

AIMS Mathematics, 6(1): 979–997. DOI:10.3934/math.2021059 Received: 13 September 2020 Accepted: 27 October 2020 Published: 05 November 2020

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

# Research article

# Finite element approximation of time fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint

# Jie Liu and Zhaojie Zhou\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University

\* **Correspondence:** Email: zhouzhaojie@sdnu.edu.cn.

**Abstract:** In this paper we investigate the finite element approximation of time fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint. A space-time finite element scheme for the control problem is developed with piecewise constant time discretization and piecewise linear spatial discretization for the state equation. A priori error estimate for the space-time discrete scheme is derived. Projected gradient algorithm is used to solve the discrete optimal control problem. Numerical experiments are carried out to illustrate the theoretical findings.

**Keywords:** time fractional optimal control problem; integral state constraint; space time finite element method; a priori error estimate

Mathematics Subject Classification: 65K10, 65N30

## 1. Introduction

This paper aims to develop a space-time finite element approximation of optimal control problem governed by time fractional diffusion equation and subjected to state constraint in integral form. Let  $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of  $R^d(d = 1, 2, 3)$  with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Set  $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ . We consider the following optimal control problem governed by time-fractional diffusion equations:

$$\min_{(y,u)\in\mathcal{K}\times L^2(\Omega_T)} J(y,u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_T} (y(x,t) - y_d(x,t))^2 dx dt + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega_T} u^2(x,t) dx dt.$$
(1.1)

subject to

$$\begin{cases} {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}y(x,t) - \Delta y(x,t) = f(x,t) + u(x,t), & (x,t) \in \Omega_{T}, \\ y(x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \Gamma_{T}, \\ y(x,0) = 0, & x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ v \in L^1(\Omega_T); \int_{\Omega_T} v dx dt \le \delta \right\}.$$
(1.3)

Here  $y_d$  is the desired state.  $\gamma > 0$  is the regularization constant.  $f \in L^2(\Omega_T)$  is a given function.

The research of control problem governed by differential equation forms a hot topic in the past decades. Lots of literatures are devoted to developing theoretical analysis or numerical methods( [1–7]). As we know, fractional calculus has a very long history and was applied into many fields of science and engineering. For instance, the fractional PDEs arise in many engineering applications such as anomalous diffusion on fractals and fractional random walk( [8,9]), and contaminant transport in groundwater flow( [10, 11]). Over the past decades lots of numerical methods and algorithms are developed to discretize fractional derivative and solve the fractional differential equations, including finite difference methods [12, 13], finite element methods [14–17], spectral methods [18, 19] and so on.

In recent years optimal control problem governed by fractional PDEs has attracted the researcher's attention with the rapid development of numerical methods for fractional PDEs. For optimal control problem governed by time fractional PDEs we refer to [20–24] for finite element approximation, [25, 28] for spectral Galerkin discretization. For optimal control problem governed by space fractional PDEs we refer to [26] for spectral Galerkin discretization, and [27] for finite element approximation. As far as we know, the researches on optimal control problem governed by fractional PDEs mainly focus on control constrained problem. The study with respect to state constrained fractional optimal control problem is very limited. In [28] spectral Galerkin approximation of time fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint was discussed. In [29] an optimal control problem governed by fractional elliptic equation with pointwise state constraint was investigated. In [30] the authors discussed finite element approximation of space fractional optimal state constraint.

In the present paper we consider an optimal control problem governed by time fractional diffusion equation with state constraint in integral form. The first order optimality condition is derived and the corresponding regularity is discussed. A space-time finite element discrete scheme is built up based on piecewise constant discontinuous Galerkin discretization for temporal discretization and conforming linear finite elements for spatial discretization. A priori error estimate for state, adjoint state and control variables is derived. Numerical example is given to verify the theoretical findings.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, the preliminaries of fractional derivative and Sobolev space are introduced. In Section 3 the space-time finite element approximation of the control problem is discussed. In Section 4 a priori error estimates are proved. Numerical example is given to verify the theoretical results in Section 5.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic knowledge of the fractional calculus and the Sobolev space. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative are defined as follows

$${}_0D_t^{\alpha}v = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t \frac{v(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}}ds$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$D_T^{\alpha}v = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_t^T \frac{v(s)}{(s-t)^{\alpha}}ds.$$

Let  $H^{\delta}(I)$  and  $H^{\delta}_{0}(I)$  denote the usual Sobolev space of order  $\delta$  on I with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\delta}(I)}$  and semi-norm  $|\cdot|_{H^{\delta}(I)}$ . According to [14], we have the following properties.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . If  $v \in H^{\alpha}(a, b)$ , then the following estimates hold

$$\begin{split} \|_{a}D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v\|_{L^{2}(a,b)} &\leq C|v|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a,b)},\\ \|_{t}D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v\|_{L^{2}(a,b)} &\leq C|v|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a,b)},\\ \langle_{a}D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v,{}_{t}D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v\rangle_{(a,b)} &\geq \mathbb{C}_{0}|v|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a,b)}^{2}. \end{split}$$

*Further, if*  $v, w \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a, b)$ *, then* 

$$\begin{split} \langle_{a}D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v,{}_{t}D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}w\rangle_{(a,b)} &\leq C|v|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a,b)}\cdot|w|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(a,b)},\\ \langle_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}v,w\rangle_{H^{\alpha}(a,b)} &= \langle_{a}D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}v,{}_{t}D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}w\rangle_{(a,b)} = \langle v,{}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}w\rangle_{H^{\alpha}(a,b)}. \end{split}$$

