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## 1. Introduction

As is wellknown the first non-trivial examples of minimal surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$ are the catenoids, the helicoids and the minimal translation surfaces. A surface is called a translation surface if it is given by an immersion

$$
X: U \subset E^{2} \rightarrow E^{3},(x, y) \rightarrow(x, y, z)
$$

where $z=f(x)+g(y)$. Scherk proved in 1835 that the only minimal translation surfaces (besides the planes) are the surfaces given by

$$
z=\frac{1}{a} \log \left|\frac{\cos (a x)}{\cos (a y)}\right|,
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero constant [1].
In [2], it has been shown that the minimal translation surfaces are generalized to minimal translation hypersurfaces as follows:

Let $M^{n}(n \geq 2)$ be a translation hypersurface in $E^{n+1}$ i.e. $M^{n}$ is the graph of a function

$$
F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right),
$$

where $f_{i}$ is a smooth function of one real variable for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $M^{n}$ is minimal if and only if either $M^{n}$ is a hyperplane or a product submanifold $M^{n}=M^{2} \times E^{n-2}$, where $M^{2}$ is a minimal translation surface of Scherk in $E^{3}$.

In [3], Woestyne parameterized minimal translation surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{3}$ with metric $g=d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}-d x_{3}^{2}$, in the following theorems:
Theorem 1. Every minimal, spacelike surface of translation in $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{3}$, is congruent to a part of one of the following surfaces:

1. A spacelike plane,
2. The surface of Scherk of the first kind, a parametrization of the surface is $F(x, y)=\left(x, y, a^{-1} \log (\cosh (a y) / \cosh (a x))\right)$, with $\tanh ^{2}(a x)+\tanh ^{2}(a y)<1[3]$.

Theorem 2. Every minimal, timelike surface of translation in $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{3}$, is congruent to a part of one of the following surfaces:

1. A timelike plane,
2. The surface of Scherk of the first kind, a parametrization of the surface is

$$
F(x, y)=\left(x, y, a^{-1} \log (\cosh (a y) / \cosh (-a x))\right) \text { with } \tan h^{2}(-a x)+\tan h^{2}(a y)>1 .
$$

3. The surface of Scherk of the second kind, a parametrization of the surface is

$$
F(x, y)=\left(x, y, a^{-1} \log (\cosh (a y) / \sinh (-a x))\right) .
$$

4. The surface of Scherk of the third kind, a parametrization of the surface is

$$
F(x, y)=\left(x, y, a^{-1} \log (\sinh (a y) / \sinh (-a x))\right) .
$$

5. A flat B -scroll over a null curve, a parametrization of the surface is $F(x, y)=(x, y, \pm x+g(y))$ with $g(y)$ an arbitrary function [3].

Seo, gave a classification of the translation hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature or constant GaussKronecker curvature in Euclidean space or Lorentz Minkowski space in [4]. Also they characterized the minimal translation hypersurfaces in the upper half-space model of hyperbolic space. In particular, they proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a translation hypersurface with constant mean curvature $H$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then $M$ is congruent to a cylinder $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where $\Sigma$ is a constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In particular, if $H=0$, then $M$ is either a hyperplane or $M=\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where $\Sigma$ is a Scherks minimal translation surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}[4]$.

And they can obtained a similar result in the LorentzMinkowski space as follows:
Theorem 4. Let $M$ be a spacelike translation hypersurface with constant mean curvature $H$ in $L^{n+1}$. Then $M$ is congruent to a cylinder $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where $\Sigma$ is a constant mean curvature surface in $L^{3}$. In particular, if $H=0$, then $M$ is either a hyperplane or $M=\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where $\Sigma$ is a Scherks maximal spacelike translation surface in $L^{3}$ [4].

In [5], Hasanis and Lopez classified and described the construction of all minimal translation surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In 2019, Aydn and Ogrenmis investigated translation hypersurfaces generated by translating planar curves and classified these translation hypersurfaces with constant Gauss-Kronecker and mean curvature [6]. Recently, many authors have studied the geometry of minimal translational hypersurface [7-12].

In [12], Yang, Zhang and Fu gave a characterization of a class of minimal translation graphs which are generalization of minimal translation hypersurfaces in Euclidean space. In this paper we study a characterization of minimal translation graphs in semi-Euclidean space.

