



Research article

# Characterizations of Euclidean spheres

Sharief Deshmukh and Mohammed Guediri\*

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P. O. Box-2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

\* **Correspondence:** Email: mguediri@ksu.edu.sa; Tel: +966114676473; Fax: +966114676512.

**Abstract:** We use the tangential component  $\psi^T$  of an immersion of a compact hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  in finding two characterizations of a sphere. In first characterization, we use  $\psi^T$  as a geodesic vector field (vector field with all its trajectories geodesics) and in the second characterization, we use  $\psi^T$  to annihilate the de-Rham Laplace operator on the hypersurface.

**Keywords:** geodesic vector field; de-Rham Laplace operator; support function; Euclidean space; sphere

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C20, 53A30

## 1. Introduction

Geometry of hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold is one of the important branches of differential geometry. In that, one of important questions is characterizing spheres among compact hypersurfaces of a Euclidean space [1, 3–8]. On a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , the Ricci operator  $S$  is defined using Ricci tensor  $Ric$ , namely  $Ric(X, Y) = g(SX, Y)$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . Similarly, the rough Laplace operator on the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $\Delta : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  is defined by

$$\Delta X = \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} X - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ ,  $m = \dim M$ . Rough Laplace operator is used in finding characterizations of spheres as well as of Euclidean spaces [11, 12]. Recall that de-Rham Laplace operator  $\square : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is defined by ([10], p83)

$$\square = S + \Delta \tag{1.2}$$

and is used to characterize a Killing vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold. Recall that a vector field  $\mathbf{u}$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to be a geodesic vector field [9] if

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $M$  be an orientable immersed hypersurface of the Euclidean space and  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  be the immersion. We denote the unit normal to the hypersurface  $M$  by  $\xi$  and support function of the hypersurface by  $\sigma$  defined by  $\sigma = \langle \psi, \xi \rangle$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$ . Then treating  $\psi$  as position vector field of the hypersurface  $M$ , we have  $\psi = \psi^T + \sigma\xi$ .

Consider the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^m(c)$  of constant curvature  $c$  as hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with unit normal  $\xi$  and shape operator  $A = -\sqrt{c}I$ . Now, consider the embedding  $\psi : \mathbf{S}^m(c) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$ . Then it follows that the tangential component  $\psi^T$  for the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^m(c)$  satisfies  $\square\psi^T = 0$  as well as  $\psi^T$  is a geodesic vector field. These raise two questions: (i) Under what condition on a compact hypersurface  $M$  of a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  such that  $\psi^T$  is a geodesic vector field,  $M$  is isometric to a sphere? (ii) Under what conditions on a compact hypersurface  $M$  of a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  such that  $\psi^T$  satisfying  $\square\psi^T = 0$ ,  $M$  is isometric to a sphere? In this paper, we answer these questions, for first question by showing that under the condition  $Ric(\psi^T, \psi^T) \geq \frac{m-1}{m} (\operatorname{div} \psi^T)^2$  the hypersurface is isometric to a sphere, where as for the second question, it requires the condition  $|\sigma\alpha| \leq 1$ , where  $\alpha$  is the mean curvature (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an orientable immersed hypersurface of of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with unit normal  $\xi$  and shape operator  $A$ . Then we have the following Gauss-Weingarten formulae

$$D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad D_X \xi = -AX, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $D, \nabla$  are Riemannian connections on  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}, M$  respectively,  $g$  is the induced metric on  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . The curvature tensor field  $R$  and the Ricci curvature  $Ric$  of the hypersurface are given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, X)AY, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$Ric(X, Y) = m\alpha g(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (2.3)$$

where,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Tr} A$  is the mean curvature of the hypersurface [2]. Using Eq (2.3), we see that the Ricci operator  $S$  of the hypersurface  $M$  is given by

$$S(X) = m\alpha AX - A^2 X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (2.4)$$

Also, as the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  is space of constant curvature, the Codazzi equation for the hypersurface  $M$  is

$$(\nabla A)(X, Y) = (\nabla A)(Y, X), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $(\nabla A)(X, Y) = \nabla_X AY - A(\nabla_X Y)$ . Using Eq (2.5) and symmetry of the shape operator  $A$ , the gradient  $\text{grad } \alpha$  of the mean curvature  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\text{grad } \alpha = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$ .

