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## 1. Introduction

Let $r \in(0,1)$. Then the Legendre complete elliptic integral $\mathcal{K}(r)[1-4]$ of the first kind is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1-r^{2} t^{2}\right)}} .
$$

It is well-known that the complete elliptic integral $\mathcal{K}(r)$ is the particular case of the Gaussian hypergeometric function [5-10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}, \quad|x|<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, 0)=1$ for $a \neq 0$, and $(a, n)=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the shifted factorial function. Indeed

$$
\mathcal{K}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) .
$$

It is well-known that the Legendre complete elliptic integrals play very important roles in many branches of pure and applied mathematics [11-22]. Recently, the complete elliptic integrals have attracted the attention of many researchers [23-35] due to their extreme importance. In particular, and many remarkable properties, inequalities and applications for the complete elliptic integrals and their related special functions can be found in the literature [36-61].

For $r \in(0,1)$ and $a \in(0,1)$, the generalized elliptic integral $\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)$ of the first kind [62] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{a}=\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(a, 1-a ; 1, r^{2}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{K}_{a}(0)=\pi / 2$ and $\mathcal{K}_{a}\left(1^{-}\right)=\infty$. In what follows, we assume that $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ by the symmetry of (1.2).

For $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $r \in(0,1)$, the complete $p$-elliptic integral $\Omega_{p}(r)$ of the first kind [63] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{p}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi_{p} / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1-r^{p} \sin _{p}^{p} \theta\right)^{1-1 / p}}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left(1-t^{p}\right)^{1 p}\left(1-r^{p} t^{p}\right)^{1-1 / p}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin _{p} \theta$ is the generalized trigonometric function [64] and

$$
\pi_{p}=2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}
$$

is the generalized circumference ratio.
From (1.2) and (1.3) we clearly see that $\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)$ and $\Omega_{p}(r)$ reduce to the complete elliptic integral $\mathcal{K}(r)$ of the first kind if $a=1 / 2$ and $p=2$. Takeuchi [65] proved that

$$
\Omega_{p}(r)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{p}, 1-\frac{1}{p} ; 1 ; r^{p}\right) .
$$

Therefore, it follows from (1.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{1 / p}(r)=\frac{\pi}{\pi_{p}} \Omega_{p}\left(r^{2 / p}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, the generalized elliptic integrals and complete p-elliptic integrals have attracted the attention of many mathematicians. For their recent research progress, we recommend the literature [65-78] to readers.

Anderson et al. [79] proved that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{K}(r)}{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{r})}>\frac{1}{1+r} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$.
In [80], Alzer and Richards proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{K}(r)}{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{r})}>\frac{1}{1+r / 4} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$, which is an improvement of inequality (1.5).

Motivated by the inequality (1.6), Yin et al. [81] generalized (1.6) to $\Omega_{p}(r)$ and proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) r}<\frac{\Omega_{p}(r)}{\Omega_{p}(\sqrt[p]{r})}<1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $r \in(0,1)$ and $p \in(1,2]$.
The main purpose of this paper is to generalized the inequality (1.6) to $\mathcal{K}_{a}$ and provide an improvement for inequality (1.7). Our main result is the following Theorem 1.1.

We denote by $\sigma=\sigma(a)=a(1-a)$ and $\tau=\tau(a)=\left[a(1-a)\left(a^{2}-a+2\right)\right] / 4$ for short, which will be often used later. For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, it is easy to know $0<\sigma(a) \leq 1 / 4$ and keep this in mind.
Theorem 1.1. Let $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $r \in(0,1)$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\lambda}(a)<\frac{[1+\sigma(a) r] \mathcal{K}_{a}(r)-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}\right] \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})}{r^{3} \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})}<\hat{\mu}(a) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $\hat{\lambda}(a) \leq \lambda(a)$ and $\hat{\mu}(a) \geq \mu(a)$, where

$$
\lambda(a)=-\frac{a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)\left(4 a^{2}-4 a+3\right)}{18} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu(a)=\frac{a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)}{4} .
$$

## In particular, the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\lambda(a) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(a) r}<\frac{\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})}<\frac{1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\mu(a) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(a) r} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$.
As is known, $\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)$ reduces to the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $\mathcal{K}(r)$ if $a=1 / 2$. The following corollary can be derived from (1.9) of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. The double inequality

$$
\frac{1+\left[r^{2}(7-4 r)\right] / 64}{1+r / 4}<\frac{\mathcal{K}(r)}{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{r})}<\frac{1+\left[r^{2}(7+9 r)\right] / 64}{1+r / 4}
$$

holds for $r \in(0,1)$.
It is easy to see that

$$
\frac{1+\left[r^{2}(7-4 r)\right] / 64}{1+r / 4}>\frac{1}{1+r / 4}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$ and no upper bound for $\mathcal{K}(r) / \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{r})$ was given in (1.6), in other words, the bounds given in Corollary 1.2 are better than that given in (1.6).

