



*Research article*

## Some new dynamic Steffensen-type inequalities on a general time scale measure space

Ahmed A. El-Deeb<sup>1,\*</sup>, Inho Hwang<sup>2,\*</sup>, Choonkil Park<sup>3</sup> and Omar Bazighifan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Incheon National University, Incheon 22012, Korea

<sup>3</sup> Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

<sup>4</sup> Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II, 39, 00186 Rome, Italy

\* **Correspondence:** Email: ahmedeldeeb@zhar.edu.eg, ho818@inu.ac.kr; Tel: +201098802022.

**Abstract:** Our work is based on the multiple inequalities illustrated by Josip Pečarić in 2013, 1982 and Srivastava in 2017. With the help of a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure, we generalize a number of those inequalities to a general time scale measure space. Besides that, in order to obtain some new inequalities as special cases, we also extend our inequalities to discrete and continuous calculus.

**Keywords:** Steffensen’s inequality; dynamic inequality; dynamic integral; time scale

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 26D10, 26D15, 26D20, 34A12, 34A40

### 1. Introduction

The renowned integral Steffensen’s inequality [1] is written as: Let  $f$  and  $g$  be integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f$  is nonincreasing and  $0 \leq g(\mathfrak{I}) \leq 1$  on  $[a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_{b-\lambda}^b f(\mathfrak{I})d\mathfrak{I} \leq \int_a^b f(\mathfrak{I})g(\mathfrak{I})d\mathfrak{I} \leq \int_a^{a+\lambda} f(\mathfrak{I})d\mathfrak{I}, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\lambda = \int_a^b g(\mathfrak{I})d\mathfrak{I}$ .

It is simple to notice that inequalities (1.1) are reversed if  $f$  is nondecreasing.

The discrete version of the Steffensen inequality [2] states:

**Theorem A.** Assume that  $\{f(k)\}_{k=1}^n$  is a nonincreasing nonnegative real sequence and  $\{g(k)\}_{k=1}^n$  is a real

sequence such that  $0 \leq g(k) \leq 1$  for every  $k$ . Furthermore, let that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $\lambda_2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n g(k) \leq \lambda_1$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=n-\lambda_2+1}^n f(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)g(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_1} f(k). \quad (1.2)$$

Jakšetić et al. [3] established the following interesting results among many other similar results for a positive finite measure  $\mu$ . States:

**Theorem B.** Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be a positive finite measure on  $\mathfrak{B}([a, b])$ , and let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f$  is nonincreasing and  $0 \leq g(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Further, let  $\hat{\mu}([c, d]) = \int_{[a,b]} g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , where  $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_{[a,b]} f(\mathfrak{Y})g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq \int_{[c,d]} f(\mathfrak{Y})g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}) + \int_{[a,c]} (f(\mathfrak{Y}) - f(d))g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}).$$

Also, the authors proved that:

**Theorem C.** Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f$  is nonincreasing and  $0 \leq g(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Further, let  $\hat{\mu}([c, d]) = \int_{[a,b]} g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , where  $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$ . If  $\hat{\mu}$  is a positive finite measure on  $\mathfrak{B}([a, b])$ , then

$$\int_{[c,d]} f(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}) - \int_{[d,b]} (f(c) - f(\mathfrak{Y}))g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq \int_{[a,b]} f(\mathfrak{Y})g(\mathfrak{Y})d\hat{\mu}(\mathfrak{Y}).$$

In 1982, Pečarić [4] gave speculation of the Steffensen inequality as the following two hypotheses.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}, \hat{h} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\hat{f}/\hat{h}$  is nonincreasing and  $\hat{h}$  is nonnegative. Further, let  $0 \leq \hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq 1 \forall \mathfrak{Y} \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_a^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{Y})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y} \leq \int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{f}d\mathfrak{Y}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\hat{\phi}$  is the solution of the equation

$$\int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y} = \int_a^b \hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y}.$$

We get the reverse of (1.3), if  $\hat{f}(\mathfrak{Y})/\hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})$  is nondecreasing.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}, \hat{h} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\hat{f}/\hat{h}$  is nonincreasing and  $\hat{h}$  is nonnegative. Further, let  $0 \leq \hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq 1 \forall \mathfrak{Y} \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y} \leq \int_a^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{Y})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\hat{\phi}$  gives us the solution of

$$\int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y} = \int_a^b \hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{Y})d\mathfrak{Y}. \quad (1.5)$$

We get the reverse of (1.4), if  $\hat{f}(\mathfrak{Y})/\hat{h}(\mathfrak{Y})$  is nondecreasing.

