



Research article

A critical point theorem for a class of non-differentiable functionals with applications

Yan Ning* and Daowei Lu

Department of Mathematics, Jining University, Qufu 273155, Shandong, P. R. China

* **Correspondence:** Email: ningkegood@126.com.

Abstract: This paper presents a multiplicity theorem for a kind of non-smooth functionals. The proof of this theorem relies on a suitable deformation lemma and the perturbation methods. We also apply this result to prove a multiplicity theorem for elliptic variational-hemivariational inequality problems.

Keywords: critical points; non-smooth functions; deformation; variational-hemivariational inequality; locally Lipschitz continuous

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B38, 49J52

1. Introduction

The mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz for C^1 functions plays an essential role in the area of nonlinear analysis. We are interested in the monograph [22] of Motreanu and Panagiotopoulos in which they established a new version of the mountain pass theorem [22, Theorem 3.2] for the functionals f from Banach space X to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ satisfying the following hypothesis:

(H_f) : $f(x) = \Phi(x) + \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous while $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex, proper, and lower semi-continuous.

We call $x \in X$ is a critical point of f if x solves the following problem:

$$\Phi^0(x; z - x) + \Psi(z) - \Psi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in X, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Phi^0(x; z - x)$ is the generalized directional derivative of Φ at x in the direction $z - x$ (see [6] for detail).

Recall that f satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition if any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_n) = c$ and

$$\Phi^0(x_n; z - x_n) + \Psi(z) - \Psi(x_n) \geq -\epsilon_n \|z - x_n\|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in X$$

where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$, possesses a convergent subsequence.

When $(PS)_c$ holds true at any level c we simply write $(PS)_f$ in place of $(PS)_c$.

Inequality (1.1) is usually called variational-hemivariational inequality, which has been exploited for mathematically formulating several engineering, besides mechanical questions and extensively studied from many points of view in the latest years [1, 22, 23].

Variational-hemivariational inequalities can be studied in the framework of a general critical point theory which combines features of the classical convex analysis and of the theory of generalized gradients for locally Lipschitz functions. Such inequalities represent a very general pattern for several kinds of variational problems. Indeed, if $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, the problem (1.1) is reduced to a variational inequality and the relevant critical point theory as well as significant applications are developed in [25]; if $\Psi \equiv 0$, then (1.1) coincides with the problem treated by Chang in [5] which is called differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities; differential inclusions (see [12]) and special non-smooth problems with constraints (see [13]) can be considered as special cases of variational-hemivariational inequality. Finally, when both $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Psi \equiv 0$, the problem (1.1) becomes the Euler equation $\Phi'(u) = 0$ and the theory is classical. For the new results on this topic, see the excellent overview in [4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17–19, 26, 27].

Chang in [5] established the critical point theory for non-differentiable functionals and represented some applications to partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities. Marano and Motreanu [20] obtained a critical points theorem which extends the variational principle of Ricceri to variational-hemivariational inequalities and semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems with discontinuous nonlinearities. The critical point theorem in presence of splitting was established by Brèzis-Nirenberg [3]. Subsequently Livrea, Marano and Motreanu [16] extended it to Motreanu-Panagiotopoulos' setting under the the following structural hypothesis $(H_f)'$:

$(H_f)'$: $f(x) = \Phi(x) + \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous while $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex, proper, and lower semi-continuous, and Ψ is continuous on any nonempty compact set $A \subseteq X$ such that $\sup_{x \in A} \Psi(x) < +\infty$.

And they applied the conclusions to an elliptic variational-hemivariational inequality.

Motivated by the above cited papers, we try to prove a multiplicity theorem of functions f fulfilling the structural hypothesis (H_f) , mountain pass geometry and the bounded from below conditions. In Section 2, we will recall some basic definitions and preliminaries. The essential tool used in the proof is a general deformation lemma, which will be set forth in Section 3. Section 4 presents our main result, a new critical point theorem.

