



Research article

Eigenvalues of fourth-order differential operators with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions

Jianfang Qin, Kun Li, Zhaowen Zheng* and Jinming Cai

School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: zhwzheng@126.com.

Abstract: This paper is concerned with a fourth-order differential operator with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions. We prove that each of the eigenvalues of the problem can be embedded in a continuous eigenvalue branch. Furthermore, the differential expressions of the eigenvalues with respect to each of parameters are given.

Keywords: fourth-order differential operator; eigenparameter-dependent boundary condition; dependence of eigenvalue; differential expression

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B05, 34L30, 47E05

1. Introduction

Sturm-Liouville problem originates from various fields such as physics, engineering, finance and medicine, and it has been widely researched [1, 2]. Nowadays, differential equations with boundary conditions depending on eigenparameter are also widely used in acoustic scattering, quantum mechanics theory and so on. Particularly, more and more researchers have paid close attention to Sturm-Liouville problems with boundary conditions depending on eigenparameter, the distribution of eigenvalues, asymptotic of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, oscillation theory and inverse spectral theory of such problem are deeply researched, and many results are obtained. Up to now, it has become an important research topic and has made great progress [3–7]. In recent years, the fourth-order differential operators with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions appear in elastic beam models, the heat conduct problem and so on are also gained great progress. For more details, we refer the readers to [8–11].

In the last two decades, the dependence of eigenvalues on coefficients and parameters of differential operator has attracted lots of the attention by many researchers. In [12], Kong and Zettl obtained that the eigenvalues of regular Sturm-Liouville problems are differentiable functions with respect to all the data and they gave expressions for their derivatives. Later, this problem was extended

to Sturm-Liouville operators with discontinuity, third-order and fourth-order differential operators etc. [13–18]. Recently, Zhang and Li in [19] showed that the eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions are differentiable functions of all the data. In [20], Zinsou considered the dependence of eigenvalues of a general fourth-order differential equation with transmission conditions and obtained similar results. These results provide a theoretical support for the numerical calculation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions [21, 22].

Inspired by the above mentioned results, a natural question is that whether similar results still true for fourth-order boundary value problems when eigenparameter appear in the the boundary conditions? In this paper, we give a confirm answer. As we know, the problems with spectral parameter arise from several physical or other applied problems, for instance, the free bending vibrations of rod [23, 24]. Therefore, in this paper, we try to discuss the dependence of eigenvalues of fourth-order differential equations with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions. It is worth mentioning that we consider such a problem with both endpoints depending on the spectral parameter μ . Compared with the problem with spectral parameter at one end, the inner product and space constructed are different, and it is more troublesome in the process of deriving the differential expression of the eigenvalues with respect to the coefficient matrix of the boundary conditions with spectral parameter. The main result is that each of the eigenvalues of the fourth-order boundary value problem can be embedded in a continuous eigenvalue branch. Furthermore, we obtain the differential expression of the eigenvalues with respect to all data in the sense of ordinary or Fréchet derivatives.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a fourth-order boundary value problems and define a new self-adjoint operator \mathcal{F} such that the eigenvalues of such a problem coincide with those of \mathcal{F} . In Section 3, we discuss the continuity of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In Section 4, we give the differential expressions of the eigenvalues with respect to each of parameters.

2. Fourth-order boundary value problem

We consider the fourth-order differential equation

$$lf := (p(x)f''(x))'' - (q(x)f'(x))' + q_0(x)f(x) = \mu w(x)f(x), \quad (2.1)$$

on $[a, b]$, with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions at endpoints

$$l_1f := \mu f(a) - f^{[3]}(a) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$l_2f := \mu f^{[1]}(a) + f^{[2]}(a) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$l_3f := \mu(\tau_1 f(b) - \gamma_1 f^{[3]}(b)) - (\tau_2 f(b) - \gamma_2 f^{[3]}(b)) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$l_4f := \mu(\beta_1 f^{[1]}(b) - \alpha_1 f^{[2]}(b)) + (\beta_2 f^{[1]}(b) - \alpha_2 f^{[2]}(b)) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral parameter,

$$\frac{1}{p}, q, q_0, w \in L^1[a, b], \quad p, w > 0 \text{ a.e. on } [a, b], \quad (2.6)$$

$$\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \tau_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \rho_1 = \begin{vmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{vmatrix} > 0, \quad \rho_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix} > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that the quasi-derivatives associated to (2.1) are

$$f^{[0]} = f, f^{[1]} = f', f^{[2]} = pf'', f^{[3]} = (pf'')' - qf'. \quad (2.8)$$

