



Research article

Unicity of transcendental meromorphic functions concerning differential-difference polynomials

Zhiying He, Jianbin Xiao and Mingliang Fang*

Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: mlfang@hdu.edu.cn.

Abstract: Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order with a Borel exceptional value ∞ , let $\alpha (\neq 0)$ be a small function of both f and g , let d, k, n, m and $v_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be positive integers, and let $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be distinct nonzero finite values. If $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, \sigma + 2d + 3\}$, where $\sigma = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d$, and $(f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ share α CM then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$. This result extends and improves some results due to [1, 10, 14, 15, 19].

Keywords: meromorphic functions; small functions; unicity; differences; differential

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions of Nevanlinna’s value distribution theory, see [9, 11, 16, 17]. In the following, a meromorphic function always means meromorphic in the whole complex plane. By $S(r, f)$, we denote any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possible outside of an exceptional set E with finite logarithmic measure $\int_E dr/r < \infty$. A meromorphic function α is said to be a small function of f if it satisfies $T(r, \alpha) = S(r, f)$.

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. The order of f is defined by

$$\rho(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

The exponent of convergence of poles of f is defined by

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let a be a complex number, and let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. If

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{\log r} < \rho(f),$$

for $\rho(f) > 0$; and $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = O(\log r)$ for $\rho(f) = 0$, then a is called a Borel exceptional value of f .

Let f and g be two meromorphic functions, and let α be a small function of both f and g . We say that f and g share α CM(IM) if $f - \alpha$ and $g - \alpha$ have the same zeros counting multiplicities (ignoring multiplicities).

$N(r, \alpha)$ is the counting function of common zeros of $f - \alpha$ and $g - \alpha$ with the same multiplicities and the multiplicity is counted. If $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-\alpha}\right) - 2N(r, \alpha) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$, then we call that f and g share α CM almost.

Let $\bar{N}_{(k)}(r, f)$ be the counting function for poles of f with multiplicity $\geq k$ where multiplicity is not counted. Set $N_k(r, f) = \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, f) + \cdots + \bar{N}_{(k)}(r, f)$.

Nevanlinna [17] proved the following famous five-value theorem.

Theorem A. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let a_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be five distinct values in the extended complex plane. If f and g share a_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) IM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2000, Li and Qiao [13] improved Theorem A as follows.

Theorem B. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let α_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) be five distinct small functions of both f and g . If f and g share α_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) IM, then $f \equiv g$.*

Recently, value distribution in difference analogue of meromorphic functions has become a subject of some interests, see [1–4, 6–8, 10, 12, 15, 18, 19].

In 2010, Zhang [18] proved the following result.

Theorem C. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, let α ($\neq 0$) be a small function of both f and g , and let c be a nonzero finite complex constant and $n \geq 7$ an integer. If $f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)f(z + c)$ and $g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)g(z + c)$ share α CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2012, Chen and Chen [2] extended Theorem C as follows.

Theorem D. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, let α ($\neq 0$) be a small function of both f and g , let d, n, m and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be positive integers, and let c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct nonzero finite values. If $n \geq m + 8\sigma$, where $\sigma = v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_d$, and $f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)$ and $g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j)$ share α CM, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.*

Zhang and Yi [19], Banerjee and Majumder [1], Husna et al. [10], Sahoo and Biswas [15] continued to study this problem and proved:

Theorem E. [1] *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, let α ($\neq 0$) be a small function of both f and g with finitely many zeros, let d, k, n, m and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be positive integers, and let c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct nonzero finite values. If $n \geq \max\{2k+m+\sigma+5, \sigma+2d+3\}$, where $\sigma = v_1+v_2+\cdots+v_d$, and $(f^n(z)(f^m(z)-1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z+c_j))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g^m(z)-1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z+c_j))^{(k)}$ share α CM, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.*

In [1], Banerjee and Majumder posed a problem as follows.

Problem 1. *Whether Theorem E is valid or not for any small function?*

In 2021, Majumder and Saha [14] gave a positive answer to Problem 1 and proved:

Theorem F. *Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, let α ($\neq 0$) be a small function of both f and g , let d, k, n, m and v_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be positive integers, and let c_j ($j =$*

$1, 2, \dots, d$) be distinct nonzero finite values. If $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, \sigma + 2d + 3\}$, where $\sigma = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_d$, and $(f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{\nu_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{\nu_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ share α CM, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.

