

AIMS Mathematics, 7(5): 8933–8952. DOI: 10.3934/math.2022498 Received: 06 January 2022 Revised: 18 February 2022 Accepted: 27 February 2022 Published: 07 March 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

# Research article

# Numerical solution of stochastic and fractional competition model in Caputo derivative using Newton method

# Huang Meihua<sup>1</sup>, Pongsakorn Sunthrayuth<sup>2,\*</sup>, Amjad Ali Pasha<sup>3</sup> and Muhammad Altaf Khan<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Marxism Studies (Department of Public Education), Nantong Open University, Nantong, Jiangsu, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi (RMUTT), Thanyaburi, Pathumthani, 12110, Thailand
- <sup>3</sup> Aerospace Engineering Department, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>4</sup> Institute for Groundwater Studies, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of the Free State, South Africa
- \* Correspondence: Email: pongsakorn\_su@rmutt.ac.th.

Abstract: Many useful numerical algorithms of the numerical solution are proposed due to the increasing interest of the researchers in fractional calculus. A new discretization of the competition model for the real statistical data of banking finance for the years 2004–2014 is presented. We use a novel numerical method that is more reliable and accurate which is introduced recently for the solution of ordinary differential equations numerically. We apply this approach to solve our model for the case of Caputo derivative. We apply the Caputo derivative on the competition system and obtain its numerical results. For the numerical solution of the competition model, we use the Newton polynomial approach and present in detail a novel numerical procedure. We utilize the numerical procedure and present various numerical results in the form of graphics. A comparison of the present method versus the predictor corrector method is presented, which shows the same solution behavior to the Newton Polynomial approach. We also suggest that the real data versus model provide good fitting for both the data for the fractional-order parameter value  $\rho = 0.7$ . Some more values of  $\rho$  are used to obtain graphical results. We also check the model in the stochastic version and show the model behaves well when fitting to the data.

**Keywords:** Caputo derivative; Newton polynomial approach; real data 2004–2014; numerical algorithm; stochastic version **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34A08, 37N30

#### 1. Introduction

The problems arising in science and engineering have been successfully modeled through the mathematical models tools [1–5]. Besides this, the application of mathematical models to the social sciences and other financial areas are getting attention these days from researchers around the world. In the country's economic growth, the role of the banks is considered to be a backbone. Strong banking policy and its benefits to the citizens play an important role in the overall development of the country, the bank's related terms and explanations can be found in [6]. The banking sector may be Islamic or conventional or maybe both of these. The banks that follow the rule of syriah law or conventionally are defined as per the rule Act No. 10 of 1998. The activities of rural and commercial banks in Indonesia are observed and documented that commercial banks have more business activates than the former [7,8]. Further, in Indonesia, it is reported that commercial banks are more than the rural, but the products of both the banks are consider to be the same [9]. Although, the commercial banks are greater but the rural are still improving their business activities to attract customers. Due to the improvements in their business products, the rural banks may have competition with commercial banks.

If the rural banks continue their efforts to improve their products, then definitely there may have close competition in Indonesia. This competition can be effectively studied through evolutionary differential equations known as the Lotka Volterra system [10]. The researchers utilized the Lotka Volterra equations in order to study the competition in many real-word problems, see [11–18]. For an instant, one of the applications of the Korean mobile company data through the competition system is explored in [11]. The competition system as a technological substitution, see [12], modeling the policy and their implications to the Korean stock market [13], dynamics estimations of the market exhibition, see [14], competition dynamics in the operating system market, see [15], and the analysis of the banking dynamics system, see [16]. Moreover, some recent works regarding this, can be seen in [17–20].

In the above-mentioned studies, it is worthy to mention that the Lotka Volterra system was used to obtain the dynamics of different problems with the integer-order study, except [17-20]. From the last years, it is observed that fractional calculus plays a vital role in the dynamic modeling of such practical problems. One of the reasons is considered to be the memory and the heredity properties. The model of real-life problems is often nonlinear and the crossover behavior makes it difficult to solve it exactly. The model formulated in fractional derivatives has many advantages, such as the data fitting, the memory index, the heredity properties, and the cross-over behavior. The dynamics of the model with fractional order derivatives and its applications to the real-life problems can be seen in [21, 22, 26–31]. For example, the competition among rural and commercial banks through fractional derivatives is discussed in [21]. The dynamics of TB using the fractional-order derivative are explored in [22]. An introduction to fractional derivative, fractional differential equations, and its numerical solution is discussed in [26]. A fractional lotka Volterra mathematical model and its analysis are studied in [27]. The Hepatitis E model in fractional Caputo Fabrizio derivative is explored in [28]. A fractional-order TB model with relapse is considered in [29]. The authors in [30] considered the HIV infection model using two-sex populations. The RLC circuit model using the fractional derivative and its numerical investigations is considered in [31]. The authors used the concept of fractional derivatives to study different problems, see for example, the training model for football movement trajectory [32], the educational reforms through fractional differential equations [33], a fractional model for the sugar [34], a fractional model for the impact of financial repression [35], and the university education model using fractional differential equations and its numerical solution [36]. Some more mathematical model formulated in fractional derivatives and their applications to COVID-19 infection is explored in [37, 38, 40], where the authors studied a mathematical model for COVID-19 with isolation class [37], COVID-19 with stochastic perturbation [38], COVID-19 model with control in fractional environment [39] and the COVID-19 model with crowding effect in [40]. Application of fractional derivative to Hepatitis B [41, 42], and COVID-19 [43]. The fractional-order model to study the leakage delay [44], the fractional-order with neural networks using multi-delays [45], bifurcation analysis in a fractional-order [46], a delayed BAM fractional-order system [47], fractional-order model with control [48], and fractional-order neural networks with mixed delays [49]. It is important that reallife problems with realistic data, give more accurate information about that particular phenomena than the assumed data, such useful results can be seen in [19–22], where a particular focus is given to show the data fitting to the system of equations. The works mentioned above, have been solved numerically by using the novel numerical technique.