We introduce the definition of space  $\dot{H}^{s}(\Omega)$  following [31]. Let  $\{\lambda_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\phi_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  denote eigenvalues and  $L^{2}(\Omega)$ -orthogonal eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta$  operator on domain  $\Omega$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition. For every  $v \in L^{2}(\Omega)$  we have the representation  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}\phi_{i}$ , where  $a_{i} = (v, \phi_{i})$ . For  $s \ge 0$ , let  $\dot{H}^{s}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\dot{H}^{s}(\Omega) := \Big\{ v = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \phi_{i} : |v|_{s} = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{s})^{1/2} < \infty \Big\}.$$

To define the weak formulation of state equation we also need to introduce the space

 $V=H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))\cap L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^1(\Omega))$ 

and endow this space with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{V} = \left(|\cdot|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + \|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega))}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

#### 3. Space-time finite element discretization

In this section we consider space-time finite element discretization of optimal control problem. To this end we begin with deriving the first order optimality condition and regularity of the solution.

#### 3.1. First order optimality and regularity

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that (y, u) is the solution of control problem (1.1) and (1.2). Then there exist a real number  $\xi \ge 0$  and the adjoint state p satisfying the following first order optimality system:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}y - \Delta y = f + u, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_{T}, \\ y(x,t) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \Gamma_{T}, \\ y(x,0) = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

**AIMS Mathematics** 

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}p - \Delta p = y - y_{d} + \xi, & (x, t) \in \Omega_{T}, \\ p(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_{T}, \\ p(x, T) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

$$(\xi, v - y)_{\Omega_T} \le 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{K}$$
(3.3)

and

$$\gamma u + p = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* According to [17], for given  $f + u \in L^2(\Omega_T)$  the following weak formulation admits a unique solution  $y \in V$ 

$$\langle_0 D_t^{\alpha} y, v \rangle_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + (\nabla y, \nabla v)_{\Omega_T} = (f+u, v)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v \in V.$$

We introduce the operator *S* mapping form  $L^2(\Omega_T)$  to *V*, and the operator *B* mapping form *V* to  $L^1(\Omega_T)$  $(V \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega_T))$ . We define  $G : L^2(\Omega_T) \to L^1(\Omega_T)$  by  $G = S \circ B$ . Then we can rewrite the optimal control problem as the following optimization problem

$$\min_{u \in L^2(\Omega_T)} \hat{J}(u) := J(Su, u) \tag{3.5}$$

subject to

 $Gu \in \mathcal{K}$ .

In order to derive the first order optimality condition, we set  $H(u) := \int_{\Omega_T} y(u) dx dt - \delta$ . Here y(u) := Su is the solution of the state equation. According to [32, 33], there exists a real number  $\xi \ge 0$  such that

$$\xi H(u) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$G'_{u}(u)(v-u) = 0, \forall v \in L^{2}(\Omega_{T}).$$
 (3.7)

Here  $G(u,\xi) = \hat{J}(u) + \xi H(u)$  denotes the Lagrange functional with  $\xi$  being the Lagrange multiplier. By (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \xi H(u) \\ &= \xi (\int_{\Omega_T} y(u) dx dt - \delta) \\ &= \xi (\int_{\Omega_T} (y(u) - v) dx dt) + \xi (\int_{\Omega_T} v dx dt - \delta). \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$(\xi, v - y(u)) = \xi(\int_{\Omega_T} v dx dt - \delta) \le 0.$$

AIMS Mathematics

By a simple calculation we derive

$$\hat{J}'(u)(v-u) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\hat{J}(u+t(v-u)) - \hat{J}(u)}{t} \\ = \int_{\Omega_T} (y(u) - y_d) y'(u)(v-u) dx dt + \gamma \int_{\Omega_T} u(v-u) dx dt$$

and

$$H'(u)(v - u) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{H(u + t(v - u)) - H(u)}{t}$$
  
=  $\int_{\Omega_T} y'(u)(v - u) dx dt.$ 

Thus we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (y(u) - y_d) y'(u)(v - u) dx dt + \gamma \int_{\Omega_T} u(v - u) dx dt + \xi \int_{\Omega_T} y'(u)(v - u) dx dt = 0.$$
(3.8)

By the state equation, we deduce

$$\begin{cases} {}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}y'(u)(v-u) - \Delta y'(u)(v-u) = v - u, & \text{in } \Omega_{T}, \\ y'(u)(v-u) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{T}, \\ y'(u)(v-u)(x,0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

To simplify (3.8), we introduce the adjoint state equation

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}p - \Delta p = y - y_{d} + \xi, & \text{in } \Omega_{T}, \\ p(x,t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{T}, \\ p(x,T) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By the integration by parts we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (y - y_d + \xi) y'(u)(v - u) dx dt$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega_T} ({}_t D_T^{\alpha} p - \Delta p) y'(u)(v - u) dx dt$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega_T} p ({}_0 D_t^{\alpha} y'(u)(v - u) - \Delta y'(u)(v - u)) dx dt$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega_T} p(v - u) dx dt.$$

Combining this with (3.8), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_T} p(v-u) dx dt + \gamma \int_{\Omega_T} u(v-u) dx dt = 0.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Thus we arrive at

$$G'_u(u)(v-u) = \int_{\Omega_T} (\gamma u + p)(v-u) dx dt = 0.$$

i.e.

 $\gamma u + p = 0.$ 

**Remark 3.2.** According to (3.3), we can deduce that

$$\begin{cases} \xi \ge 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y dx dt = \delta, \\ \xi = 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y dx dt < \delta. \end{cases}$$

In the following we investigate the regularity of the solution to the optimal control problem. Assume that  $\eta$  is the solution of the state equation with right hand term g(x, t). Then according to [17] we have the following regularity estimates.

