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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

On a Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), the Levi-Civita connection and the curvature tensor are denoted by $\nabla$ and $R$, respectively. Let $\gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic with coordinate $t$ in $I$. A vector field $V$ along a geodesic $\gamma$ is said to be a Jacobi field along $\gamma$ if it satisfies the following Jacobi differential equation, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\frac{d}{d t}} \nabla_{\frac{d}{d I t}} V+R(V, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a homothetic vector field $V$ we refer to that the Lie derivative of the metric $g$ of a Riemannian manifold $M$ along $V$ is a constant multiple of the metric $g$. When the constant vanishes, then a homothetic vector field becomes Killing. We remark that a Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold is always a Jacobi field along each geodesic, but the converse is not necessarily true. By means of (1.1), S. Deshmukh in [4] defined the Jacobi-type vector fields on a Riemannian manifold $M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} V+R(V, X) X=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$. Such vector fields were studied in some characterizations of typical compact real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms [4], and compact (or Hopf) real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians [7]. However, as pointed out in [2, Remark 1], those restrictions of Jacobi-type vector fields on the structure vector fields of compact real hypersurfaces [4, 7] are in fact redundant.

In 2012, S. Deshmukh in [5] defined another type of Jacobi-type vector fields satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \nabla_{X} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} X} V+R(V, X) X=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$. From here to the ending of this present paper, when involving the Jacobi-type vector fields we always refer to Eq (1.3). Obviously, any Jacobi-type vector field is a Jacobi field along each geodesic. Also, the notion of the Jacobi-type vector fields is certainly an extension of the Killing ones [5, Proposition 2.1]. Recently, such a property has been generalized by A. M. Cherif in [3, Lemma 7] who proved that a homothetic vector field on a Riemannian manifold must be a Jacobitype vector field. A Jacobi-type vector field is said to be trivial when it is Killing. Just like the case of the homothetic or Killing vector fields, Jacobi-type vector fields constrain the geometry as well as topology of a Riemannian manifold, and play important roles in differential geometry [1, 2, 5, 6].

It was proved in [2, Theorem 1] that a Jacobi-type vector field on a compact Riemannian manifold must be Killing. This arises a natural question [2]:
"Under what conditions is a Jacobi-type vector field on a non-compact Riemannian manifold a Killing vector field?"

The main motivation of the present paper is to investigate the above question on the most simplest non-compact real space forms, i.e., the hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ and the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We determine all Jacobi-type vector fields on these two spaces and also present some sufficient and necessary conditions for those Jacobi-type vector fields becoming Killing ones. Applying this we obtain infinitely many non-Killing Jacobi-type vector fields.

## 2. Preliminaries

Now we introduce the well-known model for the hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^{3}(-1)$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{3}=\{(x, y, z)$ : $\left.(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, z>0\right\}$ and the metric $g$ on it is

$$
g=\frac{1}{z^{2}}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}\right)
$$

We adopt the global orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}=z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, e_{2}=z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_{3}=z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. By a direct calculation, we give

$$
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=0,\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{2},\left[e_{3}, e_{1}\right]=e_{1}
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{3} & 0 & -e_{1} \\
0 & e_{3} & -e_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), i, j \in\{1,2,3\}
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g$. By applying these, some curvature tensors are given by

$$
R_{121}=e_{2}, R_{122}=-e_{1}, R_{131}=e_{3}, R_{133}=-e_{1}, R_{232}=e_{3}, R_{233}=-e_{2}
$$

and all others vanish, where $R_{i j k}:=R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) e_{k}$ for $i, j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$.