The goal of this work is to study the competition of the banking data between two banks in Indonesia with real statistical data through a fractional model. The fractional derivative considered in this work is the Caputo derivative. For the numerical solution of the fractional model, we use the recently developed new numerical method using the approach of Newton polynomial. This novel technique was introduced recently in literature to get the numerical solution of fractional ordinary differential equations, that has been used for many scientific problems, see [23–25]. For example, the authors introduced this technique for the solution of the COVID-19 model in fractional derivative in Atangana-Baleanu derivative [23]. The HIV dynamics and its mathematical analysis through a fractional model with real cases using this new approach is considered by the authors in [24]. The application of this new method to groundwater flow is considered by the authors in [25]. This novel technique is more accurate and reasonable than the other technique available in the literature for the factional models. The proposed technique will show how the data fits well with the consideration of the specific fractional orders. The rest of the results in this paper are as follows: The model and their descriptions are shown in Section 2. The related definition and the integral are shown in Section 3. The solution of the model numerically by giving the algorithm is shown in Section 4. Section 5 explain the numerical investigations of the model while Sections 6 and 7 respectively show the formulation of the stochastic problem and the summary of the results.

#### 2. Concepts related to fractional operator

We provide here the related concepts for Caputo derivative [59].

**Definition 1.** [59] Suppose  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\rho \in (m-1, m)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then, the representation of Caputo derivative with order  $\rho$  for a function g can be defined by the way below,

$${}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\rho}g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\rho)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\psi)^{m-\rho-1}g^{(m)}(\psi)d\psi.$$
(2.1)

**Definition 2.** [59] The integral for the above is given by the following,

$${}_{0}^{C}I_{t}^{\rho}(g(t)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_{0}^{t} g(\psi)(t-\psi)^{\rho-1}d\psi.$$
(2.2)

**AIMS Mathematics** 

#### 3. Mathematical model

The competition among the commercial and rural banks can be described here through the useful mathematical model called the Lotka-Volterra system. The Lotka-Volterra system has two equations, which were designed to have competition among two species for food etc. This competition model has been used effectively in many studies by the authors for real statistical data and found it interesting. So, on the basis of the competition model, it aims to describe the two equations by commercial and rural banks dynamics. At any time t, the dynamics of commercial banks is shown by x(t) while the rural banks dynamics is given by y(t), with the assumptions of maximum profit having both the banks. Further, the banking limited funds behave logistically their growths. So, with this hypothesis, the dynamical analysis of the competition model among the two banks system is shown by,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \alpha_1 x \left(1 - \frac{x}{K_1}\right) - \psi_1 x y,$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \alpha_2 y \left(1 - \frac{y}{K_2}\right) - \psi_2 x y,$$
(3.1)

the initial conditions to the (3.1) are  $x(0) = x_0 \ge 0$ , and  $y(0) = y_0 \ge 0$ . The parameters  $\alpha_i$  for i = 1, 2 describe the growth factor respectively for commercial and rural banks and so is positive. The others parameters  $K_i$  for i = 1, 2 that define the maximum profit gained respectively, by the commercial and the rural banks. The coefficients  $\psi_i$  for i = 1, 2 are used as a competition parameter respectively for commercial and rural banks. So, it is obvious from this discussion that these parameters are positive.

#### 3.1. A fractional Caputo model

We use the model presented above (3.2) and apply the Caputo derivative to generalize it. With this generalization, the model (3.2) takes the following fractional form below:

$${}_{0}^{C}D_{0}^{\rho}(x(t)) = \alpha_{1}x(1-\frac{x}{K_{1}}) - \psi_{1}xy,$$
  
$${}_{0}^{C}D_{0}^{\rho}(y(t)) = \alpha_{2}y(1-\frac{y}{K_{2}}) - \psi_{2}xy,$$
 (3.2)

where  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  that defines the fractional order is considered in Caputo sense.