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that  $g \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), 0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then we have

$$\|\eta\|_{H^{\alpha}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|\eta\|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega))} + \|\eta\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{2}(\Omega))} \le C_{\alpha}\|g\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}.$$
(3.9)

Furthermore, for  $g \in H^{1-\alpha}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ , we have

$$\|\eta\|_{H^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + |\eta|_{H^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega))} + \|\eta\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{2}(\Omega))} \le C_{\alpha,T} \|g\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}.$$
(3.10)

**Remark 3.4.** Note that  $\xi$  is a constant. Therefore the state and adjoint state have the same regularity to (3.9) for  $f, u, y_d \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), 0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Further we restrict that  $f, y_d \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ . Note that  $\gamma u = -p$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ . Then by (3.9) we have  $u \in H^{\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Combining with  $f \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$  leads to an improved regularity for the state variable y:

$$\|y\|_{H^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|y\|_{H^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega))} + \|y\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{2}(\Omega))} \le C\|f + u\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}.$$
(3.11)

For  $y_d \in H^{1-\alpha}(0,T; L^2(\Omega)), \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ , above result further improves the regularity of the adjoint state variable p, i.e.,

$$\|p\|_{H^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|p\|_{H^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega))} + \|p\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{2}(\Omega))} \le C\|y - y_{d} + \xi\|_{H^{1-\alpha}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}.$$
(3.12)

#### 3.2. Space-time discrete scheme

The weak formulation of the control problem can be characterized as:

$$\min_{(y,u)\in\mathcal{K}\times L^2(\Omega_T)} J(y,u) \tag{3.13}$$

AIMS Mathematics

subject to

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}y, v)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y, \nabla v)_{\Omega_T} = (f + u, v)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega)).$$
(3.14)

Then the corresponding first order optimality system reads

$$(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}y, v)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla y, \nabla v)_{\Omega_{T}} = (f + u, v)_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall v \in L^{2}(0, T; \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)),$$
(3.15)

$$({}_{t}D^{\alpha}_{T}p,w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla p,\nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y - y_{d},w)_{\Omega_{T}} + \xi(1,w)_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w \in L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)),$$
(3.16)

$$\xi(1, v - y)_{\Omega_T} \le 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{K}$$
(3.17)

and

$$\gamma u + p = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_J = T$  be a partition of [0,T] with  $\tau = \frac{T}{J}$ . Set  $I_j := (t_{j-1}, t_j)$  for each  $1 \le j \le J$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a quasi-uniform triangulation of  $\Omega$ . We denote by *h* the maximum diameter of the elements in  $\mathcal{T}_h$  and define

$$\mathcal{M}_{h} = \{ v \in H^{1}(\Omega) : v|_{E} \in P_{1}(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \},$$
  
$$\tilde{V}_{h} = \{ v_{h} \in L^{2}(0, T; \mathcal{M}_{h}) : v_{h}(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_{h}, v_{h}(\cdot, t)|_{I_{j}} \in P_{0}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq J \},$$
  
$$V_{h} = \{ v_{h} \in L^{2}(0, T; \mathcal{M}_{h} \cap H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)) : v_{h}(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_{h}, v_{h}(\cdot, t)|_{I_{j}} \in P_{0}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq J \}.$$

Set  $U_h = V_h \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Then the space-time finite element approximation of control problem can be characterized as follows

$$\min_{(y_h, u_h)\in U_h\times \tilde{V}_h} J(y_h, u_h)$$
(3.19)

subject to

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}y_h, v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y_h, \nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f + u_h, v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h.$$
(3.20)

In an analogous way to continuous case we can derive the discrete first order optimality system:

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}y_h, v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y_h, \nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f + u_h, v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h,$$
(3.21)

$$({}_{t}D^{\alpha}_{T}p_{h},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla p_{h},\nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (y_{h} - y_{d},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + \xi_{h}(1,w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h},$$
(3.22)

$$\xi_h (1, v_h - y_h)_{\Omega_T} \le 0, \forall v_h \in U_h \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\gamma u_h + p_h = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Remark 3.5. According to (3.23), the discrete Lagrange multipliers satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \xi_h \ge 0, \ \int_{\Omega_T} y_h dx dt = \delta, \\ \xi_h = 0, \ \int_{\Omega_T} y_h dx dt < \delta. \end{cases}$$

AIMS Mathematics

#### 4. Error analysis

In this section we derive a priori error estimates for the space-time finite element discretization of the optimal control problem. For this purpose we need to introduce the following auxiliary problems for every  $w \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))$ 

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}y(u_h), w)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y(u_h), \nabla w)_{\Omega_T} = (f + u_h, w)_{\Omega_T},$$

$$(4.1)$$

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}p(u_{h}), w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla p(u_{h}), \nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y(u_{h}) - y_{d}, w)_{\Omega_{T}} + \xi_{h}(1, w)_{\Omega_{T}},$$
(4.2)

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}p(y_{h}), w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla p(y_{h}), \nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y_{h} - y_{d}, w)_{\Omega_{T}} + \xi_{h}(1, w)_{\Omega_{T}}.$$
(4.3)

For clarity we assume that  $f, y_d \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  in the following analysis of Lemmas 4.1–4.3 and Theorems 4.4–4.5.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that y and  $y_h$  are the solutions of (3.15) and (3.21), respectively. Then we have