## 3. Jacobi-type vector fields on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$

Suppose that $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ is a Jacobi-type vector field on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, where $f_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are smooth functions on $(x, y, z)$. With the help of those preliminaries in Section two, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{e_{1}} \nabla_{e_{1}} V-\nabla_{{\nabla_{1} e_{1}}} V+R\left(V, e_{1}\right) e_{1} \\
= & \left(-f_{1}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}-2 z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x}\right) e_{1}+\left(-f_{2}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(-2 f_{3}+2 z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}\right) e_{3}, \\
& \nabla_{e_{2}} \nabla_{e_{2}} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{2} e_{2}}} V+R\left(V, e_{2}\right) e_{2} \\
= & \left(-f_{1}-z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) e_{1}+\left(-f_{2}-z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}-2 z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial y}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(-2 f_{3}+2 z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}-z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) e_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{e_{3}} \nabla_{e_{3}} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{3} e_{3}}} V+R\left(V, e_{3}\right) e_{3} \\
= & \left(-f_{1}+z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) e_{1}+\left(-f_{2}+z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) e_{2} \\
& +\left(z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) e_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (1.3) and the above there relations, $V$ is a Jacobi-type vector field if and only if the following nine partial differential equations hold:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-f_{1}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}-2 z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}=0,  \tag{3.1}\\
-f_{2}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}=0, \\
-2 f_{3}+2 z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}-z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}=0, \\
-f_{1}-z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{2 f^{2} f^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \\
-f_{2}-z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z^{\frac{\partial}{}{ }^{2} f_{2}} \\
\partial y^{2} \\
-2 f_{3}+2 z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial y}=0, \\
-z_{2} \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \\
-f_{1}+z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}=0, \\
-f_{2}+z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z^{2}}=0, \\
z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial z^{2}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The remaining of this section is to solve the above PDEs. First, notice that the ninth equation in (3.1) can be reduced to $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}=0$, and this is a linear equation. Solving this equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}=H(x, y) \ln z+K(x, y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $H$ and $K$ are smooth functions varying only on ( $x, y$ ). Substituting (3.2) into the third term in (3.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{2 z}(2 \ln z+1) H+\frac{1}{z} K-\frac{1}{2} z \ln z \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{2}{z} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking derivative of the seventh term in (3.1) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+z \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x \partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} f_{1}}{\partial x \partial z^{2}}=0
$$

which is simplified by applying (3.3) giving $2 H+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x^{2}}=0$. Recalling that this equation holds for any $z>0$ and $H$ varies only on $(x, y)$. It follows immediately that $H$ vanishes identically.

Taking derivative of the first equation in (3.1) with respect to $x$ yields that

$$
-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} f_{1}}{\partial x^{3}}-z \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x \partial z}-2 z \frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}=0
$$

which is substituted into (3.2), (3.3) and $H=0$ giving $z^{4} \frac{\partial^{4} K}{\partial x^{4}}=0$. This equation reduces directly to $\frac{\partial^{4} K}{\partial x^{4}}=0$ in view of the arbitrary of $z$. Moreover, notice that with the help of $H=0$, (3.2) and (3.3) become $f_{3}=K$ and $\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{z} K-\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}$, respectively. Taking derivative of the forth term in (3.1) with respect to $x$ yields

$$
-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} f_{1}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}-z \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x \partial z}=0
$$

which is substituted into $\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{z} K-\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}$ giving $\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} z^{2} \frac{\partial^{4} K}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}=0$. Applying again the arbitrary of $z$ in this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial^{4} K}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that we have already obtained $\frac{\partial^{4} K}{\partial x^{4}}=0$. Combining this with the second term in (3.4), in view of that $K$ varies only on $(x, y)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}=k_{1} x y+k_{2} x+k_{3} y+k_{4} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ are all constants. Taking integral of (3.5) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)=\frac{1}{6} k_{1} x^{3} y+\frac{1}{6} k_{2} x^{3}+\frac{1}{2} k_{3} x^{2} y+\frac{1}{2} k_{4} x^{2}+\alpha_{1}(y) x+\alpha_{2}(y), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are smooth functions varying only on $y$. Substituting the above relation into the first term in (3.4) gives an equation, and comparing the resulting equation with (3.5) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1}(y)=-\frac{1}{6} k_{1} y^{3}-\frac{1}{2} k_{2} y^{2}+k_{5} y+k_{6}  \tag{3.7}\\
\alpha_{2}(y)=-\frac{1}{6} k_{3} y^{3}-\frac{1}{2} k_{4} y^{2}+k_{7} y+k_{8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{5}, k_{6}, k_{7}$ and $k_{8}$ are all constants. Putting (3.7) into (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
K(x, y)= & \frac{1}{6} k_{1} x y\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6} k_{2} x\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6} k_{3} y\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} k_{4}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+k_{5} x y+k_{6} x+k_{7} y+k_{8} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling that we have obtained $\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{z} K-\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial x^{2}}$, which is simplified by using (3.8) giving