Wu and Srivastava in [5] acquired the accompanying result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}, \hat{h} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\hat{f}$  is nonincreasing. Further, let  $0 \leq \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V}) \leq \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) \forall \mathfrak{V} \in [a, b]$ . Then the following integral inequalities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} &\leq \int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b (\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) - [\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{f}(b - \hat{\phi})][\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})])d\mathfrak{V} \\ &\leq \int_a^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} \\ &\leq \int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} (\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) - [\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{f}(a + \hat{\phi})][\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})])d\mathfrak{V} \\ &\leq \int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\phi}$  gives us the solution of

$$\int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} = \int_a^b \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} = \int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V}.$$

The following interesting findings were published in [6].

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose the integrability of  $\hat{g}, \hat{h}, \hat{f}, \psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\hat{f}$  is nonincreasing. Also suppose  $0 \leq \hat{\psi}(\mathfrak{V}) \leq \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V}) \leq \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{\psi}(\mathfrak{V})$  for all  $\mathfrak{V} \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\int_a^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} \leq \int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} - \int_a^b |(\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{f}(a + \hat{\phi}))\psi(\mathfrak{V})|d\mathfrak{V},$$

where  $\hat{\phi}$  is given by

$$\int_a^{a+\hat{\phi}} \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} = \int_a^b \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V}.$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4. Then

$$\int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} + \int_a^b |(\hat{f}(\mathfrak{V}) - \hat{f}(b - \hat{\phi}))\hat{\psi}(\mathfrak{V})|d\mathfrak{V} \leq \int_a^b \hat{f}(\mathfrak{V})\hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V},$$

where  $\hat{\phi}$  is given by

$$\int_{b-\hat{\phi}}^b \hat{h}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V} = \int_a^b \hat{g}(\mathfrak{V})d\mathfrak{V}.$$

The calculus of time scales with the intention to unify discrete and continuous analysis (see [7]) was proposed by Hilger [8]. For more details on the time scales calculus we refer to the book by Bohner and Peterson [9].

Lately, several dynamic inequalities on time scales has been investigated by using exclusive authors who have been inspired with the aid of a few applications (see [10–18]). Some authors created different results regarding fractional calculus on time scales to provide associated dynamic inequalities (see [19–27]).

In this article, we explore new generalizations of the integral Steffensen inequality given in [4–6] via general time scale measure space with a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure. We also retrieve some of the integral inequalities known in the literature as special cases of our tests.

## 2. Main results

In what follows  $\mathfrak{B}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$  is Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $[a, b]$ . Next, we enroll the accompanying suppositions for the verifications of our primary outcomes:

(S<sub>1</sub>)  $([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathfrak{B}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}), \hat{\mu})$  is time scale measure space with a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathfrak{B}([a, b]_{\mathbb{T}})$ .

(S<sub>2</sub>)  $\eta, \Upsilon, \Xi : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $\Delta\hat{\mu}$ -integrable functions on  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

(S<sub>3</sub>)  $\eta/\Xi$  is nonincreasing and  $\Xi$  is nonnegative.

(S<sub>4</sub>)  $0 \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \leq 1$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

(S<sub>5</sub>)  $\hat{\phi} \in [0, \infty)$ .

(S<sub>6</sub>)  $\eta$  is nonincreasing.

(S<sub>7</sub>)  $1 \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \leq \Xi(\mathfrak{J})$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

(S<sub>8</sub>)  $0 \leq \psi(\mathfrak{J}) \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \leq \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \psi(\mathfrak{J})$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

(S<sub>9</sub>)  $0 \leq M \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \leq 1 - M$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

(S<sub>10</sub>)  $0 \leq \psi(\mathfrak{J}) \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \leq 1 - \psi(\mathfrak{J})$  for all  $\mathfrak{J} \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

$\hat{\phi}$  is the solution of the equations listed below:

$$(S_{11}) \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

$$(S_{12}) \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

$$(S_{13}) \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

$$(S_{14}) \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

$$(S_{15}) \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

Presently, we are prepared to state and explain the principle results that make bigger numerous effects inside the literature.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5$  and  $S_{11}$  be satisfied. Then

$$\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.1)$$

We get the reverse of (2.1), if  $\eta/\Xi$  is nondecreasing.