## 2. Characterization of minimal translation graphs in semi-Euclidean space

By the ( $n+1$ )-dimensional semi-Euclidean space with index $v$, denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n+1}$, mean $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ equipped with the semi-Euclidean metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\varepsilon_{1} d x_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} d x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n+1} d x_{n+1}^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which $\varepsilon_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$ is either -1 or 1 . We assume $n \geq 2$. The number of minus signs is equal to the index $v$ and is given by

$$
v=\frac{1}{2}\left(n+1-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \varepsilon_{j}\right) .
$$

Let $M^{n}$ be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n+1}$ for which the induced metric is non-degenerate. Then $M^{n}$ can locally always be seen as the graph of a function $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In what follows, we will assume that $f$ is a function of the coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. This can easily be achieved possibly by rearranging the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n+1}$. So $M^{n}$ is locally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $M^{n}$ is minimal. This means that the mean curvature vector vanishes at every point. The graph $M^{n}$ in the semi-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n}$ is minimal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{n+1}\right)-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right]=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One easily calculates that minimality condition above [13].
A hypersurface $M^{n}$ in the semi-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n+1}$ is called translation graph if it is the graph of the function given by

$$
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f_{n}(u),
$$

where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i}, c_{i}$ are constants, $c_{n} \neq 0$ and each $f_{i}$ is a smooth function of one real variable for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Additionally in this paper, we assume that the following condition are provided:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2} \neq 0 \text { and } \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2} \neq 0, \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{i}$ vanishes nowhere for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, otherwise $M^{n}$ is a non-degenerate hyperplane.
The minimality condition (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} F_{j j}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(F_{i}^{2} F_{j j}-F_{i} F_{j} F_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we calculate the partial derivatives in the Eq (2.5) for the translation graph,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime}+c_{i} f_{n}^{\prime}, \quad F_{n}=c_{n} f_{n}^{\prime} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{i i}=f_{i i}^{\prime}+c_{i}^{2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \quad F_{n n}=c_{n}^{2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.7}\\
F_{i j}=c_{i} c_{j} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \quad F_{i n}=c_{i} c_{n} f_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $M^{n}$ is minimal, we substitute (2.6)-(2.8) into (2.5) and we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime^{2}}\right) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{n}^{\prime^{2}} \\
\quad+\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}+c_{i} f_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(c_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}-c_{j} f_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $c_{n} \neq 0$, we take the derivative of the $\mathrm{Eq}(2.9)$ with respect to $x_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(c_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}-c_{j} f_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad+2\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}+2 \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Eq (2.10), we get following cases:
Case 1. $f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$.
With proper translation, $f_{n}=m u^{2}$ for a constant $m \neq 0$ such that $f_{n}=m u^{2}$. If $m=0$, then $M^{n}$ would not be a translation graph. According to this, we rewrite (2.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m^{2} u \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+m \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m \neq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0, \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the first equation of (2.12), each $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ depends on a different variable, then $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ has to be a constant for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Also, let be $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} x_{i}^{2}$, where $a_{i}$ is constant. Then from (2.12) we obtain following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) a_{i}=0, \quad a_{i} c_{i} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{j} a_{j}=0, \text { where } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we substitute $f_{n}=m u^{2}$ and $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} x_{i}^{2}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ in the Eq (2.9), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 m^{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) a_{i}\right] u^{2}+8 m\left(\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} a_{i} c_{i} a_{j} x_{i}\right) u-4 m \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} a_{i} a_{j} c_{i} c_{j} x_{i} x_{j}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad+4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}\left(m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{j} a_{j}\right) x_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}+\varepsilon_{n+1} m \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