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}= & \frac{1}{24 z} k_{1} x^{2} y\left(x^{2}-2 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{24 z} k_{2} x^{2}\left(x^{2}-6 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{3} x y\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6 z} k_{4} x\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{5} x^{2} y+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{6} x^{2}+\frac{1}{z} k_{7} x y+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} x  \tag{3.9}\\
& -\frac{1}{4} x z\left(k_{1} x y+k_{2} x+2 k_{3} y+2 k_{4}\right)+M(y, z),
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is a smooth function varying only on ( $y, z$ ). Substituting (3.9) into the first term in (3.1) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{6} z\left(k_{1} y^{3}+3 k_{2} y^{2}-6 k_{5} y-6 k_{6}\right)-\frac{1}{2} z^{3}\left(k_{1} y+k_{2}\right)=M+z \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}
$$

Solving the above linear equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{12} z\left(k_{1} y^{3}+3 k_{2} y^{2}-6 k_{5} y-6 k_{6}\right)-\frac{1}{8} z^{3}\left(k_{1} y+k_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} \alpha_{3}(y), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{3}$ is a smooth function varying only on $y$. Similarly, substituting (3.9) into the seventh term in (3.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-M+z \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial z^{2}}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is substituted into (3.10) giving $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$. Now putting (3.9) into the forth term in (3.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-M-z \frac{\partial M}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the aid of $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$, substituting (3.10) into (3.12) yields

$$
\alpha_{3}(y)=-\frac{1}{6} k_{5} y^{3}-\frac{1}{2} k_{6} y^{2}+k_{9} y+k_{10},
$$

where both $k_{9}$ and $k_{10}$ are constants. Therefore, $f_{1}$ can be expressed by means of the above equation, (3.9) and (3.10), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}= & \frac{1}{6 z} k_{3} x y\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{4} x\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{5} y\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 z} k_{6}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} k_{7} x y+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} x+\frac{1}{z} k_{9} y  \tag{3.13}\\
& +\frac{1}{z} k_{10}-\frac{1}{2} z\left(k_{3} x y+k_{4} x+k_{5} y+k_{6}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

With the aid of (3.8) and $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$, we have already obtained $f_{3}$ according to (3.2). Substituting this into the sixth term in (3.1) we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}=\frac{1}{z} K-\frac{1}{2} z \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial y^{2}}
$$

Substituting (3.8) and $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$ into the above relation and taking integral we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}= & \frac{1}{24 z} k_{3} y^{2}\left(6 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{4} y\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{5} x y^{2}+\frac{1}{z} k_{6} x y \\
& +\frac{1}{2 z} k_{7} y^{2}+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} y+\frac{1}{4} y z\left(k_{3} y+2 k_{4}\right)+N(x, z), \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is a smooth function varying only on $(x, z)$. Substituting (3.14) into the fifth term in (3.1) gives

$$
-\frac{1}{2} z\left(k_{3} x^{2}+2 k_{5} x+2 k_{7}\right)+\frac{1}{2} z^{3} k_{3}=N+z \frac{\partial N}{\partial z}
$$

Solving such a linear equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x, z)=-\frac{1}{4} z\left(k_{3} x^{2}+2 k_{5} x+2 k_{7}\right)+\frac{1}{8} z^{3} k_{3}+\frac{1}{z} \beta(x), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a smooth function varying on $x$. Putting (3.14) into the eighth term in (3.1) we get a new linear equation

$$
-N+z \frac{\partial N}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} N}{\partial z^{2}}=0
$$