#### 3.2. Equilibria and their stability

The equilibrium points for the considered model (3.2) can be obtained by the following way,

$${}_{0}^{C}D_{0}^{\rho}(x(t)) = 0, \quad {}_{0}^{C}D_{0}^{\rho}(y(t)) = 0.$$
 (3.3)

The condition (3.3), gives the following:

$$\alpha_1 x \left( 1 - \frac{x}{K_1} \right) - \psi_1 x y = 0,$$
  

$$\alpha_2 y \left( 1 - \frac{y}{K_2} \right) - \psi_2 x y = 0.$$
(3.4)

AIMS Mathematics

Solving the equations in (3.4), we have:

$$E_{0} = (0,0), E_{1} = (0,K_{2}), E_{2} = (K_{1},0),$$
$$E_{3} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}K_{1}(K_{2}\psi_{1} - \alpha_{1})}{K_{1}K_{2}\psi_{1}\psi_{2} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}, \frac{\alpha_{1}K_{2}(K_{1}\psi_{2} - \alpha_{2})}{K_{1}K_{2}\psi_{1}\psi_{2} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}\right)$$

Consider the aforementioned equilibrium points, we discuss its stability results for the system (3.2) below: Initially, we find the Jacobian matrix of the system (3.2) given by the following:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{2x^*}{K_1}\right)\alpha_1 - \psi_1 y^* & -\psi_1 x^* \\ \\ -\psi_2 y^* & \left(1 - \frac{2y^*}{K_2}\right)\alpha_2 - \psi_2 x^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider now the stability at the above fixed points. First, we consider  $E_0 = (0,0)$ . At this equilibrium point, we get the eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  which are positive, and so at this point the system is not stable. At  $E_1$ , we have the eigenvalues,  $-\alpha_2, \alpha_1 - K_2\psi_1$ , where the second one can be negative if  $\alpha_1 < K_2\psi_1$ , and the equilibrium point of the system will be stable. The equilibrium point  $E_2$  gives the eigenvalues,  $-\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - K_1\psi_2$ . If the second one becomes negative, then the proposed model will be locally asymptotically stable. For the last equilibrium point, which is feasible, and their stability is shown below by having the characteristics polynomial:

$$\lambda^2 + \varpi_1 \lambda + \varpi_2 = 0,$$

where

$$\varpi_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 - K_2 \psi_1 + \alpha_2 - K_1 \psi_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - K_1 K_2 \psi_1 \psi_2},$$
  
$$\varpi_2 = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 - K_2 \psi_1) (\alpha_2 - K_1 \psi_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - K_1 K_2 \psi_1 \psi_2}.$$

The coefficients  $\varpi_1$  and  $\varpi_2$  can be positive if  $(\alpha_1 - K_2\psi_1) > 0$ ,  $(\alpha_2 - K_1\psi_2) > 0$  and  $\alpha_1\alpha_2 - K_1K_2\psi_1\psi_2 > 0$ . If these conditions hold then the system will be locally asymptotically stable.

#### 3.3. Existence and uniqueness

Consider the system (3.2), we write for simplicity the model (3.2),

$${}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\rho}x(t) = f_{1}(x, y, t),$$
  
$${}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\rho}y(t) = f_{2}(x, y, t).$$

We define the following norm,

$$||x||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in D} |x(t)|,$$

AIMS Mathematics

where D = [0, T]. We assume to show that x(t) and y(t) are bounded in [0, T], therefore,  $\forall t \in [0, T]$  there exists  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  such that  $||x||_{\infty} < \lambda_1$  and  $||y||_{\infty} < \lambda_2$ . We first show that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded

$$\begin{split} |f_{1}(x, y, t)| &= |\alpha_{1}x(1 - \frac{x}{K_{1}}) - \psi_{1}xy|, \\ &\leq \alpha_{1}|x(1 - \frac{x}{K_{1}})| + |\psi xy|, \\ &\leq \alpha_{1}|x||(1 - \frac{x}{K_{1}})| + |\psi_{1}xy|, \\ &\leq \alpha_{1}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|x(t)||\sup_{t \in [0,T]}(1 - \frac{x(t)}{K_{1}})| + \psi_{1}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|x(t)|\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|y(t)|, \\ &\leq \alpha_{1}||x||_{\infty}(1 + \frac{||x||_{\infty}}{K_{1}}) + \psi_{1}||x||_{\infty}||y||_{\infty}, \\ &< \alpha_{1}\lambda_{1}(1 + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{K_{1}}) + \psi_{1}\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}, \\ &< \infty. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can show

$$|f_2(x,y,t)| < \alpha_1 \lambda_2 \Big(1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{K_2}\Big) + \psi_2 xy < \infty.$$

Therefore, if x and y are bounded then there exists  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f_1(x, y, t)| < M_1, \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f_2(x, y, t)| < M_2.$$

On the other hand if  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,  $|f_1(x, y, t)| < M_1$  and  $|f_2(x, y, t)| < M_2$ , then  $||x||_{\infty} < \infty$  and  $||y||_{\infty} < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assuming that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t f_1(x, y, \tau)(t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau, \\ y(t) &= y(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t f_2(x, y, \tau)(t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &\leq |x(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} |\int_0^t f_1(x, y, \tau)(t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau|, \\ |y(t)| &\leq |y(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} |\int_0^t f_2(x, y, \tau)(t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau|. \end{aligned}$$