In this paper, we consider the case of meromorphic functions and obtain:

Theorem 1. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order with a Borel exceptional value ∞ , let $\alpha (\neq 0)$ be a small function of both f and g , let d, k, n, m and $\nu_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be positive integers, and let $c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ be distinct nonzero finite values. If $n \geq \max\{2k + m + \sigma + 5, \sigma + 2d + 3\}$, where $\sigma = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_d$, and $(f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{\nu_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{\nu_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ share α CM, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.

Remark. By Theorem 1, we get Theorem F.

2. Some lemmas

Lemma 1. [9, 17] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let k be a positive integer. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2. [3, 6] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function of finite order, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) = S(r, f),$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) = O\left(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Lemma 3. [16] Let k be a positive integer, and let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function satisfying $f^{(k)} \neq 0$. Then

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) - T(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 4. [9, 17] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let α, β be two distinct small functions of f . Then

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\beta}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 5. [8] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function of finite order, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then

$$N(r, f(z+c)) = N(r, f(z)) + S(r, f).$$

$$\bar{N}(r, f(z+c)) = \bar{N}(r, f(z)) + S(r, f).$$

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f).$$

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f). \\ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f). \\ T\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function of finite order, and let $F(z) = f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)$, where d, n, m, c_j and $v_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, d)$ are positive integers and $\sigma = v_1 + \dots + v_d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, F) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &= N\left(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)\right) \\ &+ m\left(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1)f^\sigma(z) \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{f(z+c_j)}{f(z)}\right)^{v_j}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)\right) \\ &+ m(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + \sum_{j=1}^d v_j m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c_j)}{f(z)}\right) \\ &\leq (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + (n + m + \sigma)m(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) &= T(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + S(r, f) \\ &= m(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + N(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{n+\sigma}(z)(f^m(z) - 1)}{f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)}\right) \\ &+ m\left(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)\right) \\ &+ N(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq m\left(r, f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j)\right) \\ &+ N(r, f^{n+\sigma}(f^m - 1)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, F) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 7. [5] Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If f and g share 1 CM almost, then one of the following cases must occur

- (1) $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq 2\left\{N_2(r, f) + N_2(r, g) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g);$
- (2) $f = \frac{(b+1)g+(a-b-1)}{bg+(a-b)}$, where $a(\neq 0)$ and b are two constants.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Since ∞ is a Borel exceptional value of both f and g , we have

$$\lambda\left(\frac{1}{f}\right) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, f)}{\log r} < \rho(f), \quad \lambda\left(\frac{1}{g}\right) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ N(r, g)}{\log r} < \rho(g).$$

Thus for $\frac{\rho(f) - \lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}$, then exist a positive number R such that $r \geq R$, we have

$$N(r, f) < r^{\frac{\rho(f) + \lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}}, \quad r \geq R. \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, we get

$$N(r, g) < r^{\frac{\rho(g) + \lambda(\frac{1}{g})}{2}}, \quad r \geq R. \quad (3.2)$$

Set

$$F(z) = \frac{(f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)},$$

$$G(z) = \frac{(g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}}{\alpha(z)}.$$

Since $(f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j))^{(k)}$ share $\alpha(z)$ CM, then $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share 1 CM almost. By Lemma 7, we consider two cases.

Case 1.

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + 2N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &+ 2N_2(r, F) + 2N_2(r, G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Set

$$F_1(z) = f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j),$$

$$G_1(z) = g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j).$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) &= N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) - \left[N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) \right] \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) - T(r, F_1) \\ &\quad - \left[N\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) \right] + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) - T(r, F_1) + S(r, f) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f). \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly,

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + T(r, G_1^{(k)}) - T(r, G_1) + S(r, g) \quad (3.6)$$