Let the weighted Hilbert space be defined as

$$H_1 = L_w^2[a, b] = \{f \mid f(x) \text{ is absolutely continuous and } \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx < +\infty\}$$

with inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_1 = \int_a^b f(x)\bar{g}(x)w(x)dx$ for any $f, g \in H_1$. We define a new Hilbert space

$$H = H_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^4$$

with the inner product

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle_1 + f_1\bar{g}_1 + f_2\bar{g}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1}f_3\bar{g}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2}f_4\bar{g}_4,$$

for $F = (f, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T, G = (g, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4)^T \in H$. Define an operator \mathcal{F} as

$$\mathcal{F} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ f(a) \\ f^{[1]}(a) \\ \tau_1 f(b) - \gamma_1 f^{[3]}(b) \\ \beta_1 f^{[1]}(b) - \alpha_1 f^{[2]}(b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w^{-1}[(pf'')'' - (qf')' + q_0 f] \\ f^{[3]}(a) \\ -f^{[2]}(a) \\ \tau_2 f(b) - \gamma_2 f^{[3]}(b) \\ \alpha_2 f^{[2]}(b) - \beta_2 f^{[1]}(b) \end{pmatrix},$$

with the domain

$$D(\mathcal{F}) = \{(f, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T \in H \mid w^{-1}[(pf'')'' - (qf')' + q_0 f] \in L_w^2[a, b], f, f^{[1]}, f^{[2]}, f^{[3]} \in AC[a, b], \\ f_1 = f(a), f_2 = f^{[1]}(a), f_3 = \tau_1 f(b) - \gamma_1 f^{[3]}(b), f_4 = \beta_1 f^{[1]}(b) - \alpha_1 f^{[2]}(b)\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The operator \mathcal{F} is a self-adjoint operator in H .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [25], the equation we considered is more complicated and the derivatives in boundary conditions are quasi-derivatives, here we omit the details. \square

Lemma 2.2. [25] *The spectrum of \mathcal{F} consists of isolated eigenvalues, which coincide with those of the fourth-order boundary value problems (2.1)–(2.5). Furthermore, all the eigenvalues are real-valued.*

3. Continuity of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

Let $\chi_1(x, \mu), \chi_2(x, \mu), \chi_3(x, \mu), \chi_4(x, \mu)$ be the linearly independent solutions of Eq (2.1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(a, \mu) & \chi_2(a, \mu) & \chi_3(a, \mu) & \chi_4(a, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[1]}(a, \mu) & \chi_2^{[1]}(a, \mu) & \chi_3^{[1]}(a, \mu) & \chi_4^{[1]}(a, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[2]}(a, \mu) & \chi_2^{[2]}(a, \mu) & \chi_3^{[2]}(a, \mu) & \chi_4^{[2]}(a, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[3]}(a, \mu) & \chi_2^{[3]}(a, \mu) & \chi_3^{[3]}(a, \mu) & \chi_4^{[3]}(a, \mu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

We define their Wronskian as

$$\Phi(x, \mu) := \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(x, \mu) & \chi_2(x, \mu) & \chi_3(x, \mu) & \chi_4(x, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[1]}(x, \mu) & \chi_2^{[1]}(x, \mu) & \chi_3^{[1]}(x, \mu) & \chi_4^{[1]}(x, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[2]}(x, \mu) & \chi_2^{[2]}(x, \mu) & \chi_3^{[2]}(x, \mu) & \chi_4^{[2]}(x, \mu) \\ \chi_1^{[3]}(x, \mu) & \chi_2^{[3]}(x, \mu) & \chi_3^{[3]}(x, \mu) & \chi_4^{[3]}(x, \mu) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The number μ is an eigenvalue of operator \mathcal{F} if and only if*

$$\Delta(\mu) = \det(M + N\Phi(b, \mu)) = 0,$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \mu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tau_1\mu - \tau_2 & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_1\mu - \gamma_2) \\ 0 & \beta_1\mu + \beta_2 & -(\alpha_1\mu + \alpha_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By [26, Theorem 1.8], we see that boundary value problems (2.1)–(2.5) is well-posed. Let μ be an eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5), then there exists a non-trivial solution

$$f(x, \mu) = c_1\chi_1(x, \mu) + c_2\chi_2(x, \mu) + c_3\chi_3(x, \mu) + c_4\chi_4(x, \mu),$$

of (2.1), where c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 are not all zero. Since $f(x, \mu)$ satisfies the boundary conditions (2.2)–(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M \left(c_1 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(a) \\ \chi_1^{[1]}(a) \\ \chi_1^{[2]}(a) \\ \chi_1^{[3]}(a) \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2(a) \\ \chi_2^{[1]}(a) \\ \chi_2^{[2]}(a) \\ \chi_2^{[3]}(a) \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3(a) \\ \chi_3^{[1]}(a) \\ \chi_3^{[2]}(a) \\ \chi_3^{[3]}(a) \end{pmatrix} + c_4 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_4(a) \\ \chi_4^{[1]}(a) \\ \chi_4^{[2]}(a) \\ \chi_4^{[3]}(a) \end{pmatrix} \right) + \\ & N \left(c_1 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(b) \\ \chi_1^{[1]}(b) \\ \chi_1^{[2]}(b) \\ \chi_1^{[3]}(b) \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2(b) \\ \chi_2^{[1]}(b) \\ \chi_2^{[2]}(b) \\ \chi_2^{[3]}(b) \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3(b) \\ \chi_3^{[1]}(b) \\ \chi_3^{[2]}(b) \\ \chi_3^{[3]}(b) \end{pmatrix} + c_4 \begin{pmatrix} \chi_4(b) \\ \chi_4^{[1]}(b) \\ \chi_4^{[2]}(b) \\ \chi_4^{[3]}(b) \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the initial condition (3.1), we have

$$(M + N\Phi(b, \mu))(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)^T = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since not all c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 are zero, we get that $\det(M + N\Phi(b, \mu)) = 0$.

