Research article

The stability of bifurcating solutions for a prey-predator model with population flux by attractive transition

Qian Xu and Chunfeng Xing∗

Institute of Mathematics and Physics, Beijing Union University, Beijing 100101, China

* Correspondence: Email: ldchunfeng@126.com.

Abstract: This paper investigates the stability of bifurcating solutions for a prey-predator model with population flux by attractive transition. Applying spectral analysis and the principle of exchange of stability, we obtain that the bifurcating solutions are stable/unstable under some certain conditions.

Keywords: spectral analysis; stability
Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B32, 35B35

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the following Lotka-Volterra prey-predator model with population flux by attractive transition.

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_t &= d_1 \Delta u + u(m_1 - u - cv), \\
    v_t &= \nabla \cdot [d_2 \nabla v + \alpha u^2 \nabla (\frac{v}{u})] + v(m_2 + bu - v), \\
    u &= v = 0, \\
    u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0 \geq 0, \quad v(\cdot, 0) = v_0 \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( u \) and \( v \) are the population densities of the prey and predator. \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are the random diffusion coefficients. \( m_1 \) represents the growth rate of the prey population. \( d_1, d_2 \) and \( m_1 \) are positive constants and \( m_2 \) is a real constant which can be negative. \( m_2 \) represents the mortality rate while it is negative and it represents the increasing rate of the predator population while it is positive. \( b \) and \( c \) are positive constants which describe the rate of increase of the predator and the rate of decrease of the prey due to the predation respectively. \( J := -\alpha u^2 \nabla (\frac{v}{u}) = \alpha (-uvv + v\nabla u) \) represents the population flux of the predator based on a biodiffusion in order that the transition probability of each individual of the predator depends on conditions at the point of arrival (see [2]). The nonnegative constant \( \alpha \) is a magnitude of such a population flux by attractive transition.
If $\alpha = 0$ holds, then system (1.1) is reduced to the classical Lotka-Volterra prey-predator model. Some prey-predator models with the linear diffusion terms have been extensively studied by many mathematicians, see [3–7]. Kadota and Kuto [8] investigated a prey-predator system with cross-diffusion of quasilinear fractional type. They discussed the local and global bifurcation solutions and obtained a sufficient condition for the existence of positive steady state solutions. Xu and Guo [10] considered the same model as in [8]. They studied the bifurcation steady states which bifurcated from the semitrivial solution with different bifurcating parameter and they obtained the stability of the local bifurcating solutions. Kuto [11] investigated a Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system with cross-diffusion in a spatially heterogeneous environment. Kuto obtained the global bifurcation branch of positive stationary solutions and the bifurcation branch could form a bounded fish-hook curve. Djilali [12] studied the influence of the nonlocal interspecific competition of the prey population on the dynamics of the diffusive predator-prey model with prey social behavior (i.e. herd behavior). It was proved that the turning patterns occur in the presence of the nonlocal competition and can not be found in the original system. Djilali [13] investigated a predator-prey model with social behavior (i.e. herd behavior). The existence of Hopf bifurcation and Turing driven instability were proved. By calculating the normal form, on the center of the manifold associated to the Hopf bifurcation points, the stability of periodic solution was proved. Djilali and Bentout [14] studied the same model as in [13]. They proved the non-existence of a non-constant steady state solution for some values of the bifurcating parameter and they obtained the stability of the local bifurcation solutions. Kuto [11] investigated a Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system with social behavior (i.e. herd behavior). It was proved that the turning patterns occur in the presence of the nonlocal competition and can not be found in the original system. Djilali [13] investigated a predator-prey model with social behavior (i.e. herd behavior). The existence of Hopf bifurcation and Turing driven instability were proved. By calculating the normal form, on the center of the manifold associated to the Hopf bifurcation points, the stability of periodic solution was proved. Djilali and Bentout [14] studied the same model as in [13]. They proved the non-existence of a non-constant steady state solution for some values of the bifurcating parameter and they obtained the stability of the local bifurcation solutions. Kuto [11] investigated a Lotka-Volterra prey-predator system with cross-diffusion in a spatially heterogeneous environment. Kuto obtained the global bifurcation branch of positive stationary solutions and the bifurcation branch could form a bounded fish-hook curve.