$$\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + S(r, g). \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from Lemma 6, (3.3)–(3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ & \leq T(r, F_1) + T(r, G_1) + (n + m + \sigma)[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ & \leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T(r, F_1^{(k)}) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ & \quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + T(r, G_1^{(k)}) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\ & \leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_2(r, F_1^{(k)}) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ & \quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) + 2N_2(r, G_1^{(k)}) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\ & \leq 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + 2N_2(r, F_1^{(k)}) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ & \quad + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + 2N_2(r, G_1^{(k)}) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\ & \leq [(4 + k)(1 + d) + (n + m + \sigma)][N(r, f) + N(r, g)] \\ & \quad + 2(k + 2 + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [n - (2k + m + \sigma + 4)][T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ & \leq [(4 + k)(1 + d) + (n + m + \sigma)][N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$. By (3.1), (3.2) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [n - (2k + m + \sigma + 4)]T(r, g) \\ & \leq [(4 + k)(1 + d) + (n + m + \sigma)] \left(r^{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}} + r^{\frac{\rho(g)+\lambda(\frac{1}{g})}{2}} \right) + o\left(r^{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}}\right) + o\left(r^{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}}\right) \\ & \leq 2[(4 + k)(1 + d) + (n + m + \sigma)] r^{\max\left\{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}, \frac{\rho(g)+\lambda(\frac{1}{g})}{2}\right\}} + o\left(r^{\max\left\{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}, \frac{\rho(g)+\lambda(\frac{1}{g})}{2}\right\}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Since $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$, then by (3.9), we get $\rho(g) \leq \max\left\{\frac{\rho(f)+\lambda(\frac{1}{f})}{2}, \frac{\rho(g)+\lambda(\frac{1}{g})}{2}\right\}$ that is $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.

$$F = \frac{(b+1)G + (a-b-1)}{bG + (a-b)}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $a(\neq 0)$ and b are two constants.

Obviously,

$$T(r, F) = T(r, G) + O(1). \quad (3.11)$$

Next, we consider three subcases.

Case 2.1. $b \neq 0, -1$. In the following, we consider two subcases.

Case 2.1.1. $a - b - 1 \neq 0$. From (3.10), we have $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$.

By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T(r, F_1^{(k)}) - T(r, F_1) + S(r, f). \quad (3.14)$$

$$\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + S(r, f). \quad (3.15)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + T(r, G_1^{(k)}) - T(r, G_1) + S(r, g). \quad (3.16)$$

$$\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + S(r, g). \quad (3.17)$$

By Lemma 6, (3.13)–(3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(n + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ &\leq T(r, F_1) + T(r, G_1) + (n + m + \sigma)[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T(r, F_1^{(k)}) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + T\left(r, G_1^{(k)}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, G_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, F_1) + \bar{N}\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& +2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G_1) + \bar{N}\left(r, G_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq [(k+1)(1+d) + (n+m+\sigma)][N(r, f) + N(r, g)] \\
& +2(k+1+m+\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 2k+m+\sigma+5$, then by (3.1), (3.2), (3.18) and using the same argument as used in Case 1, we get $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.1.2. $a-b-1=0$. Then by (3.10), we have $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+\frac{1}{b}}\right) = \bar{N}(r, F)$.

By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+\frac{1}{b}}\right) + S(r, G) \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, g). \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$T(r, F) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, f). \tag{3.20}$$

It follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.21}$$

By Lemma 6, (3.14)–(3.17), (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n+m+\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\
& \leq T(r, F_1) + T(r, G_1) + (n+m+\sigma)[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + T\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + T\left(r, G_1^{(k)}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, F_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, G_1^{(k)}\right) + (n+m+\sigma)N(r, g) + S(r, g) \\
& \leq (n+m+\sigma+2d+2)[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] \\
& + (k+1+m+\sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since $n \geq 2k+m+\sigma+5$, then by (3.1), (3.2), (3.22), and using the same argument as used in Case 1, we get $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $b = -1$. From (3.10), we have

$$F = \frac{a}{(a+1) - G}. \tag{3.23}$$

Next, we consider two subcases.

Case 2.2.1. $a+1 \neq 0$. Then by (3.23), we have $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-(a+1)}\right) = \bar{N}(r, F)$. Next, by using the same argument as used in Case 2.1.2, we get $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2.2. $a+1 = 0$. Then by (3.23), we get $FG \equiv 1$. That is

$$F_1^{(k)}G_1^{(k)} \equiv \alpha^2. \tag{3.24}$$

Since ∞ is a Borel exceptional value of both f and g , then by Hadamard's factorization theorem and (3.24), we have

$$f(z) = \beta(z)e^{p_1(z)}, \quad g(z) = \gamma(z)e^{p_2(z)}. \tag{3.25}$$

where $\beta(\neq 0, \infty)$, $\gamma(\neq 0, \infty)$ are two meromorphic functions and p_1, p_2 are two nonconstant polynomials with $\deg p_1 = \deg p_2$.