In the last section we consider an application to the elliptic variational-hemivariational inequality:

(P_λ) : Find $u \in K_\lambda$ such that for all $v \in K_\lambda$,

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla (v - u)(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x) u(x) (v - u)(x) dx \leq \lambda \mathcal{G}^0(u; v - u),$$

where $\lambda > 0$, K_λ is convex and closed in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally bounded and measurable, and the functions $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{G} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$G(\xi) = \int_0^\xi -g(t) dt, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{G}(u) = \int_{\Omega} G(u(x)) dx, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

respectively, are well defined and locally Lipschitz continuous. Some results of [16] are improved.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a reflexive Banach space. Denote by $B(x, \delta) := \{z \in X : \|z - x\| < \delta\}$ as well as $B_\delta := B(0, \delta)$. The symbol $[x, z]$ denote the segment joining x to z , namely $[x, z] := \{(1-t)x + tz : t \in [0, 1]\}$, and $(x, z] := [x, z] \setminus \{x\}$. We denote by X^* the dual space of X , while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the duality pairing between X and X^* . A functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is proper if $D\varphi = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) < \infty\} \neq \emptyset$. Functional $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called locally Lipschitz continuous if for every $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood V_x of x and a constant $L_x \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Phi(z) - \Phi(w)| \leq L_x \|z - w\|, \quad \forall z, w \in V_x.$$

Let $\Phi^0(x; z)$ be the generalized directional derivative of Φ at x along the direction z , i.e.,

$$\Phi^0(x; z) := \limsup_{w \rightarrow x, t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi(w + tz) - \Phi(w)}{t}.$$

The generalized gradient of the function Φ at x , denoted by $\partial\Phi(x)$, is the set

$$\partial\Phi(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, z \rangle \leq \Phi^0(x; z), \quad \forall z \in X\}.$$

The mapping $z \mapsto \Phi^0(x; z)$ is positively homogeneous and sub-additive, thus, due to the Hahn-Banach theorem, the set $\partial\Phi(x)$ is nonempty. In the sequel, we state the main properties of the generalized directional derivatives and the generalized gradients:

- 1) For each $x \in X$, $\partial\Phi(x)$ is a nonempty, convex in addition to *weak** compact subset of X^* .
- 2) For each $x, z \in X$, $\Phi^0(x, z)$ is upper semicontinuous on $X \times X$.
- 3) For each $x, z \in X$, we have $\Phi^0(x; z) = \max\{\langle x^*, z \rangle; x^* \in \partial\Phi(x)\}$.
- 4) If Φ attains a local minimum or maximum at x , then $0 \in \partial\Phi(x)$.
- 5) The function $m_\Phi(x) = \min\{\|x^*\|_{X^*}, x^* \in \partial\Phi(x)\}$ exists and is lower semi-continuous.

Let $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be convex, proper, and lower semi-continuous. Set $D_\Psi = \{x \in X : \Psi(x) < +\infty\}$, then Ψ is continuous in $\text{int}(D_\Psi)$ (see [8]). To simplify notation, denote by $\partial\Psi(x)$ the subdifferential of Ψ at the point $x \in X$ in the sense of convex analysis, while $D_{\partial\Psi} = \{x \in X : \partial\Psi(x) \neq \emptyset\}$. By [8], $\text{int}(D_\Psi) = \text{int}(D_{\partial\Psi})$, $\partial\Psi(x)$ is convex and *weak** closed.

Let f be a function on X satisfying the hypothesis (H_f) , $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} K_a(f) &= \{x \in X : f(x) = a, \text{ } x \text{ is a critical point of } f\}, \\ f^a &= \{x \in X : f(x) \geq a\}, \quad f_a = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $\epsilon, r > 0$, we introduce the set

$$F_{a,\epsilon}^r = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r + 1, \text{ and } |f(x) - a| \leq \epsilon\},$$

it is easy to see that $F_{a,\epsilon}^r$ is closed.