Then without loss of generality, we present for x(t)

$$|x(t)| \leq |x(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t |f_1(x, y, \tau)| (t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau,$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$< |x(0)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f_1(x, y, \tau)| (t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau,$$
  
$$< |x(0)| + \frac{M_1 t^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} < |x(0)| + \frac{M_1 t^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$|x(t)| < ||x||_{\infty} < |x(0)| + \frac{M_1 T^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)}.$$

Similarly, we can show

$$|y(t)| < ||y||_{\infty} < |y(0)| + \frac{M_2 t^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho+1)}.$$

To prove that our system admits a unique solution, we need to show that

- $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  satisfy the following condition,  $|f_1(x, y, t)|^2 < \beta_1(1 + |x|^2)$ ,  $|f_2(x, y, t)|^2 < \beta_2(1 + |y|^2)$ ,
- $\forall t \in [0, T], f_1 \text{ and } f_2 \text{ satisfy the Lipschitz condition } |f_1(x_1, y, t) f_1(x_2, y, t)|^2 < \overline{\beta_1} |x_1 x_2|^2, |f_2(x, y_1, t) f_2(x, y_2, t)|^2 < \overline{\beta_2} |y_1 y_2|^2.$

Without loss of generality, we show he proof for  $f_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} |f_{1}(x_{1}, y, t) - f_{1}(x_{2}, y, t)|^{2} &= |\alpha_{1}x_{1}\left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{K_{1}}\right) - \psi_{1}x_{1}y - \alpha_{2}x_{2}\left(1 - \frac{x_{2}}{K_{1}}\right) + \psi_{1}x_{2}y|^{2}, \\ &= |\alpha_{1}(x_{1} - x_{2}) - \alpha_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}} - \frac{x_{2}^{2}}{K_{1}}\right) - \psi_{1}(x_{1} - x_{2})y| \\ &< 3\alpha_{1}^{2}|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2} + 3\alpha_{1}^{2}|\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}} - \frac{x_{2}^{2}}{K_{1}}|^{2} + 3\psi_{1}^{2}|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2}|y| \\ &< 3\{\alpha_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha_{1}^{2}\left(|\frac{x_{1}}{K_{1}}|^{2} + |\frac{x_{2}}{K_{1}}|^{2}\right) + \psi_{1}^{2}|y|^{2}\}|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2}, \\ &< 3\{\alpha_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha_{1}^{2}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|\frac{x_{1}}{K_{1}}|^{2} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]}|\frac{x_{2}}{K_{1}}|^{2}\right) + \psi_{1}^{2}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|y|^{2}\}|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2}, \\ &< 3\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{2\lambda_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}^{2}}\right) + \psi_{1}^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2}\right)|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2}, \\ &< \frac{\beta_{1}}{|x_{1} - x_{2}|^{2}} \end{split}$$

where  $\overline{\beta_1} = \left(\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_1^2 \left(\frac{2\lambda_1^2}{K_1^2}\right) + \psi_1^2 \lambda_2^2\right)$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x, y, t)|^2 &= |\alpha_1 x \Big( 1 - \frac{x}{K_1} \Big) - \psi_1 x y|^2, \\ &\leq 3\alpha_1^2 |x|^2 + 3\alpha_1^2 |\frac{x}{K_1}|^2 + 3\psi_1^2 |x|^2 |y|^2, \end{aligned}$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$\leq \left( 3\alpha_{1}^{2} + 3\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}^{2}}|x|^{2} + 3\psi_{1}^{2}|y|^{2} \right)|x|^{2}, \\ \leq \left( 3\alpha_{1}^{2} + 3\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}^{2}}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|x(t)^{2}| + 3\psi_{1}^{2}\sup_{t \in [0,T]}|y(t)^{2}| \right)|x|^{2}, \\ < \left( 3\alpha_{1}^{2} + \frac{3\alpha_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}^{2}}\lambda_{1}^{2} + 3\psi_{1}^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2} \right)|x|^{2}, \\ < \beta_{1} \left( 1 + |x|^{2} \right),$$

where  $\beta_1 = \left(3\alpha_1^2 + \frac{3\alpha_1^2}{\kappa_1^2}\lambda_1^2 + 3\psi_1^2\lambda_2^2\right)$ . Therefore, the system (3.2) has a unique system of solution.

# 4. Solution procedure with Caputo fractional derivative

This section presents a new discretization for the Caputo derivative through Newton polynomial, which was established recently in [60]. We explain below briefly the procedure by considering a general fractional differential equation in the form given by:

$${}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\rho}z(t) = f(t,z(t)), \tag{4.1}$$

where  $D_t^{\rho}$  shows the Caputo derivative and the *f* is the nonlinear function. To present a numerical solution procedure to get the solution of fractional differential equation using Newton descritization, we have to rewrite the problems is as follows:

$$z(t) - z(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t f(\tau, z(\tau))(t - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau.$$
(4.2)

At  $t_{n+1} = (n + 1)\Delta t$ , the following can be written,

$$z(t_{n+1}) - z(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} f(\tau, z(\tau))(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho - 1} d\tau.$$
(4.3)

Also, we can write

$$z(t_{n+1}) = z(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} f(\tau, z(\tau))(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau.$$
(4.4)