In the following, we list the local bifurcation results and some preliminary results obtained in [1], which will be used in this paper. The corresponding steady state problem of (1.1) is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u + u(m_1 - u - cv) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot [d_2 \nabla v + \alpha u^2 \nabla (\frac{v}{u})] + v(m_2 + bu - v) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
u = v &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
$$

(1.2)

It is easy to see that the second equation of (1.2) can be written as

$$
d_2 \Delta v + \alpha (u\Delta v - v\Delta u) + v(m_2 + bu - v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega.
$$

(1.3)

Substituting the first equation of (1.2) into (1.3), we get

$$
\Delta v + \frac{v}{d_2 + \alpha u} \left( \frac{\alpha u}{d_1} (m_1 - u - cv) + m_2 + bu - v \right) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega.
$$

(1.4)

Together with (1.3) and (1.4), system (1.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta u + u(m_1 - u - cv) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\Delta v + \frac{v}{d_2 + \alpha u} \left( \frac{\alpha u}{d_1} (m_1 - u - cv) + m_2 + bu - v \right) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
u = v &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
$$

(1.5)

For any fixed $m_1 > d_1 \lambda_1$ (which $\lambda_1$ represents the least eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial \Omega$), system (1.5) has a couple of sets of semitrivial solutions with parameter $m_2$ which can be denoted as follows

$$
\Gamma_u := \{(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, m_2) \in X \times \mathbb{R}\}, \Gamma_v := \{(0, \theta_{d_2,m_2}, m_2) \in X \times (d_2 \lambda_1, \infty)\}.
$$
For the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi + q(x) \phi = \lambda \phi, & x \in \Omega, \\
\phi = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Assume $q(x) \in C(\Omega)$ holds, let $\lambda_1(q)$ be the least eigenvalue of (1.6), then $q \to \lambda_1(q) : C(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ is increasing, i.e. if $q_1(x) \leq q_2(x)$ and $q_1(x) \neq q_2(x)$ in $\Omega$, then $\lambda_1(q_1) < \lambda_1(q_2)$.

Define an operator $F : X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ by

$$F(u, v, m_2) = \begin{pmatrix}
    d_1 \Delta u + u(m_1 - u - cv) \\
\Delta v + \frac{v}{d_2 + \alpha d_1} \left( \theta_{d_1,m_1}(m_1 - \theta_{d_1,m_1}) + m_2 + b \theta_{d_1,m_1} \right)
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.7)$$

Then solving system (1.2) is equivalent to solving the equation $F(u, v, m_2) = 0$.

It is easy to compute that

$$F(u, v, m_2) = \begin{pmatrix}
    u \\
    \psi^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
    d_1 \Delta u + (m_1 - 2 \theta_{d_1,m_1}) u - c \theta_{d_1,m_1} v \\
\Delta v + \frac{v}{d_2 + \alpha \theta_{d_1,m_1}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{d_1} \theta_{d_1,m_1}(m_1 - \theta_{d_1,m_1}) + m_2 + b \theta_{d_1,m_1} \right)
\end{pmatrix} \quad (1.8)$$

According to the result obtained in [1], we have

$$\text{Ker}(F(u, v, \theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, m_2)) = \text{span}\{\phi^*, \psi^*\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\psi^*$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi^* - \frac{\psi^*}{d_2 + \alpha \theta_{d_1,m_1}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{d_1} \theta_{d_1,m_1}(m_1 - \theta_{d_1,m_1}) + m_2 + b \theta_{d_1,m_1} \right) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\
\psi^* = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

with $\|\psi^*\| = 1$, $m_2 = f(m_1)$, $\lim_{m_1 \to \delta} f(m_1) = d_2 \lambda_1$, $\lim_{m_1 \to -\infty} f(m_1) = -\infty$ and

$$\phi^* = [-d_1 \Delta + 2 \theta_{d_1,m_1} - m_1]^{-1}(-c \theta_{d_1,m_1} \psi^*). \quad (1.11)$$

In the following, we restate the existence of bifurcating solutions which bifurcate from $(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))$ obtained in [1].