Putting (3.15) into the above equation we obtain $k_{3}=0$. Finally, with the help of $k_{3}=0$, substituting (3.14) into the second term in (3.1) we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
-N-z \frac{\partial N}{\partial z}+z^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} N}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying again $k_{3}=0$ and (3.15), according to (3.16) we obtain

$$
\beta(x)=-\frac{1}{6} k_{5} x^{3}-\frac{1}{2} k_{7} x^{2}+k_{11} x+k_{12}
$$

where $k_{11}$ and $k_{12}$ are two constants. Now, with the help of the above relation, from (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x, z)=-\frac{1}{2} z\left(k_{5} x+k_{7}\right)-\frac{1}{6 z}\left(k_{5} x^{3}+3 k_{7} x^{2}-6 k_{11} x-6 k_{12}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of $k_{3}=0$, from (3.13), (3.14), (3.17), (3.2) and (3.8), the main theorem of this section is given as the following.
Theorem 3.1. On the hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, a vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ is a Jacobi-type vector field if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}= & \frac{1}{6 z} k_{4} x\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{5} y\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{6}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} k_{7} x y \\
& +\frac{1}{z} k_{8} x+\frac{1}{z} k_{9} y+\frac{1}{z} k_{10}-\frac{1}{2} z\left(k_{4} x+k_{5} y+k_{6}\right), \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{2}=\frac{1}{6 z} k_{4} y\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6 z} k_{5} x\left(3 y^{2}-x^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} k_{6} x y+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{7}\left(y^{2}-x^{2}\right) \\
+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} y+\frac{1}{z} k_{11} x+\frac{1}{z} k_{12}+\frac{1}{2} z\left(k_{4} y-k_{5} x-k_{7}\right),  \tag{3.19}\\
f_{3}=\frac{1}{2} k_{4}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+k_{5} x y+k_{6} x+k_{7} y+k_{8} . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The "if" part of the proof is easy to check by applying (3.18)-(3.20). The "only if" part has been presented already.

Considering a vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, and using those preliminaries in Section two we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=2\left(z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}-f_{3}\right) . \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x} . \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=f_{1}+z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x} . \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=2\left(z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}-f_{3}\right) . \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=f_{2}+z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial y} . \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{V} g\right)\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=2 z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z} .
\end{gathered}
$$

With the help the above relations, $V$ is a Killing vector field if and only if the following PDEs hold:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=f_{3}, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x}=0, f_{1}+z \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x}=0  \tag{3.21}\\
z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}=f_{3}, \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}=0, f_{2}+z \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial y}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Applying (3.18) and (3.20) into the first term in (3.21) gives $k_{4}=0$. Moreover, applying (3.18) and (3.19) into the second term in (3.21) yields $k_{5}=0$ and $k_{11}=-k_{9}$. We remark that in this situation, all other equations in (3.21) are necessarily true. Therefore, the following theorem follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. On the hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, a Jacobi-type vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ is Killing if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}=\frac{1}{2 z} k_{6}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} k_{7} x y+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} x+\frac{1}{z} k_{9} y+\frac{1}{z} k_{10}-\frac{1}{2} z k_{6},  \tag{3.22}\\
f_{2}=\frac{1}{z} k_{6} x y+\frac{1}{2 z} k_{7}\left(y^{2}-x^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{z} k_{8} y-\frac{1}{z} k_{9} x+\frac{1}{z} k_{12}-\frac{1}{2} z k_{7},  \tag{3.23}\\
f_{3}=k_{6} x+k_{7} y+k_{8}, \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{6}, k_{7}, k_{8}, k_{9}, k_{10}$ and $k_{12}$ are all constants.
Comparing the above Theorem 3.2 with Theorem 3.1, one finds infinitely many non-Killing Jacobitype vector fields. By applying this theorem, we answer the question proposed in Section one on a special non-compact manifold $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

## 4. Jacobi-type vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

In this section, just like we have done in Section two, we determine all Jacobi-type vector fields on the Euclidean 3-space. Let $(x, y, z)$ be the usual global coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ be a vector field, where $e_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, e_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ are the global orthonormal frame. By a direct calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{e_{1}} \nabla_{e_{1}} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{1}} V+R\left(V, e_{1}\right) e_{1}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x^{2}} e_{1}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} e_{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial x^{2}} e_{3} . \\
& \nabla_{e_{2}} \nabla_{e_{2}} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{2} e_{2}}} V+R\left(V, e_{2}\right) e_{2}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial y^{2}} e_{1}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial y^{2}} e_{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial y^{2}} e_{3} . \\
& \nabla_{e_{3}} \nabla_{e_{3}} V-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{3} e_{3}} V+R\left(V, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z^{2}} e_{1}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z^{2}} e_{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial z^{2}} e_{3} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (1.3), $V$ is a Jacobi-type vector field if and only if the following PDEs hold:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} f_{i}}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} f_{i}}{\partial z^{2}}=0, i=1,2,3 .
$$