 $||y - y_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$ 

*Proof.* Combining (3.15) and (4.1) we have

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}(y-y(u_h)),w)_{\Omega_T}+(\nabla(y-y(u_h)),\nabla w)_{\Omega_T}=(u-u_h,w)_{\Omega_T}.$$

By Lemma 3.3 and the embedding theorem we have

$$\|y - y(u_h)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$
(4.4)

By (3.21) and (4.1) we get

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}(y(u_h) - y_h), v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla(y(u_h) - y_h), \nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = 0.$$

Since  $y_h$  is the finite element approximation of  $y(u_h)$ , according to [17] we have

$$\|y(u_h) - y_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}).$$
(4.5)

By the triangle inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|y - y_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} &\leq \|y - y(u_h)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|y(u_h) - y_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume that  $(y, p, u, \xi)$  and  $(y_h, p_h, u_h, \xi_h)$  are the solutions of the optimality system (3.15)–(3.18) and the discrete counterpart, respectively. Then we have

$$\|p - p_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C(|\xi - \xi_h| + \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}).$$

AIMS Mathematics

*Proof.* By (3.16) and (4.3) we have

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p-p(y_{h})),w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p-p(y_{h})),\nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y-y_{h},w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\xi - \xi_{h},w)_{\Omega_{T}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and  $w = p - p(y_h)$  we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{C}_{0} |p - p(y_{h})|^{2}_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla(p - p(y_{h}))\|^{2}_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ & \leq \left( \|y - y_{h}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + |\xi - \xi_{h}| \right) \|p - p(y_{h})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}. \end{split}$$

This implies

$$||p - p(y_h)||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C\Big(||y - y_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + |\xi - \xi_h|\Big).$$

Combining (3.22) and (4.3) we obtain

$$({}_tD^\alpha_T(p(y_h)-p_h),w_h)_{\Omega_T}+(\nabla(p(y_h)-p_h),\nabla w_h)_{\Omega_T}=0.$$

Note that  $p_h$  is the finite element approximation of  $p(y_h)$ . Then we can derive by [17]

$$\|p(y_h) - p_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}).$$
(4.6)

Using the triangle inequality and Lemma 4.1 we obtain

$$\|p - p_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C|\xi - \xi_h| + C\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$

Next we are going to derive the estimate of  $|\xi - \xi_h|$  and  $||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume that  $(y, p, u, \xi)$  and  $(y_h, p_h, u_h, \xi_h)$  are the solutions of the optimality system (3.15)–(3.18) and the discrete counterpart, respectively. Then we have

 $|\xi - \xi_h| \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$ 

*Proof.* By (3.16) and (4.2) we have

$$({}_{t}D^{\alpha}_{T}(p-p(u_{h})), w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p-p(u_{h})), \nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y-y(u_{h}), w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\xi - \xi_{h}, w)_{\Omega_{T}}.$$
(4.7)

Choosing  $w = \psi \in V$  satisfy  $\|\psi\|_V \leq C$  and  $\frac{1}{|\Omega_T|} \int_{\Omega_T} \psi dx dt = 1$  and combining Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi - \xi_h| &\leq C|({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p - p(u_h)), \psi)_{\Omega_{T}}| + C|(\nabla(p - p(u_h)), \nabla\psi)_{\Omega_{T}}| + C|(y - y(u_h), \psi)_{\Omega_{T}}| \\ &\leq C|p - p(u_h)|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + C||\nabla(p - p(u_h))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ &+ C||y - y(u_h)||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8)$$

Setting  $P = \frac{1}{|\Omega_T|} \int_{\Omega_T} (p - p(u_h)) dx dt$  and choosing  $w = p - p(u_h) - P \psi$  in (4.7) leads to

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p-p(u_{h})), p-p(u_{h}))_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p-p(u_{h})), \nabla(p-p(u_{h})))_{\Omega_{T}}$$
  
=  $({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p-p(u_{h})), P\psi)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p-p(u_{h})), \nabla(P\psi))_{\Omega_{T}}$ 

AIMS Mathematics

$$+(y-y(u_h), p-p(u_h)-P\psi)_{\Omega_T}+(\xi-\xi_h, p-p(u_h)-P\psi)_{\Omega_T}.$$

Since  $(\xi - \xi_h, p - p(u_h) - P\psi)_{\Omega_T} = 0$ , then we can derive

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p-p(u_{h})), p-p(u_{h}))_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p-p(u_{h})), \nabla(p-p(u_{h})))_{\Omega_{T}}$$
  
=  $({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p-p(u_{h})), P\psi) + (\nabla(p-p(u_{h})), \nabla(P\psi))_{\Omega_{T}} + (y-y(u_{h}), p-p(u_{h}) - P\psi)_{\Omega_{T}}.$ 

Using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{C}_{0}|p-p(u_{h})|^{2}_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))\|^{2}_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C\Big(|p-p(u_{h})|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P| + \|\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P| \\ & + \|y-y(u_{h})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P|\Big) + \|y-y(u_{h})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}\|p-p(u_{h})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}. \end{split}$$

Note that  $||p - p(u_h)||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C ||\nabla (p - p(u_h))||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$ . Further we have by Young inequality

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{C}_{0}|p-p(u_{h})|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + ||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} \\ & \leq C\Big(|p-p(u_{h})|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P| + ||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P| \\ & + ||y-y(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + ||y-y(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}|P|\Big) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}_{0}|p-p(u_{h})|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + C|P|^{2} + \frac{1}{4}||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + C|P|^{2} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + C||y-y(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}||y-y(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}|P|^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{C}_{0}|p-p(u_{h})|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} + C|P|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}||\nabla(p-p(u_{h}))||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} \\ & + C||y-y(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Then we derive

