

AIMS Mathematics, 7(10): 18323–18333. DOI: 10.3934/math.20221009 Received: 30 April 2022 Revised: 21 July 2022 Accepted: 01 August 2022 Published: 15 August 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Trees with the second-minimal ABC energy

Xiaodi Song^{1,3,4}, Jianping Li^{1,*}, Jianbin Zhang² and Weihua He¹

- ¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510090, China
- ² School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China
- ³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710129, China
- ⁴ Xi'an-Budapest Joint Reserch Center for Combinatories, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710129, China
- * Correspondence: Email: lijp06@gdut.edu.cn.

Abstract: The atom-bond connectivity energy (ABC energy) of an undirected graph *G*, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(G)$, is defined as the sum of the absolute values of the ABC eigenvalues of *G*. Gao and Shao [The minimum ABC energy of trees, Linear Algebra Appl., 577 (2019), 186–203] proved that the star S_n is the unique tree with minimum ABC energy among all trees on *n* vertices. In this paper, we characterize the trees with the minimum ABC energy among all trees on *n* vertices except the star S_n .

Keywords: energy; ABC energy; ABC matrix; ABC eigenvalues; tree **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C50

1. Introduction

Let *G* be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ and edge set E(G). The eigenvalues of adjacency matrix A(G) are called the eigenvalues of *G*. The energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ of *G* is defined as the sum of the absolute values of its eigenvalues of A(G), which is studied in chemistry and used to approximate the total-electron energy of a molecule [3]. The singular values of an $n \times m$ matrix *M* are the square roots of the eigenvalues of MM^* if $n \ge m$ or M^*M if n < m, where M^* is the transpose conjugate of *M*. Nikiforov [4] extended the concept of energy to all matrices and defined the energy of a matrix *M*, denoted by $\mathcal{E}(M)$, as the sum of the singular values of *M*. Clearly, $\mathcal{E}(A(G)) = \mathcal{E}(G)$.

Estrada et al. [12] introduced the atom-bond connectivity index as

$$ABC(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}}.$$

Moreover, they introduced the atom-bond connectivity matrix (or ABC matrix for short) ABC_G of G, which is correlated with the ABC index of G. The (i, j)-entry of the matrix ABC_G is equal to $\sqrt{\frac{d_i+d_j-2}{d_id_j}}$ if $v_iv_j \in E(G)$ and 0 otherwise. The eigenvalues of the ABC matrix of G, denoted by $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$, are said to be the ABC eigenvalues of G. The atom-bond connectivity energy (ABC energy) of a connected graph G is defined in [8] as

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i(G)|.$$

Recently, several theoretical and computational properties of the ABC energy of graphs have been obtained, see e.g., [1,8,13]. Estrada [8] and Chen [13] gave an upper bound and a lower bound for the ABC energy in terms of the general Randić index, respectively. Ghorbani et al. [1] established some new bounds for the ABC energy. Gao and Shao [7] determined the unique tree with the minimum ABC energy. In this paper, we determine the trees with the minimum ABC energy among all trees on *n* vertices except the star S_n .

2. Preliminaries

A matching in a graph is a set of edges without common vertices. A *k*-matching is a matching consisting of *k* edges. Let *T* be a tree, *M* be a matching of *T* and $M^k(T)$ be the set of all *k*-matchings of *T*. We define $m_M^*(T)$ and $m^*(T, k)$ by

$$m_M^*(T) = \prod_{v_i v_j \in M} (ABC_T)_{ij}^2$$

and

$$m^*(T,k) = \sum_{M \in M^k(T)} m^*_M(T).$$

respectively. By Sachs Theorem [14], the characteristic polynomial $\phi_{ABC}(T, x)$ of the ABC matrix of a tree *T* can be expressed as

$$\phi_{ABC}(T,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k m^*(T,k) x^{n-2k}.$$

Then by Coulson integral formula, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} m^*(T,k) x^{2k} \right] dx.$$
(2.1)

Let T_1 and T_2 be two trees on *n* vertices. If $m^*(T_1, k) \ge m^*(T_2, k)$ for all *k*, then by (2.1) we get $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) \ge \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2)$. Moreover, if there exists some *k* such that $m^*(T_1, k) > m^*(T_2, k)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2)$.

