

AIMS Mathematics, 7(6): 10180–10194.

DOI: 10.3934/math.2022566 Received: 20 December 2021 Revised: 26 February 2022 Accepted: 06 March 2022

Published: 22 March 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

### Research article

# The research of (G,w)-Chaos and G-Lipschitz shadowing property

# Zhanjiang Ji<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

- School of Data Science and Software Engineering, Wuzhou University, Wuzhou, Guangxi 543002, China
- <sup>2</sup> Guangxi Colleges and Universities Key Laboratory of Image Processing and Intelligent Information System, Wuzhou University, Wuzhou, Guangxi 543002, China
- <sup>3</sup> Guangxi Colleges and Universities Key Laboratory of Professional Software Technology, Wuzhou University, Wuzhou, Guangxi 543002, China
- \* Correspondence: E-mail: jizhanjiang1985@126.com; Tel: +18277405331.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce the concepts of (G,w) – Chaos and G – Lipschitz shadowing property. We study the dynamical properties of (G,w) – Chaos in the inverse limit space under group action. In addition, we study the dynamical properties of G – Lipschitz shadowing property respectively under topological G – conjugate and iterative systems. The following conclusions are obtained. (1) Let  $(X_f, \bar{G}, \bar{d}, \sigma)$  be the inverse limit space of (X, G, d, f) under group action. If the self-map f is (G, w) – chaotic, the shift map  $\sigma$  is (G, w) – chaotic; (2) Let (X, d) be a metric G – space and f be topologically G – conjugate to g. Then the map f has G – Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the map g has G – Lipschitz shadowing property. (3) Let (X, d) be a metric G – space and f be an equivariant Lipschitz map from f to f . Then for any positive integer f has the f – Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the iterative map f has the f – Lipschitz shadowing property. These results enrich the theory of topological f – conjugate, iterative system and the inverse limit space under group action.

**Keywords:** inverse limit space; topological G-conjugate; iterative map; (G, w)-Chaos; G-Lipschitz shadowing property

**Mathematics Subject Classification: 37B99** 

### 1. Introduction

Chaos and shadowing property are important concepts in dynamical systems. Many scholars have studied their dynamical properties. See [1–16] for relevant results. For example, Li [1] proved that if the self-map f is w-chaotic, then the shift map  $\sigma$  is w-chaotic; Shah, Das and Das [2] proved that if a uniformly continuous self-map of a uniform locally compact Hausdorff space has topological weak specification property, then it admits a topologically distributionally scrambled set of type 3; Kostic [3] introduced two different notions of disjoint distributional chaos for sequences of continuous linear operators in Frechet spaces; Wang and Liu [4] generalized the notion of the ergodic shadowing property to the iterated function systems and proved some related theorems; Wang and Zeng [5] studied the relationship between average shadowing property and q-average shadowing property; for any  $k \neq 0$  and  $f \in H(X)$ , Li [6] proposed that f is chaotic if and only if  $f^k$  is chaotic; Li [7] proved that a chaotic semi-flow  $\theta$  on a manifold M in the sense of Devaney with some assumptions is an expanding semi-flow; Li and Zhou [8] presented that if a continuous Lyapunov stable map f from a compact metric space X into itself is topologically transitive and has the asymptotic average shadowing property, then X is consisting of one point; Li [9] proved that if the set of all periodic points of  $\theta \times \theta$  is dense in  $X \times Y$ , then  $\theta \times \theta$  is chaotic.

According to the concept of w—Chaos [1], we introduced the definition of (G, w)—Chaos. Let (X,d) be a metric G—space and f be a continuous map from X to X. We say that S is an (G,w)—scrambled set if for any  $x,y \in S$  with  $x \neq y$ , the following three conditions are satisfied: (1)  $w_G(x,f)-w_G(y,f)$  is uncountable; (2)  $w_G(x,f)\cap w_G(y,f)$  is nonempty; (3)  $w_G(x,f) \not\subset P_G(f)$ . The map f is said to be (G,w)—chaotic if there exists an uncountable (G,w)—scrambled set. Then we proved that if the self-map is (G,w)—chaotic, the shift map G is (G,w)—chaotic in the inverse limit space under action group which generalizes the conclusion of w—Chaos given by Li [1].

