Research article

Fractional-order dynamics of Chagas-HIV epidemic model with different fractional operators

Rahat Zarin\textsuperscript{1}, Amir Khan\textsuperscript{2,3}, Pushpendra Kumar\textsuperscript{4} and Usa Wannasingha Humphries\textsuperscript{3,}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{1} Department of Basic Sciences, University of Engineering and Technology, Peshawar, Pakistan
\textsuperscript{2} Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Swat, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Pakistan
\textsuperscript{3} Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut’s University of Technology, Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand
\textsuperscript{4} Institute for the Future of Knowledge, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

\textsuperscript{*} Correspondence: Email: usa.wan@kmutt.ac.th.

Abstract: In this research, we reformulate and analyze a co-infection model consisting of Chagas and HIV epidemics. The basic reproduction number $R_0$ of the proposed model is established along with the feasible region and disease-free equilibrium point $E^0$. We prove that $E^0$ is locally asymptotically stable when $R_0$ is less than one. Then, the model is fractionalized by using some important fractional derivatives in the Caputo sense. The analysis of the existence and uniqueness of the solution along with Ulam-Hyers stability is established. Finally, we solve the proposed epidemic model by using a novel numerical scheme, which is generated by Newton polynomials. The given model is numerically solved by considering some other fractional derivatives like Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and fractal-fractional with power law, exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler kernels.

Keywords: stability analysis; co-infection; reproduction number; fractional modeling

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08

1. Introduction

American trypanosomiasis, commonly known as Chagas disease, is a fatal disease transmitted by biting insects called “kissing bugs”. The \textit{Trypanosoma cruzi} parasite is the cause of Chagas disease. People who have Chagas are unaware of the disease for many years, as there are no symptoms. After several years, some of the people who have Chagas face heart damage, which consequently leads to sudden death. In Latin America, no other parasitic disease kills as many people as Chagas does. Almost 6 million people have been infected from Chagas, and per year, 173,000 new cases appear. In
Latin America, it is endemic in 21 countries, and 9,490 people have been killed in 2019. Unfortunately, countries in which Chagas is not endemic have recently been affected due to the migration of people from the endemic regions [1, 2], which results in the contact of Chagas-infected migrants with HIV-infected people, and hence a co-infection occurs [3, 4]. It has been observed that vertical transplacental transmission, contaminated blood products, and blood transfusions are the transmission means of both diseases. Intravenous drug users are a high prevalence group for Chagas, when they use contaminated needles. The prevalence of co-infection is significantly higher in this group [5].

A serious situation develops in patients when a co-infection of Chagas disease with HIV infection occurs, which results in high fatality rates [6, 7]. It has been reported that after diagnosis, it takes 10–20 days to fatal evolution. Myocarditis, meningoencephalitis and cutaneous lesions are the clinical manifestations which may present in coinfected patients [8–10]. Early diagnosis with highly active antiretroviral treatment can only improve the survival. In non-endemic regions, the professionals rarely suspect the HIV-positive patients of having Chagas.

While a number of clinical studies have been reported on the Chagas epidemic in the setting of advanced AIDS [11–14], not much has been analyzed in the setting of the Chagas epidemic and HIV co-infection despite its contingency and clinical consequence. A number of mathematical models have also been studied to simulate the spread and control of either the Chagas epidemic or advanced AIDS [15–19].

In this paper, we use fractional derivatives to simulate the proposed disease structure. Fractional calculus is a modification of classical calculus. To simulate a mathematical model of any proposed phenomena related to a real-world problem, the fractional-order derivatives are most suitable because they provide a higher degree of accuracy and are the best fit to capture the memory effects and spanning nature. Epidemic models defined in the sense of fractional derivatives provide more information about the disease dynamics as compared to the integer-order models [20, 21]. Various types of fractional derivatives in the forms of different kernel properties have been proposed by mathematicians [22–24] and have been used to simulate different problems [25–27]. For example, in [28], some researchers proposed a malaria model with Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu derivatives. Some recent studies on mathematical modeling of infectious diseases using fractional derivatives are [29–33].

The organization of our paper is as follows: Section 2 deals with the feasible region, basic reproduction number and the equilibrium point of the proposed Chagas and HIV co-infection model. Section 3 is concerned with the local stability of the proposed model. In Section 4, the deterministic model is then fractionalized by using the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative in the Caputo sense. Also, some preliminaries are available regarding different fractional derivatives in the said section. Existence and uniqueness are determined in Section 5. Section 6 deals with the Ulam-Hyers stability of our model. Section 7 depicts some simulations carried out by a new numerical scheme proposed by Atangana and Seda. Section 8 is devoted to justifying the concluding remarks along with the further scope of the study.

2. Model formulation

In the model, we split the total human population size \( N \) into five different classes: Chagas susceptible class \( S_C \), class of HIV susceptible \( S_H \), Chagas infectious class \( I_C \), class of HIV infectious \( I_H \) and the class of infected population with both Chagas and HIV \( I_{CH} \). So, the complete human population
size is specified by
\[ N(t) = S_C + S_H + I_C + I_H + I_{CH}. \] (2.1)

Thus, the mathematical model for defining the proposed structure of Chagas and/or HIV infections is derived by the following system [34]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS_C}{dt} &= \alpha \sigma - (\gamma_1 (I_C + \pi_1 I_{CH})) S_C - \mu S_C, \\
\frac{dS_H}{dt} &= (1 - \alpha) \sigma - (\gamma_2 (I_H + \pi_2 I_{CH})) S_H - \mu S_H, \\
\frac{dI_C}{dt} &= (\gamma_1 (I_C + \pi_1 I_{CH})) S_C - (\mu + \delta_1) I_C - \gamma_3 I_C I_H - \rho_C I_C + \theta_C I_{CH}, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} &= (\gamma_2 (I_H + \pi_2 I_{CH})) S_H - (\mu + \delta_2) I_H - \gamma_4 I_C I_H - \rho_H I_H + \theta_H I_{CH}, \\
\frac{dI_{CH}}{dt} &= \dot{\gamma} I_C I_H - (\mu + \delta_3) I_{CH} - \dot{\theta} I_{CH}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.2)

The parameters and the classes used in the above proposed model are specified in Tables 1 and 2.