On the other hand, if $\Delta(\mu) = 0$, then Eq (3.2) has non-zero solution c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 . Let

$$f(x, \mu) = c_1\chi_1(x, \mu) + c_2\chi_2(x, \mu) + c_3\chi_3(x, \mu) + c_4\chi_4(x, \mu),$$

then $f(x, \mu)$ satisfies (2.1)–(2.5) and thus μ is an eigenvalue. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we consider the Banach space

$$B := L^1[a, b] \oplus L^1[a, b] \oplus L^1[a, b] \oplus L^1[a, b] \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$$

with norm

$$\|\xi\| := \int_a^b \frac{1}{|p|} dx + \int_a^b |q| dx + \int_a^b |q_0| dx + \int_a^b |w| dx \\ + |\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2| + |\tau_1| + |\tau_2| + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|,$$

for any $\xi = (\frac{1}{p}, q, q_0, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in B$. Let

$$\Omega = \{\tau \in B \mid (2.6), (2.7) \text{ hold}\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\tilde{\xi} = (\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{q}_0, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2) \in \Omega$ and $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ be an isolated eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5) with $\tilde{\xi}$. Then μ is continuous on $\tilde{\xi}$. That is, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that the problems (2.1)–(2.5) has exactly an isolated eigenvalue $\mu(\xi)$ satisfying

$$|\mu(\xi) - \mu(\tilde{\xi})| < \varepsilon,$$

if $\xi = (\frac{1}{p}, q, q_0, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ satisfies

$$|\xi - \tilde{\xi}| = \int_a^b \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} \right| dx + \int_a^b |q - \tilde{q}| dx + \int_a^b |q_0 - \tilde{q}_0| dx + \int_a^b |w - \tilde{w}| dx \\ + |\gamma_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_1| + |\gamma_2 - \tilde{\gamma}_2| + |\tau_1 - \tilde{\tau}_1| + |\tau_2 - \tilde{\tau}_2| + |\alpha_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1| \\ + |\alpha_2 - \tilde{\alpha}_2| + |\beta_1 - \tilde{\beta}_1| + |\beta_2 - \tilde{\beta}_2| \\ < \delta.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ is an eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5) if and only if $\Delta(\tilde{\xi}, \mu(\tilde{\xi})) = 0$. For any $\xi \in \Omega$, $\Delta(\xi, \mu)$ is an entire function of μ and is continuous on ξ (see [27, Theorems 2.7 and 2.8]). It is easy seen that $\Delta(\tilde{\xi}, \mu)$ is not a constant in μ because $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ is an isolated eigenvalue. Therefore, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $\Delta(\tilde{\xi}, \mu) \neq 0$ for $\mu \in S_{\rho_0} := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \mu(\tilde{\xi})| = \rho_0\}$. By the continuity of the roots of an equation as a function of parameters (see [28, (9.17.4)]), the statement follows. \square

By a normalized eigenvector $(m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T \in H$, we mean m satisfies the problems (2.1)–(2.5), $m_1 = m(a)$, $m_2 = m^{[1]}(a)$, $m_3 = \tau_1 m(b) - \gamma_1 m^{[3]}(b)$, $m_4 = \beta_1 m^{[1]}(b) - \alpha_1 m^{[2]}(b)$, and

$$\|(m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T\|^2 = \langle (m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T, (m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T \rangle \\ = \int_a^b m \bar{m} w dx + m_1 \bar{m}_1 + m_2 \bar{m}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} m_3 \bar{m}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2} m_4 \bar{m}_4 \\ = 1.$$

Now we give a result for normalized eigenfunctions.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\mu(\xi)$ is an eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5) with $\xi \in \Omega$ and $(m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T \in H$ is the corresponding normalized eigenvector for $\mu(\xi)$. Then there exists a normalized eigenvector $(n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)^T \in H$ for $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ with $\tilde{\xi} \in \Omega$, which is specified in Theorem 3.1, such that

$$n(x) \rightarrow m(x), n^{[1]}(x) \rightarrow m^{[1]}(x), n^{[2]}(x) \rightarrow m^{[2]}(x), n^{[3]}(x) \rightarrow m^{[3]}(x), \\ n_1(x) \rightarrow m_1(x), n_2(x) \rightarrow m_2(x), n_3(x) \rightarrow m_3(x), n_4(x) \rightarrow m_4(x), \quad (3.3)$$

as $\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \xi$ both uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Proof. (i) We know that $\mu(\xi)$ is an isolated eigenvalue of multiplicity $j(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ for all ξ in some neighborhood \mathcal{N} of $\tilde{\xi}$ in Ω . Suppose $\mu(\xi)$ is simple. Let $(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T$ be an eigenvector for $\mu(\xi)$ with

$$\|f(x, \xi)\| = \int_a^b f(x, \xi) \bar{f}(x, \xi) w dx = 1.$$

By Theorem 3.1, there exists $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ such that

$$\mu(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow \mu(\xi) \text{ as } \tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \xi.$$