Let (X,d) be a metric G- space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The map f has G-Lipschitz shadowing property if there exists positive constant L>0 and  $\delta_0>0$  such that for any  $0<\delta<\delta_0$  and  $(G,\delta)$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of f there exists a point  $x\in X$  such that the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  is  $(G,L\delta)$ -shadowed by the point x [17]. Finally, the dynamical properties of G-Lipschitz shadowing property are studied under topological G-conjugate and iterative systems. We derive that (1) If f is topologically G-conjugate to g, then the map f has G-Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the map g has G-Lipschitz shadowing property. (2) For any positive integer  $k\geq 2$ , the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property. These results enrich the theory of topological G-conjugate and iterative system.

Next, I will give the proof of above three conclusions in sections 2–4.

### 2. (G,w)-Chaos in the inverse limit space under group action

**Definition 2.1.** [18] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space, G be a topological group and  $\varphi$  be a continuous map from  $G \times X$  to X. The  $(X,G,\varphi)$  or X is called to be a metric G-space if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\varphi(e,x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$  where e is the identity of G;
- (2)  $\varphi(g_1, \varphi(g_2, x)) = \varphi(g_1g_2, x)$  where for all  $x \in X$  and all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .

If X is compact, then X is also said to be a compact metric G-space. For the convenience,  $\varphi(g,x)$ 

is usually abbreviated as gx.

**Definition 2.2.** [19] Let (X,d) be a metric space and f be a continuous map from X to X. We say that  $X_f$  is the inverse limit spaces of X if we write

$$X_f = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots) : f(x_{i+1}) = x_i, \forall i \ge 0\},$$

Where let  $\underline{\lim}(X, f)$  denoted by the inverse limit spaces  $X_f$ .

The metric  $\overline{d}$  in  $X_f$  is defined by

$$\overline{d}(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_i,y_i)}{2^i},$$

where  $\overline{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2 \cdots) \in X_f$  and  $\overline{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2 \cdots) \in X_f$ .

The shift map  $\sigma: X_f \to X_f$  is defined by

$$\sigma(\overline{x}) = (f(x_0), x_0, x_1 \cdots).$$

For every  $i \ge 0$  the projection map  $\pi_i : X_f \to X$  is defined by

$$\pi_i(\overline{x}) = x_i.$$

Thus  $(X_i, \overline{d})$  is compact metric space and the shift map  $\sigma$  is homeomorphism.

**Definition 2.3.** [19] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space and f be equivariant map from X to X. Write

$$\overline{G} = \{(g, g, g \cdots) : g \in G\} \text{ and } G_{\infty} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} G_i,$$

where  $G_i = G, i \ge 0$ .

The map  $\varphi : \overline{G} \times X_f \to X_f$  is defined by

$$\varphi(\overline{g}, \overline{x}) = \overline{g} \cdot \overline{x} = (gx_0, gx_1, gx_2, \cdots),$$

where  $\overline{g} = (g, g, g \cdots) \in \overline{G}$  and  $\overline{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2 \cdots) \in X_f$ .

Then  $(X_f, \overline{G}, \overline{d}, \sigma)$  is a metric G-space.

Let (X,G,d,f) and  $(X_f,\bar{G},\bar{d},\sigma)$  are shown as above. The space  $(X_f,\bar{G},\bar{d},\sigma)$  is called to be the inverse limit spaces of (X,G,d,f) under group action.

**Definition 2.4.** [20] Let (X,d) be a metric G – space and f be a continuous map from X to X. We say that the map f is an equivariant map if we have f(px) = pf(x) for all  $x \in X$  and all  $p \in G$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [21] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The point x is called to be an G-periodic point if there exists positive integer m and  $g \in G$  such that  $gf^m(x) = x$ . Denoted by  $P_G(f)$  the G-periodic point set of f.

**Definition 2.6.** [4] Let (X,d) be a metric G – space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The point y is said to be an G – limit point of the point x if there exists  $\{n_i\} \subset N_+$  and  $\{g_i\} \subset G$  such that  $\lim_{i \to \infty} g_i f^{n_i}(x) = y$ .

Denoted by  $w_G(x, f)$  the G-limit point set of the point x.

**Definition 2.7.** [21] Let (X,d) be a metric space and f be a continuous map from X to X. If  $f(A) \subset A$  then we say that the set A is invariant to the map f.

**Definition 2.8.** [1] Let (X,d) be a metric space and f be a continuous map from X to X. We say that S is

an w – scrambled set if for any  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \neq y$  the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1) w(x, f) w(y, f) is uncountable;
- (2)  $w(x, f) \cap w(y, f)$  is not empty;
- (3)  $w(x, f) \not\subset P(f)$ .

We say that the map f is w-chaotic if there exists an uncountable w-scrambled set.