AIMS Mathematics

Let *T* be a tree on *n* vertices, $B = (b_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative real symmetric matrix and $ABC_T \ge B$. Let *M* be a matching of *T*, $m_M^*(B) = \prod_{v_i v_j \in M} b_{ij}^2$ and $m^*(B, k) = \sum_{M \in M^k(T)} m_M^*(B)$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} m^*(B,k) x^{2k} \right] dx.$$

Clearly, $m^*(T, k) \ge m^*(B, k)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) \ge \mathcal{E}(B)$. Moreover, if $(ABC_T)_{ij} > b_{ij}$ for some $v_i v_j \in E(T)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}(B)$. Thus we can get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let T be a tree on n vertices and B be an $n \times n$ nonnegative real symmetric matrix. If $ABC_T \ge B$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) \ge \mathcal{E}(B)$. Moreover, if $(ABC_T)_{ij} > b_{ij}$ for some $v_i v_j \in E(T)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}(B)$.

Let uv be an edge of a tree T and $T - uv = T_1 \cup T_2$, where $T_1(T_2)$ is the component of T - uv containing u(v), respectively). We denote the sub-matrices of ABC_T spanned by the vertices of T_1 and T_2 by $(ABC_T)_{V(T_1)}$ and $(ABC_T)_{V(T_2)}$, respectively.

By Lemma 2.1, we have the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let uv be an edge of a tree T and $T - uv = T_1 \cup T_2$, where $T_1(T_2)$ is the component of T - uv containing u(v, respectively). Then

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}((ABC_T)_{V(T_1)}) + \mathcal{E}((ABC_T)_{V(T_2)}).$$

Suppose that uv is not a pendent edge. If $d_T(w) \leq 2$ for any $w \in N_T(u) \setminus \{v\}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}((ABC_T)_{V(T_1)}) \geq \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1)$$

Furthermore, if $d(w) \leq 2$ for any $w \in N_T(u) \cup N_T(v) \setminus \{u, v\}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2)$$

Lemma 2.3. ([7]) Let T be a tree of order $n \ge 3$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) \ge 2\sqrt{n-2}$, with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n$, where S_n is the star of order n.

Lemma 2.4. ([7]) Let $t \ge 2, x_i \ge 3$ for i = 1, ..., t, and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i \ge 8$. Then $\sum_{i=2}^{t} \sqrt{x_i - 2} \ge \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^{t} x_i + (t-1) - 2}$.

3. The minimum ABC energy of trees

For two graphs *G* and *H*, we define $G \cup H$ to be their disjoint union. In addition, let *kG* be the disjoint union of *k* copies of *G*. Let S_n^* be the tree formed by attaching a vertex to a pendent vertex of the star S_{n-1} . Note that

$$\phi_{ABC}(S_n^*, x) = x^{n-4} \left[x^4 - (1 + \frac{(n-3)^2}{n-2})x^2 + \frac{(n-3)^2}{2(n-2)} \right].$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*) = 2\sqrt{n-3} + \frac{1}{n-2} + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-4} + \frac{1}{n-2}$$

AIMS Mathematics

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $x \ge 11$ *. Then*

$$\sqrt{x-5} + 1 > \sqrt{x-3} + \frac{1}{x-2} + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{x-4} + \frac{1}{x-2}.$$
 (3.1)

Proof. It is equivalent to prove that

$$2\sqrt{x-5} - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{x-4} + \frac{1}{x-2} - \frac{1}{x-2} - 1 > 0.$$
(3.2)

Let $f(x) = 2\sqrt{x-5} - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{x-4} + \frac{1}{x-2} - \frac{1}{x-2} - 1$ with $x \ge 11$. Then

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-5}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4 + \frac{1}{x-2}}} (1 - \frac{1}{(x-2)^2}) + \frac{1}{(x-2)^2}$$

$$> \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-5}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4 + \frac{1}{x-2}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-5}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(x-4) + \frac{2}{x-2}}}$$

$$> 0.$$

Thus f(x) is a strictly monotonously increasing function on x. Noting that f(11) = 0.0166 > 0, then the lemma holds.

From Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*) < 2 + 2\sqrt{n-5}$ for $n \ge 11$.

For n = 1, 2, 3, there is only unique tree S_n . For n = 4, there are exactly two trees P_4 and S_4 . Obviously, P_4 is the tree with the second minimum ABC energy. For n = 5, there are exactly three trees P_5 , S_5 and S_5^* . By direct calculation, we have $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_5^*) = 3.9831 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_5) = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} > 2\sqrt{3} = \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_5)$. Thus P_5 is the tree with the second minimum ABC energy. Let $P_5 = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$, we denote the tree, obtained by attaching a new vertex to v_2 of P_5 , by P_6^* . For n = 6, there are exactly six trees $T_{2.8}, T_{2.9}, T_{2.10}, T_{2.11}, T_{2.12}, T_{2.13}$ (see tables of graph spectra in [14]), where $T_{2.8} \cong S_6, T_{2.9} \cong S_6^*$, $T_{2.11} \cong P_6^*$ and $T_{2.13} \cong P_6$. By direct calculation, $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_{2.12}) = 5.0590 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_6) = 4.9412 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_{2.10}) = 4.8074 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_6^*) = 4.6352 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_6^*) = 4.6260 > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_6) = 4$.

By simple calculations, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let T be an n-vertex tree not isomorphic to S_n , where $7 \le n \le 10$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) \ge \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^*$.

Lemma 3.3. Let T be a tree on $n \ge 11$ vertices.

(i) Let $u_1v_1 \in E(G)$ and $T - u_1v_1 = T_1 \cup T_2$, where $T_1(T_2)$ is the component of $T - u_1v_1$ containing $u_1(v_1, respectively)$. If $d(w) \leq 2$ for any $w \in N(u_1) \cup N(v_1) \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$ and $|V(T_1)| = n_1 \geq |V(T_2)| = n_2 \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

(ii) Let $u_2v_2, u_3v_3 \in E(G)$, $T - u_2v_2 \cong P_2 \cup T_3$ and $T_3 - u_3v_3 \cong P_2 \cup T_4$, where T_3 is one of the component of $T - u_2v_2$ and T_4 is one of the component of $T_3 - u_3v_3$. If $d_T(w_1) \leq 2$ for any $w_1 \in N_T(u_2) \cup N_T(v_2) \setminus \{u_2, v_2\}$ and $d_{T_3}(w_2) \leq 2$ for any $w_2 \in N_{T_3}(u_3) \cup N_{T_3}(v_3) \setminus \{u_3, v_3\}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

AIMS Mathematics

Proof. (i) By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n_1 - 2} + 2\sqrt{n_2 - 2} \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Similarly, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_3) + \mathcal{E}\left((ABC_T)_{V(P_2)}\right)$$

>
$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_4) + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}$$

$$\geq 2\sqrt{n-6} + 2\sqrt{2}$$

$$\geq 2\sqrt{n-5} + 2$$

>
$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*).$$

We complete the proof.

Lemma 3.4. Let $n \ge 11$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_n) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_n) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_3) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_{n-3}) \ge 2 + 2\sqrt{n-5} > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$. \Box A tree is called starlike if it has exactly one vertex of degree greater than two.