*Proof.* From our hypotheses, we observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J}) [1 - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})] \frac{\eta(\mathfrak{J})}{\Xi(\mathfrak{J})} \Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) \Delta\hat{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} \int_{[a, a + \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y})[1 - \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y})] \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} \left[ \int_{[a, a + \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a + \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \right] - \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} \left[ \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a + \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \right] - \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \int_{[a + \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \left( \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} - \frac{\eta(\mathcal{Y})}{\Xi(\mathcal{Y})} \right) \Delta \hat{\mu} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** In case of  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  and related to Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.1, we recollect [4, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 2.2.** Assumptions  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5$  and  $S_{12}$  imply

$$\int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu}. \quad (2.2)$$

We get the reverse of (2.2), if  $\eta/\Xi$  is nondecreasing.

*Proof.* From our hypotheses, we observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y})[1 - \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y})] \frac{\eta(\mathcal{Y})}{\Xi(\mathcal{Y})} \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} \int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y})[1 - \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y})] \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} \left[ \int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \right] - \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} \left[ \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[b - \hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \right] - \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \int_{[a, b - \hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathcal{Y}) \left( \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} - \frac{\eta(\mathcal{Y})}{\Xi(\mathcal{Y})} \right) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark 2.2.** By observing Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.2, and  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , we recapture [4, Theorem 2].

We will need the following lemma to prove the subsequent results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $S_1, S_2, S_5$  hold, such that

$$\int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} &= \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{J})\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})])\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\quad + \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} &= \int_{[a, b-\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\quad + \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{J})\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})])\Delta\hat{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* The suppositions of the Lemma imply that

$$a \leq a + \hat{\phi} \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad a \leq b - \hat{\phi} \leq b.$$

Firstly, we prove the validity of the integral identity (2.3). Indeed, by direct computation, and from our hypotheses, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{J})\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})])\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{J})\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{J}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})])\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\quad + \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(a + \hat{\phi})[\Xi(\mathfrak{J}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})]\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \eta(a + \hat{\phi}) \left( \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \right) - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} = \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) \left( \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Xi(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \right) - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \eta(a + \hat{\phi}) \left( \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \right) - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{J})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{J})\Delta\hat{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \eta(a + \hat{\phi}) \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} - \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&= \int_{[a+\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})] \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Combination of (2.5) and (2.6) led to the required integral identity (2.3) asserted by the Lemma. The integral identity (2.4) can be proved similarly. The proof is done.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose  $S_1, S_2, S_5, S_6, S_7$  and  $S_{13}$  give

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} &\leq \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]) \Delta \hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From our hypotheses. In perspective of the considerations that the function  $\eta$  is nonincreasing on  $[a, b]$  and  $0 \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})$  for all  $\mathfrak{Y} \in [a, b]$ , we infer that

$$\int_{[a, b-\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})] \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \geq 0, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(b - \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})] \Delta \hat{\mu} \geq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.3), (2.7) and (2.8), we find that

$$\int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu}. \tag{2.9}$$

In the same way as above, we can prove that

$$\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} (\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]) \Delta \hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu}, \tag{2.10}$$

The confirmation is finished by joining the integral inequalities (2.9) and (2.10).  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** We can reclaim [5, Theorem 1] with the use of Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.3, and  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Assume  $S_1, S_2, S_5, S_6, S_8$  and  $S_{13}$  be fulfilled. Then

$$\int_{[b-\hat{\phi}, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) \Delta \hat{\mu} + \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |[\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})] \psi(\mathfrak{Y})| \Delta \hat{\mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})]\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From our hypotheses. Clearly function  $\eta$  is nonincreasing on  $[a, b]$  and  $0 \leq \psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \leq \Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \psi(\mathfrak{Y})$  for all  $\mathfrak{Y} \in [a, b]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a+\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&= \int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})|[\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a+\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})|\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\geq \int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})|\psi(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a+\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})|\psi(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\geq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})]\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a+\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(a + \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\geq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi})]\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[a,b-\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[b-\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(b - \hat{\phi}) - \eta(\mathfrak{Y})][\Xi(\mathfrak{Y}) - \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})]\Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\geq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| [\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi})]\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By combining (2.3), (2.4), (2.12) and (2.13), we arrive at the inequality (2.11) asserted by Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** If we take  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , and consider the Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.4, we recapture [5, Theorem 2].