**Remark 2.9.** According to the definition of w-Chaos in metric space, we give the concept of (G, w)-Chaos in metric G-space.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space and f be a continuous map from X to X. We say that S is an (G,w)-scrambled set if for any  $x,y \in S$  with  $x \neq y$  the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $w_G(x, f) w_G(y, f)$  is uncountable;
- (2)  $w_G(x, f) \cap w_G(y, f)$  is not empty;
- (3)  $w_G(x, f) \not\subset P_G(f)$ .

We say that the map f is (G, w) – chaotic if there exists an uncountable (G, w) – scrambled set.

**Definition 2.11.** [22] Let (X,d) be a metric space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The set A is said to be a minimal set if for any  $x \in A$  we have  $\overline{orb(x,f)} = A$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** [21] Let  $(X_f, \overline{G}, \overline{d}, \sigma)$  be the inverse limit space of (X, G, d, f) under group action, f be an equivariant homeomorphism map from X to X and  $\overline{X} = (X_0, X_1, X_2 \cdots) \in X_f$ . Then we have

$$W_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) = \underline{\lim}(W_G(x_0, f), f)$$
.

**Lemma 2.13.** [21] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space, f be an equivariant homeomorphism map from X to X and  $x \in X$ . Then we have that  $w_G(x, f)$  is closed and

$$f(w_G(x,f)) = w_G(x,f)$$
.

**Lemma 2.14.** [21] Let  $(X_f, \overline{G}, \overline{d}, \sigma)$  be the inverse limit space of (X, G, d, f) under group action and f be an equivariant homeomorphism map from X to X. Then we have

$$P_G(\sigma) = \underline{\lim}(P_G(f), f)$$
.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $(X_f, \overline{G}, \overline{d}, \sigma)$  be the inverse limit space of (X, G, d, f) under group action and f be an equivariant homeomorphism map from X to X. If the self-map f is (G, w) – chaotic, then the shift map  $\sigma$  is (G, w) – chaotic.

*Proof.* Suppose that the self-map f is (G, w) – chaotic. Then there exists an uncountable (G, w) – scrambled set S . Write

$$D' = \{ \overline{x} : \overline{x} \in \pi_0^{-1}(x), x \in S \}.$$

Thus D' is an uncountable set in  $X_f$ . Next, we will show that D' is an (G, w) – scrambled set in  $X_f$ . Let

$$\overline{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2 \cdots) \in D'$$
 and  $\overline{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2 \cdots) \in D'$  with  $\overline{x} \neq \overline{y}$ .

According to that f is an homeomorphism map, the point  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are two different points in S. By the definition of (G, w) – scrambled set S, we have the following three conditions:

- (1)  $w_G(x_0, f) w_G(y_0, f)$  is uncountable;
- (2)  $w_G(x_0, f) \cap w_G(y_0, f)$  is not empty;

(3)  $W_G(x_0, f) \not\subset P_G(f)$ .

Firstly, we will show that  $w_G(\bar{x}, \sigma) - w_G(\bar{y}, \sigma)$  is uncountable. Let

$$s_0 \in W_G(x_0, f) - W_G(y_0, f)$$
.

By Lemmas 2.12 and 2.13, we have that

$$W_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) = \underline{\lim}(W_G(x_0, f), f)$$
.

$$f(w_G(x,f)) = w_G(x,f).$$

Hence there exists  $\overline{s} \in w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma)$  such that  $\pi_0(\overline{s}) = s_0$ . If  $\overline{s} \in w_G(\overline{y}, \sigma)$  then there exists positive integer sequence  $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\overline{g}_i = (g_i, g_i, g_i, \cdots) \in \overline{G}$  such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\overline{g}_i\sigma^{n_i}(\overline{y})=\overline{s}.$$

Thus  $\lim_{i\to\infty}g_if^{n_i}(y_0)=s_0$ . So  $s_0\in w_G(y_0,f)$  which is absurd. Hence  $\overline{s}\notin w_G(\overline{y},\sigma)$ . Thus, we have that

$$\overline{s} \in W_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) - W_G(\overline{y}, \sigma)$$
.

That is,

$$s_0 = \pi_0(\overline{s}) \in \pi_0(w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) - w_G(\overline{y}, \sigma))$$

Then we can get that

$$w_G(x_0, f) - w_G(y_0, f) \subset \pi_0(w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) - w_G(\overline{y}, \sigma))$$
.