Hence, by the simple analysis, we get

$$T(r, \beta) = S(r, e^{p_1}), \quad T(r, f) = T(r, e^{p_1}) + S(r, f). \tag{3.26}$$

$$T(r, \gamma) = S(r, e^{p_2}), \quad T(r, g) = T(r, e^{p_2}) + S(r, g). \tag{3.27}$$

By (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(z) &= f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d f^{v_j}(z + c_j) \\
&= \beta^n(z)e^{np_1(z)}(\beta^m(z)e^{mp_1(z)} - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z + c_j)e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)} \\
&= \beta^{n+m}(z)e^{(n+m)p_1(z)} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z + c_j)e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)} \\
&\quad - \beta^n(z)e^{np_1(z)} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z + c_j)e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{n+m}(z)e^{(n+m)p_1(z)} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z + c_j)e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)} \\
&= \beta^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z + c_j)e^{v_j [p_1(z+c_j) - p_1(z)]} e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Obviously,

$$T\left(r, e^{v_j[p_1(z+c_j)-p_1(z)]}\right) = S(r, e^{p_1}), \quad (3.30)$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

By (3.26), (3.30) and Lemma 5, we get

$$T\left(r, \beta^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z+c_j) e^{v_j[p_1(z+c_j)-p_1(z)]}\right) = S(r, e^{p_1}). \quad (3.31)$$

Set

$$\beta^{n+m}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z+c_j) e^{v_j(p_1(z+c_j)-p_1(z))} = \beta_1(z). \quad (3.32)$$

Then it follows from (3.31) and (3.32) that $\beta_1(z) (\neq 0)$ is a small function of $e^{p_1(z)}$.

By (3.29) and (3.32), we get

$$\beta^{n+m}(z) e^{(n+m)p_1(z)} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z+c_j) e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)} = \beta_1(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)}. \quad (3.33)$$

Similarly, we get

$$-\beta^n(z) e^{np_1(z)} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z+c_j) e^{v_j p_1(z+c_j)} = \beta_2(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}, \quad (3.34)$$

where $\beta_2(z) = -\beta^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d \beta^{v_j}(z+c_j) e^{v_j[p_1(z+c_j)-p_1(z)]}$. By using the same argument as used in (3.29)–(3.32), we get $\beta_2(z)$ is a small function of $e^{p_1(z)}$.

By (3.28), (3.33) and (3.34), we have

$$F_1(z) = \beta_1(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_2(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}. \quad (3.35)$$

It follows from (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(z) &= [\beta_1(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_2(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}]' \\ &= \beta_1'(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_1(z)(n+m+\sigma)p_1'(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} \\ &\quad + \beta_2'(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_2(z)(n+\sigma)p_1'(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)} \\ &= [\beta_1'(z) + \beta_1(z)(n+m+\sigma)p_1'(z)] e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} \\ &\quad + [\beta_2'(z) + \beta_2(z)(n+\sigma)p_1'(z)] e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

It is easy to show that

$$T(r, \beta_1' + \beta_1(n+m+\sigma)p_1') = S(r, e^{p_1}), \quad (3.37)$$

$$T(r, \beta_2' + \beta_2(n+\sigma)p_1') = S(r, e^{p_1}). \quad (3.38)$$

Set

$$\beta_1'(z) + \beta_1(z)(n+m+\sigma)p_1'(z) = \beta_3(z), \quad (3.39)$$

$$\beta_2'(z) + \beta_2(z)(n+\sigma)p_1'(z) = \beta_4(z). \quad (3.40)$$

By (3.36)–(3.40) we have

$$F_1'(z) = \beta_3(z) e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_4(z) e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}, \quad (3.41)$$

where $\beta_3(z), \beta_4(z)$ are two nonzero small functions of $e^{p_1(z)}$.

By mathematical induction, we obtain

$$F_1^{(k)}(z) = \beta_{2k+1}(z)e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_1(z)} + \beta_{2k+2}(z)e^{(n+\sigma)p_1(z)}, \quad (3.42)$$

where $\beta_{2k+1}(z), \beta_{2k+2}(z)$ are two nonzero small functions of $e^{p_1(z)}$.

