4) *The map*

$$(t, z) \mapsto S_{\tau_D}(t)z : [t^*, 2t^*] \times \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$$

is $(\frac{1}{2})$ -Hölder continuous. Besides, the map $z \mapsto S_{\tau_D}(t)z$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$, with a Lipschitz constant independent of τ_D and $t \in [t^*, 2t^*]$

Proof of Lemma 7.3

Throughout the proof, the generic constant c_i may depend on the (common) radius R_n of the absorbing balls $\mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$.

Proof of (L1)

Let $z_1 = (\phi_0^1, \phi_1^1)$, $z_2 = (\phi_0^2, \phi_1^2)$ in \mathbb{B}_0^4 . Then we write the difference

$S_{\tau_D} z_1 - S_{\tau_D} z_2 = (\tilde{\phi}, \frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{dt}) = (\tilde{w}(t), \frac{d\tilde{w}}{dt}) + (\tilde{v}, \frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt})$, where \tilde{w} and \tilde{v} are the solutions to the problems

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 \tilde{v}}{dt^2} + \frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} + A^2 \tilde{v} + A(f(\tilde{\phi}) - f(\tilde{w})) + c_5 \tilde{v} = 0 \quad (7.1)$$

$$\tilde{v}(0) = \tilde{\phi}_0 \quad \frac{d\tilde{v}(0)}{dt} = \tilde{\phi}_1 \quad (7.2)$$

and

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2 \tilde{w}}{dt^2} + \frac{d\tilde{w}}{dt} + A^2 \tilde{w} + A f(\tilde{w}) + c_5 \tilde{w} - c_5 \tilde{\phi} = g(\tilde{\phi}) \quad (7.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}(0) = 0 \quad \frac{d\bar{w}(0)}{dt} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Multiplying the equation (7.3) by $\frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|A\bar{w}\|^2 + \tau_D \|\frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}\|^2 + c_5 \|\bar{w}\|^2 + \int_0^t f'(\bar{w}) |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 dx) + 2 \|\frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}\|^2 = \int_0^t f''(\bar{w}) |\nabla \bar{w}|^2 \frac{d\bar{w}}{dt} dx \\ + 2(g(\bar{\phi}), \frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}) + 2c_5(\bar{\phi}, \frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Thanks to Lemma (5.5), Lemma (5.3) and Lemma of Gronwall, we find

$$\|(\bar{w}, \frac{d\bar{w}}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^1} \leq c_5 e^{Kt} \|(\bar{\phi}_0, \bar{\phi}_1)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \quad (7.6)$$

Multiplying the Eq (7.1) by $A^{-1}\bar{v}$ and $A^{-1}\frac{d\bar{v}}{dt}$ and thanks to first part of the proof the Theorem (5.4) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(\bar{v}, \frac{d\bar{v}}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} + c \|(\bar{v}, \frac{d\bar{v}}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq 0 \quad (7.7)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (7.7), we obtain

$$\|(\bar{v}, \frac{d\bar{v}}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq e^{-ct} \|(\bar{\phi}_0, \bar{\phi}_1)\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$$

Taking $t^* \geq t_n$ large enough, we have

$$\mathbb{N}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{w}(t^*), \frac{d\bar{w}}{dt}(t^*))$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{v}(t^*), \frac{d\bar{v}}{dt}(t^*))$$

Proof of (L2) and (L3)

Both assertions follows by the same form

$$\|S_{\tau_D} z - \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D} S_0 \mathbb{P}_{\tau_D} z\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq c e^{ct} \sqrt[3]{\tau_D} + c e^{\frac{-t}{2\tau_D}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4 \quad (7.8)$$

To prove the above estimate, we first need

Lemma 7.4. *There holds*

$$\sup_{\phi_0 \in \mathbb{B}_0^4} \int_0^\infty \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2 \phi^0}{dt^2}(y)\| dy < \infty \quad (7.9)$$

where $S_0(t)\phi_0^0 = \phi^0$

Proof of Lemma 7.4

Since ϕ_0 is bounded in $D(A^{\frac{5}{2}})$, then $\mathbb{J}(\phi_0)$ is bounded in $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Consider the problem obtained by differentiating with respect to time (2.1) for $\tau_D = 0$, that is

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} + A(A\psi + f'(\phi^0)\psi) = \psi g'(\phi^0) \quad (7.10)$$

and

$$\psi(0) = \mathbb{J}(\phi_0) \quad (7.11)$$

where $\psi = \frac{d\phi^0}{dt}$

It is a standard matter to see that there exists a unique solution for the problem (7.10)-(7.11) in $C([0, T], D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}))$, for any $T > 0$.