From (1.4) and the monotonicity of $\Omega_{p}(r)$, we clearly see that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{K}_{1 / p}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{1 / p}(\sqrt{r})}=\frac{\Omega_{p}\left(r^{2 / p}\right)}{\Omega_{p}(\sqrt[p]{r})} \leq(\geq) \frac{\Omega_{p}(r)}{\Omega_{p}(\sqrt[p]{r})}
$$

for all $r \in(0,1)$ and $1<p \leq 2(p \geq 2)$, which in conjunction with Theorem 1.1 gives the Corollary 1.3 .

Corollary 1.3. Let $p \in(1,2]$. Then the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\tau(1 / p) r^{2}+\lambda(1 / p) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(1 / p) r}<\frac{\Omega_{p}(r)}{\Omega_{p}(\sqrt[2]{r})}<1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for $r \in(0,1)$. If $p \in[2, \infty)$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Omega_{p}(r)}{\Omega_{p}(\sqrt[6]{r})}<\frac{1+\tau(1 / p) r^{2}+\mu(1 / p) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(1 / p) r} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $r \in(0,1)$.
Note that if $p \in(1,2]$ and $r \in(0,1)$, then it follows from $\lambda(1 / p)<0$ that

$$
\tau(1 / p)+\lambda(1 / p) r>\tau(1 / p)+\lambda(1 / p)=\frac{(p-1)\left[8(p-1)^{2}+p^{2}(p-1)+6 p^{4}\right]}{36 p^{6}}>0,
$$

which enables us to know that the lower bound of (1.10) is better than that of (1.7) and it also gives an improvement of [81, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, it follows easily from $\sigma(1 / p)=\tau(1 / p)+\mu(1 / p)$ that

$$
\frac{1+\tau(1 / p) r^{2}+\mu(1 / p) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(1 / p) r}<1
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$, which leads to the conclusion that inequality (1.11) has a better upper bound than that of (1.7) for $p \in[2, \infty)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some more notations and present some technical lemmas, which will be used to prove the main theorem.

For $x \in(0, \infty)$, the classical gamma function $\Gamma(x)[82,83]$ and psi (digamma) function $\Psi(x)$ [84] are defined by

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t, \quad \Psi(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \log \Gamma(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)}
$$