**Table 1.** Description of the parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>Probability that contact results in infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_1 )</td>
<td>Chagas infection rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_2 )</td>
<td>HIV infection rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{\gamma} )</td>
<td>Rate of being infected with both Chagas and HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_3 )</td>
<td>Rate of joining co-infection class after infection with Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_4 )</td>
<td>Rate of joining co-infection class after infection with HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_1 )</td>
<td>Death rate of humans infected with Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_2 )</td>
<td>Death rate of humans infected with HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_3 )</td>
<td>Death rate of humans co-infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_C )</td>
<td>Recovery rate of humans infected with Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_H )</td>
<td>Recovery rate of humans infected with HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \dot{\rho} )</td>
<td>Recovery rate of humans co-infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>Rate of being infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>Natural mortality rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>Proportion of humans susceptible to Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>Proportion of humans susceptible to HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_C )</td>
<td>Rate at which co-infected humans recover from Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_H )</td>
<td>Rate at which co-infected humans recover from HIV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Description of the model classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans susceptible to Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans susceptible to HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans infected with Chagas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans infected with HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₄ₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans infected with Chagas and HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₄ₜ</td>
<td>Number of humans recovered from both infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. Basic reproduction number $\mathbb{R}_0$

The region

$$\Delta = \left\{ (S_C, S_H, I_C, I_{CH}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 \middle| 0 \leq N \leq \frac{\sigma}{\mu} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

is positively invariant for (2.2), and all solutions of $(S_C, S_H, I_C, I_{CH}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$ remain in $\Delta$ for all $t > 0$.

The disease-free equilibrium (DFE) denoted by $E_0$ is

$$E_0 = (S_{C0}, S_{H0}, I_{C0}, I_{CH0}) = \left( \frac{\alpha\sigma}{\mu}, \frac{(1 - \alpha)\sigma}{\mu}, 0, 0, 0 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Let $(I_C, I_H, I_{CH})$ be our infected compartment, and then it follows from system (2.2) that

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{dI_C}{dt} & = (\gamma_1(I_C + \pi_1I_{CH}))S_C - (\mu + \delta_1)I_C - \gamma_3I_CI_H - \rho_CI_C + \theta_CI_{CH}, \\
\frac{dI_H}{dt} & = (\gamma_2(I_H + \pi_2I_{CH}))S_H - (\mu + \delta_2)I_H - \gamma_4I_CI_H - \rho_HI_H + \theta_HI_{CH}, \\
\frac{dI_{CH}}{dt} & = \gamma I_CI_H - (\mu + \delta_3)I_{CH} - \theta I_{CH},
\end{array}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

the Jacobian matrix of the model is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_1S_C - (\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C) & 0 & \gamma_1\pi_1S_C + \theta_C \\
0 & \gamma_2S_H - (\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H) & \gamma_2\pi_2S_H + \theta_H \\
0 & 0 & -(\mu + \delta_3 + \theta)
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

Arranging $J$ such that $J = F - V$, we get

$$F = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_1S_C & 0 & \gamma_1\pi_1S_C \\
0 & \gamma_2S_H & \gamma_2\pi_2S_H \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where the elements in the matrix $F$ constitute the new infection terms

$$V = \begin{pmatrix}
(\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C) & 0 & -\theta_C \\
0 & (\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H) & -\theta_H \\
0 & 0 & (\mu + \delta_3 + \theta)
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)
The matrix $V$ represents the exchange of infection from one compartment to another. Therefore, the next generation matrix defined by $FV^{-1}$ is

$$FV^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\gamma_1 S_C^0}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C} & 0 & \frac{-\gamma_1 \mu S_C^0}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C}
0 & \frac{\gamma_2 S_H^0}{\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H} & \frac{\gamma_2 \rho S_H^0}{\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H}
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C} + \frac{1}{\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H}.
$$

(2.9)

Thus, $R_0$, which is the dominant eigenvalue of matrix $FV^{-1}$, is obtained as

$$R_0 = \max(R_{OC}, R_{OH}) = \max\left(\frac{\gamma_1 S_C^0}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C}, \frac{\gamma_2 S_H^0}{\mu + \delta_2 + \rho_H}\right),$$

(2.10)

where $R_{OC}$ and $R_{OH}$ are the reproduction numbers for Chagas and HIV, respectively.

3. Local stability

**Theorem 3.1.** The disease-free equilibrium $E^0$ of the system (2.2) is locally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$.

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix of the system (2.2) at $E^0$ is given by

$$J^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\mu & 0 & -\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu} & 0 & -\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu} \\
0 & -\mu & 0 & -\gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma}{\mu} & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma}{\mu} & -\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu} + \delta_C & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha_{11} & -\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu} + \delta_H & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma}{\mu} + \delta_H & -\mu + \delta_3 + \delta_H
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(3.1)

where $a_{11} = (\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C - \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu})$ and $a_{22} = (\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H - \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma}{\mu})$.

The characteristic equation of $J^{(0)}$ is given by

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda + \mu)(\lambda + \mu)(\lambda + \mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C - \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu})(\lambda + \mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H - \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha) \sigma}{\mu}) (\lambda + (\mu + \delta_3 + \delta_H) = 0.
$$

(3.2)

The three eigenvalues of the characteristic equation of $J^{(0)}$ are negative, i.e., $\lambda_1 = -\mu$, $\lambda_2 = -\mu$, and $\lambda_3 = -(\mu + \delta_3 + \delta_H)$.

The eigenvalue $\lambda_3 = -(\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C - \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu})$ is negative if $\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C - \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu} > 0$, that is

$$\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C > \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu}, \text{ or } \frac{\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu}}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C} < 1,$$

and by definition

$$R_{OC} = \frac{\gamma_1 \frac{\alpha \sigma}{\mu}}{\mu + \delta_1 + \rho_C} < 1.$$
Similarly, \( \lambda_4 = -(\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H - \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)\sigma}{\mu}) \) is negative if \( (\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H - \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)\sigma}{\mu}) > 0 \), that is
\[
\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H > \gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)\sigma}{\mu}, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)\sigma}{\mu}}{\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H} < 1,
\]

and by definition
\[
\Re_{0H} = \frac{\gamma_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)\sigma}{\mu}}{\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4 + \rho_H} < 1.
\]

So, \( \Re_0 = \max(\Re_{0C}, \Re_{0H}) \) implies that \( \Re_0 < 1 \).

This shows that the DFE point is asymptotically stable if \( \Re_0 < 1 \).