Define the boundary condition matrix as

$$(M, N)(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(\xi) & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu(\xi) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau_1 \mu(\xi) - \tau_2 & 0 & 0 & -(\gamma_1 \mu(\xi) - \gamma_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_1 \mu(\xi) + \beta_2 & -(\alpha_1 \mu(\xi) + \alpha_2) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(M, N)(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow (M, N)(\xi) \text{ as } \tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \xi.$$

By Theorem 3.2 of [12], we can obtain an eigenfunction $f(x, \tilde{\xi})$ for $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ such that $\|f(x, \tilde{\xi})\| = 1$ and

$$f(x, \tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f(x, \xi), f^{[1]}(x, \tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f^{[1]}(x, \xi), f^{[2]}(x, \tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f^{[2]}(x, \xi), f^{[3]}(x, \tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f^{[3]}(x, \xi), \quad (3.4)$$

as $\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \xi$ both uniformly on $[a, b]$. Then we obtain

$$f_1(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f_1(\xi), f_2(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f_2(\xi), f_3(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f_3(\xi), f_4(\tilde{\xi}) \rightarrow f_4(\xi) \text{ as } \tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \xi. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T &= \frac{(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T}{\|(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T\|}, \\ (n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)^T &= \frac{(f(x, \tilde{\xi}), f_1(\tilde{\xi}), f_2(\tilde{\xi}), f_3(\tilde{\xi}), f_4(\tilde{\xi}))^T}{\|(f(x, \tilde{\xi}), f_1(\tilde{\xi}), f_2(\tilde{\xi}), f_3(\tilde{\xi}), f_4(\tilde{\xi}))^T\|}, \\ m^{[1]} &= \frac{f^{[1]}(x, \xi)}{\|(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T\|}, n^{[1]} = \frac{f^{[1]}(x, \tilde{\xi})}{\|(f(x, \tilde{\xi}), f_1(\tilde{\xi}), f_2(\tilde{\xi}), f_3(\tilde{\xi}), f_4(\tilde{\xi}))^T\|}, \\ m^{[2]} &= \frac{f^{[2]}(x, \xi)}{\|(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T\|}, n^{[2]} = \frac{f^{[2]}(x, \tilde{\xi})}{\|(f(x, \tilde{\xi}), f_1(\tilde{\xi}), f_2(\tilde{\xi}), f_3(\tilde{\xi}), f_4(\tilde{\xi}))^T\|}, \\ m^{[3]} &= \frac{f^{[3]}(x, \xi)}{\|(f(x, \xi), f_1(\xi), f_2(\xi), f_3(\xi), f_4(\xi))^T\|}, n^{[3]} = \frac{f^{[3]}(x, \tilde{\xi})}{\|(f(x, \tilde{\xi}), f_1(\tilde{\xi}), f_2(\tilde{\xi}), f_3(\tilde{\xi}), f_4(\tilde{\xi}))^T\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.3) holds by (3.4) and (3.5).

(ii) Assume that $\mu(\xi)$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $j(j = 2, 3, 4)$. Then we can choose eigenfunctions of $\mu(\xi)$ such that all of them satisfy the same initial conditions at c_0 for some $c_0 \in [a, b]$ since a linear combination of j linearly independent eigenfunctions can be chosen to satisfy arbitrary initial conditions.

Similarly, we obtain (3.3) as (i). This completes the proof. \square

4. Differential expression of eigenvalues

In this section, we focus on giving the derivative formulas of the eigenvalues for all the parameters. First we give the definition of the Fréchet derivative.

Definition 4.1. A map \mathcal{F} from a Banach space X into Banach space Y is differentiable at a point $x \in X$, if there exists a bounded linear operator $d\mathcal{F}_x : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for $k \in X$

$$|\mathcal{F}(x+k) - \mathcal{F}(x) - d\mathcal{F}_x(k)| = o(k) \text{ as } k \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $\mu(\xi)$ is an eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5) with $\xi \in \Omega$ and $(m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T \in H$ is the corresponding normalized eigenvector for $\mu(\xi)$. Suppose $\mu(\xi)$ is a simple eigenvalue or $\mu(\sigma)$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity j ($j = 2, 3, 4$) for each σ in some neighborhood $\mathcal{N} \subset \Omega$ of ξ . Then μ is differentiable with respect to all the data in ξ .