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{C}_{0} |p - p(u_{h})|^{2}_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla(p - p(u_{h}))\|^{2}_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C |P|^{2} + C \|y - y(u_{h})\|^{2}_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}. \end{split}$$

By definition of P, (3.18), (3.24) and (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P| &= \left| \frac{1}{|\Omega_{T}|} \int_{\Omega_{T}} (p - p(u_{h})) dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C ||p - p_{h}||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + C ||p_{h} - p(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C ||u - u_{h}||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + C ||p_{h} - p(y_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} + C ||p(y_{h}) - p(u_{h})||_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p(y_{h}) - p(u_{h})), w)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p(y_{h}) - p(u_{h})), \nabla w)_{\Omega_{T}} = (y_{h} - y(u_{h}), w)_{\Omega_{T}}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

By Lemma 2.1 and  $w = p(y_h) - p(u_h)$  we have

$$\|p(y_h) - p(u_h)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C \|y_h - y(u_h)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$

Combined with the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P| &\leq C ||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + C ||p_h - p(y_h)||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + C ||y(u_h) - y_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C ||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we derive

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{\mathbb{C}_0} |p - p(u_h)|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\nabla(p - p(u_h))\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C|P| + C\|y - y(u_h)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C\|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}. \end{split}$$

Inserting the above estimate into (4.8) we obtain

$$|\xi - \xi_h| \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}) + C ||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.$$
(4.9)

Finally we need to estimate  $||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that  $(y, p, u, \xi)$  and  $(y_h, p_h, u_h, \xi_h)$  be the solutions of (3.15)–(3.18) and the discrete counterpart, respectively. Then the following estimate holds

$$||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}).$$

*Proof.* By (3.18) and (3.24) we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma \| u - u_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &= (\gamma u - \gamma u_h, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T} \\ &= (p_h - p, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T} \\ &= (p(y_h) - p, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T} - (p(y_h) - p_h, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T}. \end{split}$$

Combining (3.15) and (4.1) and choosing  $v = p(y_h) - p$  leads to

$$(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}(y - y(u_{h})), p(y_{h}) - p)_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(y - y(u_{h})), \nabla(p(y_{h}) - p))_{\Omega_{T}}$$
  
=  $(u - u_{h}, p(y_{h}) - p)_{\Omega_{T}}.$ 

Using (3.16) and (4.3), and setting  $w = y - y(u_h)$  yields

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}(p(y_{h})-p), y-y(u_{h}))_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla(p(y_{h})-p), \nabla(y-y(u_{h})))_{\Omega_{T}}$$
  
=  $(y_{h}-y, y-y(u_{h}))_{\Omega_{T}} + (\xi_{h}-\xi, y-y(u_{h}))_{\Omega_{T}}.$ 

By using Lemma 2.1 we derive

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}(u_h)), p(\mathbf{y}_h) - p)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}(u_h)), \nabla(p(\mathbf{y}_h) - p))_{\Omega_T}$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$= (y_h - y, y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} + (\xi_h - \xi, y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T}.$$

Using above inequalities we further derive

$$\begin{split} \gamma \| u - u_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &= (y_h - y, y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} + (\xi_h - \xi, y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} - (p(y_h) - p_h, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T} \\ &= (y_h - y(u_h), y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} + (y(u_h) - y, y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} + (\xi_h - \xi, y_h - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} \\ &- (\xi_h - \xi, y_h - y)_{\Omega_T} - (p(y_h) - p_h, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T}. \end{split}$$

Further we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma \| u - u_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \| y - y(u_h) \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ = & (y_h - y(u_h), y - y(u_h))_{\Omega_T} + (\xi - \xi_h, y(u_h) - y_h)_{\Omega_T} - (\xi - \xi_h, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} \\ & - (p(y_h) - p_h, u - u_h)_{\Omega_T}. \end{split}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{cases} \xi(1, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} \ge 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y dx dt = \delta, \\ \xi(1, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} = 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y dx dt < \delta \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\xi_h(1, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} \ge 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y_h dx dt = \delta, \\ -\xi_h(1, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} = 0, \int_{\Omega_T} y_h dx dt < \delta. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $(\xi - \xi_h, y - y_h)_{\Omega_T} \ge 0$ . By Lemma 4.3 and Young inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{split} \gamma \| u - u_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \| y - y(u_h) \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon) \Big( \| y_h - y(u_h) \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \| p(y_h) - p_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + (h^2 + \tau^{\alpha})^2 \Big) \\ &+ \varepsilon \Big( \| y - y(u_h) \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \| u - u_h \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \Big). \end{split}$$

Using (4.4)–(4.6) we conclude

$$||u - u_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}).$$

Based on above estimates, we can obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.5.** Assume that  $(y, p, u, \xi)$  and  $(y_h, p_h, u_h, \xi_h)$  are the solution of (3.15)–(3.18) and (3.21)–(3.24), respectively. Then the following estimate holds

$$||y - y_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + ||p - p_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + |\xi - \xi_h| \le C(h^2 + \tau^{\alpha}).$$

AIMS Mathematics

*Proof.* Combining Lemma 4.1–4.4 leads to the theorem results.

**Remark 4.6.** If  $f, y_d \in H^{1-\alpha}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ , according to [17], we get  $||y(u_h) - y_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(h^2 + \tau)$ and  $||p(y_h) - p_h||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(h^2 + \tau)$ . By analogy with the above error estimate derivation process, we get the following error estimates

$$\|y - y_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|p - p_h\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + |\xi - \xi_h| \le C(h^2 + \tau).$$

## 5. Numerical experiments

In this section, we use the projected gradient algorithm ([5]) to effectively solve the optimal control problem.