4. Preliminaries

Here, we present some definitions of integral and differential operators, starting with the Caputo fractional derivative
\[
^C D_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t d\Psi f(\Psi)(t-\Psi)^{1-\alpha} d\Psi, \quad (4.1)
\]

the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative
\[
^CF D_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{M(\Xi)}{1-\Xi} \int_0^t d\Psi f(\Psi) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Xi}{1-\Xi} (t-\Psi) \right\} d\Psi, \quad (4.2)
\]

and the Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo (ABC) fractional derivative
\[
^ABC D_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{AB(\Xi)}{1-\Xi} \int_0^t d\Psi f(\Psi) E_\Xi \left\{ -\frac{\Xi}{1-\Xi} (t-\Psi)^\Xi \right\} d\Psi. \quad (4.3)
\]

The fractal-fractional derivatives with power-law kernel, exponential decay kernel, and Mittag-Leffler kernel are given by
\[
^ {FFF} D_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{d\Psi}{df(t)} f(\Psi) d\Psi, \quad (4.4)
\]

where
\[
\frac{d\Psi}{df(t)} = \lim_{t \to t_1} \frac{\Phi(t) - f(t_1)}{t^{2-\psi} - t^{2-\psi}_1}(2 - \psi), \quad (4.5)
\]

The fractal-fractional integrals with power-law, exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler kernels, respectively, are given below:
\[
^ {FFF} J_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t-\Psi)^{\Xi-1} \Phi^{1-\psi}(\Psi) d\Psi, \quad (4.6)
\]

\[
^ {FFF} J_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} t^{1-\psi} \Phi(t) + \frac{\Xi}{M(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t-\Psi)^{\Xi-1} \Phi^{1-\psi}(\Psi) d\Psi, \quad (4.6)
\]

\[
^ {FFF} J_t^\alpha \Phi(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} t^{1-\psi} \Phi(t) + \frac{\Xi}{AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t-\Psi)^{\Xi-1} \Phi^{1-\psi}(\Psi) d\Psi.
\]
4.1. Fractional order model

To the best of our knowledge, no one has yet considered the fractional-order Chagas-HIV epidemic model in the sense of the ABC fractional derivative. Therefore, getting motivation from the above-given model (2.2), we consider a fractional-order Chagas-HIV model using the ABC fractional derivative [26], which is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [S_C(t)] &= \{a\sigma - (\gamma_1(I_C + \pi_1I_{CH})) S_C - \mu S_C\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [S_H(t)] &= \{(1 - \alpha)\sigma - (\gamma_2(I_H + \pi_2I_{CH})) S_H - \mu S_H\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_C(t)] &= \{\gamma_1(I_C + \pi_1I_{CH}) S_C - (\mu + \delta_1)I_C - \gamma_3I_C I_H - \rho C I_C + \theta C I_{CH}\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_H(t)] &= \{\gamma_2(I_H + \pi_2I_{CH}) S_H - (\mu + \delta_2)I_H - \gamma_4I_C I_H - \rho H I_H + \theta H I_{CH}\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_{CH}(t)] &= \{\gamma I_C I_H - (\mu + \delta_3)I_{CH} - \theta I_{CH}\},
\end{align*}
\]

with the initial sizes of given classes

\[S_C(0), \quad S_H(0), \quad I_C(0), \quad I_H(0), \quad I_{CH}(0) \geq 0.\]

5. Existence and uniqueness of solution for the ABC model

First, we derive the existence and uniqueness of the solution with respect to the Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo derivative for the system (4.7). Consider a continuous real-valued function denoted by \(B(J)\) associated to the supremum-norm characteristic, is a Banach space on \(J = [0, b]\) and \(P = B(J) \times B(J) \times B(J) \times B(J)\) with norm \(||(S_C, S_H, I_C, I_H, I_{CH})|| = ||S_C|| + ||S_H|| + ||I_C|| + ||I_H|| + ||I_{CH}||\), where \(||S_C|| = \sup_{t \in J} |S_C(t)|, ||S_H|| = \sup_{t \in J} |S_H(t)|, ||I_C|| = \sup_{t \in J} |I_C(t)|, ||I_H|| = \sup_{t \in J} |I_H(t)|, ||I_{CH}|| = \sup_{t \in J} |I_{CH}(t)|\). Using the Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo fractional integral operator on both sides of Eq (4.7), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [S_C(t)] &= \{a\sigma - (\gamma_1(I_C + \pi_1I_{CH})) S_C - \mu S_C\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [S_H(t)] &= \{(1 - \alpha)\sigma - (\gamma_2(I_H + \pi_2I_{CH})) S_H - \mu S_H\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_C(t)] &= \{\gamma_1(I_C + \pi_1I_{CH}) S_C - (\mu + \delta_1)I_C - \gamma_3I_C I_H - \rho C I_C + \theta C I_{CH}\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_H(t)] &= \{\gamma_2(I_H + \pi_2I_{CH}) S_H - (\mu + \delta_2)I_H - \gamma_4I_C I_H - \rho H I_H + \theta H I_{CH}\}, \\
\text{ABC}_{0+} D_t^\alpha [I_{CH}(t)] &= \{\gamma I_C I_H - (\mu + \delta_3)I_{CH} - \theta I_{CH}\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, the definition (4.3) leads us to

\[
\begin{align*}
S_C(t) - S_C(0) &= \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} R_1(\Xi, \theta, S_C(\theta)) d\theta, \\
S_H(t) - S_H(0) &= \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_2(\Xi, t, S_H(t)) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} R_2(\Xi, \theta, S_H(\theta)) d\theta, \\
I_C(t) - I_C(0) &= -\frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_3(\Xi, t, I_C(t)) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} R_3(\Xi, \theta, I_C(\theta)) d\theta, \\
I_H(t) - I_H(0) &= -\frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_4(\Xi, t, I_H(t)) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} R_4(\Xi, \theta, I_H(\theta)) d\theta, \\
I_{CH}(t) - I_{CH}(0) &= -\frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_5(\Xi, t, I_{CH}(t)) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} R_5(\Xi, \theta, I_{CH}(\theta)) d\theta,
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) = a\sigma - (\gamma_1(\bar{I}_C + \pi_1\bar{I}_{CH})) S_C - \mu S_C,
\]
\[
R_2(\Xi, t, S_H(t)) = (1 - a)\sigma - (\gamma_2(\bar{S}_H + \pi_2\bar{S}_{CH})) S_H - \mu S_H,
\]
\[
R_3(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_C(t)) = (\gamma_1(\bar{I}_C + \pi_1\bar{I}_{CH})) S_C - (\mu + \delta_1)\bar{I}_C - \gamma_3\bar{I}_C\bar{I}_H - \rho C\bar{I}_C + \theta C\bar{I}_{CH},
\]
\[
R_4(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_H(t)) = (\gamma_2(\bar{I}_H + \pi_2\bar{I}_{CH})) S_H - (\mu + \delta_2)\bar{I}_H - \gamma_4\bar{I}_C\bar{I}_H - \rho H\bar{I}_H + \theta H\bar{I}_{CH},
\]
\[
R_5(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_{CH}(t)) = \gamma\bar{I}_C\bar{I}_H - (\mu + \delta_3)\bar{I}_{CH} - \bar{\theta} \bar{I}_{CH}.
\]