According to that the set  $w_G(x, f) - w_G(y, f)$  is uncountable, we can get that the set

$$\pi_0(w_G(\overline{x},\sigma)-w_G(\overline{y},\sigma))$$

is uncountable. Hence the set  $w_G(\bar{x}, \sigma) - w_G(\bar{y}, \sigma)$  is uncountable.

Secondly, we will show that  $w_G(\bar{x}, \sigma) \cap w_G(\bar{y}, \sigma)$  is not empty. By Lemma 2.12, we have that

$$w_{G}(\overline{x},\sigma) \cap w_{G}(\overline{y},\sigma) = \underline{\lim}(w_{G}(x_{0},f),f) \cap \underline{\lim}(w_{G}(x_{0},f),f)$$
$$= \underline{\lim}(w_{G}(x_{0},f) \cap w_{G}(x_{0},f),f).$$

Since  $w_G(x_0, f) \cap w_G(x_0, f)$  is a nonempty closed invariant subset and X is compact metric space, there exists a minimal set M in  $w_G(x_0, f) \cap w_G(x_0, f)$ . Hence, we can get that

$$\emptyset \neq \underline{\lim}(M, f) \subset \underline{\lim}(w_G(x_0, f) \cap w_G(x_0, f), f)$$
.

So, we have that

$$w_G(\overline{x},\sigma) \cap w_G(\overline{y},\sigma) \neq \emptyset$$
.

Finally, we will show  $w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) \not\subset P_G(\sigma)$ . Suppose  $w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) \subset P_G(\sigma)$ . By Lemmas 2.12 and 2.14, we have that

$$W_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) = \underline{\lim}(W_G(x_0, f), f)$$
.

$$P_G(\sigma) = \underline{\lim}(P_G(f), f)$$
.

Hence, we can get that

$$\underline{\lim}(w_G(x_0, f), f) \subset \underline{\lim}(P_G(f), f)$$
.

So, we have that

$$\pi_0(\underline{\lim}(w_G(x_0,f),f)) \subset \pi_0(\underline{\lim}(P_G(f),f))$$
.

That is

$$W_G(x_0, f) \subset P_G(f)$$
.

Thus, the assumption is absurd. So  $w_G(\overline{x}, \sigma) \not\subset P_G(\sigma)$ . Hence the set D' is an uncountable (G, w) – scrambled set in  $X_f$ . So, the shift map  $\sigma$  is (G, w) – chaotic. Thus, we complete the proof.  $\square$ 

### 3. G-Lipschitz shadowing property under topological G-conjugate

**Definition 3.1.** [16] Let (X,d) be a metric space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The map f is said to be a Lipschitz map if there exists a positive constant L such that for all  $x, y \in X$  implies

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le Ld(x, y)$$
.

**Definition 3.2.** [17] Let (X,d) be a metric G-space and f be a continuous map from X to X. The map f has G-Lipschitz shadowing property if there exists positive constant L and  $\delta_0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  and  $(G,\delta)$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of f there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is  $(G,L\delta)$ -shadowed by the point x.

**Definition 3.3.** [18] Let (X,d) and (Y,d) be a metric G-space, f be a continuous map from X to X and g be a continuous map from Y to Y. We say that f is topological G-conjugate to g about  $h: X \to Y$  if  $h \circ f = g \circ h$  and h is an equivariant homeomorphism map from X to Y.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let (X,d) be metric G- space, (Y,d) be metric G- space and f be topologically Gconjugate to g about the map  $h: X \to Y$ . If h is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant  $L_1$  from X to Y and  $h^{-1}$  is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant  $L_2$  from Y to X, then the map f has G- Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the map f has G-Lipschitz shadowing property.

*Proof.* Suppose that the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property. Then there exists positive constant  $L_0 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon_0$  and  $(G, \delta)$  - pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i \ge 0}$  of f there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \ge 0}$  is  $(G, L_0 \delta)$  - shadowed by the point x. Let

$$L_3 = L_0 L_1 L_2$$
 and  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{L_2}$ .

For any  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon_1$ , let  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$  be  $(G,\eta)$  – pseudo orbit of g. Then for any  $i \ge 0$  there exists  $t_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(t_i g(y_i), y_{i+1}) < \eta$$
.

According to that  $h^{-1}$  is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant  $L_2$ , we can get that

$$d(h^{-1}(t_ig(y_i)), h^{-1}(y_{i+1})) \le L_2 d(t_ig(y_i), y_{i+1}) < L_2 \eta.$$

According to that h is an equivalent map and  $h \circ f = g \circ h$ , for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(t_i f(h^{-1}(y_i)), h^{-1}(y_{i+1})) < L_2 \eta < \varepsilon_0$$
.