## 5.1. Projected Gradient Algorithm

Set the objective gradient function  $d^k = \gamma u_h^k + p_h^k$ . Then we have

$$u_h^{k+1} = u_h^k - \rho d^k, \rho > 0.$$

Here

$$(_0D_t^{\alpha}y_h^k, v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y_h^k, \nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f + u_h^k, v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h$$

and

$$({}_tD^{\alpha}_Tp^k_h,w_h)_{\Omega_T}+(\nabla p^k_h,\nabla w_h)_{\Omega_T}=(y^k_h-y_d,w_h)_{\Omega_T}+\xi^k_h(1,w_h)_{\Omega_T},\forall w_h\in V_h.$$

We set  $p_h^k = \hat{p}_h^k + \xi_h^k \psi_h$  with

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}\hat{p}_{h}^{k},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla \hat{p}_{h}^{k},\nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (y_{h}^{k} - y_{d},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h}$$

and

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}\psi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla\psi_{h}, \nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (1, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h}$$

Further, we define  $\hat{y}_h^{k+1}$  by

$$({}_0D_t^{\alpha}\hat{y}_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla\hat{y}_h^{k+1},\nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f + \hat{u}_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Here  $\hat{u}_h^{k+1} = u_h^k - \rho(\gamma u_h^k + \hat{p}_h^k)$ . Note that

$$({}_0D_t^{\alpha}y_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla y_h^{k+1},\nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f+u_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Then we have

$$y_h^{k+1} = \hat{y}_h^{k+1} - \rho \xi_h^k \varphi_h.$$

Here  $\varphi_h$  satisfies

$$({}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\varphi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla\varphi_{h}, \nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (\psi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

In order to guarantee the state constraints, we choose  $\xi_h^k$  as follows

$$\xi_h^k = \frac{1}{\rho \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi_h dx dt} \max \Big\{ \int_{\Omega_T} \hat{y}_h^{k+1} dx dt - \delta, 0 \Big\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $\int_{\Omega_T} y_h^{k+1} dx dt \le \delta$ . The projected gradient algorithm is described in detail.

# Algorithm 1:

1: Given initial value  $u_h^0 = 0$ , and parameter  $\rho > 0$ . Solve the following equation:

$$({}_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}\psi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla\psi_{h}, \nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (1, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h},$$
  
$$({}_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}\varphi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla\varphi_{h}, \nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (\psi_{h}, w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h}.$$

2: Solve the following equation:

$$(_{0}D_{t}^{\alpha}y_{h}^{k},v_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla y_{h}^{k},\nabla v_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (f + u_{h}^{k},v_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall v_{h} \in V_{h},$$
  
$$(_{t}D_{T}^{\alpha}\hat{p}_{h}^{k},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} + (\nabla \hat{p}_{h}^{k},\nabla w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}} = (y_{h}^{k} - y_{d},w_{h})_{\Omega_{T}}, \forall w_{h} \in V_{h}.$$

3: Compute  $\hat{u}_h^{k+1} = u_h^k - \rho(\gamma u_h^k + \hat{p}_h^k)$  and solve the following equation:  $(_0 D_t^{\alpha} \hat{y}_h^{k+1}, v_h)_{\Omega_T} + (\nabla \hat{y}_h^{k+1}, \nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T} = (f + \hat{u}_h^{k+1}, v_h)_{\Omega_T}, \forall v_h \in V_h.$ 

4: Compute

$$\xi_h^k = \frac{1}{\rho \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi_h dx dt} \max \Big\{ \int_{\Omega_T} \hat{y}_h^{k+1} dx dt - \delta, 0 \Big\}.$$

and  $p_h^k = \hat{p}_h^k + \xi_h^k \psi_h$ . 5: Compute  $u_h^{k+1} = u_h^k - \rho(\gamma u_h^k + p_h^k)$ . and solve the following equation:

$$({}_0D_t^{\alpha}y_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T}+(\nabla y_h^{k+1},\nabla v_h)_{\Omega_T}=(f+u_h^{k+1},v_h)_{\Omega_T},\forall v_h\in V_h.$$

6: If the stop condition is reached, end the loop. Otherwise, update k = k + 1 and go to step (2).

#### 5.2. Numerical Examples

**Example 5.1.** In this example, we consider the optimal control problem with  $\Omega = [0, 1], T = 1$ . The exact solution are given by

$$y = t^{s} sin(2\pi x),$$
  

$$p = (1 - t)^{s} sin(\pi x),$$
  

$$u = -(1 - t)^{s} sin(\pi x).$$

AIMS Mathematics

s =	S = 2.									
М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order			
$2^{3}$	$2^{3}$	0.06827755	_	0.07066092	_	0.45135857	_			
$2^{4}$	$2^{5}$	0.01675417	2.0269	0.01712133	2.0451	0.12283095	1.8776			
$2^{5}$	$2^{7}$	0.00417107	2.0060	0.00419205	2.0301	0.03229044	1.9275			
$2^{6}$	29	0.00104207	2.0010	0.00103673	2.0156	0.00827863	1.9636			
$2^{7}$	$2^{11}$	2.6052e-04	2.0000	2.5779e-04	2.0077	0.00209525	1.9823			