**Lemma 1.1 (Proposition 4.4 in [1])** Let $m_1 \in (d_1 \lambda_1, \infty)$ be given arbitrarily. Positive solutions of (1.2) bifurcate from $\Gamma_u$ as $m_2 = f(m_1)$. There exists a neighborhood $N_1$ of $(u, v, m_2) = (\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $F^{-1}(0) \cap N_1$ consists of the union of $\Gamma_u \cap N_1$ and the local curve

$$\begin{bmatrix}
    u \\
v \\
m_2
\end{bmatrix}(s) = \begin{bmatrix}
    \theta_{d_1,m_1} \\
0 \\
f(m_1)
\end{bmatrix} s + \begin{bmatrix}
    \mu(s) \\
\psi^* + \overline{\mu(s)} \\
\phi^* + \overline{\psi^*}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad s \in (-\delta, \delta), \quad (1.12)$$
with some $\delta > 0$. Here $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu})(s) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ is continuous differentiable for $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^+(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in (-\delta, \delta) \text{ and } (\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu})(0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta' (0)}{\gamma^2} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\psi^+)^3}{\xi^2 \gamma^2 + \xi^2 \gamma^2},$$

(1.13)

All positive solutions contained in $F^{-1}(0) \cap N_1$ can be expressed as

$$C_u := \{(u, v, m_2) : 0 < s < \delta\}.$$

In the following, we rewrite the existence of bifurcating solutions which bifurcate from $(0, \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$ obtained in [1], first we give some preliminary results which has been obtained in [1].

Let $V = \nu - \theta_{d_2, m_2}$, $\tilde{F}(u, \nu, m_2) := F(u, V + \theta_{d_2, m_2}, m_2)$, where $F$ is defined by (1.7). Thus we have

$$\tilde{F}(u, \nu, m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ V \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \Delta u + (m_1 - c \theta_{d_2, m_2})u \\ \Delta V + d_2^{-1} [h_{21}(x) u + (m_2 - 2 \theta_{d_2, m_2}) V] \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$h_{21}(x) = [\alpha\lambda_1 - \frac{m_1}{d_1} - \frac{m_2}{d_2} - \frac{c}{d_1} \theta_{d_2, m_2} + b] \theta_{d_2, m_2}.$$

(1.14)

$$\text{Ker}(\tilde{F}(u, \nu)(0, 0, m_2)) = \text{span}[\delta, \psi],$$

where $\delta$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \delta + \frac{c}{d_1} \theta_{d_2, m_2} \delta = \frac{m_1}{d_1} \delta, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \delta = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

(1.15)

with $m_1 = d_1 \lambda_1(\frac{\theta_{d_2, m_2}}{d_1})(e^{-g_{m_2}}))$, $\|\delta\|_\infty = 1$, where $g^{-1}(m_2)$ is continuously differentiable and monotone increasing for $m_2 > d_2 \lambda_1$ such that

$$\lim_{m_2 \to \lambda_2} g^{-1}(m_2) = d_2 \lambda_1, \quad \lim_{m_2 \to \infty} g^{-1}(m_2) = \infty.$$

(1.16)

For convenience, we denote the inverse function of $m_1 = g^{-1}(m_2)$ by $m_2 = g(m_1)$.

$$\tilde{\psi} = [-d_2 \Delta + 2 \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)} - g(m_1)]^{-1}(h_{21} \delta).$$

(1.17)

(1.18)

Now we restate the existence of bifurcating solutions which bifurcate from $(0, \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$ obtained in [1].

**Lemma 1.2 (Proposition 4.6 in [1])** Let $m_1 \in (d_1, \lambda_1, \infty)$ be given arbitrarily. Positive solutions of (1.2) bifurcate from $\Gamma_v$ as $m_2 = g(m_1)$. There exists a neighborhood $N_2$ of $(u, v, m_2) = (0, \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $F^{-1}(0) \cap N_2$ consists of the union of $\Gamma_v \cap N_2$ and the local curve

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ m_2 \end{bmatrix}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)} \\ g(m_1) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} s(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\nu}(s)) \\ s(\tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\nu}(s)) \\ \mu(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad s \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