We now using the Newton approach to approximate the  $f(\tau, z(\tau))$  which is inside the integral, and has the following

$$P_{n}(\tau) = f(t_{n-2}, z(t_{n-2})) + \frac{f(t_{n-1}, z(t_{n-1})) - f(t_{n-2}, z(t_{n-2}))}{\Delta t} (\tau - t_{n-2}) + \frac{f(t_{n}, z(t_{n})) - 2f(t_{n-1}, z(t_{n-1})) + f(t_{n-2}, z(t_{n-2}))}{2(\Delta t)^{2}} (\tau - t_{n-2})(\tau - t_{n-1}).$$
(4.5)

**AIMS Mathematics** 

Substitution of the result (4.5) into (4.4), the following is obtained,

$$z^{n+1} = z^{0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \left[ f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2}) + \frac{f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) - f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{\Delta t} (\tau - t_{j-2}) + \frac{f(t_{j}, z^{j}) - 2f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) + f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{2(\Delta t)^{2}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1}) \right]$$

$$\times (t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau.$$
(4.6)

Re-arranging Eq (4.6), leads to the following,

$$z^{n+1} = z^{0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \Big[ \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau + \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) - f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{\Delta t} (\tau - t_{j-2})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau + \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{f(t_{j}, z^{j}) - 2f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) + f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{2(\Delta t)^{2}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1}) \times (t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau \Big].$$

$$(4.7)$$

So, we have

$$z^{n+1} = z^{0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2}) \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) - f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{f(t_{j}, z^{j}) - 2f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) + f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})}{2(\Delta t)^{2}} \times \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau.$$
(4.8)

We simplify the integrals in Eq (4.8) below,

$$\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau = \frac{h^{\rho}}{\rho} [(n - j + 1)^{\rho} - (n - j)^{\rho}],$$

$$\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau = \frac{h^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} [(n - j + 1)^{\rho}(n - j + 3 + 2\rho) - (n - j)^{\rho}(n - j + 3 + 3\rho)],$$

$$\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1})(t_{n+1} - \tau)^{\rho-1} d\tau = \frac{h^{\rho+2}}{\rho(\rho+1)(\rho+2)}$$

**AIMS Mathematics** 

8942

$$\times \left[ \begin{array}{c} (n-j+1)^{\rho} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2(n-j)^{2} + (3\rho+10)(n-j) \\ +2\rho^{2} + 9\rho + 12 \\ \\ -(n-j)^{\rho} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2(n-j)^{2} + (5\rho+10)(n-j) \\ +6\rho^{2} + 18\rho + 12 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right], \quad (4.9)$$

the following formula is obtained after inserting the above solution into Eq (4.9), the following is presented,

$$z^{n+1} = z^{0} + \frac{h^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})[(n-j+1)^{\rho} - (n-j)^{\rho}] \\ + \frac{h^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\rho+2)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} [f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) - f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})] \\ \times [(n-j+1)^{\rho}(n-j+3+2\rho) - (n-j)^{\rho}(n-j+3+3\rho)] \\ + \frac{h^{\rho}}{2\Gamma(\rho+3)} \sum_{j=2}^{n} [f(t_{j}, z^{j}) - 2f(t_{j-1}, z^{j-1}) + f(t_{j-2}, z^{j-2})] \\ \times \left[ \frac{(n-j+1)^{\rho}}{-(n-j)^{\rho}} \left[ \frac{2(n-j)^{2} + (3\rho+10)(n-j)}{+2\rho^{2} + 9\rho + 12} \right] \\ -(n-j)^{\rho} \left[ \frac{2(n-j)^{2} + (5\rho+10)(n-j)}{+6\rho^{2} + 18\rho + 12} \right] \right].$$
(4.10)

The procedure explained above to obtained the graphical results for the model of competition system will be used in next section.

#### 5. Numerical results

The efficient numerical algorithm described above is considered to obtain the numerical solution of the fractional model in Caputo derivative. We used the real statistical data to investigate the model parameters for the competition between the two banking systems in Indonesia for the given years 2004–2014. Using the statistical data and the parameters obtained that is considered are below:  $\psi_1 =$  $2.90 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $\psi_2 = 3.9 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $K_1 = 669318.198$ ,  $K_2 = 17540.6219$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0.6$ , and  $\alpha_2 = 0.58$ . These parameters are also the same estimations presented in the work published in [51]. Using these parameters values, we presented the graphical results. The real data of commercial and rural banks in cumulative form is shown in Figure 1. The comparison of real data with the model is shown in Figure 2 when  $\rho = 1$ . Figures 3 and 4 are plotted in order to show model fitting with real data for the arbitrary order  $\rho = 0.9, 0.8, 0.7$ . It is observed from the results depicted in Figures 3 and 4, that decreasing the fractional-order  $\rho$ , we see the good agreement of the data versus model fitting. The future predictions of the real statistical data are depicted in Figure 5. It is observed a good fitting for a long time of the model versus real data. Further, we provided by choosing many values of the arbitrary order and show the results in Figure 6. We also utilized the approach of the FDE12 (predictor-corrector PECE method for fractional differential equations) to solve the present model and compare the result with the Newton polynomials method used in this work. We used the method given in [57, 58] to obtain the graphical results for the fractional-order model 3.2 using the FDE12 and the Newton polynomial

AIMS Mathematics

method with the same parameter and initial conditions, with the step size h = 0.01. The results are shown in Figure 7. We show them in graphics legends, the Newton method by "present method" while the PECE method is by FDE12. One can observe that both the methods give the same results for the integer and fractional order value  $\rho = 0.96$ .