Thus  $h^{-1}(y_i)$  is  $(G, L_2\eta)$  – pseudo orbit of f. According to that f has the G – Lipschitz shadowing property, there exists  $x \in X$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $i \ge 0$  there exists  $p_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(f^{i}(x), p_{i}h^{-1}(y_{i})) < L_{0}L_{2}\eta.$$

Since h is a Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant  $L_1$ , we can obtain that

$$d(h(f^{i}(x)), h(p_{i}h^{-1}(y_{i}))) \leq L_{1}d(f^{i}(x), p_{i}h^{-1}(y_{i})) < L_{0}L_{1}L_{2}\eta.$$

According to that h is an equivalent map and  $h \circ f = g \circ h$ , for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(g^{i}(h(z), p_{i}y_{i}) < L_{0}L_{1}L_{2}\eta = L_{3}\eta.$$

Hence the map g has G-Lipschitz shadowing property.

The method is the same as above and the proof is omitted here. Thus, we complete the proof. 

□

# 4. G-Lipschitz shadowing property of iterative map

**Definition 4.1.** [23] Let (X,d) be a metric G – space. The metric d is said to be invariant to the topological group G provided that d(x, y) = d(gx, gy) for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $g \in G$ .

**Definition 4.2.** [24] Let *G* be topological group. *G* is said to be commutative provided that  $p \cdot g = g \cdot p$  for all  $p, g \in G$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let (X,d) be a compact metric G-space,  $f: X \to X$  be an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L and the metric d be invariant to the topological group G where G is commutative. Then the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if for any positive integer  $k \ge 2$  the iterative map  $f^k$  has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property.

*Proof.* Suppose that the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property. Then there exists positive constant  $L_0 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and  $(G, \varepsilon)$  - pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of f there exists a point f such that the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is  $(G, L_0 \varepsilon)$  - shadowed by the point f . Let  $\{y_i\}_{i = 0}^{+\infty}$  be  $(G, \varepsilon)$  - pseudo orbit of f and f are f and f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f a

$$d(f^{i}(x), g_{i}x_{i}) < L_{0}\varepsilon.$$

Hence for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(f^{ki}(x), g_{ki}x_{ki}) < L_0\varepsilon.$$

That is,

$$d((f^k)^i(x), g_{ki}y_i) < L_0\varepsilon$$
.

So, the iterative map  $f^k$  has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property.

Suppose that the iterative map  $f^k$  has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property. Then there exists  $L_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon_1$  and any  $(G, \delta)$  - pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i \ge 0}$  of  $f^k$  there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \ge 0}$  is  $(G, L_1\delta)$  - shadowed by the point z.

Case1. When  $L \ge 1$ . Write

$$L_2 = L^{k-1} + L^{k-2} + \dots + L + 1$$
.

For any  $0 < \eta < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{L_2}$ , let  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be  $(G,\eta)$  – pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of f. Then for any  $i \geq 0$  there exists  $t_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(t_i f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \eta. \tag{1}$$

Hence for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(t_{ki}f(x_{ki}), x_{ki+1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}f(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+2}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}f(x_{ki+2}), x_{ki+3}) < \eta.$$

$$.....$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-2}f(x_{ki+k-2}), x_{ki+k-1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}), x_{ki+k}) < \eta.$$

According to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L, we can get that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}f^k(x_{ki}),f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) &< L^{k-1}\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}),f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) &< L^{k-2}\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+2}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}),f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) &< L^{k-3}\eta \;. \\ & \qquad \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+k-2}f^2(x_{ki+k-2}),f(x_{ki+k-1})) &< L\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}),x_{ki+k}) &< \eta \;. \end{split}$$

Since d is invariant to the topological group G and G is commutative, we can obtain that

$$\begin{split} &d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}),t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) < L^{k-1}\eta \ . \\ \\ &d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}),t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) < L^{k-2}\eta \ . \\ \\ &d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) < L^{k-3}\eta \ . \end{split}$$

. . . . . .

$$d(t_{ki+k-2}t_{ki+k-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+k-2}),t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1})) < L\eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}),x_{ki+k}) < \eta.$$

Let  $y_i = x_{ki}, i \ge 0$ . Thus, for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(y_{i}), y_{i+1}) = d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}), x_{ki+k}) <$$

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}), t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}), t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) +$$

$$\cdots$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) +$$

$$C$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1})) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+1}f^{2}(x_{ki+1}) +$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+1}t_{k$$

Hence  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is  $(G, L_2\eta)$  – pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of  $f^k$ . According to that  $f^k$  has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property, there exists  $z \in X$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $i \geq 0$  there exists  $p_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d((f^k)^i(z), p_i y_i) < L_1 L_2 \eta$$
.