(1.19)
with some $\delta > 0$. Here $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \mu)(s) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ is continuous differentiable for $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$ satisfying
\[
\int_{\Omega} \phi u(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in (-\delta, \delta) \text{ and } (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \mu)(0) = (0, 0, 0) \text{ and }
\]

\[
\mu'(0) = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} (\phi + \tilde{c} \phi) \phi}{c \int_{\Omega} \zeta(x) \phi}, \quad \text{where } \zeta := \frac{\partial \theta_{d_2, m_2}}{\partial m_2} \big|_{m_2 = \mu(1)} (> 0). \tag{1.20}
\]

All positive solutions contained in $F^{-1}(0) \cap N_2$ can be expressed as
\[
C_v^+ := \{(u, v, m_2)(s) : 0 < s < \delta\}.
\]

Oeda and Kuto [1] gives the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of (1.2) as $\alpha \to \infty$ which can be written as follows.

**Lemma 1.3 (Theorem 2.2 in [1])** Suppose that $(m_1, m_2, d_1, d_2, b, c)$ satisfies
\[
m_1 > d_1 \lambda_1,
\]
\[
m_2 \neq \frac{d_2}{d_1} m_1 - \left( \frac{d_2}{d_1} + b \right) \| \frac{\theta_{d_2, m_1}}{\lambda_1} \|^2 = f^\alpha(m_1, d_1, d_2, b),
\]
\[
m_2 \neq \frac{d_2}{d_1} m_1 - \left( \frac{d_2}{d_1} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \| \frac{\theta_{d_2, m_1}}{\lambda_1} \|^2 = h(m_1, d_1, d_2, c),
\]
\[
m_2 \neq g(m_1, d_1, d_2, c).
\]

Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ be any sequence of positive solutions to (1.2) with $\alpha = \alpha_n \to \infty$. Then the following alternative holds true.

(i) If $\{\alpha_n \|u_n\|\}$ is unbounded, then
\[
f^\alpha(m_1, d_1, d_2, b) < m_2 < h(m_1, d_1, d_2, c)
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (u_n, v_n) = (1 - s, s \frac{h}{c} \theta_{d_1, m_1}) \text{ in } C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^1(\overline{\Omega}),
\]
passing to a subsequence, where $s \in (0, 1)$ is defined by
\[
m_2 = (1 - s) f^\alpha(m_1, d_1, d_2, b) + s h(m_1, d_1, d_2, c).
\]

(ii) If $\{\alpha_n \|u_n\|\}$ is bounded, then there exists $(w, v) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_n u_n, v_n) = (w, v) \text{ in } C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^1(\overline{\Omega}),
\]
passing to a subsequence, and moreover, $(w, v)$ is a positive solution to
\[
\begin{cases}
    d_1 \Delta u + u(m_1 - cv) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\
    \nabla \cdot [d_2 \nabla v + w^2 \nabla (\frac{v}{w})] + v(m_2 - v) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\
    u = v = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases} \tag{1.22}
\]

According to the first type (i), the coexistence steady state $(u, v)$ of prey and predator can be approximated by a coexistence steady state of the competition model with equal conditions. In the
second type (ii), the component of prey shrinks with order $O(t^{\frac{1}{a}})$ when $\alpha$ is sufficiently large. The bifurcation structure of positive solutions of (1.22) will be discussed in a forthcoming paper [9].

In this paper, we study the stability of bifurcating solutions obtained in [1]. Applying spectral analysis and the principle of exchange of stability, we obtain that the bifurcating solutions are stable/unstable under some certain conditions. The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we prove that the bifurcating solutions near $(\theta_{d1,m1}, 0, f(m1))$ are locally asymptotically stable/unstable under some certain conditions. In section 3, we prove that the bifurcating steady states near $(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1))$ are locally asymptotically stable/unstable under some certain conditions. A conclusion section ends the paper.

2. The stability of bifurcating steady states near $(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1))$

We consider the stability of bifurcating solutions near $(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1))$ of the following system.