respectively.
The following well-known formulas for $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Psi^{(n)}(x)(n \geq 0)$ are presented in [85]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma(x+1)=x \Gamma(x), \quad \Gamma(1-z) \Gamma(z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi z)}, \quad z \neq \mathbb{Z},  \tag{2.1}\\
\Psi^{(n)}(x)= \begin{cases}-\gamma-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{k(k+x)}, & n=0 \\
(-1)^{n+1} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{n+1}}, & n \geq 1,\end{cases} \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\gamma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 / k-\log n\right)=0.577215 \cdots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [86, 87].
For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, we clearly see from (1.2) and (2.1) that $\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)$ can be expressed in terms of power series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(1-a, n)}{(n!)^{2}} r^{2 n}=\frac{\sin (\pi a)}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{n}(a) r^{2 n}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{n}=\mathcal{W}_{n}(a)=\frac{\Gamma(a+n) \Gamma(1-a+n)}{\Gamma(n+1)^{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the generalized Wallis type ratio due to $\sqrt{\mathcal{W}_{n}(1 / 2) / \pi}$ is the classical Wallis ratio.
It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+1}}{\mathcal{W}_{n}}=\frac{(n+a)(n+1-a)}{(n+1)^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $n \geq 0$.
Lemma 2.1. (1) The function $\mathcal{W}_{n}(a)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1 / 2]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(2) The function $\mathcal{W}_{n}(a) / \mathcal{W}_{m}(a)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1 / 2]$ for fixed $m>n \geq 1$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{W}_{n}(a)}{\mathcal{W}_{m}(a)}<\frac{m}{n} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking the logarithm, we dente by $f_{n}(a)=\log \mathcal{W}_{n}(a)$ and $g_{n, m}(a)=\log \left[\mathcal{W}_{n}(a) / \mathcal{W}_{m}(a)\right]$.
Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(a) & =\Psi(a+n)-\Psi(1-a+n),  \tag{2.7}\\
g_{n, m}^{\prime}(a) & =\Psi(a+n)-\Psi(1-a+n)-\Psi(a+m)+\Psi(1-a+m) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(1 / 2)=g_{n, m}^{\prime}(1 / 2)=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.2), (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(a)=\Psi^{\prime}(a+n)+\Psi^{\prime}(1-a+n)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{(a+n+k)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1-a+n+k)^{2}}\right]>0,  \tag{2.10}\\
& g_{n, m}^{\prime \prime}(a)=\Psi^{\prime}(a+n)+\Psi^{\prime}(1-a+n)-\Psi^{\prime}(a+m)-\Psi^{\prime}(1-a+m) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{(a+n+k)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(a+m+k)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1-a+n+k)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(1-a+m+k)^{2}}\right]>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $m>n \geq 1$.
Therefore, the monotonicity of $f_{n}(a)$ and $g_{n, m}(a)$ follows easily from (2.9)-(2.11).
Lemma 2.2. For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, define

$$
h_{n}(a)=[1+\sigma(a)] \mathcal{W}_{n+2}-2 \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2} .
$$

Then $h_{n}(a)>1 /(n+2)$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We first prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi a}{\sin (\pi a)}>1+\frac{\pi^{2} a^{2}}{6}+\frac{7 \pi^{4} a^{4}}{360}>1+\frac{41 a^{2}}{25}+\frac{37 a^{4}}{20} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$. Indeed, in terms of power series, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi a- & \left(1+\frac{\pi^{2} a^{2}}{6}+\frac{7 \pi^{4} a^{4}}{360}\right) \sin (\pi a)=\frac{(\pi a)^{7}}{90} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi a)^{2 n} \\
& =\frac{(\pi a)^{7}}{90} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\alpha_{2 k}-\alpha_{2 k+1}(\pi a)^{2}\right](\pi a)^{4 k}>\frac{(\pi a)^{7}}{90} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{2 k}-3 \alpha_{2 k+1}\right)(\pi a)^{4 k} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, where

$$
\alpha_{n}=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)\left(465+224 n+28 n^{2}\right)}{(2 n+7)!}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\alpha_{2 k}-3 \alpha_{2 k+1}=\frac{2(k+1)(4 k+7)\left(3861+15150 k+15536 k^{2}+6272 k^{3}+896 k^{4}\right)}{(4 k+9)!}>0
$$

for $k \geq 0$. This in conjunction with (2.13) yields the inequality (2.12) is valid.
Let $\xi(a)=1800+600 a-100 a^{2}-952 a^{3}+357 a^{4}+140 a^{5}-42 a^{6}-4 a^{7}+a^{8}$. Combing this with (2.1) and (2.12), we rewrite $h_{2}(a)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{2}(a)=\frac{(4-a)\left(9-a^{2}\right)\left(4-a^{2}\right)\left(1-a^{2}\right) \xi(a)}{1036800} \cdot \frac{\pi a}{\sin (\pi a)}-\frac{1}{4} \\
& >\frac{(4-a)\left(9-a^{2}\right)\left(4-a^{2}\right)\left(1-a^{2}\right) \xi(a)}{1036800}\left(1+\frac{41 a^{2}}{25}+\frac{37 a^{4}}{20}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \\
& >\frac{a}{103680000}\left[4007308 a^{7}+16451833 a^{8}+20105580 a^{7}\left(1-a^{2}\right)+10501395 a^{8}\left(1-a^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+6832264 a^{11}+999840 a^{12}+1242460 a^{11}\left(1-a^{2}\right)+32390 a^{14}+67672 a^{15} \\
& \left.\quad+7236 a^{15}(1-a)+1480 a^{15}\left(1-a^{2}\right)+185 a^{18}+\hat{\xi}(a)\right]>\frac{a \hat{\xi}(a)}{103680000}, \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\xi}(a)=2160000+3628800 a-12746400 a^{2}+7736800 a^{3} \\
&-2977632 a^{4}-48200080 a^{5}-3912980 a^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiation of $\hat{\xi}(a)$ yields

$$
\hat{\xi}^{\prime \prime}(a)=-\left[2282400+46420800\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right)+8 a^{2}\left(4466448+120500200 a+14673675 a^{2}\right)\right]<0
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, which implies that $\hat{\xi}(a)$ is strictly concave on $(0,1 / 2]$.