The symbols $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$, $R_4$ and $R_5$ have to hold for the Lipschitz condition only if $S_C(t)$, $S_H(t)$, $\bar{I}_C(t)$, $\bar{I}_H(t)$ and $\bar{I}_{CH}(t)$ possess an upper bound. Taking that $S_C(t)$ and $S_C(t)$ are couple functions, we get
\[
\left| R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) - R_1(\Xi, t, S_C^*(t)) \right| = \left| ((\gamma_1(\bar{I}_C + \pi_1\bar{I}_{CH})) + \mu)(S_C(t) - S_C^*(t)) \right|. \tag{5.4}
\]
Taking into account $\eta_1 := \left| ((\gamma_1(\bar{I}_C + \pi_1\bar{I}_{CH})) + \mu)\right|$, one reaches
\[
\left| R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) - R_1(\Xi, t, S_C^*(t)) \right| \leq \eta_1 \left| S_C(t) - S_C^*(t) \right|. \tag{5.5}
\]
Also, we can get
\[
\left| R_2(\Xi, t, S_H(t)) - R_2(\Xi, t, S_H^*(t)) \right| \leq \eta_2 \left| S_H(t) - S_H^*(t) \right|,
\]
\[
\left| R_3(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_C(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, I_C^*(t)) \right| \leq \eta_3 \left| \bar{I}_C(t) - I_C^*(t) \right|,
\]
\[
\left| R_4(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_H(t)) - R_4(\Xi, t, I_H^*(t)) \right| \leq \eta_4 \left| \bar{I}_H(t) - I_H^*(t) \right|,
\]
\[
\left| R_5(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_{CH}(t)) - R_5(\Xi, t, I_{CH}^*(t)) \right| \leq \eta_5 \left| \bar{I}_{CH}(t) - I_{CH}^*(t) \right|.
\]
where
\[
\eta_2 = \left| (\gamma_2(\bar{I}_H + \pi_2\bar{I}_{CH})) + \mu \right|,
\]
\[
\eta_3 = \left| (\mu + \delta_1 + \gamma_3\bar{I}_H + \rho C) \right|,
\]
\[
\eta_4 = \left| (\mu + \delta_2 + \gamma_4\bar{I}_H + \rho H) \right|,
\]
\[
\eta_5 = \left| (\mu + \delta_3 + \bar{\theta}) \right|,
\]
which shows that the Lipschitz condition holds. Continuing in a recursive manner, the expressions in (5.2) yield
\[
S_{Ch}(t) - S_{Ch}(0) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_1(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_{Ch(t-1)}) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} R_1(\Xi, \vartheta, \bar{S}_{Ch(t-1)}(\vartheta)) d\vartheta,
\]
\[
S_{Hn}(t) - S_{Hn}(0) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_2(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_{Hn(t-1)}) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} R_2(\Xi, \vartheta, \bar{S}_{Hn(t-1)}(\vartheta)) d\vartheta,
\]
\[
\bar{I}_{Ch}(t) - \bar{I}_{Ch}(0) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_3(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_{Ch(t-1)}) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} R_3(\Xi, \vartheta, \bar{I}_{Ch(t-1)}(\vartheta)) d\vartheta,
\]
\[
\bar{I}_{Hn}(t) - \bar{I}_{Hn}(0) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_4(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_{Hn(t-1)}) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} R_4(\Xi, \vartheta, \bar{I}_{Hn(t-1)}(\vartheta)) d\vartheta,
\]
\[
\bar{I}_{CHn}(t) - \bar{I}_{CHn}(0) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} R_5(\Xi, t, \bar{I}_{CHn(t-1)}) + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi)} \times \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} R_5(\Xi, \vartheta, \bar{I}_{CHn(t-1)}(\vartheta)) d\vartheta.
\]
Together with $S_{C0}(t) = S_C(0)$, $S_{H0}(t) = S_H(0)$, $I_{C0}(t) = I_C(0)$, $I_{H0}(t) = I_H(0)$ and $I_{CH0}(t) = I_{CH}(0)$, differences of consecutive terms yield

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{S,n}(t) &= S_C(t) - S_{Cn-1}(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} (R_1(\Xi, t, S_{Cn-1}(t)) - R_1(\Xi, t, S_{Cn-2}(t))) \\
&+ \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} (R_1(\Xi, \theta, S_{Cn-1}(\theta)) - R_1(\Xi, \theta, S_{Cn-2}(\theta))) d\theta,
\mathcal{I}_{S,n}(t) &= S_H(t) - S_{Hn-1}(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} (R_2(\Xi, t, S_{Hn-1}(t)) - R_2(\Xi, t, S_{Hn-2}(t))) \\
&+ \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} (R_2(\Xi, \theta, S_{Hn-1}(\theta)) - R_2(\Xi, \theta, S_{Hn-2}(\theta))) d\theta,
\mathcal{I}_{I,n}(t) &= I_{C1n}(t) - I_{Cn-1}(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} (R_3(\Xi, t, I_{Cn-1}(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, I_{Cn-2}(t))) \\
&+ \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} (R_3(\Xi, \theta, I_{Cn-1}(\theta)) - R_3(\Xi, \theta, I_{Cn-2}(\theta))) d\theta,
\mathcal{I}_{I,n}(t) &= I_{H2n}(t) - I_{Hn-1}(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} (R_4(\Xi, t, I_{Hn-1}(t)) - R_4(\Xi, t, I_{Hn-2}(t))) \\
&+ \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} (R_4(\Xi, \theta, I_{Hn-1}(\theta)) - R_4(\Xi, \theta, I_{Hn-2}(\theta))) d\theta,
\mathcal{I}_{I,n}(t) &= I_{CH,n}(t) - I_{CHn-1}(t) = \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} (R_5(\Xi, t, I_{CHn-1}(t)) - R_5(\Xi, t, I_{CHn-2}(t))) \\
&+ \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} (R_5(\Xi, \theta, I_{CH,n-1}(\theta)) - R_5(\Xi, \theta, I_{CH,n-2}(\theta))) d\theta.
\end{align*}$$