\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
u_t &= d_1 u_t + u_m (1 - u - cv), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\
\nu &= \Delta \nu + \frac{\nu}{d_2 + au} \frac{\alpha u}{\alpha u + 1}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\
u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]  

Denote

\[
\begin{aligned}
f(u, v, m) &= \frac{a d_1 (au + 1)^2}{d_2 + au} + \frac{\alpha u}{\alpha u + 1} - \frac{2 d_2 au(u + 1)^2}{d_1 (au + 1)^2}, \\
\bar{g}(u, v, m) &= \frac{a d_1 (au + 1)^2}{d_1 (au + 1)^2} + \frac{\alpha u}{\alpha u + 1} - \frac{2 d_2 au(u + 1)^2}{d_1 (au + 1)^2} + \frac{m_2}{d_2 + au}.
\end{aligned}
\]

Linearizing (2.1) at $(u(s), v(s))$ defined by (1.19) and investigating the following eigenvalue problem, by (2.2), we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
u_t &= d_1 u_t + m_1 u - 2u(s)u - cv(s)u - cu(s)v = \lambda u, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\nu &= \bar{f}(u(s), v(s), m)u + \bar{g}(u(s), v(s), m_2)v = \lambda v, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
u &= v = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

According to (1.7), (2.3) can be rewritten as follows

\[
\begin{aligned}
F(u, v)(u(s), v(s), m_2) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u \\ \lambda v \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
F(u, v)/(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1)) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 u_t + m_1 u - c\theta_{d2,g(m1)}u \\
\Delta \nu + \bar{f}(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1))u + \bar{g}(0, \theta_{d2,g(m1)}, g(m1))v \\
\Delta \nu + d_2^{-1} (h_21(x)/u + (m_2 - 2\theta_{d2,g(m1)})v) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
\]  

\[
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
\]
where $h_{21}(x)$ is defined by (1.15).

It is easy to see that
\[ \text{Ker}(F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))) = \text{span} \{ \phi, \psi \}, \]
where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are defined by (1.16) and (1.18).

According to Shi [16] (Theorem 2.1 and (4.5)), we can define the functional
\[ l_1 : X \to \mathbb{R} \text{by} < [f, g], l_1 > := \int_{\Omega} f \phi dx. \]

**Theorem 2.1.** For any fixed $m_1 \in (d_1, \lambda_1, \infty)$, the bifurcating steady state $(u(s), v(s))$ defined by (1.19) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable when $\mu'(0) < 0$ defined by (1.20); the bifurcating steady state $(u(s), v(s))$ defined by (1.19) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable when $\mu'(0) > 0$ defined by (1.20).

**Proof.** First we show that 0 is the first eigenvalue of $F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$.

From the above, we get that 0 is the eigenvalue of $F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$. Therefore we will show that 0 is the first eigenvalue of $F(u,v)(\theta_{d_1, m_1}, 0, f(m_1))$. Otherwise, there exists a positive eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ of $F(u,v)(\theta_{d_2, g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$ with the corresponding eigenfunction \( \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \) $\in X$ such that
\[ F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \frac{\lambda_1 u_1}{\lambda_1 v_1} \right), \]
that is
\[ \begin{cases} d_1 \Delta u_1 + m_1 u_1 - c \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)} u_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_1 u_1, \\ \Delta v_1 + \tilde{f}(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) u_1 + \tilde{g}(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) v_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_1 v_1, \end{cases} \]
where $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are defined by (2.2).

Assume $u_1 = 0$ and $v_1 \neq 0$ hold, from the second equation of (2.9), we obtain
\[ -d_2 \Delta v_1 + 2 \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)} v_1 - g(m_1) v_1 = -\tilde{\lambda}_1 d_2 v_1. \]
Because of $\tilde{\lambda}_1 d_2 > 0$ and in [17] (Lemma 2.1), it was proved that all the eigenvalues of the operator $(-d_2 \Delta + 2 \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)} - g(m_1))$ are strictly positive, which is in contradiction with (2.10), therefore we have $u_1 \neq 0$.

By virtue of (1.16) and the scalar elliptic equation theorem, 0 is the first eigenvalue of the first equation of (2.9), which contradicts $\tilde{\lambda}_1$. Therefore we obtain that 0 is the first eigenvalue of $F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$ and the other eigenvalues are negative.