From the concavity property of $\hat{\xi}(a)$, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\xi}(a) \geq \min \{\hat{\xi}(0), \hat{\xi}(1 / 2)\}=\frac{22483}{16}>0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$.
Therefore, $h_{2}(a)>0$ for $a \in(0,1 / 2$ ] follows from (2.14) and (2.15).
Next, we prove Lemma 2.2 by mathematical induction on $n$. Assume the induction hypothesis that $h_{n}(a)>1 /(n+2)$, in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1+\sigma(a)] \mathcal{W}_{n+2}>2 \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}+\frac{1}{n+2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The recurrence relation (2.5) and (2.16) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{n+1}(a)-\frac{1}{n+3}=[1+\sigma(a)] \mathcal{W}_{n+3}-2 \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}-\frac{1}{n+3} \\
> & 2 \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+3}}{\mathcal{W}_{n+2}}-\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+3}}{(n+2) \mathcal{W}_{n+2}}-\frac{1}{n+3} \\
= & \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2} \cdot \frac{\zeta_{n}(a)}{2(2+n)^{2}(3+n)^{2}(3+2 n)^{2}}+\frac{a(1-a)}{(n+2)(n+3)^{2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{n}(a)=9(6 & +\sigma)(4-\sigma)+6[78+\sigma(2-\sigma)] n \\
& +[372+\sigma(58-\sigma)] n^{2}+8(5 \sigma+16) n^{3}+8(\sigma+2) n^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.3. For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}=\mathcal{W}_{n+2}-\lambda(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}-\tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}-\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}, \\
& B_{n}=\sigma(a) \mathcal{W}_{n+2}-\lambda(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}-\tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+3}-\mathcal{W}_{2 n+5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (i) $A_{n}>0$; (ii) $A_{n}+B_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 0$.
Proof. (i) It is easy to know that $(1+x)^{n}>1+n x$ for $n>0$ and $x>0$. Combining this with the definition of $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ and its recurrence relation, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}} & =\frac{\Gamma(a+n+2) \Gamma(1-a+n+2)}{\Gamma(n+3)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2 n+2)^{2}}{\Gamma(a+2 n+1) \Gamma(1-a+2 n+1)} \\
& =\frac{(1+2 n)^{2}}{(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)} \cdot \frac{(1+2 n-1)^{2}}{(a+2 n-1)(1-a+2 n-1)} \cdots \frac{(1+n+2)^{2}}{(a+n+2)(1-a+n+2)} \\
& \geq\left[\frac{(1+2 n)^{2}}{(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)}\right]^{n-1} \geq 1+\frac{(n-1)\left(1-a+a^{2}+2 n\right)}{(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}=\frac{(2 n+1+a)(2 n+2-a)}{(2 n+2)^{2}}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}=\frac{(2 n+1+a)\left[(2 n+2)^{2}-a^{2}\right]\left[(2 n+3)^{2}-a^{2}\right](2 n+4-a)}{[(2 n+2)(2 n+3)(2 n+4)]^{2}} .