**Table 1.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for space variable with  $\alpha = 1/3$  and s = 2.

**Table 2.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for time variable with  $\alpha = 1/3$  and s = 2.

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{3}$	2 <sup>3</sup>	0.06827755	_	0.07066092	_	0.45135857	_
$2^{4}$	$2^{4}$	0.02986199	1.1931	0.03384772	1.0619	0.25331048	0.8334
$2^{5}$	$2^{5}$	0.01384412	1.1090	0.01663089	1.0252	0.13259718	0.9339
$2^{6}$	$2^{6}$	0.00665006	1.0578	0.00826286	1.0092	0.06748221	0.9745
27	27	0.00325723	1.0297	0.00412156	1.0035	0.03399226	0.9893

**Table 3.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for space variable with  $\alpha = 2/3$  and s = 2.

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{3}$	$2^{3}$	0.06768648	_	0.06859494	_	0.44263663	_
$2^{4}$	$2^{5}$	0.01662731	2.0253	0.01660560	2.0464	0.12156339	1.8644
$2^{5}$	$2^{7}$	0.00414497	2.0041	0.00406390	2.0307	0.03221745	1.9158
$2^{6}$	2 <sup>9</sup>	0.00103661	1.9995	0.00100463	2.0162	0.00831098	1.9548
27	$2^{11}$	2.5934e-04	1.9990	2.4975e-04	2.0081	0.00211248	1.9761

**Table 4.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for time variable with  $\alpha = 2/3$  and s = 2.

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{3}$	$2^{3}$	0.06768648	_	0.06859494	-	0.44263663	_
$2^{4}$	$2^{4}$	0.02962587	1.1920	0.03279230	1.0647	0.25001564	0.8241
$2^{5}$	2 <sup>5</sup>	0.01375176	1.1072	0.01610694	1.0257	0.13154320	0.9265
$2^{6}$	26	0.00661364	1.0561	0.00800377	1.0089	0.06725091	0.9679
27	27	0.00324272	1.0282	0.00399304	1.0032	0.03401301	0.9835

**AIMS Mathematics** 

5	0.011						
М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{3}$	$2^{3}$	0.06128791	-	0.07655843	_	0.58083469	_
$2^{4}$	2 <sup>5</sup>	0.01672425	1.8737	0.02362219	1.6964	0.13448958	2.1106
$2^{5}$	27	0.00447242	1.9028	0.00681702	1.7929	0.03242069	2.0525
$2^{6}$	2 <sup>9</sup>	0.00118203	1.9198	0.00190351	1.8405	0.00795783	2.0265
$2^{7}$	$2^{11}$	3.0990e-04	1.9314	5.2116e-04	1.8689	0.00197047	2.0138

**Table 5.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for space variable with  $\alpha = 0.4$  and s = 0.51.

**Table 6.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for time variable with  $\alpha = 0.4$  and s = 0.51.

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
2 <sup>3</sup>	2 <sup>3</sup>	0.06128791	-	0.07655843	-	0.58083469	_
$2^{4}$	$2^{4}$	0.02666199	1.2008	0.04268519	0.8428	0.28928895	1.0056
$2^{5}$	$2^{5}$	0.01288063	1.0496	0.02344623	0.8644	0.14138401	1.0329
$2^{6}$	$2^{6}$	0.00654646	0.9764	0.01269053	0.8856	0.06895288	1.0359
27	27	0.00339134	0.9489	0.00679131	0.9020	0.03375646	1.0304

In this example we consider a uniform partition for space with  $h = \frac{1}{M}$ . We first consider the case with smooth solution. Tables 1–4 present the errors and convergence orders of state, control and Lagrange multiplier for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}$  with s = 2. Tables 5 and 6 present the errors and convergence orders of state, control and Lagrange multiplier for  $\alpha = 0.4$  with s = 0.51. We can observe that the convergence rates with respect to time and space variable are in agreement with the theoretical findings predicted in Remark 4.6, i.e., first order convergence in time and second order convergence in space.

Secondly we consider the nonsmooth case about time variable with  $s = \alpha - 0.49$ . In this case the right hand term f and  $y_d$  with respect to time belong to  $L^2(0, T)$ . The errors and convergence rates of state, control and Lagrange multiplier are listed in Tables 7 and 8 with  $\alpha = 2/3$ . In this case we can observe that the convergence rate for space variable and the convergence rate for time variable approach to 2 and  $\alpha$ , which are in agreement with the theoretical findings given in Theorem 4.5.

**Table 7.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for space variable with  $\alpha = 2/3$  and  $s = \alpha - 0.49$ .

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{3}$	10	0.05186062	_	0.02626828	-	0.08453145	-
$2^{4}$	$10^{2}$	0.01142312	2.1827	0.00623473	2.0749	0.02266773	1.8988
$2^{5}$	$10^{3}$	0.00263417	2.1165	0.00157102	1.9886	0.00597317	1.9241
26	$10^{4}$	6.3914e-04	2.0431	4.0675e-04	1.9495	0.00158371	1.9152

М	J	$  y - y_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$  u - u_h  _{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$	order	$ \xi - \xi_h $	order
$2^{7}$	$2^{7}$	0.00498051	_	0.00522381	_	0.00402921	_
$2^{8}$	$2^{8}$	0.00314271	0.6643	0.00339663	0.6210	0.00246073	0.7114
2 <sup>9</sup>	29	0.00200124	0.6511	0.00221703	0.6155	0.00156024	0.6573
$2^{10}$	$2^{10}$	0.00128416	0.6401	0.00145172	0.6109	0.00101600	0.6189

**Table 8.**  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  errors and convergence rates for time variable with  $\alpha = 2/3$  and  $s = \alpha - 0.49$ .

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper we discussed a space-time finite element approximation of time fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint. A priori error estimate for the discrete scheme is derived. Numerical examples are presented to illustrate the theoretical findings.