For small $0 < s < \delta$, by Proposition I.7.2 in [15], there exist perturbed eigenvalue $\lambda(s)$ and continuous differential functions $\varphi_1(s), \varphi_2(s) \in X \cap \text{Range}(F(u,v)(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1)))$ satisfying
\[ F(u(s), v(s), m_2(s)) \left( \frac{\tilde{\phi} + \varphi_1(s)}{\tilde{\psi} + \varphi_2(s)} \right) = \lambda(s) \left( \frac{\tilde{\phi} + \varphi_1(s)}{\tilde{\psi} + \varphi_2(s)} \right), \]
with $\lambda(0) = \varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$. 

\textbf{AIMS Mathematics}
Similarly, there exist perturbed eigenvalue \( \lambda(m_2) \) and continuous differential functions \( \varphi_1(m_2), \varphi_2(m_2) \in X \cap \text{Range}(F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1))) \) satisfying

\[
F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi} + \varphi_1(m_2)}{\bar{\psi} + \varphi_2(m_2)} \right) = \lambda(m_2) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi} + \varphi_1(m_2)}{\bar{\psi} + \varphi_2(m_2)} \right),
\]

(2.12)

with \( \lambda(m_2) = \varphi_1(m_2) = \varphi_2(m_2) = 0. \)

Differentiating (2.12) with respect to \( m_2 = g(m_1) \) and together with \( \lambda(m_2) = \varphi_1(m_2) = \varphi_2(m_2) = 0, \) we obtain

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dm_2} F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi} + \varphi_1(m_2)}{\bar{\psi} + \varphi_2(m_2)} \right) \\
&+ F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\varphi_1'(g(m_1))}{\varphi_2'(g(m_1))} \right) = \lambda'(g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi}}{\bar{\psi}} \right),
\end{aligned}
\]

(2.13)

where \( \lambda'(g(m_1)) = \frac{d}{dm_2} \lambda(m_2)|_{m_2=g(m_1)}. \)

According to (2.7) and (2.13), we have

\[
\langle \frac{d}{dm_2} F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi}}{\bar{\psi}} \right), l_1 \rangle = \lambda'(g(m_1)) \| \bar{\phi} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]

(2.14)

In virtue of (2.5), we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dm_2} F_{(u,v)}(0, \theta_{d_2g(m_1)}, g(m_1)) \left( \frac{\bar{\phi}}{\bar{\psi}} \right) \\
&= \left( -c \frac{\partial \varphi_2(m_2)}{\partial m_2} \bigg|_{m_2=g(m_1)} \bar{\phi} + \frac{c}{d_2^2} \bar{\psi} + \frac{1}{d_2^2} \varphi_2'(g(m_1)) \frac{\partial \varphi_2(m_2)}{\partial m_2} \bigg|_{m_2=g(m_1)} - \frac{2}{d_2^2} \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \varphi_2(m_2)}{\partial m_2} \bigg|_{m_2=g(m_1)} \right).
\end{aligned}
\]

(2.15)

According to (2.7), (2.14) and (2.15), we get

\[
\lambda'(g(m_1)) \| \bar{\phi} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \varphi_2(m_2)}{\partial m_2} \bigg|_{m_2=g(m_1)} \varphi_2 dx < 0.
\]

(2.16)

Applying the formula I.7.40 in [15], we have

\[
- \lambda(0) = m_2(0) \lambda'(g(m_1)),
\]

(2.17)

where \( \lambda(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \lambda(s). \)

Using Lemma 1.2 and (2.17), we have

\[
\text{sgn}(\lambda(0)) = \text{sgn}(m_2(0)) = \text{sgn}(\mu'(0)),
\]

(2.18)

where \( \mu'(0) \) is defined by (1.20).