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A_{n}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}= & \frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}-\lambda(a)-\tau(a) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}-\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}} \\
\geq & 1+\frac{(n-1)\left(1-a+a^{2}+2 n\right)}{(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)}-\lambda(a)-\frac{\tau(a)(2 n+1+a)(2 n+2-a)}{(2 n+2)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(2 n+1+a)\left[(2 n+2)^{2}-a^{2}\right]\left[(2 n+3)^{2}-a^{2}\right](2 n+4-a)}{[(2 n+2)(2 n+3)(2 n+4)]^{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{144(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)(1+n)^{2}(2+n)^{2}(3+2 n)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{8} \varepsilon_{k} n^{k}, \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}=-5184+9072 \sigma-540 \sigma^{2}-1620 \sigma^{3}-837 \sigma^{4}, \varepsilon_{1}=-19872+31104 \sigma-5904 \sigma^{2}-6240 \sigma^{3}-4236 \sigma^{4}, \\
& \varepsilon_{2}=-6624+32400 \sigma-20604 \sigma^{2}-17176 \sigma^{3}-8207 \sigma^{4}, \varepsilon_{3}=72432-6744 \sigma-33692 \sigma^{2}-36712 \sigma^{3}-8004 \sigma^{4}, \\
& \varepsilon_{4}=146592-41352 \sigma-30604 \sigma^{2}-50016 \sigma^{3}-4220 \sigma^{4}, \varepsilon_{5}=128592-36912 \sigma-16496 \sigma^{2}-40672 \sigma^{3}-1152 \sigma^{4}, \\
& \varepsilon_{6}=58464-15936 \sigma-5248 \sigma^{2}-19200 \sigma^{3}-128 \sigma^{4}, \varepsilon_{7}=13248-3648 \sigma-896 \sigma^{2}-4864 \sigma^{3}, \\
& \varepsilon_{8}=1152-384 \sigma-64 \sigma^{2}-512 \sigma^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a \in\left(0,1 / 2\right.$ ], we clearly see that $0<\sigma \leq 1 / 4$. This enables us to know easily that $\varepsilon_{j}>0$ for $3 \leq j \leq 8$. Moreover, we can verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{3}=67248+2328 \sigma-34232 \sigma^{2}-38332 \sigma^{3}-8841 \sigma^{4}>\frac{16505607}{256} \\
& \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}=4\left(31680-2562 \sigma-9127 \sigma^{2}-14064 \sigma^{3}-2114 \sigma^{4}\right)>\frac{3870855}{32} \\
& \varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{5}=121968-4512 \sigma-37100 \sigma^{2}-57848 \sigma^{3}-9359 \sigma^{4}>\frac{30100689}{256}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{8} \varepsilon_{k} n^{k} & =\left(\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{3} n^{3}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{1} n+\varepsilon_{4} n^{4}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{2} n^{2}+\varepsilon_{5} n^{5}\right)+\varepsilon_{6} n^{6}+\varepsilon_{7} n^{7}+\varepsilon_{8} n^{8} \\
& \geq\left(\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{3}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{4}\right) n+\left(\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{5}\right) n^{2}+\varepsilon_{6} n^{6}+\varepsilon_{7} n^{7}+\varepsilon_{8} n^{8}>0 \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geq 1$.
Combining with (2.17) and (2.18), we clearly see that $A_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 1$. On the other hand,