Similarly, we get

$$G_1^{(k)}(z) = \gamma_{2k+1}(z)e^{(n+m+\sigma)p_2(z)} + \gamma_{2k+2}(z)e^{(n+\sigma)p_2(z)}, \quad (3.43)$$

where $\gamma_{2k+1}(z), \gamma_{2k+2}(z)$ are two nonzero small functions of $e^{p_2(z)}$.

By (3.24), (3.42) and (3.43), we have

$$e^{(n+\sigma)p_1}[\beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1} + \beta_{2k+2}]e^{(n+\sigma)p_2}[\gamma_{2k+1}e^{mp_2} + \gamma_{2k+2}] = \alpha^2. \quad (3.44)$$

Thus, we deduce that the zeros of $\beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1} + \beta_{2k+2}$ are either the zeros of α or the poles of $\gamma_{2k+1}e^{mp_2} + \gamma_{2k+2}$. Hence, by Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, e^{p_1}) &= T(r, e^{mp_1}) \leq T(r, \beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1}) + S(r, e^{p_1}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\beta_{2k+1}e^{mp_1} + \beta_{2k+2}}\right) + S(r, e^{p_1}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \bar{N}(r, \gamma_{2k+1}e^{mp_2} + \gamma_{2k+2}) + S(r, e^{p_1}) \leq S(r, e^{p_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

It follows $T(r, e^{p_1}) \leq S(r, e^{p_1})$, a contradiction.

Case 2.3. $b = 0$. Then by (3.10), we obtain

$$F = \frac{G + (a - 1)}{a}. \quad (3.46)$$

Next, we consider two subcases.

Case 2.3.1. $a - 1 \neq 0$. Then by (3.46), we have $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G+(a-1)}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$. Next, by using the same argument as used in Case 2.1.1, we get $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.3.2. $a - 1 = 0$. Then by (3.46), we get $F \equiv G$.

It follows

$$F_1(z) = G_1(z) + p(z), \quad (3.47)$$

where p is a polynomial with $\deg p \leq k - 1$.

Now, we prove $p \equiv 0$. Suppose on the contrary that $p \not\equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 4, Lemma 6 and (3.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, F_1) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F_1) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1 - p}\right) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F_1) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G_1}\right) + (n + m + \sigma)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (1 + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + (n + m + \sigma + 1 + d)N(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Likewise,

$$(n + m + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (1 + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ + (1 + n + m + \sigma + d)N(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.49)$$

By (3.48) and (3.49), we get

$$(n + m + \sigma)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq 2(m + \sigma + 1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \\ + (n + m + \sigma + d + 1)[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.50)$$

Since $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$, then by (3.1), (3.2), (3.50), and using the same argument as used in Case 1, we get $\rho(g) < \rho(g)$, a contradiction.

Hence,

$$F_1 \equiv G_1. \quad (3.51)$$

Set $h = \frac{f}{g}$. From (3.51), we get

$$g^m(z)(h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1) = h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1. \quad (3.52)$$

We claim that h is a constant. Suppose on the contrary that h is a nonconstant.

We assert that both $h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)$ and $h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)$ are nonconstant. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) \equiv c$, where c is a nonzero complex number. Then

$$h^{m+n}(z) \equiv \frac{c}{\prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)}.$$

By Lemma 5 and Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem, we get

$$(n + m)T(r, h) = T(r, h^{n+m}) = T\left(r, \frac{c}{\prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)}\right) + S(r, h) \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^d v_j T\left(r, \frac{1}{h(z + c_j)}\right) + S(r, h) \leq \sigma T(r, h) + S(r, h). \quad (3.53)$$

From (3.53), we have

$$(n + m - \sigma)T(r, h) \leq S(r, h).$$

It follows from $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ that $T(r, h) \leq S(r, h)$, a contradiction.

Hence, from (3.52), we get

$$g^m(z) = \frac{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}{h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}. \quad (3.54)$$

Thus, the zeros of $h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1$ are either the poles of $g(z)$ or the zeros of $h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1$, that is the zeros of $(h^m(z) - 1)$.