By (2.18), when \( \mu'(0) < 0 \) holds, then \( \lambda(s) < 0 \) for small \( s > 0 \), the bifurcating solution \( (u(s), v(s)) \) defined by (1.19) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable. When \( \mu'(0) > 0 \) holds, then \( \lambda(s) > 0 \) for small \( s > 0 \), the bifurcating solution \( (u(s), v(s)) \) defined by (1.19) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable. □
For system (2.1), let $\alpha = 100$, $b = 2$, $c = 10$, $m_1 = 300$, $m_2 = 20$, $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $t = 1000$ and $(u_0, v_0) = (0.01\sin^2(\pi x), 0.01\sin(\pi x))$ hold, which guarantee $\mu'(0) < 0$. We give the following simulation results which verify the stability of locally bifurcating steady states near $(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$, see Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** The stability of locally bifurcating steady states near $(0, \theta_{d_2,g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$.

### 3. The stability of bifurcating steady states near $(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))$

In this section, we use the similar method in section 2 in order to investigate the stability of positive solutions bifurcating from $(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))$.

We linearize (1.2) at $(u(s), v(s))$ defined by (1.12) and study the following eigenvalue problem

\[
\begin{align*}
&d_1 \Delta u + m_1 u - 2u(s)u - cv(s)u = \sigma u, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
&\Delta v + \tilde{f}(u(s), v(s), m_2)u + \tilde{g}(u(s), v(s), m_2)v = \sigma v, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
&u = v = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \\
&u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

where $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are defined by (2.2).

By (1.7), (3.1) can be rewritten by

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{(u,v)}(u(s), v(s), m_2) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma u \\ \sigma v \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.2)

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \Delta u + m_1 u - 2\theta_{d_1,m_1} u - c\theta_{d_1,m_1} v \\ \Delta v + \tilde{g}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))v \end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

(3.3)
We first prove that 0 is the first eigenvalue of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable when \(\mu(0) > 0\) holds defined by (1.13); the bifurcating steady state \((u(s), v(s))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable when \(\mu(0) < 0\) holds defined by (1.13).

\[N(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))) = \text{span} \{\phi^*, \psi^*\}, \quad (3.4)\]

where \(\phi^*\) and \(\psi^*\) are defined by (1.10) and (1.11).

According to Shi [16] (Theorem 2.1 and (4.5)), we can define the functional

\[l_2 : X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by } < [f, g], l_2 > := \int_{\Omega} g \psi^* dx. \quad (3.5)\]

**Theorem 3.2.** The bifurcating solution \((u(s), v(s))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable when \(\mu(0) > 0\) holds defined by (1.13); the bifurcating steady state \((u(s), v(s))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable when \(\mu(0) < 0\) holds defined by (1.13).

**Proof.** We first prove that 0 is the first eigenvalue of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\). From the above, we obtain that 0 is the eigenvalue of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\). Then we will prove that 0 is the first eigenvalue of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\). Otherwise, there exists a positive eigenvalue \(\sigma_1\) of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\) with the corresponding eigenfunction \(\left(\frac{u_1}{v_1}\right) \in X\) such that

\[F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left(\frac{u_1}{v_1}\right) = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 u_1}{\sigma_1 v_1}\right), \quad (3.6)\]

that is

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{u}_1 + m_1 \ddot{u}_1 - 2\theta_{d_1,m_1} u_1 - c \theta_{d_1,m_1} v_1 = \sigma_1 u_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\ddot{v}_1 + g(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) v_1 = \sigma_1 v_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
\ddot{u}_1 = \ddot{v}_1 = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases} \quad (3.7)
\]

where \(\ddot{g}\) is defined by (2.2).

If \(v_1 < 0\) and \(u_1 \neq 0\) hold, the first equation of (3.7) implies

\[- d_1 \Delta \ddot{u}_1 + 2\theta_{d_1,m_1} \ddot{u}_1 - m_1 \ddot{u}_1 = -\sigma_1 \ddot{u}_1, \quad (3.8)\]

In [17] (Lemma 2.1), it was proved that all the eigenvalues of the operator \((-d_1 \Delta + 2\theta_{d_1,m_1} - m_1)\) are strictly positive, which is in contradiction with (3.8), then \(v_1 \neq 0\).

According to (1.10) and the scalar elliptic equation theorem, 0 is the first eigenvalue of the second equation of (3.7), which contradicts \(\sigma_1\). Then we have proved that 0 is the first eigenvalue of \(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))\) and the other eigenvalues are negative.