$$
A_{0}=\frac{\pi a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)}{192 \sin (a \pi)}\left[22+2 \sigma+\sigma^{2}+32\left(\frac{1}{16}-\sigma^{2}\right)\right]>0
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$. This completes the first assertion.
(ii) We first compute $A_{0}+B_{0}$ and $A_{1}+B_{1}$. Simple calculations together with (2.1) and (2.4) lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0}+B_{0}=\frac{\pi a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)}{14400 \sin (\pi a)}\left[360+\frac{40535 \sigma}{16}\right. & \left.+2963 \sigma\left(\frac{1}{4}-\sigma\right)+701 \sigma\left(\frac{1}{16}-\sigma^{2}\right)\right]>0, \\
A_{1}+B_{1}=\frac{\pi a\left(1-a^{2}\right)\left(4-a^{2}\right)(3-a)}{705600 \sin (\pi a)}\left[\frac{32939}{32}\right. & +14570 \sigma+4091\left(\frac{1}{16}-\sigma^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+7293\left(\frac{1}{64}-\sigma^{3}\right)+260\left(\frac{1}{256}-\sigma^{4}\right)\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$.
For $n \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.1(i) and Lemma 2.2 together with $\lambda(a)<0$ and the monotonicity of $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ with respect to $n$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}+B_{n} & =[1+\sigma(a)] \mathcal{W}_{n+2}-\lambda(a)\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}\right)-\tau(a)\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+3}\right)-\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+5}\right) \\
& \geq[1+\sigma(a)] \mathcal{W}_{n+2}-2 \tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}-2 \mathcal{W}_{2 n+4} \\
& =h_{n}(a)-2 \mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}(a)>\frac{1}{n+2}-2 \cdot \frac{1}{2 n+4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$.
Lemma 2.4. For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{n}=\sigma(a) \mathcal{W}_{n+1}-\mu(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n}-\tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}-\mathcal{W}_{2 n+3}, \\
& D_{n}=\mathcal{W}_{n+2}-\mu(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}-\tau(a) \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}-\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (i) $D_{n}>0$; (ii) $C_{n}+D_{n}<0$ for $n \geq 0$.
Proof. (i) From the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.3(i), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D_{n}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}= & \frac{\mathcal{W}_{n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}-\mu(a)-\tau(a) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}}-\frac{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}}{\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}} \\
\geq & 1+\frac{(n-1)\left(1-a+a^{2}+2 n\right)}{(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)}-\mu(a)-\frac{\tau(a)(2 n+1+a)(2 n+2-a)}{(2 n+2)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{(2 n+1+a)\left[(2 n+2)^{2}-a^{2}\right]\left[(2 n+3)^{2}-a^{2}\right](2 n+4-a)}{[(2 n+2)(2 n+3)(2 n+4)]^{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{16(a+2 n)(1-a+2 n)(1+n)^{2}(2+n)^{2}(3+2 n)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{8} \epsilon_{k} n^{k}, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{0}=-576+1008 \sigma-540 \sigma^{2}-164 \sigma^{3}+35 \sigma^{4}, \quad \epsilon_{1}=-2208+2496 \sigma-2704 \sigma^{2}-368 \sigma^{3}+84 \sigma^{4}, \\
& \epsilon_{2}=-736-2480 \sigma-5780 \sigma^{2}-164 \sigma^{3}+73 \sigma^{4}, \quad \epsilon_{3}=8048-16456 \sigma-6660 \sigma^{2}+224 \sigma^{3}+28 \sigma^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{4}=16288-26248 \sigma-4452 \sigma^{2}+276 \sigma^{3}+4 \sigma^{4}, \quad \epsilon_{5}=14288-21408 \sigma-1736 \sigma^{2}+112 \sigma^{3}, \\
\\
\epsilon_{6}=6496-9824 \sigma-368 \sigma^{2}+16 \sigma^{3}, \quad \epsilon_{7}=1472-2432 \sigma-32 \sigma^{2}, \quad \epsilon_{8}=128-256 \sigma .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $0<\sigma \leq 1 / 4$, it is easy to verify that $\epsilon_{j}>0$ for $3 \leq j \leq 8$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{0}+\epsilon_{3}=7472-15448 \sigma-7200 \sigma^{2}+60 \sigma^{3}+63 \sigma^{4}>3160, \\
\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{4}=14080-23752 \sigma-7156 \sigma^{2}-92 \sigma^{3}+88 \sigma^{4}>\frac{123093}{16}, \\
\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{5}=13552-23888 \sigma-7516 \sigma^{2}-52 \sigma^{3}+73 \sigma^{4}>\frac{113751}{16},
\end{gathered}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{8} \epsilon_{k} n^{k} & =\left(\epsilon_{0}+\epsilon_{3} n^{3}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{1} n+\epsilon_{4} n^{4}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{2} n^{2}+\epsilon_{5} n^{5}\right)+\epsilon_{6} n^{6}+\epsilon_{7} n^{7}+\epsilon_{8} n^{8} \\
& \geq\left(\epsilon_{0}+\epsilon_{3}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{4}\right) n+\left(\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{5}\right) n^{2}+\epsilon_{6} n^{6}+\epsilon_{7} n^{7}+\epsilon_{8} n^{8}>0 \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geq 1$.
From (2.19) and (2.20), we clearly see that $D_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n=0$, we verify directly