By (3.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g^m(z)} &= \frac{h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1} \\ &= \frac{h^m(z)(h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1) + (h^m(z) - 1)}{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1} \\ &= (h^m(z) - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1} \right) + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

It follows from Lemma 6, (3.55) and Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, g) &= mT\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + O(1) = T\left(r, \frac{1}{g^m}\right) + O(1) \\ &= T\left(r, (h^m(z) - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1} \right)\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq T(r, h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)) - T(r, h^m) + S(r, h) \\ &\geq T(r, h^n) - T(r, \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)) - T(r, h^m) + S(r, h) \\ &\geq (n - \sigma - m)T(r, h) + S(r, h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

By Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, g) &= T(r, g^m) = T\left(r, \frac{h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}{h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, h^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1) \\ &\quad + T(r, h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq (2n + m + 2\sigma)T(r, h) + S(r, h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Since $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$, then by (3.56) and (3.57), we get

$$(n - \sigma - m)T(r, h) + S(r, h) \leq mT(r, g) \leq (2n + m + 2\sigma)T(r, h) + S(r, h). \quad (3.58)$$

It follows from Lemma 5 and Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} (m + n - \sigma)T(r, h) &\leq T(r, h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j)}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{m+n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^d h^{v_j}(z + c_j) - 1}\right) + S(r, h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2(1+d)T(r, h) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^m - 1}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq (2 + 2d + m)T(r, h) + N(r, g) + S(r, h). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq \sigma + 2d + 3$, then by (3.58), we have

$$\frac{m}{2n + m + 2\sigma}T(r, g) \leq [n - (2 + 2d + \sigma)]T(r, h) \leq N(r, g) + S(r, h). \quad (3.59)$$

It follows from (3.2), (3.58) and (3.59) that $\rho(g) < \lambda\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore, h is a nonzero constant.

From (3.51), we get

$$h^{n+\sigma}(z)g^n(z)(h^m(z)g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j) = g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^d g^{v_j}(z + c_j).$$

Then

$$h^m \equiv 1, h^{n+\sigma} \equiv 1.$$

Therefore, $f \equiv tg$, where t is a constant such that $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, by using Nevanlinna theory, we study the uniqueness problem of certain type of differential-difference polynomials sharing a small function and extend the existing results to the case of meromorphic functions with a Borel exceptional value ∞ .

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No 12171127) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant No LY21A010012).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that none of the authors have any competing interests in the manuscript.

References

1. A. Banerjee, S. Majumder, On the uniqueness of certain types of differential-difference polynomials, *Anal. Math.*, **43** (2017), 415–444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10476-017-0402-3>
2. M. R. Chen, Z. X. Chen, Properties of difference polynomials of entire functions with finite order, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **33** (2012), 359–374.
3. Y. M. Chiang, S. J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z+\eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, *Ramanujan J.*, **16** (2008), 105–129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-007-9101-1>

4. Y. M. Chiang, S. J. Feng, On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **361** (2009), 3767–3791. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04663-7>
5. M. L. Fang, H. Guo, On unique range sets for meromorphic or entire functions, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **14** (1998), 569–576. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02580416>
6. R. G. Halburd, R. J. Korhonen, Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **314** (2006), 477–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.04.010>
7. R. G. Halburd, R. J. Korhonen, Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **31** (2006), 463–478.
8. R. G. Halburd, R. J. Korhonen, K. Tohge, Holomorphic curves with shift-invariant hyperplane preimages, *T. Am. Math. Soc.*, **366** (2014), 4267–4298. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-05949-7>
9. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
10. V. Husna, S. Rajeshwari, S. M. Naveenkumar, A note on uniqueness of transcendental entire functions concerning differential-difference polynomials of finite order, *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **9** (2021), 248–260.
11. I. Laine, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
12. I. Laine, C. C. Yang, Clunie theorems for difference and q-difference polynomials, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **76** (2007), 556–566. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/jdm073>
13. Y. H. Li, J. Y. Qiao, The uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning small functions, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **43** (2000), 581–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02908769>
14. S. Majumder, S. Saha, A note on the uniqueness of certain types of differential-difference polynomials, *Ukr. Math. J.*, **73** (2021), 679–694. <https://doi.org/10.37863/umzh.v73i5.379>
15. P. Sahoo, G. Biswas, Some results on uniqueness of entire functions concerning difference polynomials, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **49** (2018), 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.5556/j.tkjm.49.2018.2198>
16. C. C. Yang, H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003.
17. L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
18. J. L. Zhang, Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **367** (2010), 401–408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.01.038>
19. K. Y. Zhang, H. X. Yi, The value distribution and uniqueness of one certain type of differential-difference polynomials, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B*, **34** (2014), 719–728. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(14\)60043-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60043-6)



AIMS Press

© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)