3. A deformation result

In this section we establish a deformation lemma for the functions satisfying the hypothesis (H_f) .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $x \in \text{int}(D_\Psi)$. Then for every $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X and every $z_n^* \in \partial\Psi(x_n)$, $n \in N$, there exists $z^* \in \partial\Psi(x)$ as well as a subsequence $\{z_{r_n}^*\}$ of $\{z_n^*\}$ such that $z_{r_n}^* \rightarrow z^*$ in X^* .*

For the proof the reader could refer to [21, Remark 2.1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be a function satisfying (H_f) . Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon > 0$, $r > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_{a,\epsilon}^r \neq \emptyset$, $F_{a,\epsilon}^r \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi)$, and*

$$\inf\{\|x^* + z^*\| : x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x), x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r\} > 2\epsilon.$$

Then for every $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, there exists $\xi_x \in X$ such that

$$\|\xi_x\| = 1, \langle x^* + z^*, \xi_x \rangle > 2\epsilon, \text{ for all } x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since $\inf\{\|x^* + z^*\| : x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x), x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r\} > 2\epsilon$, there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, $x^* \in \partial\Phi(x)$, $z^* \in \partial\Psi(x)$, we have $\|x^* + z^*\|_{X^*} \geq 2\epsilon + \epsilon_0$.

Fix an $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, since $\partial\Phi(x)$ and $\partial\Psi(x)$ are nonempty and convex, so is $\partial\Phi(x) + \partial\Psi(x)$. As X is reflexive, $\partial\Phi(x)$ is weak* compact and $\partial\Psi(x)$ is weak* closed, then $\partial\Phi(x) + \partial\Psi(x)$ is closed.

Note that $0 \notin \partial\Phi(x) + \partial\Psi(x)$. By [2, Corollary 3.20], we have $u^* \in \partial\Phi(x)$, $v^* \in \partial\Psi(x)$ satisfying

$$B_{\delta^*} \cap (\partial\Phi(x) + \partial\Psi(x)) = \emptyset, \text{ where } \delta^* = \|u^* + v^*\|_{X^*} > 0.$$

Now the Hahn-Banach theorem provides a point $\xi_x \in X$ with $\|\xi_x\| = 1$ and whenever $x^* \in \partial\Phi(x)$, $z^* \in \partial\Psi(x)$,

$$\langle x^* + z^*, \xi_x \rangle \geq \langle w^*, \xi_x \rangle, \forall w^* \in B_{\delta^*}.$$

Since $\|u^* + v^*\|_{X^*} = \|u^* + v^*\|_{X^*} \|\xi_x\| = \max\{\langle w^*, \xi_x \rangle, w^* \in \overline{B_{\delta^*}}\}$, the above inequality and Lemma 3.1 lead to

$$\langle x^* + z^*, \xi_x \rangle \geq \|u^* + v^*\|_{X^*} \geq 2\epsilon + \epsilon_0 > 2\epsilon, \forall x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x).$$

The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.2, for every $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, there exists a $\delta_x > 0$ such that*

$$\langle x^* + z^*, \xi_x \rangle > 2\epsilon, \forall x^* \in \partial\Phi(x'), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x''), \forall x', x'' \in B(x, \delta_x), \quad (3.2)$$

where ξ_x is given by Lemma 3.2.

Proof. If the conclusion were false, then we could find $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, $\{x'_n\}, \{x''_n\} \subseteq X$ and $\{x_n^*\}, \{z_n^*\} \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$x'_n \rightarrow x, x_n^* \in \partial\Phi(x'_n), \forall n \in N; \quad (3.3)$$

$$x''_n \rightarrow x, z_n^* \in \partial\Psi(x''_n), \forall n \in N; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\langle x_n^* + z_n^*, \xi_x \rangle \leq 2\epsilon, \forall n \in N. \quad (3.5)$$

Due to the reflexivity of X and (3.3), Proposition 2.1.2 of [22] yields $x^* \in X^*$ such that $x_n^* \rightarrow x^*$ in X^* , where a subsequence is considered when necessary, while Proposition 2.1.5 of [22] forces $x^* \subseteq \partial\Phi(x)$. Since $x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi) = \text{int}(D_{\partial\Psi})$, combining (3.4) with Lemma 3.1, we obtain, up to subsequences, $z_n^* \rightarrow z^*$ for some $z^* \in \partial\Psi(x)$. Now from (3.5) it follows, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $\langle x^* + z^*, \xi_x \rangle \leq 2\epsilon$. However, this contradicts (3.1). \square

Theorem 3.4 *Let f be a function satisfying (H_f) , assume that there exist constants $\epsilon > 0, r > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_{a,\epsilon}^r \neq \emptyset, F_{a,\epsilon}^r \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi)$, and*

$$\inf\{\|x^* + z^*\| : x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x), x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r\} > 2\epsilon.$$