That is, for any  $i \ge 0$ , we have that

$$d(f^{ki}(z), p_i x_{ki}) < L_1 L_2 \eta. (2)$$

By Eq (1) for any  $0 \le j \le k - 1$  and  $i \ge 0$  we can get that

$$d(t_{ki}f(x_{ki}), x_{ki+1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}f(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+2}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}f(x_{ki+2}), x_{ki+3}) < \eta.$$

$$.....$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-2}f(x_{ki+j-2}), x_{ki+j-1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}), x_{ki+j}) < \eta.$$

According to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L, we can get that

$$d(t_{ki}f^{j}(x_{ki}), f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) < L^{j-1}\eta$$
.

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki+1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}),f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) &< L^{j-2}\eta \ . \\ d(t_{ki+2}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}),f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) &< L^{j-3}\eta \ . \\ & \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+j-2}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}),x_{ki+j-1}) &< L\eta \ . \\ d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}),x_{ki+j}) &< \eta \ . \end{split}$$

Since d is invariant to the topological group G and G is commutative, we can obtain that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki}), t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) &< L^{j-1}\eta \;. \\ \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}), t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) &< L^{j-2}\eta \;. \\ \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) &< L^{j-3}\eta \;. \\ \\ & \cdots \\ \\ d(t_{ki+j-2}t_{ki+j-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}), t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1})) &< L\eta \;. \\ \\ d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}), x_{ki+j}) &< \eta \;. \end{split}$$

By Eq (2) and according to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L and d is invariant to the topological group, we can obtain that

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_i^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki})) < L^{j}L_1L_2\eta.$$

Hence, we have that

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_{i}^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),x_{ki+j}) < d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_{i}^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki})) + \\ d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki}),t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}),t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) + \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) + \\ \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+j-2}t_{ki+j-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}),t_{ki+i-1}f(x_{ki+j-1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}),x_{ki+j}) < \\ L^{j}L_{1}L_{2}\eta + L^{j-1}\eta + L^{j-2}\eta + L^{j-3}\eta + \cdots + L\eta + \eta < \\ (L^{k}L_{1}L_{2} + KL^{K})\eta < (L_{1}L_{2} + K)L^{K}\eta.$$

That is,

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+i-1}p_i^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z), x_{ki+j}) < (L_1L_2 + K)L^K\eta.$$
(3)

By Eqs (2) and (3) for any  $i \ge 0$  there exists  $s_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(f^{i}(z), s_{i}x_{i}) < (L_{1}L_{2} + K)L^{K}\eta$$
.

So, when  $L \ge 1$ , the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property.

Case2. When 0 < L < 1. For any  $0 < \eta < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{k}$ , let  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be  $(G, \eta)$  – pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of f. Then for any  $i \geq 0$  there exists  $t_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(t_i f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \eta. \tag{4}$$

Hence, for any  $i \ge 0$  we have that

$$d(t_{ki}f(x_{ki}), x_{ki+1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}f(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+2}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}f(x_{ki+2}), x_{ki+3}) < \eta.$$

$$.....$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-2}f(x_{ki+2}), x_{ki+k-1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}), x_{ki+k-1}) < \eta.$$

According to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L, we can get that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}f^k(x_{ki}),f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) &< L^{k-1}\eta \ . \\ d(t_{ki+1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}),f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) &< L^{k-2}\eta \ . \\ d(t_{ki+2}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}),f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) &< L^{k-3}\eta \ . \\ & \qquad . \\ \\ d(t_{ki+k-2}f^2(x_{ki+k-2}),t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1})) &< L\eta \ . \\ \\ d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}),x_{ki+k}) &< \eta \ . \end{split}$$

Since d is invariant to the topological group G and G is commutative, we can obtain that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}),t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) < L^{k-1}\eta \,. \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}),t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) < L^{k-2}\eta \,. \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) < L^{k-3}\eta \,. \\ & \qquad \qquad \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+k-2}t_{ki+k-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+k-2}),t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1})) < L\eta \,. \end{split}$$

$$d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}),x_{ki+k}) < \eta$$
.