(5.8)

It is vital to observe that $S_{Cn}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{S,c,i}(t)$, $S_{Hn}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{S,h,i}(t)$, $I_{Cn}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{I,c,i}(t)$, $I_{Hn}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{I,h,i}(t)$, $I_{CHn}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{I}_{I,ch,i}(t)$. Additionally, by using Eqs (5.5), (5.6) and considering that $\mathcal{I}_{S,c,1}(t) = S_{C1}(t) - S_{C2}(t)$, $\mathcal{I}_{S,h,1}(t) = S_{H1}(t) - S_{H2}(t)$, $\mathcal{I}_{I,c,1}(t) = I_{C1}(t) - I_{C2}(t)$, $\mathcal{I}_{I,h,1}(t) = I_{H1}(t) - I_{H2}(t)$, $\mathcal{I}_{I,ch,1}(t) = I_{CH1}(t) - I_{CH2}(t)$, we reach

$$\begin{align*}
\|\mathcal{I}_{S,c,n}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_1 \|\mathcal{I}_{S,c,n-1}(t)\| \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} \|\mathcal{I}_{S,c,n-1}(t)\| d\theta, \\
\|\mathcal{I}_{S,h,n}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_2 \|\mathcal{I}_{S,h,n-1}(t)\| \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} \|\mathcal{I}_{S,h,n-1}(t)\| d\theta, \\
\|\mathcal{I}_{I,c,n}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_3 \|\mathcal{I}_{I,c,n-1}(t)\| \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} \|\mathcal{I}_{I,c,n-1}(t)\| d\theta, \\
\|\mathcal{I}_{I,h,n}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_4 \|\mathcal{I}_{I,h,n-1}(t)\| \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} \|\mathcal{I}_{I,h,n-1}(t)\| d\theta, \\
\|\mathcal{I}_{I,ch,n}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_5 \|\mathcal{I}_{I,ch,n-1}(t)\| \times \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi-1} \|\mathcal{I}_{I,ch,n-1}(t)\| d\theta.
\end{align*}$$

(5.9)

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that the following condition holds:

$$\frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_i + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \eta_i^2 < 1, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, 5.$$ (5.10)

Then, (4.7) has a unique solution for $t \in [0, b]$.
Therefore, using Eq (5.9), together with a recursive hypothesis, we derive observed from Eqs (5.5) and (5.6), the symbols $K_i$ for any $i$,

\[ K_i \]

It is given that $K_i$, we have

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

Thus, we can observe that sequences exist that satisfy $K_i \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, from Eq (5.11) and applying the triangle inequality, for any $k$, we have

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

Thus, we can observe that sequences exist that satisfy $K_i \rightarrow 0$, $K_i \rightarrow 0$, $K_i \rightarrow 0$, $K_i \rightarrow 0$, $K_i \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, from Eq (5.11) and applying the triangle inequality, for any $k$, we have

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

with $Z_i = \frac{1 - \xi}{B(\Xi)} \eta_i + \frac{\xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} b^\Xi \eta_i < 1$ by hypothesis. Similarly, we can prove the existence of a unique solution for the proposed model in terms of other fractional derivatives.

6. Hyers-Ulam stability

**Definition 6.1.** [23] The ABC fractional integral model proposed by Eq (5.2) is called Hyers-Ulam stable if there exist constants $\zeta_i > 0$, $i \in N^5$ satisfying the following: For every $\gamma_i > 0$, $i \in N^5$, when

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]

\[ K_i \]
Theorem 6.1. \textit{With the definition of J, the given model of fractional order (5.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable.}

\textbf{Proof.} Using Theorem 5.1, the given ABC fractional system (5.1) contains a unique solution \((S_C(t), S_H(t), \Upsilon_C(t), \Upsilon_H(t), \Upsilon_{CH}(t))\) satisfying the equations of system (5.2). Then, we have

\begin{align*}
\|S_C(t) - \bar{S}_C(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \left\| R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) - R_1(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_C(t)) \right\| + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} \left\| R_1(\Xi, t, S_C(t)) - R_1(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_C(t)) \right\| d\vartheta, \\
\|S_H(t) - \bar{S}_H(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \left\| R_2(\Xi, t, S_H(t)) - R_2(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_H(t)) \right\| + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} \left\| R_2(\Xi, t, S_H(t)) - R_2(\Xi, t, \bar{S}_H(t)) \right\| d\vartheta, \\
\|\Upsilon_C(t) - \bar{\Upsilon}_C(t)\| &\leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \left\| R_3(\Xi, t, \Upsilon_C(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, \bar{\Upsilon}_C(t)) \right\| + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \vartheta)^{-1} \left\| R_3(\Xi, t, \Upsilon_C(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, \bar{\Upsilon}_C(t)) \right\| d\vartheta,
\end{align*}

such that

\begin{align*}
&\|S_C(t) - \bar{S}_C(t)\| \leq \zeta_1 \gamma_1, \quad \|S_H(t) - \bar{S}_H(t)\| \leq \zeta_2 \gamma_2, \quad \|\Upsilon_C(t) - \bar{\Upsilon}_C(t)\| \leq \zeta_3 \gamma_3, \\
&\|\Upsilon_H(t) - \bar{\Upsilon}_H(t)\| \leq \zeta_4 \gamma_4, \quad \|\Upsilon_{CH}(t) - \bar{\Upsilon}_{CH}(t)\| \leq \zeta_5 \gamma_5.
\end{align*}