Let  $\psi^T$  be the tangential component of the immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  and  $\sigma = \langle \psi, \xi \rangle$  be the support function of the hypersurface  $M$ . Then, we have  $\psi = \psi^T + \sigma\xi$  and using Eq (2.1), we get

$$\nabla_X \psi^T = X + \sigma AX, \quad \text{grad } \sigma = -A\psi^T, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (2.7)$$

Using above equation, we have

$$\text{div } \psi^T = m(1 + \sigma\alpha). \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, for a compact hypersurface  $M$  of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^m$ , on integrating the above equation, we have the following Minkowski's formula

$$\int_M (1 + \sigma\alpha) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

On a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  acting on a smooth function  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is defined by  $\Delta h = \text{div}(\text{grad } h)$  and the Hessian operator  $H_h$  for the smooth function  $h$  is a symmetric operator defined by

$$H_h(X) = \nabla_X \text{grad } h, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

On a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , we have the following formula known as Bochner's formula

$$\int_M \text{Ric}(\text{grad } h, \text{grad } h) = \int_M ((\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2). \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, given a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  and a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we let  $\theta_X$  denote the dual one form of  $X$  (that is, defined by  $\theta_X(Y) = g(X, Y)$ ) and  $A_X$  be the  $(1, 1)$ -tensor (viewed as an endomorphism) defined by

$$A_X(Y) = \nabla_Y X$$

Write as usual

$$L_X g(Y, Z) + d\theta_X(Y, Z) = 2g(A_X(Y), Z),$$

for all  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

Let  $B$  and  $\phi$  be the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts of  $A_X$ . In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_X g(Y, Z) &= 2g(B(Y), Z) \\ d\theta_X(Y, Z) &= 2g(\phi(Y), Z) \end{aligned}$$

Now, formula  $\nabla_X \psi^T = X + \sigma AX$  in Eq (2.7) is nothing but  $A_{\psi^T} = I + \sigma A$ . It follows that  $B = I + \sigma A$  and  $\phi = 0$ , and this implies that  $\psi^T$  is gradient.

### 3. Characterizations of spheres

Let  $M$  be an orientable compact immersed hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  and unit normal  $\xi$ , shape operator  $A$ . In this section, we answer the questions raised in the introduction and find two new characterizations of the Euclidean spheres.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  be an immersion of a compact simply connected hypersurface with  $\psi^T$  a non-trivial geodesic vector field,  $m \geq 2$ . Then the Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) \geq \frac{m-1}{m} (\text{div } \psi^T)^2,$$

if and only if, the mean curvature  $\alpha$  is a constant,  $\psi^T$  is a non-homothetic conformal vector field, and  $M$  is isometric to the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^m(\alpha^2)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\psi^T$  is a geodesic vector field and the Ricci curvature of the hypersurface  $M$  satisfies

$$\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) \geq \frac{m-1}{m} (\text{div } \psi^T)^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, using Eqs (1.3) and (2.7), we have  $\sigma A \psi^T = -\psi^T$ . Taking covariant derivative with respect to  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  in this equation and using Eq (2.7), we get

$$X(\sigma) A \psi^T + \sigma(\nabla A)(X, \psi^T) + \sigma A(X + \sigma AX) = -X - \sigma AX,$$

that is

$$\sigma(\nabla A)(X, \psi^T) = -X(\sigma) A \psi^T - X - 2\sigma AX - \sigma^2 A^2 X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Now, for a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  on  $M$ , choosing  $X = e_i$  in above equation and taking the inner product with  $e_i$  in above equation and summing the resulting equation, we conclude

$$m\sigma\psi^T(\alpha) = -g(A\psi^T, \text{grad } \sigma) - m - 2m\sigma\alpha - \sigma^2 \|A\|^2,$$

where we used symmetry of the shape operator  $A$  and Eq (2.6). Now, using Eq (2.7) in above equation, we have

$$m\sigma\psi^T(\alpha) = \|A\psi^T\|^2 - m - 2m\sigma\alpha - \sigma^2 \|A\|^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that  $\text{div}(\alpha(\sigma\psi^T)) = \sigma\psi^T(\alpha) + \alpha \text{div}(\sigma\psi^T)$  and using Eqs (2.7), (2.8), we get

$$\sigma\psi^T(\alpha) = \text{div}(\alpha(\sigma\psi^T)) + \alpha g(A\psi^T, \psi^T) - m\sigma\alpha(1 + \sigma\alpha). \quad (3.3)$$

Inserting the above equation in Eq (3.2) and using Eq (2.3), we conclude

$$\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) - m^2\sigma\alpha(1 + \sigma\alpha) + \text{div}(\alpha(\sigma\psi^T)) = -m - 2m\sigma\alpha - \sigma^2 \|A\|^2.$$

Integrating the above equation while using Minkowski's formula (2.9), we have

$$\int_M (\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) + m(m-1) - m^2\sigma^2\alpha^2 + \sigma^2 \|A\|^2) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\int_M \left( Ric(\psi^T, \psi^T) - m(m-1)(\sigma^2 \alpha^2 - 1) \right) = \int_M \sigma^2 (m\alpha^2 - \|A\|^2). \quad (3.4)$$