Multiplying the Eq (7.10) by $\alpha A^{-1}\psi + A^{-1}\frac{d\psi}{dt}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2 + \alpha\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2) + 2\alpha\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2 + 2\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\psi}{dt}\|^2 &= -2\alpha(A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi f'(\phi^0)), A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi) \\ &\quad -2(A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi f'(\phi^0)), A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\psi}{dt}) + 2\alpha(g'(\phi^0)\psi, A^{-1}\psi) + 2(g'(\phi^0)\psi, A^{-1}\frac{d\psi}{dt}) \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

On account of the controls

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi f'(\phi^0))\| \leq c\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|,$$

and

$$\|(g'(\phi^0)\psi)\| \leq c\|\psi\| \leq c\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|$$

The Eq (7.12), satisfies (for $\alpha > 0$ large enough)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2 + \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2) + \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\psi}{dt}\|^2 \leq c(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2 + \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|^2) \quad (7.13)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (7.13), we obtain the estimate. \square

Given $z = (\phi_0, \phi_1) \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$, we set

$$\left(\phi^{\tau_D}, \frac{d\phi^{\tau_D}}{dt}\right) = S_{\tau_D}(t)z \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\phi^0, \frac{d\phi^0}{dt}\right) = \mathbb{L}_{\tau_D}S_0(t)\mathbb{P}_{\tau_D}z$$

The difference $\phi = \phi^{\tau_D} - \phi^0$ solves the problem

$$\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + \frac{d\phi}{dt} + A(A\phi + f(\phi^{\tau_D}) - f(\phi^0)) = -\tau_D \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2} + g(\phi^{\tau_D}) - g(\phi^0) \quad (7.14)$$

and

$$\phi(0) = 0 \quad \frac{d\phi}{dt}(0) = \phi_1 - \mathbb{J}(\phi_0) \quad (7.15)$$

Multiplying the Eq (7.14) by $A^{-1}\frac{d\phi}{dt}$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tau_D\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2) + 2\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 = -2(A^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi, A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2(A^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(\phi^{\tau_D}) - f(\phi^0)), A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{d\phi}{dt}) \quad (7.16)$$

$$+2(g(\phi^{\tau_D}) - g(\phi^0), A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2\tau_D(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2}, A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt})$$

The right-hand side of the above equality seen to be controlled by

$$\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + c + c\tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2}\|^2$$

Hence, by (7.9) and the Gronwall lemma, we conclude that

$$\tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2\tau_D}} + 2c\tau_D \quad (7.17)$$

On the other hand, we may rewrite (7.16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^2 + 2\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 &= 2(A^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\phi^{\tau_D}) - f(\phi^0), A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \\ &+ 2(g(\phi^{\tau_D}) - g(\phi^0), A^{-1} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) - 2\tau_D(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2}, A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \end{aligned}$$

This time, we control the right-hand side by

$$2\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + c\|(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^2 + c\tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2}\|^2$$

So to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^2 \leq c\|(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^2 + c\tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi^0}{dt^2}\|^2 \quad (7.18)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (7.18) on $(\sqrt{\tau_D}, t + \sqrt{\tau_D})$, along with (7.17) and (7.9), we obtain

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t + \sqrt{\tau_D})\|^2 + \tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t + \sqrt{\tau_D})\|^2 \leq e^{ct} (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\sqrt{\tau_D})\|^2 + \tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}(\sqrt{\tau_D})\|^2) \quad (7.19)$$

$$\leq e^{ct} (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\sqrt{\tau_D})\|^2 + ce^{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau_D}}} + 2c\tau_D) \quad (7.20)$$

But, from Theorem (5.1), we get at once that

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t)\| \leq \|A^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi\| \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi\| \leq c \int_0^t \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}(y)\| dy \leq c\sqrt{t}$$

Hence,

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\sqrt{\tau_D})\| \leq c\sqrt[4]{\tau_D}$$

The inequality (7.20), satisfies

$$\|(\phi, \frac{d\phi}{dt})\|^2 \leq e^{ct} (c\sqrt[4]{\tau_D} + ce^{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau_D}}} + 2c\tau_D)$$

Hence the proof of (L2) and (L3). \square

Proof of the (L4)

For any $t', t \in [t^*, 2t^*]$ and for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4$, in view of Lemma (5.3), there holds

$$\|S_{\tau_D}(t)z_1 - S_{\tau_D}(t')z_2\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} \leq \|S_{\tau_D}(t)z_1 - S_{\tau_D}(t')z_1\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} + \|S_{\tau_D}(t')z_1 - S_{\tau_D}(t')z_2\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$$

$$\leq \|S_{\tau_D}(t)z_1 - S_{\tau_D}(t')z_1\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0} + e^{2Kt^*} \|z_1 - z_2\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}$$

Setting $t = t'$, we get at once the second assertion of (L4). To prove the first one, we will show that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_{\tau_D}^4} \int_{t^*}^{2t^*} \left\| \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt}(y), \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}(y) \right) \right\|_{\Phi_{\tau_D}^0}^2 dy \leq c \quad (7.21)$$

where, as usual, $\phi(t)$ denotes the first component of $S_{\tau_D}(t)z$.