Theorem 6.1. \textit{With the definition of J, the given model of fractional order (5.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable.}
\[ \|H(t) - \dot{H}(t)\| \leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \|R_4(\Xi, t, I_H(t)) - R_4(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_H(t))\| \]
\[ + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} \left\|R_4(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_H(t)) - R_4(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_H(t))\right\| d\theta \quad (6.8) \]
\[ \leq \left[ \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \right] \|I_H - \dot{I}_H\|, \]
\[ \|I_{CH}(t) - \dot{I}_{CH}(t)\| \leq \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} \left\|R_3(\Xi, t, I_{CH}(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_{CH}(t))\right\| \]
\[ + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \int_0^t (t - \theta)^{\Xi - 1} \left\|R_3(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_{CH}(t)) - R_3(\Xi, t, \dot{I}_{CH}(t))\right\| d\theta \quad (6.9) \]
\[ \leq \left[ \frac{1 - \Xi}{B(\Xi)} + \frac{\Xi}{B(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi)} \right] \|I_{CH} - \dot{I}_{CH}\|. \]

Taking \( \gamma_i = \Xi_i, \Delta_i = \frac{1 - \Xi_i}{B(\Xi_i)} + \frac{\Xi_i}{B(\Xi_i) \Gamma(\Xi_i)}, \) this implies
\[ \|S_C(t) - \dot{S}_C(t)\| \leq \gamma_1 \Delta_1. \quad (6.10) \]

Following the same procedure, we have
\[ \|S_H(t) - \dot{S}_H(t)\| \leq \gamma_2 \Delta_2, \]
\[ \|S_C(t) - \dot{S}_C(t)\| \leq \gamma_3 \Delta_3, \]
\[ \|S_H(t) - \dot{S}_H(t)\| \leq \gamma_4 \Delta_4, \]
\[ \|I_{CH}(t) - \dot{I}_{CH}(t)\| \leq \gamma_5 \Delta_5. \quad (6.11) \]

From the results of Eqs (6.10) and (6.11), the AB fractional integral model (5.2) is Hyers-Ulam stable, and consequently the AB-fractional order model (5.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable. This ends the proof. \( \square \)

7. Numerical scheme

Now, we derive a numerical scheme for our model. We shall start with the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, and this will be followed by the Caputo and Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives. Finally, we will solve the models with fractal-fractional derivatives. So, the Caputo-Fabrizio model is given by
\[ \begin{align*}
0_C D_t^\Xi S_C &= \alpha \sigma - (\gamma_1 (I_C + \pi_1 I_{CH})) S_C - \mu S_C, \\
0_C D_t^\Xi S_H &= (1 - \alpha) \sigma - (\gamma_2 (I_H + \pi_2 I_{CH})) S_H - \mu S_H, \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_C &= (\gamma_1 (I_C + \pi_1 I_{CH})) S_C - (\mu + \delta_1) I_C - \gamma_3 I_C - \rho C I_C + \theta C I_{CH}, \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_H &= (\gamma_2 (I_H + \pi_2 I_{CH})) S_H - (\mu + \delta_2) I_H - \gamma_4 I_H - \rho H I_H + \theta H I_{CH}, \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_{CH} &= \gamma_{CH} I_{CH} - (\mu + \delta_3) I_{CH} - \partial I_{CH}. 
\end{align*} \quad (7.1) \]

For simplicity, we write the above equation as follows
\[ \begin{align*}
0_C D_t^\Xi S_C &= S_C^*(t), \\
0_C D_t^\Xi S_H &= S_H^*(t), \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_C &= I_C^*(t), \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_H &= I_H^*(t), \\
0_C D_t^\Xi I_{CH} &= I_{CH}^*(t). 
\end{align*} \quad (7.2) \]
After applying the fractional integral with exponential kernel and putting Newton polynomials into these equations, we can solve our model as follows:

\[
S_C^{v+1} = S_C^v + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ S_C^v(t_v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) + \sum_{u=2}^{v} \frac{23\Xi}{12} (t_u, S_C^u, S_H^u, \Gamma^u, \Gamma_{CH}^u) \Delta t - \frac{4\Xi}{3} (t_{u-1}, S_C^{u-1}, S_H^{u-1}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-1}) \Delta t + \frac{5}{12} (t_{u-2}, S_C^{u-2}, S_H^{u-2}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-2}) \Delta t \right]
\]

\[
S_H^{v+1} = S_H^v + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ S_H^v(t_v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) + \sum_{u=2}^{v} \frac{23\Xi}{12} (t_u, S_C^u, S_H^u, \Gamma^u, \Gamma_{CH}^u) \Delta t - \frac{4\Xi}{3} (t_{u-1}, S_C^{u-1}, S_H^{u-1}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-1}) \Delta t + \frac{5}{12} (t_{u-2}, S_C^{u-2}, S_H^{u-2}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-2}) \Delta t \right]
\]

\[
\Gamma^v C^1 = \Gamma_C^v + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ \Gamma_C^v(t_v, \Gamma^v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) + \sum_{u=2}^{v} \frac{23\Xi}{12} (t_u, \Gamma^u, S_C^u, S_H^u, \Gamma^u, \Gamma_{CH}^u) \Delta t - \frac{4\Xi}{3} (t_{u-1}, \Gamma_{u-1}, S_C^{u-1}, S_H^{u-1}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-1}) \Delta t + \frac{5}{12} (t_{u-2}, \Gamma_{u-2}, S_C^{u-2}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-2}) \Delta t \right]
\]

\[
\Gamma_{CH}^v C^1 = \Gamma_{CH}^v + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ \Gamma_{CH}^v(t_v, \Gamma^v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) + \sum_{u=2}^{v} \frac{23\Xi}{12} (t_u, \Gamma^u, S_C^u, S_H^u, \Gamma^u, \Gamma_{CH}^u) \Delta t - \frac{4\Xi}{3} (t_{u-1}, \Gamma_{u-1}, S_C^{u-1}, S_H^{u-1}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-1}) \Delta t + \frac{5}{12} (t_{u-2}, \Gamma_{u-2}, S_C^{u-2}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-2}) \Delta t \right]
\]