According to (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 m \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} a_{i} a_{j} c_{i} c_{j} x_{i} x_{j}=4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}\left(m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{j} a_{j}\right) x_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}+\varepsilon_{n+1} m \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the above equation is a quadratic polynomial with $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$, by the arbitrariness of $x_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i}^{2}\left(m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{j} a_{j}\right)=0, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{2.16}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}+m \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} a_{j} c_{i} c_{j}=0, \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots, n-1, \quad i \neq j . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.16) and (2.17), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{3}=-m\left(c_{i} a_{i}\right)^{2}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.18), we can see that at most one $a_{k} c_{k} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $a_{k_{0}} c_{k_{0}} \neq 0$ and every $a_{k} c_{k}=0$ for $k \neq k_{0}$. From (2.19), we get $a_{k_{0}} \neq 0$ and $a_{k}=0$ for $k \neq k_{0}$. According to this, with the first equation of (2.13) and the assumption (2.4), we have a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain that every $a_{k}=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$. By substituting this equalities in (2.9) we obtain $m \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}=0$, which is a contradiction with the assumption (2.4).
Case 2. $f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \neq 0$.
If we divide by $f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ on both sides of the Eq (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(c_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}-c_{j} f_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}  \tag{2.20}\\
& \quad+2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] \frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}+2\left[\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right] \frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (2.20) with respect to $u$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u}+\left[\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have 3 possibilities.
Case 2a. $\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u} \neq 0$.
In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}=a f_{n}^{\prime}+b u, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are constants. Since $f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \neq 0$, then $a \neq 0$. By solving this equation we obtain

$$
f_{n}(u)=k e^{\frac{u}{a}}+b u+a b,
$$

where $k$ is a nonzero constant. According to this equation, we get

$$
\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u}=\frac{k}{a} e^{\frac{u}{a}} \neq 0 .
$$

Thus, according to (2.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ depends on a different variable, then $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ has to be a constant for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let be $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} x_{i}^{2}$, where $a_{i}$ is constant. From (2.23) we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) a_{i}=0
$$

Hence, from (2.20) we have

$$
\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(2 a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(2 c_{i} a_{j} x_{j}-2 c_{j} a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}+8 a \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} a_{i} a_{j} x_{i}=0 .
$$

This is a contradiction.
Case 2b. $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.

Let be $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Then each $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is constant for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Also we can write $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i} x_{i}^{2}$, where $a_{i}$ is constant. From the assumption, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) a_{i}=0
$$

According to (2.21), we get

$$
\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

From (2.20), we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(2 a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(2 c_{i} a_{j} x_{j}-2 c_{j} a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}=0,
$$

which is a contradiction. Also it must be $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. According to Cases 2 a and 2 b , we can rewrite (2.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i=j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{\sum_{\substack{i=1}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=-\frac{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{f^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{u}}{\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{u}}=m, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is constant. Thus we have

$$
\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u}=-m\left(\frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right)_{u} .
$$

By integration of this equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=-m \frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}+c \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Thus we have $f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}=-m f_{n}^{\prime \prime}+c f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. By integration of this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime 2}+2 m f_{n}^{\prime}=2 c f_{n}^{\prime \prime}+c_{0} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a constant. By solving this ODE, after a translation, we find

$$
f_{n}= \begin{cases}-m u-2 c \ln \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\left(m^{2}+c_{0}\right)}}{2 c} u\right), & \text { if } m^{2}+c_{0}<0 \\ -m u-2 c \ln \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^{2}+c_{0}}}{2 c} u\right), & \text { if } m^{2}+c_{0}>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{n}^{\prime}+m}{\sqrt{m^{2}+c_{0}}}\right|<1 \\ -m u-2 c \ln \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^{2}+c_{0}}}{2 c} u\right), & \text { if } m^{2}+c_{0}>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{n}^{\prime}+m}{\sqrt{m^{2}+c_{0}}}\right|>1 \\ -m u-2 c \ln |u|, & \text { if } m^{2}+c_{0}=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, from (2.24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}=m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0
$$

all $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ functions dont vanish for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let be $f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. By differentiating the $\mathrm{Eq}(2.27)$ with respect to $x_{i 0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}\right) \frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}}=c_{i_{0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get the following case.
Case 2c. $m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}=0$.
Let be $m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$. From (2.28), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{c_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}}=a \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a constant. From (2.29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i_{0}}=b_{i_{0}} e^{a c_{i_{0}} x_{i_{0}}}-\frac{d_{i_{0}}}{a c_{i_{0}}} x_{i_{0}}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i_{0}}$ and $d_{i_{0}}$ are constants. According to (2.29), we get