In the following theorem, we use the additional parameters  $\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2 \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $S_1, S_2, S_5, S_6, S_9$  be satisfied, and

$$0 \leq \hat{\phi}_1 \leq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \hat{\phi}_2 \leq b - a.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[b-\hat{\phi}_1,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \eta(b) \left( \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi}_1 \right) + M \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - f \left( b - \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \right) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu} \\
&\leq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \eta(b)\left(\hat{\phi}_2 - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) - M \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \eta(\mathfrak{S}) - f\left(a + \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* By using straightforward calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \eta(b)\left(\hat{\phi}_2 - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \\ &= \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(b)\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(b)\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &= \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\leq \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}]} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Delta\hat{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where we used the theorem's hypotheses

$$a \leq a + \hat{\phi}_1 \leq a + \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} \leq a + \hat{\phi}_2 \leq b,$$

and

$$\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{S} \in [a, b].$$

The function  $\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)$  is nonincreasing and integrable on  $[a, b]$  and by applying Theorem 2 with  $\Xi(\mathfrak{S}) = 1$ ,  $\psi(\mathfrak{S}) = M$  and  $\eta(\mathfrak{S})$  replaced by  $\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}]} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\leq -M \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \eta(\mathfrak{S}) - f\left(a + \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.15) and (2.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a, a+\hat{\phi}_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \eta(b)\left(\hat{\phi}_2 - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \\ &\leq -M \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \eta(\mathfrak{S}) - f\left(a + \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

which is the right-hand side inequality in (2.14).

Similarly, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[b-\hat{\phi}_1, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \eta(b)\left(\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi}_2\right) \\ &\geq \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} [\eta(\mathfrak{S}) - \eta(b)]\Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[b-\int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}, b]} [\eta(b) - \eta(\mathfrak{S})]\Delta\hat{\mu} \\ &\geq M \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \eta(\mathfrak{S}) - f\left(b - \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \Upsilon(\mathfrak{S})\Delta\hat{\mu}\right) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

which is the left-hand side inequality in (2.14).  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** [5, Theorem 3] can be obtained if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  and Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $S_1, S_2, S_5, S_6, S_7$  and  $S_{14}$  hold. Then

$$\int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |(\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(a + \hat{\phi}))\psi(\mathfrak{Y})|\Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.19)$$

*Proof.* Follows similar to the proof of the right-hand side inequality in Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** If we take  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , and consider the Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.6, we recapture [6, Theorem 2.12].

**Corollary 2.1.** Hypotheses  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_{10}$  and  $S_{11}$  yield

$$\int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a,a+\hat{\phi}]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} - \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \left( \frac{\eta(\mathfrak{Y})}{\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})} - \frac{\eta(a + \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(a + \hat{\phi})} \right) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.20)$$

*Proof.* Insert  $\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})$ ,  $\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \eta(\mathfrak{Y})/\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})$  and  $\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\psi(\mathfrak{Y})$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** [6, Corollary 2.3] can be recovered with the help of  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , and Lebesgue measure in Corollary 2.1.

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $S_1, S_2, S_5, S_6, S_7$  and  $S_{15}$  hold. Then

$$\int_{[b-\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |(\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) - \eta(b - \hat{\phi}))\psi(\mathfrak{Y})|\Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.21)$$

*Proof.* Carry out the same proof of the left-hand side inequality in Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** If we take  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , and consider the Lebesgue measure in Theorem 2.7, we recapture [6, Theorem 2.13].

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_9$  and  $S_{12}$ , be fulfilled. Then

$$\int_{[b-\hat{\phi},b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu} + \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left| \left( \frac{\eta(\mathfrak{Y})}{\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})} - \frac{\eta(b - \hat{\phi})}{\Xi(b - \hat{\phi})} \right) \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \right| \Delta\hat{\mu} \leq \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \eta(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})\Delta\hat{\mu}. \quad (2.22)$$

*Proof.* Proof can be completed by taking  $\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\Upsilon(\mathfrak{Y})$ ,  $\eta(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \eta(\mathfrak{Y})/\Xi(\mathfrak{Y})$  and  $\psi(\mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto \Xi(\mathfrak{Y})\psi(\mathfrak{Y})$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** By letting  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , and consider the Lebesgue measure in Corollary 2.2, we recapture [6, Corollary 2.4].

### 3. Conclusions

In this article, we explore new generalizations of the integral Steffensen inequality given in [4–6] via general time scale measure space with a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure, we generalize a number of those inequalities to a general time scale measure space. Besides that, in order to obtain some new inequalities as special cases, we also extend our inequalities to discrete and constant calculus.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Inho Hwang Incheon National University Research Grant 2021–2022.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no competing interest.