In view of $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=0$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=H(y, z) x+K(y, z), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $H$ and $K$ are smooth functions varying only on ( $y, z$ ). Applying this on the fact $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}=0$ we obtain $x \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial y^{2}}=0$, and this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the arbitrary of $x$. Similarly, applying (4.1) on the fact $\frac{\partial^{2} f f_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}=0$ we also obtain $x \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial z^{2}}=0$, and this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial z^{2}}=0, \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial z^{2}}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the arbitrary of $x$. According to (4.3) and (4.2), we may write

$$
H(y, z)=k_{1} y z+k_{2} y+k_{3} z+k_{4}, K(y, z)=k_{5} y z+k_{6} y+k_{7} z+k_{8},
$$

where $k_{i}, i=1, \cdots, 8$, are all constants. Similarly, $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ can be expressed according to $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial y^{2}}=$ $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z^{2}}=0$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial y^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{3}}{\partial z^{2}}=0$, respectively.
Theorem 4.1. On the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, a vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ is a Jacobi-type vector field if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}=k_{1} x y z+k_{2} x y+k_{3} x z+k_{4} x+k_{5} y z+k_{6} y+k_{7} z+k_{8},  \tag{4.4}\\
f_{2}=l_{1} x y z+l_{2} x y+l_{3} x z+l_{4} x+l_{5} y z+l_{6} y+l_{7} z+l_{8},  \tag{4.5}\\
f_{3}=m_{1} x y z+m_{2} x y+m_{3} x z+m_{4} x+m_{5} y z+m_{6} y+m_{7} z+m_{8}, \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{i}, l_{i}, m_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, 8$ are all constants.

Proof. The "if" part of the proof is easy to check by applying (4.4)-(4.6). The "only if" part has been presented already.
Remark 4.1. According to Theorem 4.1, on the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the position vector field $x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+$ $y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is a Jacobi-type vector field. Actually, it is a non-Killing homothetic vector field [5, Remark 2.1].

Just like the case shown at the beginning of this Section, a vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ on the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is Killing if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}=0, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x}=0, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x}=0,  \tag{4.7}\\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}=0, \frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial z}=0, \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial y}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting (4.4) into the the first term in (4.7) gives $k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{3}=k_{4}=0$. Similarly, substituting (4.5) and (4.6) into the forth and fifth terms in (4.7), respectively, we obtain $l_{1}=l_{2}=l_{5}=l_{6}=0$ and $m_{1}=m_{3}=m_{5}=m_{7}=0$. Also, with the help of these, putting (4.4) and (4.5) into the second term in (4.7) gives $k_{5}+l_{3}=0$ and $k_{6}+l_{4}=0$. Similarly, putting (4.4) and (4.6) into the third term in (4.7) gives $k_{5}+m_{2}=0$ and $k_{7}+m_{4}=0$. Putting (4.5) and (4.6) into the sixth term in (4.7) gives $l_{3}+m_{2}=0$ and $l_{7}+m_{6}=0$. Combining the above relations we also have $k_{5}=l_{3}=m_{2}=0$.
Theorem 4.2. On the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, a Jacobi-type vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ is Killing if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}=k_{6} y+k_{7} z+k_{8}  \tag{4.8}\\
f_{2}=-k_{6} x+l_{7} z+l_{8}  \tag{4.9}\\
f_{3}=-k_{7} x-l_{7} y+m_{8} \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{6}, k_{7}, k_{8}, l_{7}, l_{8}$ and $m_{8}$ are all constants.
Comparing Theorem 4.2 with 4.1 , one obtains many non-Killing Jacobi-type vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
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