$$
\varepsilon_{i}\left(f_{i}^{\prime \prime}+a c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}\right)=m a \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2},
$$

for each $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$. By solving the equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=b_{i} e^{-a c_{i} x_{i}}+\frac{m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{i}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{i} c_{i}} x_{i}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}$ are constants for $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$. By differentiating (2.27) with respect to $x_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}-m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right)+c_{k} f_{k}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute (2.30) and (2.31) in (2.32) and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a c_{k}^{3} b_{k} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k, i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2} b_{i} e^{-a\left(c_{i} x_{i}+c_{k} x_{k}\right)}=c_{k}^{3} b_{k} B_{k} e^{-a c_{k} x_{k}}, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{k}=(n-3) m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \frac{d_{i_{0}}}{a}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$. Since $x_{k}$ is arbitrary and from (2.31), we get $c_{k}^{3} b_{k} c_{i}^{2} b_{i}=0$. Hence $c_{k} b_{k} c_{i} b_{i}=0$ for $i, k=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and $i \neq k$. Thus, there is at most one $c_{k} b_{k} \neq 0$. Let be all $c_{k} b_{k}=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and $k \neq j_{0}$. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j_{0}}^{\prime}=-a b_{j_{0}} c_{j_{0}} e^{-a c_{j_{0}} x_{j_{0}}}+\frac{m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{j_{0}} c_{j_{0}}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{m \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{k} c_{k}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{k} c_{k}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and $k \neq j_{0}$. By substituting (2.30), (2.34) and (2.35) in (2.27), we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{i_{0}}(n-3) m\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) a^{2} b_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}}^{2} a c^{a c_{0} x_{i 0}}+\varepsilon_{j_{0}} a^{2} b_{j_{0}} c_{j_{0}}^{2} C e^{-a c_{j_{0}} x_{j 0}}=0,
$$

where

$$
C=(n-3) m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \frac{d_{i_{0}}}{a} .
$$

We rewrite the $\operatorname{Eq}$ (2.20) for $n=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} f_{i}^{\prime^{2}}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(c_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}-c_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{3} \varepsilon_{j} c_{j}^{2}\right) f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] \frac{f_{3}^{\prime} f_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}+2\left[\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right] \frac{f_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=0 . \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (2.25) and (2.27), (2.36) becomes
$\varepsilon_{4}\left(\varepsilon_{1} c_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} c_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} f_{1}^{\prime 2}+\varepsilon_{2} f_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(c_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}-c_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 c\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{2} c_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\right) f_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} c_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\right) f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$.
By differentiating the Eq (2.37) with respect to $x_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2} f_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon_{2} c_{2}\left(c_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}-c_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}^{\prime \prime}+c\left(\varepsilon_{2} c_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\right) f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
$$

If we arrange the equation above, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon_{2} c_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} c_{3}^{2}\right)\left(f_{1}^{\prime}+c \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\varepsilon_{2} c_{1} c_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equation, $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is constant and $f_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0$. This is a contradiction. Also it must be

$$
m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}=0
$$

We showed that $m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ and $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are constants for $1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq i_{0}$. Then $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ are constants for $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite (2.20),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime 2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} a_{j}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& -2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} c_{j} a_{j} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j, i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right)  \tag{2.40}\\
& \quad+2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) \frac{f_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}+2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right) \frac{f_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

According to (2.25) and (2.39), we rewrite (2.40)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime 2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} a_{j}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} c_{j} a_{j} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right)+2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c c_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}=0 . \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

We arrange this equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i_{0}}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) f_{i_{0}}^{\prime 2}-2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right) f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}+2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}+B=0, \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} a_{j}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} c_{j} a_{j} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j i, i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}}^{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j, 1 \\
i \neq j, i, j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}\left(a_{i} c_{j}-a_{j} c_{i}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.39), we rewrite (2.42)

$$
f_{i_{0}}^{\prime^{2}}-2 m c_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}+2 c f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{B}{\varepsilon_{i_{0}}\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right)}=0 .
$$