Write  $y_i = x_{ki}$  where  $i \ge 0$ . We have that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+i-1}f^{k}(y_{i}),y_{i+1}) &= d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}),x_{ki+k}) < \\ d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k}(x_{ki}),t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-1}(x_{ki+1}),t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2})) + \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+k-1}f^{k-3}(x_{ki+3})) + \\ & \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+k-2}t_{ki+k-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+k-1}f(x_{ki+k-1}),x_{ki+k}) < \\ L^{k-1}\eta + L^{k-2}\eta + L^{k-3}\eta + L^{k-4}\eta + \cdots + L\eta + \eta < k\eta \; . \end{split}$$

Hence  $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is  $(G, k\eta)$  – pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  of  $f^k$ . According to that  $f^k$  has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property, there exists  $z \in X$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $i \geq 0$  there exists  $p_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d((f^k)^i(z), p_i y_i) < L_1 k \eta.$$

That is, for any  $i \ge 0$ , we have that

$$d(f^{ki}(z), p_i x_{ki}) < L_i k \eta. \tag{5}$$

By Eq (4), for any  $0 \le j \le k - 1$  and  $i \ge 0$  we can get that

$$d(t_{ki}f(x_{ki}), x_{ki+1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}f(x_{ki+1}), x_{ki+2}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}f(x_{ki+2}), x_{ki+3}) < \eta.$$

$$.....$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-2}f(x_{ki+j-2}), x_{ki+j-1}) < \eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}), x_{ki+j}) < \eta.$$

According to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L, we can get that

$$d(t_{ki}f^{j}(x_{ki}), f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) < L^{j-1}\eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}), f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) < L^{j-2}\eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+2}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}), f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) < L^{j-3}\eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-2}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}),t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1})) < L\eta.$$

$$d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}),x_{ki+j}) < \eta.$$

Since d is invariant to the topological group G and G is commutative, we can obtain that

$$\begin{split} d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki}), t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) &< L^{j-1}\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}), t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) &< L^{j-2}\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}), t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) &< L^{j-3}\eta \;. \\ & \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+j-2}t_{ki+j-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}), t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1})) &< L\eta \;. \\ d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}), x_{ki+j}) &< \eta \;. \end{split}$$

By Eq (5) and according to that f is an equivalent Lipschitz map with Lipschitz constant L and d is invariant to the topological group, we can obtain that

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_i^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki}))< L^jL_1k\eta\;.$$

Thus, we have that

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_{i}^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),x_{ki+j}) < d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}p_{i}^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z),t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki})) + \\ d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j}(x_{ki}),t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-1}(x_{ki+1}),t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2})) + \\ d(t_{ki+2}t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-2}(x_{ki+2}),t_{ki+3}\cdots t_{ki+j-1}f^{j-3}(x_{ki+3})) + \\ \cdots \\ d(t_{ki+j-2}t_{ki+j-1}f^{2}(x_{ki+j-2}),t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1})) + \\ d(t_{ki+j-1}f(x_{ki+j-1}),x_{ki+j}) < \\ L^{j}L_{1}k\eta + L^{j-1}\eta + L^{j-2}\eta + L^{j-3}\eta + \cdots + L\eta + \eta < L_{1}k\eta + k\eta = k(L_{1}+1)\eta.$$

That is,

$$d(t_{ki}t_{ki+1}t_{ki+2}\cdots t_{ki+i-1}p_i^{-1}f^{ki+j}(z), x_{ki+j}) < k(L_1+1)\eta.$$
(6)

By Eqs (5) and (6) for any  $i \ge 0$  there exists  $s_i \in G$  satisfying

$$d(f^{i}(z), s_{i}x_{i}) < k(L_{i} + 1)\eta$$
.

Hence, when 0 < L < 1, the map f has the G-Lipschitz shadowing property. Thus, we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

### 5. Conclusions

Firstly, we study the dynamical properties of (G, w) – Chaos in the inverse limit space under group action in the paper. We obtained that the self-map f is (G, w) – chaotic, the shift map  $\sigma$  is (G, w) – chaotic. The conclusion generalizes the corresponding results of w – Chaos given in Li [1]. Secondly, the dynamical properties of G – Lipschitz shadowing property are studied under topological G – conjugate and iterative systems. The following conclusions are obtained. (1) If f is topologically G – conjugate to g, then the map f has G – Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the map g has G – Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the iterative map f has the G – Lipschitz shadowing property if and only if the iterative map f has the G – Lipschitz shadowing property. These results enrich the theory of topological G – conjugate and iterative system. It provided the theoretical basis and scientific foundation for the application of various shadowing property in computational mathematics and biological mathematics.