(1) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except the boundary condition parameter matrix

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mu(K_1) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_{K_1}(L) = (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b))[E - K_1(K_1 + L)^{-1}] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{m}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{m}(b) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

for all L satisfying $\det(K_1 + L) = \det K_1 = \rho_1$.

(2) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except the boundary condition parameter matrix

$$K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mu(K_2) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_{K_2}(L) = (-m^{[1]}(b), m^{[2]}(b))[E - K_2(K_2 + L)^{-1}] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{m}^{[2]}(b) \\ \bar{m}^{[1]}(b) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

for all L satisfying $\det(K_2 + L) = \det K_2 = \rho_2$.

(3) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except p and $\mu(\frac{1}{p}) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(k) = - \int_a^b |pm''|^2 k dx, \quad k \in L^1[a, b]. \quad (4.3)$$

(4) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except q and $\mu(q) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_q(k) = \int_a^b |m^{[1]}|^2 k dx, \quad k \in L^1[a, b]. \quad (4.4)$$

(5) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except q_0 and $\mu(q_0) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_{q_0}(k) = \int_a^b |m|^2 k dx, \quad k \in L^1[a, b]. \quad (4.5)$$

(6) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except w and $\mu(w) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$d\mu_w(k) = -\mu(w) \cdot \int_a^b |m|^2 k dx, \quad k \in L^1[a, b]. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let all the data of ξ be fixed except one and $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ be the eigenvalue satisfying Theorem 3.1 when $\|\tilde{\xi} - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. For the above six cases, we replace $\mu(\tilde{\xi})$ by $\mu(K_1 + L)$, $\mu(K_2 + L)$, $\mu(\frac{1}{p} + k)$, $\mu(q + k)$, $\mu(q_0 + k)$, $\mu(w + k)$, respectively. Let $(n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)^T$ be the corresponding normalized eigenvector.

(1) By (2.1) we have

$$(pm'')'' - (qm')' + q_0m = \mu(K_1)wm, \quad (4.7)$$

$$(p\bar{n}'')'' - (q\bar{n}')' + q_0\bar{n} = \mu(K_1 + L)w\bar{n}. \quad (4.8)$$

It follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$[\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)]m\bar{n}w = (p\bar{n}'')''m - (q\bar{n}')'m - (pm'')''\bar{n} + (qm')'\bar{n}.$$

Integrating from a to b implies that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)] \int_a^b m\bar{n}w dx &= m(b)[(p\bar{n}'')'(b) - (q\bar{n}')'(b)] - m(a)[(p\bar{n}'')'(a) - (q\bar{n}')'(a)] \\ &\quad - [(pm'')'(b) - (qm')(b)]\bar{n}(b) + [(pm'')'(a) - (qm')(a)]\bar{n}(a) \\ &\quad - p\bar{n}''(b)m'(b) + p\bar{n}''(a)m'(a) + (pm'')(b)\bar{n}'(b) - (pm'')(a)\bar{n}'(a) \\ &= m(b)\bar{n}^{[3]}(b) - m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(b)\bar{n}(b) + m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a) \\ &\quad - m^{[1]}(b)\bar{n}^{[2]}(b) + m^{[1]}(a)\bar{n}^{[2]}(a) + m^{[2]}(b)\bar{n}^{[1]}(b) - m^{[2]}(a)\bar{n}^{[1]}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

According to the boundary condition (2.2), we have

$$\mu(K_1)m(a)\bar{n}(a) = m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a),$$

$$\mu(K_1 + L)m(a)\bar{n}(a) = m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a).$$

Thus

$$[\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)]m_1\bar{n}_1 = m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a). \quad (4.10)$$

Analogously, the boundary condition (2.3) implies that

$$[\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)]m_2\bar{n}_2 = m^{[2]}(a)\bar{n}^{[1]}(a) - m^{[1]}(a)\bar{n}^{[2]}(a). \quad (4.11)$$

Let $K_1 + L = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\tau}_1 & \tilde{\tau}_2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_1 & \tilde{\gamma}_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then according to the boundary condition (2.4), we have

$$\mu(K_1)[\tau_1m(b) - \gamma_1m^{[3]}(b)] = \tau_2m(b) - \gamma_2m^{[3]}(b),$$

$$\mu(K_1 + L)[\tilde{\tau}_1\bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_1\bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] = \tilde{\tau}_2\bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_2\bar{n}^{[3]}(b).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)] \frac{1}{\rho_1} m_3 \bar{n}_3 &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tilde{\tau}_2\bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_2\bar{n}^{[3]}(b)][\tau_1m(b) - \gamma_1m^{[3]}(b)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tau_2m(b) - \gamma_2m^{[3]}(b)][\tilde{\tau}_1\bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_1\bar{n}^{[3]}(b)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Analogously, the boundary condition (2.5) implies that

$$[\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)] \frac{1}{\rho_2} m_4 \bar{n}_4 = m^{[1]}(b) \bar{n}^{[2]}(b) - m^{[2]}(b) \bar{n}^{[1]}(b). \quad (4.13)$$