## References

1. J. F. Steffensen, On certain inequalities between mean values and their application to actuarial problems, *Scand. Actuar. J.*, **1918** (1918), 82–97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03461238.1918.10405302>
2. J. C. Evard, H. Gauchman, Steffensen type inequalities over general measure spaces, *Analysis*, **17** (1997), 301–322. <https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1997.17.23.301>
3. J. Jakšetić, J. Pečarić, K. S. Kalamir, Extension of Cerones generalizations of Steffensens inequality, *Jordan J. Math. Stat.*, **8** (2015), 179–194.
4. Pečarić, Josip E. Notes on some general inequalities, *Publ. I. Math. Beograd*, **32** (1982), 131–135.
5. S. H. Wu, H. M. Srivastava, Some improvements and generalizations of Steffensens integral inequality, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **192** (2007), 422–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2007.03.020>
6. J. Pečarić, A. Perušić, K. Smoljak, Mercer and Wu-Srivastava generalisations of Steffensens inequality, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **219** (2013), 10548–10558. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.04.028>
7. S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains-A unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.*, **18** (1990), 18–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03323153>
8. F. M. KH, A. A. El-Deeb, A. Abdeldaim, Z. A. Khan, On some generalizations of dynamic Opial-type inequalities on time scales, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2019** (2019), 323. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2268-0>
9. M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, 2001.
10. R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, A. Peterson, Inequalities on time scales: A survey, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **4** (2001), 535–557. <http://scholarbank.nus.edu.sg/handle/10635/103416>
11. R. Agarwal, D. ORegan, S. Saker. *Dynamic inequalities on time scales*, Cham: Springer, 2014.
12. S. H. Saker, A. A. El-Deeb, H. M. Rezk, R. P. Agarwal, On Hilberts inequality on time scales, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **11** (2017), 399–423. <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM170428001S>
13. Y. Tian, A. A. El-Deeb, F. Meng, Some nonlinear delay Volterra-Fredholm type dynamic integral inequalities on time scales, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, **2018** (2018), 5841985. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5841985>
14. M. U. Awan, N. Akhtar, S. Iftikhar, M. A. Noor, Y. M. Chu, New Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for  $n$ -polynomial harmonically convex functions, *J. Inequ. Appl.*, **2020** (2020), 125. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-020-02393-x>
15. H. J. Hu, L. z. Liu, Weighted inequalities for a general commutator associated to a singular integral operator satisfying a variant of Hormanders condition, *Math. Notes*, **101** (2017), 830–840. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434617050091>

16. C. X. Huang, G. Sheng, L. Z. Liu, Boundedness on Morrey space for Toeplitz type operator associated to singular integral operator with variable Caldern-Zygmund kernel, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **3** (2014), 453–464. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-08-33>
17. Y. M. Chu, H. Wang, T. H. Zhao, Sharp bounds for the Neuman mean in terms of the quadratic and second Seiffert means, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2014** (2014), 299. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2014-299>
18. C. X. Huang, L. Z. Liu, Sharp function inequalities and boundness for Toeplitz type operator related to general fractional singular integral operator, *Publ. I. Math. Beograd*, **92** (2012), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM1206165H>
19. G. A. Anastassiou, Foundations of nabla fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59** (2010), 3750–3762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.03.072>
20. G. A. Anastassiou, Principles of delta fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **52** (2010), 556–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2010.03.055>
21. G. A. Anastassiou, Integral operator inequalities on time scales, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, **7** (2012), 111–137.
22. W. N. Li, Some new dynamic inequalities on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **319** (2006), 802–814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.06.065>
23. M. Sahir, Dynamic inequalities for convex functions harmonized on time scales, *J. Appl. Math. Phys.*, **5** (2017), 2360–2370. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2017.512193>
24. A. A. M. El-Deeb, O. Bazighifan, J. Awrejcewicz, A variety of dynamic Steffensen-type inequalities on a general time scale, *Symmetry*, **13** (2021), 1738. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13091738>
25. A. A. El-Deeb, S. D. Makhareh, D. Baleanu, Dynamic Hilbert-type inequalities with Fenchel-Legendre transform, *Symmetry*, **12** (2020), 582. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12040582>
26. A. A. El-Deeb, O. Bazighifan, J. Awrejcewicz, On some new weighted Steffensen-type inequalities on time scales, *Mathematics*, **9** (2021), 2670. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9212670>
27. A. A. El-Deeb, D. Baleanu, New weighted Opial-type inequalities on time scales for convex functions, *Symmetry*, **12** (2020), 842. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12050842>



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)