Figure 1. The profit of commercial and rural banks, (a) commercial; (b) rural.



**Figure 2.** Fitting of data versus model,  $\rho = 1$ . (a) commercial; (b) rural.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of data versus model fitting considering different fractional order values (a) and (c) commercial banks; (b) and (d) rural banks.



Figure 4. Comparison of data versus model fitting when  $\rho = 0.7$ . (a) commercial banks; (b) rural banks.

**AIMS Mathematics** 



Figure 5. Comparison of data versus model fitting for long term for different value of  $\rho$ .



**Figure 6.** Dynamics of the model for different value of  $\rho$ .

**AIMS Mathematics** 



**Figure 7.** The comparison of the present method with FDE12. (a) Commercial data versus model; (b) rural data versus model; (c) commercial data versus model for  $\rho = 0.96$ ; (d) rural data versus model for  $\rho = 0.96$ .

#### 6. A stochastic competition model

This section studies the model in stochastic version. There are many stochastic models in literature which study different physical problems, some are listed here [52–56]. The model (3.1) is extended to the stochastic version given by

$$dx = \left[\alpha_1 x \left(1 - \frac{x}{K_1}\right) - \psi_1 x y\right] dt + \sigma_1 x dW(t),$$
  

$$dy = \left[\alpha_2 y \left(1 - \frac{y}{K_2}\right) - \psi_2 x y\right] dt + \sigma_2 y dW(t),$$
(6.1)

where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are the real constants that represent the intensity of the stochastic differential equations, where W(t) refereed to be the stochastic Brownian motion. Keeping in view the model (3.1), we use it for simulation purposes and check whether the data fit well to the stochastic model. In

AIMS Mathematics

this regard, we keep the same numerical values as we used for the fractional case model (3.2). The simulation of the model (6.1) with data is given in Figure 8. We can see that the model (6.1) also behaves well with the real data. Figure 9 shows the model behavior for a large time level.



**Figure 8.** Simulation of the model (6.1), (a) fitting for commercial data, (b) fitting with rural data.



**Figure 9.** Prediction of the model (6.1). (a) Fitting for commercial data; (b) fitting with rural data.

# 7. Conclusions

We obtained some new findings regarding the competition system for the real data of the two banks in Indonesia through a fractional model with Caputo derivative through new numerical solution. Initially, we presented the model in ordinary derivative and then applied the Caputo derivative to the model for its generalization. The generalized model is then used to present a novel numerical procedure for their numerical solution. The real statistical data obtained from [50] for the year 2004–2014 are utilized and obtained various graphical results. The realistic parameters are fitted for the model of two different data sets which provide a reasonable fitting to the model. For comparison purposes, we considered also the FDE12 method and presented the results. We found that both the methods provide the same results and it can be used confidently for other physical or social problems. The suggested graphical results were tested in order to find the best fractional-order values for which the data provide good fitting is  $\rho = 0.7$ . While using the stochastic version of the model, we observed that the data provide reasonable fitting to the model. The stochastic version for some different values of the intensities parameters has been shown. It is obvious that the real data fitting provides useful information for the phenomenon's future prediction, where some policy and rule can be designed to obtain the future goals. Thus, we hope that these new results for the competition model for the bank data with the real statistical data will bring new information for the banking and finance sector.

# Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (D-743-135-1443). The authors, therefore, gratefully acknowledge DSR technical and financial support.

# **Conflict of interest**

No conflict of interests exists regarding the publication of this work.

# References

- X. P. Li, Y. Wang, M. A. Khan, M. Y. Alshahrani, T. Muhammad, A dynamical study of SARS-COV-2: A study of third wave, *Res. Phys.*, 29 (2021), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104705
- Z. H. Shen, Y. M. Chu, M. A. Khan, S. Muhammad, O. A. AlHartomy, M. Higazy, Mathematical modeling and optimal control of the COVID-19 dynamics, *Res. Phy.*, **31** (2021), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.105028
- 3. X. P. Li, N. Gul, M. A. Khan, R. Bilal, A. Ali, M. Y. Alshahrani, et al., A new Hepatitis B model in light of asymptomatic carriers and vaccination study through Atangana-Baleanu derivative, *Res. Phys.*, **29** (2021), 104603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104603
- 4. P. Y. Xiong, M. I. Khan, R. J. P. Gowda, R. N. Kumar, B. C. Prasannakumara, Y. M. Chu, Comparative analysis of (Zinc ferrite, Nickel Zinc ferrite) hybrid nanofluids slip flow with entropy generation, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, **35** (2021), 1–22.
- P. Y. Xiong, A. Hamid, Y. M. Chu, M. I. Khan, R. J. P. Gowda, R. N. Kumar, et al., Dynamics of multiple solutions of Darcy-Forchheimer saturated flow of Cross nanofluid by a vertical thin needle point, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **136** (2021), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-021-01294-2
- 6. Laws of the republic indonesia number 10 year 1998 about amendment to law number 7 of 1992 concerning banking. Available from: https://www.global-regulation.com/translation/ indonesia/7224941/act-no.-10-of-1998.html.