Now, we use  $\operatorname{div} \psi^T = m(1 + \sigma\alpha)$  and Eq (2.9), to arrive at

$$\int_M (\operatorname{div} \psi^T)^2 = m^2 \int_M (1 + 2\sigma\alpha + \sigma^2 \alpha^2) = m^2 \int_M (\sigma^2 \alpha^2 - 1)$$

and inserting the above equation in Eq (3.4), we have

$$\int_M \left( Ric(\psi^T, \psi^T) - \frac{m-1}{m} (\operatorname{div} \psi^T)^2 \right) = \int_M \sigma^2 (m\alpha^2 - \|A\|^2). \quad (3.5)$$

Using inequality (3.1) in Eq (3.5), we get

$$\int_M \sigma^2 (m\alpha^2 - \|A\|^2) \geq 0$$

and above inequality in view of the Schwartz's inequality  $\|A\|^2 \geq m\alpha^2$  implies

$$\sigma^2 (m\alpha^2 - \|A\|^2) = 0.$$

If  $\sigma = 0$ , then by Minkowski's formula (2.9), we get a contradiction. Thus, we have  $\|A\|^2 = m\alpha^2$  and this equality holds, if and only if,  $A = \alpha I$ . In other words,  $M$  is shown to be totally umbilical. Moreover, using  $A = \alpha I$ , we have

$$(\nabla A)(X, Y) = X(\alpha)Y,$$

and we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla A)(e_i, e_i) = \operatorname{grad} \alpha.$$

Using Eq (2.6), we get  $(m-1)\operatorname{grad} \alpha = 0$  and with restriction on dimension  $m$ , we conclude  $\alpha$  is a constant. Moreover, this constant  $\alpha \neq 0$  due to the fact that the Euclidean space does not have a compact minimal hypersurface. Inserting  $A = \alpha I$  in Eq (2.2), we see that the simply connected hypersurface  $M$  has constant curvature  $\alpha^2$  and  $M$  being compact, it is complete. Hence,  $M$  is complete simply connected hypersurface of constant positive curvature  $\alpha^2$  and is therefore isometric to the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^m(\alpha^2)$ . Since  $B = (1 + \sigma\alpha)I$ , we get  $L_{\psi^T} g = 2(1 + \sigma\alpha)g$ . In other words,  $\psi^T$  is a conformal vector field which is non-homothetic, given that the function  $1 + \sigma\alpha$  is not constant as  $\psi^T$  is supposed to be non-trivial. The converse is trivial as  $\psi^T$  for the natural embedding  $\psi : \mathbf{S}^m(\alpha^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  has  $\psi^T = 0$ , which satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  be an immersion of a compact simply connected hypersurface with  $\square\psi^T = 0$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . Then the mean curvature  $\alpha$  and support function  $\sigma$  satisfies*

$$|\sigma\alpha| \leq 1,$$

*if and only if, the mean curvature  $\alpha$  is a constant,  $\psi^T$  is a parallel vector field (i.e., the covariant derivative of  $\psi^T$  vanishes), and  $M$  is isometric to the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^m(\alpha^2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a compact simply connected hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$  with  $\square\psi^T = 0$ , and

$$|\sigma\alpha| \leq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

We use Eqs (2.6) and (2.7) in computing  $\Delta\psi^T$ , where  $\Delta$  is rough Laplace operator, and obtain

$$\Delta\psi^T = A(\text{grad } \sigma) + m\sigma\text{grad } \alpha.$$

Using Eq (2.7), we get

$$\Delta\psi^T = -A^2(\psi^T) + m\sigma\text{grad } \alpha. \quad (3.7)$$

Also, in view of Eq (2.4), we have

$$S(\psi^T) = m\alpha A(\psi^T) - A^2(\psi^T). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, using Eqs (1.2), (3.7) and (3.8), we conclude

$$\square\psi^T = -2A^2(\psi^T) + m\alpha A(\psi^T) + m\sigma\text{grad } \alpha$$

and taking the inner product in above equation with  $\psi^T$  and using  $\square\psi^T = 0$ , we arrive at

$$m\alpha g(A\psi^T, \psi^T) - 2g(A\psi^T, A\psi^T) + m\sigma\psi^T(\alpha) = 0.$$

Using Eq (3.3), we conclude

$$2m\alpha g(A\psi^T, \psi^T) - 2\|A\psi^T\|^2 + m\text{div}(\alpha(\sigma\psi^T)) - m^2\sigma\alpha(1 + \sigma\alpha) = 0$$

and in view of Eq (2.3), we get

$$2\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) + m\text{div}(\alpha(\sigma\psi^T)) - m^2\sigma\alpha(1 + \sigma\alpha) = 0.$$