$$
D_{0}=\frac{\pi a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)}{576 \sin (a \pi)}\left[\frac{27}{2}+234\left(\frac{1}{4}-\sigma\right)+35 \sigma^{2}\right]>0
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$. This complete the proof of $(i)$.
(ii) For $n \geq 0$, it follows from (2.6) and $\sigma(a)=\tau(a)+\mu(a)$ together with the monotonicity of $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ with respect to $n$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n}+D_{n} & =\sigma(a) \mathcal{W}_{n+1}+\mathcal{W}_{n+2}-\mu(a)\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}\right)-\tau(a)\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n+1}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 n+3}+\mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}\right) \\
& <\sigma(a) \mathcal{W}_{n+1}-2[\tau(a)+\mu(a)] \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}+\mathcal{W}_{n+2}-2 \mathcal{W}_{2 n+4} \\
& =\sigma(a)\left(\mathcal{W}_{n+1}-2 \mathcal{W}_{2 n+2}\right)+\mathcal{W}_{n+2}-2 \mathcal{W}_{2 n+4}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in(0,1 / 2]$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Define

$$
\varphi_{a}(r)=[1+\sigma(a) r] \mathcal{K}_{a}(r)-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\lambda(a) r^{3}\right] \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})
$$

and

$$
\phi_{a}(r)=[1+\sigma(a) r] \mathcal{K}_{a}(r)-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\mu(a) r^{3}\right] \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r}) .
$$

In order to prove the inequalities (1.8) is valid, it suffices to show $\varphi_{a}(r)>0$ and $\phi_{a}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,1)$.

From (2.3), we can rewrite $\varphi_{a}(r)$ and $\phi_{a}(r)$, in terms of power series, as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{\sin (\pi a)} \varphi_{a}(r) & =[1+\sigma(a) r] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{n} r^{2 n}-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\lambda(a) r^{3}\right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{n} r^{n} \\
& =r^{4}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}+B_{n} r\right) r^{2 n}\right],  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{2}{\sin (\pi a)} \phi_{a}(r) & =[1+\sigma(a) r] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{n} r^{2 n}-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\mu(a) r^{3}\right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{n} r^{n} \\
& =r^{3}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(C_{n}+D_{n} r\right) r^{2 n}\right], \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{n}, B_{n}$ and $C_{n}, D_{n}$ are defined as in Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, respectively.

- If $B_{n} \geq 0$, then it follows from Lemma 2.3(i) that $A_{n}+B_{n} r>A_{n}>0$ for $r \in(0,1)$. If $B_{n}<0$, then Lemma 2.3(ii) enables us to know that $A_{n}+B_{n} r>A_{n}+B_{n}>0$ for $r \in(0,1)$. This in conjunction with (3.1) yields $\varphi_{a}(r)>0$ for $r \in(0,1)$.
- From Lemma 2.4, we clearly see that $C_{n}+D_{n} r<C_{n}+D_{n}<0$ for $r \in(0,1)$. This in conjunction with (3.2) implies that $\phi_{a}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,1)$.

We now prove that $\lambda(a)$ and $\mu(a)$ are the best possible constants.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{a}(r)=\frac{[1+\sigma(a) r] \mathcal{K}_{a}(r)-\left[1+\tau(a) r^{2}\right] \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})}{r^{3} \mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda(a)<\delta(a)<\mu(a)$, then it follows from $\Phi_{a}\left(0^{+}\right)=\lambda(a)<\delta(a)$ and $\Phi_{a}\left(1^{-}\right)=\mu(a)>\delta W(a)$ that there exist sufficiently small $r_{1}, r_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\Phi_{a}(r)<\delta(a)$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{a}(r)>\delta(a)$ for $r \in\left(1-r_{2}, 1\right)$.

For $a \in(0,1 / 2]$, computer experiments enable us to know that $\Phi_{a}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ and we leave it to the reader as an open problem.

Open Problem. For $a \in(0,1 / 2], \Phi_{a}(r)$ is defined as in (3.3). Then $\Phi_{a}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\lambda(a), \mu(a))$.

## 4. Conclusion

We establish a sharp double inequality involving the ratio of generalized complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, more precisely, the double inequality

$$
\frac{1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\lambda(a) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(a) r}<\frac{\mathcal{K}_{a}(r)}{\mathcal{K}_{a}(\sqrt{r})}<\frac{1+\tau(a) r^{2}+\mu(a) r^{3}}{1+\sigma(a) r}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$, where

$$
\sigma(a)=a(1-a), \quad \tau(a)=\frac{a(1-a)\left(a^{2}-a+2\right)}{4},
$$

$$
\lambda(a)=-\frac{a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)\left(4 a^{2}-4 a+3\right)}{18}, \quad \mu(a)=\frac{a\left(1-a^{2}\right)(2-a)}{4},
$$

which is the improvement and generalization of some previously known results.
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