Lemma 3.5. Let $T \not\cong S_n$ be a starlike tree with order $n \ge 11$ and v be the unique vertex with degree at least three. Let $T - v = n_1 P_1 \cup n_2 P_2 \cup \cdots \cup n_m P_m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m in_i + 1 = n$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

Proof. If $n_i \ge 1$ for some $i \ge 3$, then there exists an edge uv such that $T - uv = T_1 \cup T_2$, where $T_1(T_2)$ is the component of T - uv containing u(v), respectively), $|V(T_1)|, |V(T_2)| \ge 3$ and $d(w) \le 2$ for any $w \in N(u) \cup N(v) \setminus \{u, v\}$. Then by (i) of Lemma 3.3 we can get the result. Suppose that $n_i = 0$ for all $i \ge 3$. If $n_2 = 0$, then $T \cong S_n$. If $n_2 = 1$, then $T \cong S_n^*$. If $n_2 \ge 2$, then by (ii) of Lemma 3.3 we can get the result. \Box

Let *T* be a tree and R(T) be set of vertices of degree greater than two in *T*.

Lemma 3.6. Let T be a tree with $n \ge 11$ vertices and $|R(T)| \ge 2$. If there are no adjacent vertices in R(T), then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

Proof. Let $d(u) \ge 3$ and $d(v) \ge 3$ and $P_l = uv_1 \dots v_{l-1}v$ be the single path connecting u and v with $d(v_1) = \dots = d(v_{l-1}) = 2$. Clearly, $l \ge 2$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $T - uv_1 = T_1 \cup T_2$ such that T_1 is a starlike-tree or a path, where $u \in V(T_1)$.

If $l \ge 3$, then by (i) of Lemma 3.3 we can get the result.

Suppose now that l = 2. Let $T'_1(T'_2)$ be the component of $T - uv_1 - v_1v$ containing u(v, respectively), $s = |V(T'_1)|$ and $t = |V(T'_2)|$. Obviously, s + t + 1 = n.

If s = 3, then the ABC matrix of T can be written as

$$ABC_T = \left[\begin{array}{cc} B & C \\ C^\top & D \end{array} \right]$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 10, 18323-18333.

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{3\times 1} & 0_{3\times(t-1)} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0_{1\times(t-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

and $D = (ABC_T)_{V(T'_2)}$. Let

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} B & 0 \\ 0 & ABC_{T_2'} \end{array} \right].$$

Obviously, $D \ge ABC_{T'_2}$. Thus $ABC_T > A$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > & \mathcal{E}(A) = \mathcal{E}(B) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T'_2) \\ \geq & 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}} + 2\sqrt{n - 6} \\ \geq & 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ > & \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S^*_n). \end{split}$$

Suppose that s = 4. Then $T'_1 \cong S_4$ or P_4 . If $T'_1 \cong S_4$, then the ABC matrix of T can be written as

$$ABC_T = \left[\begin{array}{cc} F & H \\ H^\top & K \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{4 \times 1} & 0_{4 \times (t-1)} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} & 0_{1 \times (t-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $K = (ABC_T)_{V(T'_2)}$. Let

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} F & 0 \\ 0 & ABC_{T_2'} \end{array} \right].$$

Obviously, $K \ge ABC_{T'_2}$. Thus $ABC_T > M$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq \mathcal{E}(M) = \mathcal{E}(F) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T'_2) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}} + 2\sqrt{n - 7} \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S^*_n). \end{aligned}$$

AIMS Mathematics

Suppose now that $T'_1 \cong P_4$. Let $T'_1 = u_1 u u_2 u_3$. Then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq \sqrt{2} + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T - u_2 - u_3) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(P_3) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T'_2) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} + 2 + 2\sqrt{n - 7} \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we now suppose that $5 \le s, t \le n - 6$, then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &> & \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1') + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2') \\ &\geq & 2\sqrt{s-2} + 2\sqrt{t-2} \\ &\geq & 2\sqrt{3} + 2\sqrt{n-8} \\ &> & 2 + 2\sqrt{n-5} \\ &> & \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7. Let T be a tree with $n \ge 11$ vertices and $|R(T)| \ge 2$. If there exist adjacent vertices in R(T), then $\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*)$.