Integrating the above equation, while using Eq (2.9), we get

$$\int_M (2\text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) + m^2 - m^2\sigma^2\alpha^2) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Define a smooth function  $h$  on the hypersurface  $M$ , by  $h = \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^2$ , which has gradient  $\text{grad } h = \psi^T$  and  $\Delta h = m(1 + \sigma\alpha)$ . Also using Eq (2.7), the Hessian  $H_h$  is given by

$$H_h = I + \sigma A. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, using Bochner's formula (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \text{Ric}(\psi^T, \psi^T) &= \int_M ((\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2) \\ &= \int_M \left( \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2 + \frac{m-1}{m} (\Delta h)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting  $\Delta h = m(1 + \sigma\alpha)$  in the last term of the right hand side of above equation, we have

$$\int_M \left( \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2 \right) = \int_M \left( Ric(\psi^T, \psi^T) - m(m-1)(1 + \sigma\alpha)^2 \right)$$

and using Eq (2.9), we get

$$2 \int_M \left( \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2 \right) = \int_M \left( 2Ric(\psi^T, \psi^T) - 2m(m-1)(\sigma^2\alpha^2 - 1) \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Combining Eqs (3.9) and (3.11), we arrive at

$$2 \int_M \left( \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2 - \|H_h\|^2 \right) = m(m-2) \int_M (1 - \sigma^2\alpha^2).$$

Using Schwarz' inequality  $\|H_h\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2$  and inequality (3.6) with  $m \geq 2$ , in above equation, we conclude the equality  $\|H_h\|^2 = \frac{1}{m} (\Delta h)^2$  and this equality holds, if and only if

$$H_h = \frac{\Delta h}{m} I.$$

Now, using Eq (3.10) and  $\Delta h = m(1 + \sigma\alpha)$  in above equation, we get

$$\sigma(A - \alpha I) = 0.$$

If  $\sigma = 0$ , we get a contradiction by Eq (2.9). Thus, we get  $A = \alpha I$  and following the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get  $M$  is isometric to the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^n(\alpha^2)$ . We also deduce that  $L_{\psi^T} g = 2(1 + \sigma\alpha)g$ , with both  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$  constants. It follows that  $1 + \sigma\alpha = 0$ , since otherwise  $\psi^T$  becomes a homothetic vector field and consequently  $M$  is isometric to the Euclidean space, a contradiction. Thus,  $\nabla X = A_{\psi^T} = 0$ , that is  $\psi^T$  is parallel. The converse is trivial as on  $\mathbf{S}^m(\alpha^2)$  as hypersurface of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}^{m+1}$ , we have  $\psi^T = 0$  and  $\sigma = -\frac{1}{\alpha}$ , and  $|\sigma\alpha| = 1$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSTIP strategic technologies program number (13-MAT874-02) in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

1. B. Y. Chen, O. J. Garay, Constant mean curvature hypersurfaces with constant  $\delta$ -invariant, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **67** (2003), 4205–4216.

2. B. Y. Chen, *Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1983.
3. B. Y. Chen, Constant ratio hypersurfaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, **27** (2001), 353–362.
4. C. Chen, H. Sun, L. Tang, On translation hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional spaces, *J. Beijing Inst. Technol.*, **12** (2003), 322–325.
5. S. Deshmukh, Compact hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space, *Q. J. Math.*, **49** (1998), 35–41.
6. S. Deshmukh, A note on Euclidean spheres, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, **11** (2006), 44–49.
7. S. Deshmukh, Real hypersurfaces in a Euclidean complex space form, *Q. J. Math.*, **58** (2007), 313–317.
8. S. Deshmukh, A note on compact hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **350** (2012), 971–974.
9. S. Deshmukh, A Note on hypersurfaces of a Euclidean space, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **351** (2013), 631–634.
10. S. Deshmukh, V. A. Khan, Geodesic vector fields and Eikonal equation on a Riemannian manifold, *Indag. Math.*, **30** (2019), 542–552.
11. K. L. Duggal, R. Sharma, *Symmetries of Spacetimes and Riemannian Manifolds*, Springer Science+Business Media B. V., 1999.
12. F. Erkekoglu, E. García-Río, D. N. Kupeli, B. Ünal, Characterizing specific Riemannian manifolds by differential equations, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **76** (2003), 195–219.
13. E. García-Río, D. N. Kupeli, B. Ünal, Some conditions for Riemannian manifolds to be isometric with Euclidean spheres, *J. Differ. Equation*, **194** (2003), 287–299.



AIMS Press

©2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)