For small \(0 < s < \delta\), by Proposition I.7.2 in [15], there exist perturbed eigenvalue \(\sigma(s)\) and continuous differential functions \(\omega_1(s), \omega_2(s) \in X \cap \text{Range}(F_{(u,v)}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)))\) satisfying

\[F(u(s), v(s), m_2(s)) \begin{pmatrix} \phi^* + \omega_1(s) \\ \psi^* + \omega_2(s) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(s) \begin{pmatrix} \phi^* + \omega_1(s) \\ \psi^* + \omega_2(s) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)\]

with \(\sigma(0) = \omega_1(0) = \omega_2(0) = 0\).
Similarly, there exist perturbed eigenvalue \( \sigma(m_2) \) and continuous differential functions \( \omega_1(m_2), \omega_2(m_2) \in X \cap \text{Range}(F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1))) \) satisfying
\[
F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* + \omega_1(m_2) \\ \psi^* + \omega_2(m_2) \end{array} \right) = \sigma(m_2) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* + \omega_1(m_2) \\ \psi^* + \omega_2(m_2) \end{array} \right),
\]
with \( \sigma(m_2) = \omega_1(m_2) = \omega_2(m_2) = 0 \).

Differentiating (3.10) with respect to \( m_2 \) at \( m_2 = f(m_1) \) and together with \( \sigma(m_2) = \omega_1(m_2) = \omega_2(m_2) = 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{d}{dm_2} F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* \\ \psi^* \end{array} \right) + F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \omega'_1(f(m_1)) \\ \omega'_2(f(m_1)) \end{array} \right) = \sigma'(f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* \\ \psi^* \end{array} \right),
\]
where \( \sigma'(f(m_1)) = \frac{d}{dm_2} \sigma(m_2)|_{m_2 = f(m_1)} \).

Together with (3.5) and (3.11), we obtain
\[
\langle \frac{d}{dm_2} F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* \\ \psi^* \end{array} \right), I_2 \rangle = \sigma'(f(m_1)) \| \psi^* \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{3.12}
\]

According to (1.8), we have
\[
\frac{d}{dm_2} F_{u,v}(\theta_{d_1,m_1}, 0, f(m_1)) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^* \\ \psi^* \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{\psi^*}{d_2 + \alpha \theta_{d_1,m_1}} \end{array} \right). \tag{3.13}
\]

Using (3.5), (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain
\[
\sigma'(f(m_1)) \| \psi^* \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{(\psi^*)^2}{d_2 + \alpha \theta_{d_1,m_1}} dx > 0. \tag{3.14}
\]

It follows from the formula I.7.40 in [15] that
\[
- \dot{\sigma}(0) = \dot{m}_2(0) \sigma'(f(m_1)), \tag{3.15}
\]
where \( \dot{\sigma}(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \sigma(s) \).

Together with Lemma 1.1 and (3.14), we obtain
\[
\text{sgn} \dot{\sigma}(0) = - \text{sgn}(\dot{m}_2(0)) = - \text{sgn}(\mu'(0)), \tag{3.16}
\]
where \( \mu'(0) \) is defined by (1.13). According to (3.16), when \( \mu'(0) > 0 \) holds, then \( \lambda(s) < 0 \) for small \( s > 0 \), the bifurcating solution \((u(s), v(s))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable. When \( \mu'(0) < 0 \) holds, then \( \lambda(s) > 0 \) for small \( s > 0 \), the bifurcating solution \((u(s), v(s))\) defined by (1.12) of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically unstable. \( \square \)
4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have investigated the local stability of bifurcation steady states obtained in [1] for a prey-predator model with population flux by attractive transition. By applying spectral analysis and the principle of exchange of stability, we show the stability/unstability of the bifurcating solutions under some certain conditions. We give numerical simulation result (which satisfies $\mu'(0) < 0$) in order to verify the local stability of bifurcation solutions near the bifurcating point $(0, \theta_{d_2, g(m_1)}, g(m_1))$.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11871048), Premium Funding Project for Academic Human Resources Development in Beijing Union University (BPHR2019CZ07, BPHR2020EZ01) and Scientific Research Program of Beijing Municipal Education Commission (KM202011417010).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References


© 2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)