Proof. Let $E^0 = \{uv \in E(T) | d(u), d(v) \ge 3\}$, and $T - E^0 = xP_1 \cup yP_2 \cup T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_z$, where T_1, \ldots, T_z are components of $T - E^0$ with at least three vertices. Let $xP_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_x\}$ and $yP_2 = \{v_{x+1}v_{x+2}, \ldots, v_{x+2y-1}v_{x+2y}\}$. Then $d_T(v_i) \ge 3$ with $1 \le i \le x$, $d_T(v_{x+2j-1}) \ge 3$ and $d_T(v_{x+2j}) = 1$ with $1 \le j \le y$, and for each component T_i with $1 \le i \le z$, there exists a vertex $v_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $d_T(v_i) \ge d_{T_i}(v_i) + 1$. Let $|V(T_i)| = s_i$ for $1 \le i \le z$. Thus we have

$$2(n-1) = \sum_{v \in V(T)} d_T(v) \ge 3x + 4y + \sum_{i=1}^{z} (\sum_{v \in V(T_i)} d_{T_i}(v) + 1)$$

= $3x + 4y + \sum_{i=1}^{z} 2(s_i - 1) + z$
= $x + 2n - z$.

Thus we get that $z \ge x + 2$. We discuss the following four cases. Case 1. y = 0 and z = 2.

Then x = 0 and $s_1 + s_2 = n$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{s_1 - 2} + 2\sqrt{s_2 - 2} \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. y = 0 and $z \ge 3$.

AIMS Mathematics

Then $x + \sum_{i=1}^{z} s_i = n$. Without loss of generation, we suppose that $3 \le s_z \le s_{z-1} \le \cdots \le s_2 \le s_1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i = 6$, then z = 3, $s_z = 3$ and $x \le 1$. Thus $n \le 10$, a contradiction. If $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i = 7$, then z = 3, $s_1 = 4$, $s_2 = s_3 = 3$. Thus x = 1 and n = 11. Obviously, $T_2 \cong T_3 \cong S_3$ and $T_1 \cong S_4$ or P_4 . By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}((ABC_T)_{V(T_2)}) + \mathcal{E}((ABC_T)_{V(T_3)}) \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{4-2} + 4 \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \\ &= 7.448 > 6.8742 = \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_{11}^*). \end{split}$$

Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i \ge 8$. By Lemmas 2.2–2.4, we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > \sum_{i=1}^{z} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_i) \ge 2 \sum_{i=1}^{z} \sqrt{s_i - 2}$$

$$\ge 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i + (z - 1) - 3 + 2 \sqrt{s_z - 2}}$$

$$\ge 2 \sqrt{n - x - s_z + x + 2 - 4} + 2 \sqrt{s_z - 2}$$

$$= 2 \sqrt{n - s_z - 2} + 2 \sqrt{s_z - 2}$$

$$\ge 2 \sqrt{n - 5} + 2$$

$$> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \qquad (3.3)$$

Case 3. $y \ge 1$ and $z \ge 3$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i + s_z + x + 2y = n$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) \ge 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{z} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_i) \ge 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{z} \sqrt{s_i - 2}$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i \ge 8$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i} + (z-1-1) - 2 + 2\sqrt{s_z - 2} \\ &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{n - s_z - 2y - x} + x + 2 - 4 + 2\sqrt{s_z - 2} \\ &= 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{n - s_z - 2y - 2} + 2\sqrt{s_z - 2} \\ &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{n - 3 - 2y - 2} + 2\sqrt{3 - 2} \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{split}$$

Here, the last but one inequality holds because $f(y) = 2y\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{n-5-2y} + 2$ is increasing for $0 \le y \le \frac{2n-13}{4}$.