From (4.9)–(4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mu(K_1 + L) - \mu(K_1)] \left[\int_a^b m \bar{n} w dx + m_1 \bar{n}_1 + m_2 \bar{n}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} m_3 \bar{n}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2} m_4 \bar{n}_4 \right] \\ &= m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) - m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tilde{\tau}_2 \bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] [\tau_1 m(b) - \gamma_1 m^{[3]}(b)] \\ &- \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tau_2 m(b) - \gamma_2 m^{[3]}(b)] [\tilde{\tau}_1 \bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_1 \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] \\ &= (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) E \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho_1} (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} (-\tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\tau}_2) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \frac{1}{\rho_1} (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} (-\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\tau}_1) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) \left[E + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} (-\tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\tau}_2) - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} (-\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\tau}_1) \right] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) \left[E + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tau_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 & \tau_1 \tilde{\tau}_2 - \tau_2 \tilde{\tau}_1 \\ \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 & \gamma_1 \tilde{\tau}_2 - \gamma_2 \tilde{\tau}_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) [E - K_1(K_1 + L)^{-1}] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{n}(b) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Dividing both sides of (4.14) by L and taking the limit as $L \rightarrow 0$, by Theorem 3.2, we get

$$d\mu_{K_1}(L) = (m(b), -m^{[3]}(b)) [E - K_1(K_1 + L)^{-1}] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) \\ \bar{m}(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (4.1) follows. In a similar discussion, we can obtain (4.2).

(2) For $k \in L^1[a, b]$, let $\frac{1}{p} + k = \frac{1}{\tilde{p}}$. Using (2.1) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mu\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} + k\right) - \mu\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \right] \int_a^b m \bar{n} w dx &= m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b) - m(a) \bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) + m^{[3]}(a) \bar{n}(a) \\ &- m^{[1]}(b) \bar{n}^{[2]}(b) + m^{[1]}(a) \bar{n}^{[2]}(a) + m^{[2]}(b) \bar{n}^{[1]}(b) - m^{[2]}(a) \bar{n}^{[1]}(a) \\ &+ \int_a^b \tilde{p} \bar{n}'' m'' dx - \int_a^b p m'' \bar{n}'' dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{n}^{[2]} = \tilde{p}\bar{n}''$, $\bar{n}^{[3]} = (\tilde{p}\bar{n}'')' - q\bar{n}'$. Then by (2.2)–(2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\mu\left(\frac{1}{p} + k\right) - \mu\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \right] \left[\int_a^b m\bar{n}w dx + m_1\bar{n}_1 + m_2\bar{n}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1}m_3\bar{n}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2}m_4\bar{n}_4 \right] \\ &= \int_a^b \tilde{p}\bar{n}''m'' dx - \int_a^b pm''\bar{n}'' dx \\ &= \int_a^b (\tilde{p} - p)m''\bar{n}'' dx \\ &= \int_a^b [-p\tilde{p}km''\bar{n}''] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Dividing both sides of (4.15) by k and taking the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$, by Theorem 3.2 we get

$$d\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}(k) = - \int_a^b |pm''|^2 k dx.$$

Then (4.3) follows. Similar to the proof of (4.3), we can obtain (4.4).

(3) For $k \in L^1[a, b]$. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu(q_0 + k) - \mu(q_0)] \int_a^b m\bar{n}w dx &= m(b)\bar{n}^{[3]}(b) - m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(b)\bar{n}(b) + m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a) \\ &\quad - m^{[1]}(b)\bar{n}^{[2]}(b) + m^{[1]}(a)\bar{n}^{[2]}(a) + m^{[2]}(b)\bar{n}^{[1]}(b) - m^{[2]}(a)\bar{n}^{[1]}(a) \\ &\quad + \int_a^b km\bar{n} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundary conditions (2.2)–(2.5), we have

$$[\mu(q_0 + k) - \mu(q_0)] \left[\int_a^b m\bar{n}w dx + m_1\bar{n}_1 + m_2\bar{n}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1}m_3\bar{n}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2}m_4\bar{n}_4 \right] = \int_a^b km\bar{n} dx. \tag{4.16}$$

Then (4.5) follows. The proof of (4.6) is similar as that of (4.5), hence we omit the details. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mu(\xi)$ be an eigenvalue of (2.1)–(2.5) with $\xi \in \Omega$ and $(m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)^T \in H$ be a normalized eigenvector for $\mu(\xi)$. Assume that $\mu(\xi)$ is a simple eigenvalue or $\mu(\sigma)$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity j ($j = 2, 3, 4$) for each σ in some neighborhood $\mathcal{N} \subset \Omega$ of ξ . Then μ is differentiable with respect to the data in ξ .

(1) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except τ_1 and $\mu(\tau_1) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\tau_1) = \frac{\mu}{\mu\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} |m(b)|^2, \tag{4.17}$$

where $\mu\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \neq 0$.