We have the following numerical scheme for the Mittag-Leffler case:

\[
S_C^{v+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} + S_C^v(t_v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) + \sum_{u=2}^{v} S_C^u(t_v, S_C^v, S_H^v, \Gamma^v, \Gamma_{CH}^v) \left( \frac{23\Xi}{12} (t_u, S_C^u, S_H^u, \Gamma^u, \Gamma_{CH}^u) \Delta t - \frac{4\Xi}{3} (t_{u-1}, S_C^{u-1}, S_H^{u-1}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-1}) \Delta t + \frac{5}{12} (t_{u-2}, S_C^{u-2}, S_H^{u-2}, \Gamma_{CH}^{u-2}) \Delta t \right)
\]
$$S_{H}^{v+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} + S_{H}(t_{v}, S_{v}^{C}, S_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{C}, T_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{CH})$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} S_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH}) \Pi$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left[ S_{H}(t_{u-1, S_{u-1}^{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{C}, T_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{CH}) \right] \Sigma$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
S_{H}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH})
\end{array} \right\} \Delta,$$

$$\Gamma_{C}^{v+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} + \Gamma_{C}(t_{v}, S_{v}^{C}, S_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{C}, T_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{CH})$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \Gamma_{C}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH}) \Pi$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left[ \Gamma_{C}(t_{u-1, S_{u-1}^{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{C}, T_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{CH}) \right] \Sigma$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_{C}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH})
\end{array} \right\} \Delta,$$

$$\Gamma_{H}^{v+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} + \Gamma_{H}(t_{v}, S_{v}^{C}, S_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{C}, T_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{CH})$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \Gamma_{H}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH}) \Pi$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left[ \Gamma_{H}(t_{u-1, S_{u-1}^{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{C}, T_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{CH}) \right] \Sigma$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_{H}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH})
\end{array} \right\} \Delta,$$

$$\Gamma_{CH}^{v+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} + \Gamma_{CH}(t_{v}, S_{v}^{C}, S_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{C}, T_{v}^{H}, T_{v}^{CH})$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \Gamma_{CH}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH}) \Pi$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left[ \Gamma_{CH}(t_{u-1, S_{u-1}^{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{C}, T_{u-1}^{H}, T_{u-1}^{CH}) \right] \Sigma$$

$$+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)^{\Xi}}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_{CH}(t_{u-2, S_{u-2}^{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{C}, S_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{C}, T_{u-2}^{H}, T_{u-2}^{CH})
\end{array} \right\} \Delta,$$
\[ \Delta = \frac{(v - u + 1)^\Xi \left[ 2(v - u)^2 + (3\Xi + 10)(v - u) \right]}{+2\Xi^2 + 9\Xi + 12} \]

\[ -\frac{(v - u)^\Xi \left[ 2(v - u)^2 + (5\Xi + 10)(v - u) \right]}{+6\Xi^2 + 18\Xi + 12} \]

\[ \Sigma = \frac{(v - u + 1)^\Xi (v - u + 3 + 2\Xi)}{(v - u + 3 + 3\Xi)} \]

\[ \Pi = [(v - u + 1)^\Xi - (v - u)^\Xi]. \]

Finally, we have the following numerical approximation with the Caputo derivative:

\[ S_{C}^{y+1} = \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} S_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}, \xi_{H}, \xi_{CH}) \Pi \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ S_{C}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - S_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Sigma \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ S_{C}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - S_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Delta, \]

\[ S_{H}^{y+1} = \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} S_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}, \xi_{H}, \xi_{CH}) \Pi \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ S_{H}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - S_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Sigma \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ S_{H}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - S_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Delta, \]

\[ \Pi_{C}^{y+1} = \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \Pi_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}, \xi_{H}, \xi_{CH}) \Pi \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ \Pi_{C}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - \Pi_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Sigma \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ \Pi_{C}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - \Pi_{C}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Delta, \]

\[ \Pi_{H}^{y+1} = \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \Pi_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}, \xi_{H}, \xi_{CH}) \Pi \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ \Pi_{H}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - \Pi_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Sigma \]

\[ + \frac{(\Delta t)^\Xi}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{y} \left[ \Pi_{H}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{C}^{u-1}, \xi_{H}^{u-1}, \xi_{CH}^{u-1}) - \Pi_{H}(t_{u-2}, S_{C}^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{C}^{u-2}, \xi_{H}^{u-2}, \xi_{CH}^{u-2}) \right] \Delta, \]
\[
\Psi_{CH}^{v+1} = \frac{\langle \Delta t \rangle}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \mathcal{I}_{t}^{u} \Psi_{CH}(t_{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}) \Pi
n + \frac{\langle \Delta t \rangle}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left[ \Psi_{CH}(t_{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \right] \Sigma
n + \frac{\langle \Delta t \rangle}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
-2t_{\Psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} \Psi_{CH}(t_{u-1}, S_{u-1}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C)
+ t_{\Psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} \Psi_{CH}(t_{u-2}, S_{u-2}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C)
\end{array} \right\} \Delta.
\]

Now, we consider our model with fractal-fractional operators. We start with the Caputo-Fabrizio fractal-fractional derivative

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FFE } D_{t}^{\Xi \Phi} S_{C} &= S_{C}(t, S_{C}, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}), \\
\text{FFE } D_{t}^{\Xi \Phi} S_{H} &= S_{H}(t, S_{C}, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}), \\
\text{FFE } D_{t}^{\Xi \Phi} I_{C} &= I_{C}(t, S_{C}, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}), \\
\text{FFE } D_{t}^{\Xi \Phi} I_{H} &= I_{H}(t, S_{C}, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}), \\
\text{FFE } D_{t}^{\Xi \Phi} I_{CH} &= I_{CH}(t, S_{C}, S_{H}, I_{C}, I_{H}, I_{CH}).
\end{align*}
\tag{7.3}
\]

After applying the fractal-fractional integral with exponential kernel, we have the following scheme for this model:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{C}^{v+1} &= S_{C}^{v} + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ \begin{array}{l}
t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} S_{C}(t_{v}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \\
-4t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} S_{C}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C)
\end{array} \right] \]
+ \frac{\Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
23t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{C}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
-4t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{C}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
+ 5t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{C}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
\end{array} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{H}^{v+1} &= S_{H}^{v} + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ \begin{array}{l}
t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} S_{H}(t_{v}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \\
-4t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} S_{H}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C)
\end{array} \right] \]
+ \frac{\Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
23t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{H}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
-4t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{H}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
+ 5t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} S_{H}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
\end{array} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{C}^{v+1} &= I_{C}^{v} + \frac{1 - \Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ \begin{array}{l}
t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} I_{C}(t_{v}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \\
-4t_{\psi}^{\mathcal{I}N} I_{C}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C)
\end{array} \right] \]
+ \frac{\Xi}{M(\Xi)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
23t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} I_{C}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
-4t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} I_{C}(t_{v-1}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
+ 5t_{\mathcal{I}N}^{\psi} I_{C}(t_{v-2}, S_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, S_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{C}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{H}^{\mathcal{I}N} C, I_{CH}^{\mathcal{I}N} C) \langle \Delta t \rangle
\end{array} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{n+1}^H & = \Gamma_n^H + \frac{1 - \xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ I_{n+1}^H(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) + I_{n}^H(t, S_C^{v-1}, S_{H}^{v-1}, H_{pCH}) \right] \\
& + \frac{\xi}{M(\Xi)} \left\{ \frac{23}{3} I_{n+1}^H(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) \Delta t \\
& + \frac{4}{3} I_{n}^H(t, S_C^{v-1}, S_{H}^{v-1}, H_{pCH}) \Delta t \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{n+1}^{Ch} & = \Sigma_n^{Ch} + \frac{1 - \xi}{M(\Xi)} \left[ I_{n+1}^{Ch}(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) + I_{n}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{v-1}, S_{H}^{v-1}, H_{pCH}) \right] \\
& + \frac{\xi}{M(\Xi)} \left\{ \frac{23}{3} I_{n+1}^{Ch}(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) \Delta t \\
& + \frac{4}{3} I_{n}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{v-1}, S_{H}^{v-1}, H_{pCH}) \Delta t \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