AIMS Mathematics

Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} s_i \le 7$. Then z = 3. Thus $s_1 + s_2 = 6$ or 7, $s_3 = 3$ and $x \le 1$. Suppose first that $s_1 + s_2 = 7$ and $s_3 = 3$. If x = 0, then n = 2y + 10. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_3) \\ &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{2} + 2 + 2 \\ &= (n - 10) \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{2} + 4 \\ &\geq 2\sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{split}$$

Suppose now that x = 1. Then n = 2y + 11. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{2} + 2 + 2$$

= $(n - 11) \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{2} + 4.$

Let $f(x) = (x - 11)\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 4 + 2\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{x - 3 + \frac{1}{x - 2}} + \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{x - 4 + \frac{1}{x - 2}}$. It is easy to get that f'(x) > 0 for $x \ge 11$. Then f(x) is an increasing function on x and $f(x) \ge f(11) > 0$. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > (n-11)\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 4 + 2\sqrt{2}$$

> $2\sqrt{n-3} + \frac{1}{n-2} + \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{n-4} + \frac{1}{n-2}$

By a similar discussion as above, we can get the result for the case $s_1 + s_2 = 6$ and $s_3 = 3$. Case 4. $y \ge 1$ and z = 2.

Then $x = 0, n = 2y + s_1 + s_2$. If $n - 2y \ge 11$, then by Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_1) + \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T_2) \\ &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{s_1 - 2} + 2 \sqrt{s_2 - 2} \\ &\geq 2y \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \sqrt{n - 2y - 3 - 2} + 2 \sqrt{3 - 2} \\ &\geq 2 \sqrt{n - 5} + 2 \\ &> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*). \end{split}$$

Suppose that $n - 2y \le 10$. Then $6 \le s_1 + s_2 \le 10$. If $s_1 + s_2 = 10$, then by Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{ABC}(T) > 2y\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2\sqrt{3-2} + 2\sqrt{7-2}$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$= (n - 10) \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 + 2 \sqrt{5}$$

$$\geq 2 \sqrt{n - 5} + 2$$

$$> \mathcal{E}_{ABC}(S_n^*).$$

Similarly, for each $6 \le s_1 + s_2 \le 9$, we may also get the result.

Combining Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4–3.7, we get our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Among all trees (except the star) on $n \ge 5$ vertices, P_5 is the unique tree with the minimum ABC energy for n = 5, P_6^* is the unique tree with the minimum ABC energy for n = 6 and S_n^* is the unique tree with the minimum ABC energy for $n \ge 7$.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, motivated by the unique tree with the minimum ABC energy, we determine the trees with the minimum ABC energy among all trees on n vertices except the star S_n .

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions which improved the original version of the paper. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No.2021A1515010028).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- M. Ghorbani, X. Li, M. Hakimi-Nezhaad, J. Wang, Bounds on the ABC spectral radius and ABC energy of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **598** (2020), 145–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2020.03.043
- I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals: Total φ-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **17** (1972), 535–538. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(72)85099-1
- I. Gutman, Acyclic systems with extremal Hückel π-electron energy, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 45 (1977), 79–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00552542
- 4. V. Nikiforov, The energy of graphs and matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **326** (2007), 1472–1475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.072
- I. Gutman, X. Li, J. Zhang, Analysis of complex networks: From biology to linguistics, Wiley-VCH Verlag: Weinheim, 2009, 145–174. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527627981.ch7
- J. Day, W. So, Graph energy change due to edge deletion, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **428** (2008), 2070–2078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.11.009

AIMS Mathematics

- 7. Y. Gao, Y. Shao, The minimum ABC energy of trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **577** (2019), 186–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.04.032
- 8. E. Estrada, The ABC matrix, *J. Math. Chem.*, **55** (2017), 1021–1033. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-016-0725-5
- 9. A. Jahanbani, Some new lower bounds for energy of graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **296** (2017), 233–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.10.019
- 10. X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph energy*, New York: Springer, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4220-2
- 11. I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, *Mathematical concepts in organic chemistry*, Berlin: Springer, 1986. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783112570180
- 12. E. Estrada, L. Torres, L. Rodríguez, I. Gutman, An atom-bond connectivity index: Modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes, *Indian J. Chem.*, **37A** (1998), 849–855.
- 13. X. Chen, On ABC eigenvalues and ABC energy, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **544** (2018), 141–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.01.011
- 14. D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs-theory and application*, New York: Academic, 1980.



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)