In our future work we are going to investigate the finite element approximation of time fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint taking the form  $\int_{\Omega} y(x, t) \le \delta$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

## Acknowledgments

The researth was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11971276) and National Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2016JL004, ZR2017MA020).

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare there is no conflict of interests.

#### References

- 1. W. Liu, N. Yan, A posteriori error estimates for distributed convex optimal control problems, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **15** (2001), 285–309.
- 2. W. Liu, N. Yan, A posteriori error estimates for control problems governed by Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **40** (2003), 1850–1869.
- 3. E. Casas, J. Raymond, Error estimates for the numerical approximation of Dirichlet boundary control for semilinear elliptic equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **45** (2006), 1586–1611.
- 4. W. Gong, M. Hinze, Z. Zhou, Finite element method and a priori error estimates for Dirichlet boundary control problems governed by parabolic PDEs, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **66** (2016), 941–967.
- 5. W. Liu, D. Yang, L. Yuan, C. Ma, Finite element approximation of an optimal control problem with integral state constraint, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **48** (2011), 1163–1185.
- 6. D. Yang, et al., State-dependent switching control of delayed switched systems with stable and unstable modes, *Math. Method Appl. Sci.*, **41** (2018), 6968–6983.
- 7. D. Yang, X. Li, J. Qiu, Output tracking control of delayed switched systems via state-dependent switching and dynamic output feedback, *Nonlinear Anal-Hybri.*, **32** (2019), 294–305.

**AIMS Mathematics** 

- 8. J. Bouchaud, A. Georges, Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications, *Physics Reports*, **195** (1990), 127–293.
- E. Barkai, R. Metzler, J. Klafter, Form continuous time random walks to the fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *Physical Review E Statal Physics Plasmas Fluids & Related Interdiplinary Topics*, 61 (2000), 132–138.
- D. A. Benson, S. W. Wheatcraft, M. M. Meerschaeert, The fractional order governing equations of lévy motion, *Water Resour. Res.*, 36 (2000), 1413–1423.
- 11. M. M. Meerschaert, A. Sikorskii, Stochastic models for fractional calculus, 2012.
- 12. Y. N. Zhang, Z. Z. Sun, H. L. Liao, Finite difference methods for the time fractional diffusion equation on non-uniform meshes, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **265** (2014), 195–210.
- H. L. Qiao, Z. G. Liu, A. J. Cheng, Two unconditionally stable difference schemes for time distributed-order differential equation based on Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–17.
- 14. V. Ervin, J. Roop, Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, *Numer. Meth. Part. D. E.*, **22** (2010), 558–576.
- 15. B. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, Two fully discrete schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations with nonsmooth data, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **38** (2016), A146–A170.
- 16. H. Chen, H. Wang, Numerical simulation for conservative fractional diffusion equations by an expanded mixed formulation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **296** (2016), 480–498.
- 17. B. Li, H. Luo, X. Xie, Analysis of a time-stepping scheme for time fractional diffusion problems with nonsmooth data, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **57** (2019), 779–798.
- Y. Lin, C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), 1533–1552.
- 19. B. Li, H. Luo, X. Xie, A time-spectral algorithm for fractional wave problems, *J. Sci. Comput.*, 7 (2018), 1164–1184.
- 20. Z. Zhou, W. Gong, Finite element approximation of optimal control problems governed by time fractional diffusion equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **71** (2016), 301–318.
- 21. Z. Zhou, C. Zhang, Time-stepping discontinuous Galerkin approximation of optimal control problem governed by time fractional diffusion equation, *Numer. Algorithms*, **79** (2018), 437–455.
- 22. M. Gunzburger, J. Wang, Error analysis of fully discrete finite element approximations to an optimal control problem governed by a time-fractional PDE, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **57** (2019), 241–263.
- 23. B. Jin, B. Li, Z. Zhou, Pointwise-in-time error estimates for an optimal control problem with subdiffusion constraint, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **40** (2020), 377–404.
- C. Zhang, H. Liu, Z. Zhou, A priori error analysis for time-stepping discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of time fractional optimal control problem, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **80** (2019), 993–1018.
- 25. X. Ye, C. Xu, Spectral optimization methods for the time fractional diffusion inverse problem, *Numer. Math. Theory Me.*, **6** (2013), 499–519.

- 26. L. Zhang, Z. Zhou, Spectral Galerkin approximation of optimal control problem governed by Riesz fractional differential equation, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **143** (2019), 247–262.
- 27. Z. Zhou, Z. Tan, Finite element approximation of optimal control problem governed by space fractional equation, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **78** (2019), 1840–1861.
- 28. X. Ye, C. Xu, A spectral method for optimal control problem governed by the abnormal diffusion equation with integral constraint on the state, *Chinese science: Mathematics*, **7** (2016), 1053–1070.
- 29. H. Antil, D. Verma, M. Warma, Optimal control of fractional elliptic PDEs with state constraints and characterization of the dual of fractional order Sobolev spaces, **186** (2020), 1–23.
- 30. Z. Zhou, J. Song, Y. Chen, Finite element approximation of space fractional optimal control problem with integral state constraint, *Numer. Math. Theory Me.*, **13** (2020), 1027–1049.
- 31. V. Thomée, Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- 32. E. Cacas, Pontryagin's principle for state-constrained boundary control problems of semilinear parabolic equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **35** (1997), 1297–1327.
- 33. E. Casas, Error estimates for the numerical approximation of semilinear elliptic control problems with finitely many state constraints, *ESAIM. Contr. Optim. Ca.*, **8** (2002), 345–374.



 $\bigcirc$  2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)