(2) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except τ_2 and $\mu(\tau_2) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\tau_2) = -\frac{1}{\mu\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} |m(b)|^2, \tag{4.18}$$

where $\mu\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \neq 0$.

(3) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except γ_1 and $\mu(\gamma_1) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\gamma_1) = -\frac{\mu}{\mu\tau_1 - \tau_2} |m^{[3]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\mu\tau_1 - \tau_2 \neq 0$.

(4) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except γ_2 and $\mu(\gamma_2) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\gamma_2) = \frac{1}{\mu\tau_1 - \tau_2} |m^{[3]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\mu\tau_1 - \tau_2 \neq 0$.

(5) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except α_1 and $\mu(\alpha_1) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\alpha_1) = \frac{\mu}{\mu\beta_1 + \beta_2} |m^{[2]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\mu\beta_1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$.

(6) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except α_2 and $\mu(\alpha_2) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\alpha_2) = \frac{1}{\mu\beta_1 + \beta_2} |m^{[2]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.22)$$

where $\mu\beta_1 + \beta_2 \neq 0$.

(7) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except β_1 and $\mu(\beta_1) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\beta_1) = -\frac{\mu}{\mu\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} |m^{[1]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\mu\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$.

(8) Let all the data of ξ be fixed except β_2 and $\mu(\beta_2) := \mu(\xi)$. Then

$$\mu'(\beta_2) = -\frac{1}{\mu\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} |m^{[1]}(b)|^2, \quad (4.24)$$

where $\mu\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) For $k \in L^1[a, b]$. Using (2.1) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)] \int_a^b m\bar{n}w dx &= m(b)\bar{n}^{[3]}(b) - m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(b)\bar{n}(b) + m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a) \\ &\quad - m^{[1]}(b)\bar{n}^{[2]}(b) + m^{[1]}(a)\bar{n}^{[2]}(a) + m^{[2]}(b)\bar{n}^{[1]}(b) - m^{[2]}(a)\bar{n}^{[1]}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

It follows from (2.2)–(2.5) that

$$[\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)]m_1\bar{n}_1 = m(a)\bar{n}^{[3]}(a) - m^{[3]}(a)\bar{n}(a), \quad (4.26)$$

$$[\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)]m_2\bar{n}_2 = m^{[2]}(a)\bar{n}^{[1]}(a) - m^{[1]}(a)\bar{n}^{[2]}(a), \quad (4.27)$$

$$[\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)]\frac{1}{\rho_2}m_4\bar{n}_4 = m^{[1]}(b)\bar{n}^{[2]}(b) - m^{[2]}(b)\bar{n}^{[1]}(b), \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)] \frac{1}{\rho_1} m_3 \bar{n}_4 \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tilde{\tau}_2 \bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] [\tau_1 m(b) - \gamma_1 m^{[3]}(b)] - \frac{1}{\rho_1} [\tau_2 m(b) - \gamma_2 m^{[3]}(b)] [\tilde{\tau}_1 \bar{n}(b) - \tilde{\gamma}_1 \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho_1} (\tau_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \tau_2) [m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) - m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] + \frac{1}{\rho_1} [-k \tau_2 m(b) \bar{n}(b) + k \gamma_2 m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b)] \\
&= [m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) - m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left[-k \tau_2 m(b) \bar{n}(b) + k \gamma_2 \frac{\mu \tau_1 - \tau_2}{\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} m(b) \bar{n}(b) \right] \\
&= [m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) - m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left[\frac{k \mu (\tau_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \tau_2)}{\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} m(b) \bar{n}(b) \right] \\
&= [m^{[3]}(b) \bar{n}(b) - m(b) \bar{n}^{[3]}(b)] + \frac{k \mu}{\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} m(b) \bar{n}(b).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Combining (4.25)–(4.29), we obtain

$$[\mu(\tau_1 + k) - \mu(\tau_1)] \left[\int_a^b m \bar{n} w dx + m_1 \bar{n}_1 + m_2 \bar{n}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} m_3 \bar{n}_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_2} m_4 \bar{n}_4 \right] = \frac{k \mu}{\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} m(b) \bar{n}(b). \tag{4.30}$$

Dividing both sides of (4.30) by k and taking the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$, by Theorem 3.2, we get

$$\mu'(\tau_1) = \frac{\mu}{\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} |m(b)|^2, \tag{4.31}$$

where $\mu \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \neq 0$. Then (4.17) follows. The proofs of (4.18)–(4.24) are similar as that of (4.17), hence we omit the details. \square

5. Conclusions

This paper gives the dependence of eigenvalues of a fourth-order differential operator with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions. The novelty lies in the fact that the fourth-order differential operator we considered has eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions at two endpoints. By a newly defined operator \mathcal{F} such that the eigenvalues of the fourth-order boundary problem being consistent with those of \mathcal{F} , we give the differential expressions of the eigenvalues with respect to all data.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by NSF of Shandong Province (Nos. ZR2019MA034, ZR2020QA009, ZR2020QA010) and PSF of China (No. 2020M682139). The authors are grateful to the referees for his/her careful reading and very helpful suggestions which improved and strengthened the presentation of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