Multiplying (3.1) by $A \frac{d\phi}{dt}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\tau_D \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi\|^2 \right) + 2 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 = -2(A^{\frac{3}{2}} f(\phi), A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(A^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\phi), A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \quad (7.22)$$

Thanks to the proof of Lemma (6.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\tau_D \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi\|^2 \right) + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 \leq c$$

Hence, integrating on $(t^*, 2t^*)$, we find

$$\int_{t^*}^{2t^*} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}(y)\|^2 dy \leq c \quad (7.23)$$

Multiplying (3.1) by $A \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 + 2(A^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi, A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(A^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\phi), A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}) \right) + 2\tau_D \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}\|^2 &= 2 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\|^2 \\ &+ 2(f'(\phi) \frac{d\phi}{dt}, \frac{d\phi}{dt}) + 2(g(\phi), A^{-1} \frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2}) \end{aligned}$$

On account of the above estimates, an integrating on $(t^*, 2t^*)$ yields the remaining part of (7.21).

Remark 7.5. *Actually, the coefficient in the Hölder continuity does not need to be independent of τ_D to deduce the uniform (with respect to τ_D) finite fractal dimensionality of the family of robust exponential attractors M_{τ_D} . Recall that the fractal dimension of a (relatively) compact set \mathbb{K} in a metric space \mathbb{X} is defined by*

$$\dim_F(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{X}) = \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{X})}{\log(\frac{1}{\mu})}$$

where $\mathbb{H}_\mu(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{X}) = \log N_\mu(\mathbb{H}_\mu(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{X}))$ denotes the so-called Kolmogorov μ -entropy of \mathbb{K} in \mathbb{X} , $N_\mu(\mathbb{H}_\mu(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{X}))$ being the minimal number of the μ -balls of \mathbb{X} to cover \mathbb{K} . So, if the constant in the Hölder continuity is independent of τ_D , as it is the case here, we further deduce that the Kolmogorov μ -entropy of the family of robust exponential attractors is, for fixed $\mu > 0$, bounded from above independently of τ_D .

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests in this paper.

References

1. S. Gatti, M. Grasselli, V. Pata, A. Miranville, On the hyperbolic relaxation of the on-dimensional Cahn-Hilliard equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **312** (2005), 230–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.029>
2. A. Miranville, On the conserved phase field model, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **400** (2013), 143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.11.038>
3. P. Galenko, V. Lebedev, Analysis of the dispersion relation in spinodal decomposition of a binary system, *Philosophical Magazine Letters*, **87** (2007), 821–827. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500830701395127>
4. P. Galenko, Phase-Field model with relaxation of the diffusion flux in nonequilibrium solidification of a binary system, *Phys. Lett. A*, **287** (2001), 190–197. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601\(01\)00489-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(01)00489-3)
5. P. Galenko, D. Danilov, V. Lebedev, Phase-field-crystal and Swift-Hohenberg equations with fast dynamics, *Phys. Rev. E*, **79** (2009), 051110. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.79.051110>
6. P. Galenko, V. Lebedev, Local Nonequilibrium effect on spinodal decomposition in a binary system, *Int. J. Thermodyn.*, **11** (2008), 21–28.
7. P. Galenko, D. Jou, Fluctuations and stochastic noise in systems with hyperbolic mass transport, *Physica A*, **366** (2006), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.10.027>
8. P. Galenko, D. Jou, Kinetic contribution to the fast spinodal decomposition controlled by diffusion, *Physica A*, **388** (2009), 3113–3123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2009.04.003>
9. P. Galenko, V. Lebedev, Experimental test for the hyperbolic model of spinodal decomposition in the binary system, *JETP Letters*, **86** (2007), 458–461. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S002136400719006X>
10. P. Galenko, Local nonequilibrium phase transition model with relaxation of the diffusion flux, *Phys. Lett. A*, **190** (1994), 292–294. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601\(94\)90757-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(94)90757-9)
11. J. W. Cahn, On spinodal decomposition, *Acta Metall.*, **9** (1961), 795–801. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160\(61\)90182-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(61)90182-1)
12. J. W. Cahn, J. E. Hilliard, Free energy of a non uniform system, Interfacial free energy, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **28** (1958), 258–267. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1744102>
13. B. L. D. Bangola, Ph.D. thesis, Department of Mathematics University of Poitiers, France, 2013.
14. A. Miranville, L. Chrfils, S. Peng, H. Zhu, Energy stable finite element/Spectral method for modified higher-order generalized Cahn-Hilliard equations, *J. Math. Study*, **51** (2018), 253–293. <https://doi.org/10.4208/jms.v51n3.18.02>
15. S. Peng, H. Zhu, Well-posedness for modified higher-order anisotropic Cahn-Hilliard equations, *Asymptotic Anal.*, **111** (2019), 201–215. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ASY-181494>

16. A. Miranville, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation: Recent advances and applications*, Society for industrial and applied mathematics, U.S, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975925>
17. P. Fabrice, C. Galushinski, A. Miranville, S. Zelik, Uniform exponential attractors for a singularly perturbed damped wave equation, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys.*, **10** (2004), 211–238. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2004.10.211>
18. M. Grasselli, G. Schimperna, S. Zelik, Trajectory and smooth attractors for Cahn-Hilliard equations with inertial term, *Nonlinearity*, **23** (2010), 707–737. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/23/3/016>
19. H. Fakh, Ph.D thesis, Department of Mathematic University of Poitiers, France, 2015.



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)