## Acknowledgments

Research was partially supported by the NSF of Guangxi Province (2020JJA110021) and construction project of Wuzhou University of China (2020B007).

### **Conflict of interest**

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

### References

- 1. S. H. Li, Dynamical properties of the shift maps on the inverse limit spaces, *Ergod. Theor. Dyn. Syst.*, **12** (1992), 95–108. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385700006611
- 2. S. Shah, T. Das, R. Das, Distributional chaos on uniform spaces, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, **19** (2020), 4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-020-00344-x
- 3. M. Kostic, Disjoint distributional chaos in Frechet spaces, *Results Math.*, **75** (2020), 83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-01210-7
- 4. H. Y. Wang, Q. Liu, Ergodic shadowing properties of iterated function systems, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **44** (2021), 767–783. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-020-00976-x
- 5. H. Y. Wang, P. Zeng, Partial shadowing of average-pseudo-orbits, *Sci. Sin. Math.*, **46** (2016), 781–792. https://doi.org/10.1360/N012014-00256
- 6. R. S. Li, A note on chaos and the shadowing property, *Int. J. Gen. Syst.*, **45** (2016), 675–688. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081079.2015.1076404
- 7. R. S. Li, A note on decay of correlation implies chaos in the sense of Devaney, *Appl. Math. Model.*, **39** (2015), 6705–6710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2015.02.019
- 8. R. S. Li, X. L. Zhou, A Note on ergodicity of systems with the asymptotic average shadowing property, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, **2011** (2011), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/360583

- 9. R. S. Li, X. L. Zhou, A note on chaos in product maps, *Turk. J. Math.*, **37** (2013), 665–675. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1101-71
- 10. S. H. Li, *w* chaos and topological entropy, *T. Am. Math. Soc.*, **339** (1993), 243–249. https://doi.org/10.2307/2154217
- 11. H. Shao, G. R. Chen, Y. M. Shi, Some criteria of chaos in non-autonomous discrete dynamical systems, *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.*, **26** (2020), 295–308. https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2020.1725496
- 12. G. F. Liao, L. D. Wang, X. D. Duan, A chaotic function with a distributively scrambled set of full Lebesgue measure, *Nonlinear Anal.-Theor.*, **66** (2007), 2274–2280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2006.03.018
- 13. E. D'Aniello, U. B. Darji, M. Maiuriello, Generalized hyperbolicity and shadowing in L<sup>p</sup> spaces, J. Differ. Equ., **298** (2021), 68–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2021.06.038
- 14. L. Wang, J. L. Zhang, Lipschitz shadowing property for 1-dimensional subsystems of Zk-actions, *J. Math. Res. Appl.*, **41** (2021), 615–628. https://doi.org/10.3770/j.issn:2095-2651.2021.06.006
- 15. P. A. Guihéneuf, T. Lefeuvre, On the genericity of the shadowing property for conservative homeomorphisms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **146** (2018), 4225–4237. https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13526
- 16. K. Sakai, Various shadowing properties for positively expansive maps, *Topol. Appl.*, **131** (2003), 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-8641(02)00260-2
- 17. Z. J. Ji, G. R. Zhang, J. X. Tu, Asymptotic average and Lipschitz shadowing property of the product map under group action, *J. Hebei Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.)*, **43** (2019), 471–478. https://doi.org/10.13763/j.cnki.jhebnu.nse.2019.06.004.
- 18. S. A. Ahmadi, Invariants of topological G-conjugacy on G-Spaces, *Math. Morav.*, **18-1** (2014), 67–75.
- 19. Z. J. Ji, Dynamical property of product space and the inverse limit space of a topological group action, Master' thesis, Guangxi University, 2014.
- 20. S. Ekta, D. Tas, Consequences of shadowing property of G-spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **7** (2013), 579–588. https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2013.13056
- 21. Z. J. Ji, G. J. Qin, G. R. Zhang, Dynamical properties of the shift map in the inverse limit space of a topological group action, *J. Anhui Univ. (Nat. Sci.)*, **44** (2020), 41–45. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-2162.2020.05.005
- 22. L. S. Block, W. A. Coppel, *Dynamics in one dimension*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1992. https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0084762
- 23. T. Choi, J. Kim, Decomposition theorem on G-spaces, Osaka J. Math., 46 (2009), 87–104.
- 24. Z. Balogh, V. Laver, Unitary subgroups of commutative group algebras of the characteristic two, *Ukr. Math. J.*, **72** (2020), 871–879. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-020-01829-3



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)