1. A. A. Abramov, A. L. Duischko, N. B. Konyukhova, T. V. Pak, B. S. Pariiskii, Evaluation of prolate spheroidal function by solving the corresponding differential equations, *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1984), 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-5553\(84\)90110-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-5553(84)90110-1)
2. A. Zettl, *Sturm-Liouville theory*, Providence: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematics Society, 2005. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/surv/121>
3. P. A. Binding, P. J. Browne, B. A. Watson, Sturm-Liouville problems with boundary conditions rationally dependent on the eigenparameter, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **148** (2002), 147–168. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(02\)00579-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(02)00579-4)
4. E. Uğurlu, E. Bairamov, Spectral analysis of eigenparameter dependent boundary value transmission problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **413** (2014), 482–494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.11.022>
5. J. Cai, Z. Zheng, Matrix representations of Sturm-Liouville problems with coupled eigenparameter-dependent boundary conditions and transmission conditions, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **41** (2018), 3495–3508. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4842>
6. L. Zhang, J. Ao, On a class of inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with eigenparameter-dependent boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **362** (2019), 124553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.06.067>
7. C. Gao, Y. Wang, L. Lv, Spectral properties of discrete Sturm-Liouville problems with two squared eigenparameter-dependent boundary conditions, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **40** (2020), 755–781. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10473-020-0312-5>
8. J. B. Amara, A. A. Vladimirov, On oscillation of eigenfunctions of a fourth-order problem with spectral parameters in the boundary conditions, *J. Math. Sci.*, **150** (2008), 2317–2325. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-008-0131-z>
9. M. Möller, B. Zinsou, Spectral asymptotics of self-adjoint fourth order differential operators with eigenvalue parameter dependent boundary conditions, *Complex Anal. Oper. Th.*, **6** (2012), 799–818. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-011-0162-1>
10. S. Currie, A. D. Love, Hierarchies of difference boundary value problems II-Applications, *Quaest. Math.*, **37** (2014), 371–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2013.778841>
11. C. Gao, X. Li, R. Ma, Eigenvalues of a linear fourth-order differential operator with squared spectral parameter in a boundary condition, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **15** (2018), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1148-2>
12. Q. Kong, A. Zettl, Eigenvalues of regular Sturm-Liouville problems, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **131** (1996), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1996.0154>
13. Q. Kong, A. Zettl, Dependence of eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems on the boundary, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **126** (1996), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1996.0056>
14. Q. Kong, H. Wu, A. Zettl, Dependence of the n th Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue on the problem, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **156** (1999), 328–354. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1998.3613>

15. O. Sh. Mukhtarov, E. Tunc, Eigenvalue problems for Sturm-Liouville equations with transmission conditions, *Israel J. Math.*, **144** (2004), 367–380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02916718>
16. M. Zhang, Y. Wang, Dependence of eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems with interface conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **265** (2015), 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.05.002>
17. K. Li, J. Sun, X. Hao, Eigenvalues of regular fourth-order Sturm-Liouville problems with transmission conditions, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **40** (2017), 3538–3551. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4243>
18. E. Uğurlu, Regular third-order boundary value problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **343** (2019), 247–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.09.046>
19. M. Zhang, K. Li, Dependence of eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **378** (2020), 125214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2020.125214>
20. B. Zinsou, Dependence of eigenvalues of fourth-order boundary value problems with transmission conditions, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, **50** (2020), 369–381. <https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj.2020.50.369>
21. L. Greenberg, M. Marletta, The code SLEUTH for solving fourth order Sturm-Liouville problems, *ACM T. Math. Software*, **23** (1997), 453–493. <https://doi.org/10.1145/279232.279231>
22. P. B. Bailey, W. N. Everitt, A. Zettl, The SLEIGN2 Sturm-Liouville code, *ACM T. Math. Software*, **27** (2001), 143–192. <https://doi.org/10.1145/383738.383739>
23. Z. S. Aliyev, S. B. Guliyeva, Properties of natural frequencies and harmonic bending vibrations of a rod at one end of which is concentrated inertial load, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **263** (2017), 5830–5845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2017.07.002>
24. Z. S. Aliyev, G. T. Mamedova, Some properties of eigenfunctions for the equation of vibrating beam with a spectral parameter in the boundary conditions, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **269** (2020), 1383–1400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.01.010>
25. X. Zhang, *The self-adjointness, dissipation and spectrum analysis of some classes high order differential operators with discontinuity (in Chinese)*, Ph. D. thesis, Inner Mongolia University, 2013, 38–52.
26. J. Liu, *Spectral theory of ordinary differential operators (in Chinese)*, Beijing: Science Press, 2009.
27. Q. Kong, A. Zettl, *Linear ordinary differential equations, in inequalities and applications*, Singapore: World Scientific Series in Applicable Analysis, 1994. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812798879_0031
28. J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis*, New York: Academic Press, 1969. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3613136>



©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)