- 7. S. Arbi, Lembaga perbankan keuangan dan pembiayaan, Yogyakarta: BPFE, 2013.
- 8. S. Iskandar, Bank dan lembaga keuangan lainnya, Jakarta: Penerbit, 2013.
- 9. OJK, Statistik Perbankan Indonesia, 2004–2014. Available from: http://www.ojk.go.id/datastatistikperbankan-indonesia.
- 10. A. Hastings, Population biology: Concepts and models, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- 11. J. Kim, D. J. Lee, J. Ahn, A dynamic competition analysis on the korean mobile phone market using competitive diffusion model, *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, **51** (2006), 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2006.07.009
- S. A. Morris, D. Pratt, Analysis of the lotka-volterra competition equations as a technological substitution model, *Technol. Forecast. Soc.*, **70** (2003), 103–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1625(01)00185-8
- S. J. Lee, D. J. Lee, H. S. Oh, Technological forecasting at the korean stock market: A dynamic competition analysis using lotka-volterra model, *Technol. Forecast. Soc.*, **72** (2005), 1044–1057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2002.11.001
- C. Michalakelis, C. Christodoulos, D. Varoutas, T. Sphicopoulos, Dynamic estimation of markets exhibiting a prey-predator behavior, *Expert Syst. Appl.*, **39** (2012), 7690–7700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.01.049
- S. Lakka, C. Michalakelis, D. Varoutas, D. Martakos, Competitive dynamics in the operating systems market: Modeling and policy implications, *Technol. Forecast. Soc.*, 80 (2013), 88–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2012.06.011
- 16. C. A. Comes, Banking system: Three level lotka-volterra model, *Proc. Econ. Financ.*, **3** (2012), 251–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(12)00148-7
- Fatmawati, M. A. Khan, M. Azizah, Windarto, S. Ullah, A fractional model for the dynamics of competition between commercial and rural banks in Indonesia, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **122** (2019), 32–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.02.009
- W. Wang, M. A. Khan, Fatmawati, P. Kumam, P. Thounthong, A comparison study of bank data in fractional calculus, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **126** (2019), 369–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.07.025
- 19. Z. F. Li, Z. Liu, M. A. Khan, Fractional investigation of bank data with fractal-fractional Caputo derivative, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **131** (2020), 109528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.109528
- 20. W. Wang, M. A. Khan, Analysis and numerical simulation of fractional model of bank data with fractal-fractional Atangana-Baleanu derivative, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **369** (2020), 112646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.112646
- 21. M. A. Khan, M. Azizah, S. Ullah, A fractional model for the dynamics of competition between commercial and rural banks in indonesia, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **122** (2019), 32–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.02.009
- 22. S. Ullah, M. A. Khan, M. Farooq, A fractional model for the dynamics of tb virus, *Chaos Soliton*. *Fract.*, **116** (2018), 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.09.001
- 23. M. A. Khan, Fatmawati, A. Atangana, E. Alzahrani, The dynamics of COVID-19 with quarantined and isolation, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 425. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02882-9

- 24. Fatmawati, M. A. Khan, H. P. Odinsyah, Fractional model of HIV transmission with awareness effect, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **138** (2020), 109967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109967
- 25. A. Atangana, S. I. Araz, Atangana-Seda numerical scheme for Labyrinth attractor with new differential and integral operators, *Fractals*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X20400447
- 26. P. I, Fractional differential equations: An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Academic Press, USA, 1998.
- S. Das, P. Gupta, A mathematical model on fractional lotka-volterra equations, J. Theor. Biol., 277 (2011), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.01.034
- 28. M. A. Khan, Z. Hammouch, D. Baleanu, Modeling the dynamics of hepatitis E via the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative, *Math. Model. Nat. Pheno.*, **14** (2019), 311. https://doi.org/10.1051/mmnp/2018074
- 29. M. A. Khan, S. Ullah, M. Farooq, A new fractional model for tuberculosis with relapse via atangana-baleanu derivative, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **116** (2018), 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.09.039
- F. Fatmawati, E. Shaiful, M. Utoyo, A fractional-order model for hiv dynamics in a two-sex population, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2018 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6801475
- 31. A. Atangana, J. J. Nieto, Numerical solution for the model of rlc circuit via the fractional derivative without singular kernel, *Adv. Mech. Eng.*, **7** (2015). https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814015613758
- 32. Y. Che, M. Y. A. Keir, Study on the training model of football movement trajectory drop point based on fractional differential equation, *Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci.*, 2021, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00095
- 33. S. Man, R. Yang, Educational reform informatisation based on fractional differential equation, *Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci.*, 2021, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00079
- 34. J. Gao, F. S. Alotaibi, R. T. Ismail, The model of sugar metabolism and exercise energy expenditure based on fractional linear regression equation, *Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci.*, 2021, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00026
- 35. N. Zhao, F. Yao, A. O. Khadidos, B. M. Muwafak, The impact of financial repression on manufacturing upgrade based on fractional Fourier transform and probability, *Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci.*, 2021, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00060
- 36. C. Li, N. Alhebaishi, M. A. Alhamami, Calculating university education model based on finite element fractional differential equations and macro-control analysis, *Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci.*, 2021, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns.2021.2.00069
- 37. A. Zeb, E. Alzahrani, V. S. Erturk, G. Zaman, Mathematical model for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) containing isolation class, *Biomed Res. Int.*, 2020 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3452402
- Z. Zhang, A. Zeb, S. Hussain, E. Alzahrani, Dynamics of COVID-19 mathematical model with stochastic perturbation, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2020 (2020), 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02909-1