By solving the equation, we find

$$
f_{i_{0}}= \begin{cases}2 c \ln \cos \frac{\sqrt{-A}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}+m c_{i_{0}} x_{i_{0}}, & \text { if } A<0  \tag{2.43}\\ 2 c \ln \cosh \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}+m c_{i_{0}} x_{i_{0}}, & \text { if } A>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}-m c_{i_{0}}}{\sqrt{A}}\right|<1 \\ 2 c \ln \sinh \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}+m c_{i_{0}} x_{i_{0}}, & \text { if } A>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}-m c_{i_{0}}}{\sqrt{A}}\right|>1 \\ 2 c \ln \left|x_{i_{0}}\right|+m c_{i_{0}} x_{i_{0}}, & \text { if } A=0\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
A=\frac{B}{\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}}-m^{2} c_{i_{0}}^{2}
$$

Moreover $f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ are constants for $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and we rewrite (2.9) again

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} a_{j}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad-2 \varepsilon_{i_{0}} c_{i_{0}} f_{i_{0}}^{\prime} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon_{j} c_{j} a_{j} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq j, i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right)\right] f_{n}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.44}\\
& +\left[\varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{i_{0}}+\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) f_{n}^{\prime^{2}}+2\left(\varepsilon_{i_{0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i} c_{i}\right) f_{n}^{\prime}\right] f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

According to (2.41) and (2.44), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varepsilon_{n+1}-2 c\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) f_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}+\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right) f_{n}^{\prime^{2}}+2\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i} c_{i}\right) f_{n}^{\prime}\right] f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i_{0}}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, by substituting (2.39) into (2.45) and we obtain

$$
f_{n}^{\prime^{2}}+2 m f_{n}^{\prime}=2 c f_{n}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}} .
$$

When considered this equation with (2.26), then

$$
c_{0}=-\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}} .
$$

According to (2.39), we get

$$
m^{2}+c_{0}=\frac{-\varepsilon_{n+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\varepsilon_{n} c_{n}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2}-\left[\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}{\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{0}}}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Depending on the epsilones ( -1 or +1 ) in the above equation, $m^{2}+c_{0}$ can be positive, negative and zero. With suitable translation, we get $f_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, i_{0}-1, i_{0}+1, \ldots, n-1$ and following the equations

$$
f_{i_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 c \ln \cos \frac{\sqrt{-M}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}, \text { if } M<0  \tag{2.46}\\
2 c \ln \cosh \frac{\sqrt{M}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}, \text { if } M>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M}}\right|<1 \\
2 c \ln \sinh \frac{\sqrt{M}}{2 c} x_{i_{0}}, \text { if } M>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{i_{0}}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M}}\right|>1 \\
2 c \ln \left|x_{i_{0} \mid}\right|, \quad \text { if } M=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
f_{n}= \begin{cases}-2 c \ln \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{-N}}{2 c}\left(c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}\right)\right), & \text { if } N<0  \tag{2.47}\\ -2 c \ln \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2 c}\left(c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}\right)\right), & \text { if } N>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{n}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{N}}\right|<1 \\ -2 c \ln \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2 c}\left(c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}\right)\right), & \text { if } N>0 \text { and }\left|\frac{f_{n}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{N}}\right|>1 \\ -2 c \ln \left|c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{n} x_{n}\right|, & \text { if } N=0\end{cases}
$$

where

Therefore we complete the proof of the following main theorem.

Main theorem. $M^{n}$ is a non-degenerate minimal translation graph in semi-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}_{v}^{n+1}$, if it is congruent to a part of one of the following surfaces:

1. A non-degenerate hyperplane,
2. A hypersurface parameterized by

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right), \quad F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{i_{0}}\left(x_{i_{0}}\right)+f_{n}(u)
$$

where $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i}, c_{i}$ are constants, $c_{n} \neq 0$, with the conditions in the Eq (2.4), for a unique $i_{0}$, $1 \leq i_{0} \leq n-1$, such that $f_{i_{0}}$ and $f_{n}$ one of the previous forms in (2.46) and (2.47), respectively. In additionally, $f_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=0$ for $k \neq i_{0}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

## 3. Conclusions

Semi-Euclidean spaces are important in applications of general relativity which is the explanation of gravity in modern physics. In this study, we have a characterization of minimal translation graphs which are generalization of minimal translation hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean space. Also, we obtain the main theorem by which we classify all non-degenerate minimal translation graphs.
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