For the Mittag-Leffler kernel, we have the following numerical scheme:
\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_n^{Ch} & = \frac{1 - \xi}{AB(\Xi)} I_v(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^v I_{u-2}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \Sigma_{H}^{u-2}, H_{pCH}) \Pi \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^v \left\{ \frac{1 - \xi}{M(\Xi)} I_{u}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{u}, S_{H}^{u}, H_{pCH}) \right\} \Sigma \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^v \left\{ -2I_{u-1}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, H_{pCH}) \Delta, \\
& + I_{u-2}^{Ch}(t, S_C^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, H_{pCH}) \Delta \right\} \Delta,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_n^{H} & = \frac{1 - \xi}{AB(\Xi)} I_v(t, S_C^v, S_{H}^v, H_{pCH}) \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^v I_{u-2}^{H}(t, S_C^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, \Sigma_{H}^{u-2}, H_{pCH}) \Pi \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^v \left\{ \frac{1 - \xi}{M(\Xi)} I_{u}^{H}(t, S_C^{u}, S_{H}^{u}, H_{pCH}) \right\} \Sigma \\
& + \frac{\Xi(\Delta t)\Xi}{2AB(\Xi)\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^v \left\{ -2I_{u-1}^{H}(t, S_C^{u-1}, S_{H}^{u-1}, H_{pCH}) \Delta, \\
& + I_{u-2}^{H}(t, S_C^{u-2}, S_{H}^{u-2}, H_{pCH}) \Delta \right\} \Delta.
\end{align*}
\]
\[
I_{C}^{n+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} l^{-\psi} I_{C}(t_{n}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{2AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Delta,
\]

\[
I_{H}^{n+1} = \frac{1 - \Xi}{AB(\Xi)} l^{-\psi} I_{H}(t_{n}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{H}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{H}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{\Xi(\Delta t) \Xi}{2AB(\Xi) \Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} I_{H}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Delta,
\]

For the power-law kernel, we can get the following numerical scheme:

\[
S_{C}^{n+1} = \frac{(\Delta t) \Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 1)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} S_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{(\Delta t) \Xi}{\Gamma(\Xi + 2)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} S_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Sigma \\
+ \frac{(\Delta t) \Xi}{2\Gamma(\Xi + 3)} \sum_{u=2}^{v} \left\{ l^{-\psi} S_{C}^{-1}(t_{u-1}, S_{C}, S_{H}, \tau_{C}, \tau_{H}, \psi_{C}) \right\} \Delta,
\]
7.1. Numerical results and discussion

This portion is devoted to the numerical simulation results based on the above numerical schemes for the proposed HIV and Chagas disease model in the given fractional derivative senses. The
numerical simulations are performed using the following parameter values: $\alpha = 0.425$; $\sigma = 0.4898$; $\mu = 0.0012$; $\gamma_1 = 0.03$; $\gamma_2 = 0.09$; $\gamma_3 = 0.05$; $\gamma_4 = 0.004$; $\rho_c = 0.2$; $\rho_h = 0.05$; $\pi_1 = 0.002$; $\pi_2 = 0.005$; $\delta_1 = 0.01$; $\delta_2 = 0.1$; $\delta_3 = 0.05$; $\theta_h = 0.003$; $\theta_d = 0.076$. Variations in the given model classes at various fractional-order values can be observed from the family of Figures 1–6.

Figure 1. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for the Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo version of the fractional model at different values of $\Xi$. 
Figure 2. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for the Caputo-Fabrizio version of the fractional model at different values of $\Xi$. 
Figure 3. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for the Caputo version of the fractional model at different values of \( \xi \).
Figure 4. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for fractal and fractional versions of the model with Mittag-Leffler kernel at different fractional-orders $\xi$ and fractal dimensions $\psi$.  
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Figure 5. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for fractal and fractional versions of the model with the power-law kernel at different fractional-orders $\Xi$ and fractal dimensions $\psi$. 
Figure 6. Graphs for the nature of each state variable for fractal and fractional versions of the model with exponential decay kernel at different fractional-orders $\Xi$ and fractal dimensions $\psi$.

8. Conclusions

In this research, we have reformulated Chagas and HIV co-infection models by using various fractional derivatives. The basic reproductive number $R_0$ of the aforementioned model is established along with the feasible region and disease-free equilibrium point $E^0$. It has been proven that the
$E^0$ is locally asymptotically stable when $R_0$ is less than one. The model is then fractionalized by using the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative in the Caputo sense. The existence and uniqueness of the solution along with Ulam-Hyers stability have been established. Finally, the model has been solved by a new numerical scheme, which is generated using Newton polynomials and is considered more accurate than one using Lagrange polynomials which are used to generate in the Adam Bashforth method. Furthermore, the same model is numerically solved by taking some other fractional derivatives, like Caputo-Fabrizio, Caputo, and fractal-fractional with power-law, exponential-decay and Mittag-Leffler kernels.

In conclusion, the epidemiological profiles of AIDS and Chagas disease over the past years might facilitate the approximation of both diseases, thereby increasing the possibility of reactivation of Chagas disease in HIV patients. As patients are diagnosed after several years and some time in the last stages, its lethality rate is very high. Such cases have a big risk of not being correctly diagnosed, therefore adding to the lethality and seriousness of the epidemic. Serological tests for Chagas, even when they are indeterminate, should be taken fully into account, and the relevant parasitological tests must be done.
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