- 39. S. Bushnaq, T. Saeed, D. F. Torres, A. Zeb, Control of COVID-19 dynamics through a fractionalorder model, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **60** (2021), 3587–3592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.02.022
- 40. Z. Zhang, A. Zeb, E. Alzahrani, S. Iqbal, Crowding effects on the dynamics of COVID-19 mathematical model, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-03137-3
- 41. A. Din, Y. Li, A. Yousaf, A. I. Ali, Caputo type fractional operator applied to Hepatitis B system, *Fractals*, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X22400230
- 42. A. Din, Y. Li, F. M. Khan, Z. U. Khan, P. Liu, On Analysis of fractional order mathematical model of Hepatitis B using Atangana-Baleanu Caputo (ABC) derivative, *Fractals*, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X22400175
- 43. A. Din, A. Khan, A. Zeb, M. R. Sidi Ammi, M. Tilioua, D. F. Torres, Hybrid method for simulation of a fractional COVID-19 model with real case application, *Axioms*, **10** (2021), 290. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10040290
- 44. C. Xu, M. Liao, P. Li, S. Yuan, Impact of leakage delay on bifurcation in fractionalorder complex-valued neural networks, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **142** (2021), 110535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110535
- 45. C. Xu, Z. Liu, L. Yao, C. Aouiti, Further exploration on bifurcation of fractional-order six-neuron bi-directional associative memory neural networks with multi-delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **410** (2021), 126458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2021.126458
- 46. C. Xu, M. Liao, P. Li, Y. Guo, Q. Xiao, S. Yuan, Influence of multiple time delays on bifurcation of fractional-order neural networks, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **361** (2019), 565–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.05.057
- 47. C. Xu, M. Liao, P. Li, Y. Guo, Z. Liu, Bifurcation properties for fractional order delayed BAM neural networks, *Cogn. Comput.*, **13** (2021), 322–356. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-020-09782-w
- 48. C. J. Xu, W. Zhang, C. Aouiti, Z. X. Liu, M. X. Liao, P. L. Li, Further investigation on bifurcation and their control of fractional-order BAM neural networks involving four neurons and multiple delays, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 2021, 75.
- 49. C. Xu, W. Zhang, Z. Liu, L. Yao, Delay-induced periodic oscillation for mixed Neurocomputing, 2021. fractional-order neural networks with delays, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2021.11.079
- 50. OJK, Statistik Perbankan Indonesia 2004–2014.
- 51. A. Atangana, M. A. Khan, Modeling and analysis of competition model of bank data with fractal-fractional Caputo-Fabrizio operator, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **159** (2020), 1985–1998. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2019.12.032
- 52. A. Din, Y. Li, T. Khan, G. Zaman, Mathematical analysis of spread and control of the novel corona virus (COVID-19) in China, *Chaos Soliton Fract.*, **141** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110286
- 53. A. Din, Y. Li, M. A. Shah, The complex dynamics of hepatitis B infected individuals with optimal control, *J. Syst. Sci. Comput.*, **34** (2021), 1301–1323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-021-0053-0

8951

- 54. A. Din, T. Khan, Y. Li, H. Tahir, A. Khan, W. A. Khan, Mathematical analysis of dengue stochastic epidemic model, *Result Phys.*, **20** (2021), 103719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103719
- 55. A. Din, Y. Li, The extinction and persistence of a stochastic model of drinking alcohol, *Result Phys.*, **28** (2021), 104649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104649
- 56. A. Din, Y. Li, Stochastic optimal control for norovirus transmission dynamics by contaminated food and water, *Chinese Phys. B*, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/ac2f32
- 57. A. Atangana, Mathematical model of survival of fractional calculus, critics and their impact: How singular is our world? *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2021** (2021), 1–59.
- 58. A. Atangana, S. I. Araz, Modeling third waves of Covid-19 spread with piecewise differential and integral operators: Turkey, Spain and Czechia, *Result Phys.*, **29** (2021), 104694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104694
- 59. M. Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, part II, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **13** (1967), 529–539.
- 60. A. Atangana, S. I. Araz, New numerical scheme with newton polynomial: Theory, methods, and applications, Academic Press, 2021.



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)