Then there exists a continuous mapping $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ with the following properties:

- (1) $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism;
- (2) $\eta(x) = x$ whenever $|f(x) - a| \geq 2\epsilon$;
- (3) $\|\eta(x) - x\| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in X$;
- (4) $f(\eta(x)) \leq f(x), \quad \forall x \in X$;
- (5) $\eta(D_\Psi) \subseteq D_\Psi$;
- (6) $f(\eta(x)) \leq a - \epsilon, \quad \forall x \in X$ provided $\|x\| \leq r$ and $f(x) \leq a + \epsilon$.

Proof. The family of balls $\mathbb{B} = \{B(x, \delta_x) : x \in F_{a,\epsilon}^r\}$ constructed through Lemma 3.3 represents an open covering of $F_{a,\epsilon}^r$, and the assumptions ensure that $F_{a,\epsilon}^r$ is a nonempty para-compact set because it is closed. So \mathbb{B} possesses an open locally finite refinement $\mathbb{V} = \{V_i; i \in I\}$. Moreover, to each $i \in I$ there corresponds $\xi_i \in X$ such that $\|\xi_i\| = 1$ as well as

$$\langle x^* + z^*, \xi_i \rangle > 2\epsilon, \quad \forall x^* \in \partial\Phi(x'), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x''), \forall x', x'' \in V_i. \quad (3.6)$$

Shrink \mathbb{V} to an open locally finite covering $\mathbb{W} = \{W_i; i \in I\}$ fulfilling for every $i \in I, W_i \subseteq V_i$ ([9, Theorems VIII 2.2 and Theorems VII 6.1]) with W_i is convex, and $f|_{W_i}(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous satisfying

$$a - 2\epsilon < f(x) < a + 2\epsilon, \quad \forall x \in W_i. \quad (3.7)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \bigcup_{i \in I} W_i, d_i(x) = d(x, X \setminus W_i), \\ \rho_i(x) &= \frac{d_i(x)}{\sum_{j \in I} d_j(x)}, \quad \forall x \in W, i \in I, \\ \Theta(x) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in I} \rho_i(x) \xi_i, & \text{if } x \in W, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ l(x) &= \frac{d(x, X \setminus W)}{d(x, X \setminus W) + d(x, F_{a,\epsilon}^r)}, \quad \forall x \in X, \\ V(x) &= l(x)\Theta(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

$$V(x) = l(x)\Theta(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We observe that $V : X \rightarrow X$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and

$$\|V(x)\| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (3.8)$$

The existence-uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations provides a mapping $\sigma \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times X, X)$ such that

$$\frac{d\sigma(t, x)}{dt} = -V(\sigma(t, x)), \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X, \quad \sigma(0, x) = x.$$

We claim that

$$\text{for every } x \in X, \text{ the function } t \mapsto f(\sigma(t, x)) \text{ is non-increasing on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.9)$$

In fact, if $x \in X \setminus W$, $V(x) = 0$ and thus $\sigma(\cdot, x)$ is constant and (3.9) holds true. In the case $x \in W$, we start by noting that $\sigma(\mathbb{R}, x) \subseteq W$. Indeed setting $T = \sup\{t > 0 : \sigma((-t, t), x) \subseteq W\}$, assume by contradiction that $T < +\infty$. Hence we have $W_x = \sigma(T, x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \sigma(t, x) \in \partial W$. Then the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}(t)}{dt} = -V(\tilde{\sigma}(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(T) = W_x$$

admits the constant solution $\tilde{\sigma}(\cdot) \equiv W_x$, as does $t \mapsto \sigma(t, x)$ for $t \leq T$, which is against the uniqueness of solutions.

Fixing $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we know that $\sigma(t, x) \in W$ and due to the local finiteness of W , the set $J = \{i \in I : \sigma(t, x) \in W_i\}$ is finite. It follows that $\tilde{W} = \bigcap_{i \in J} W_i$ is a convex, open neighborhood of $\sigma(t, x)$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sigma((t - \delta, t + \delta), x) \subseteq \tilde{W}, \quad \text{and } \sigma((t - \delta, t + \delta), x) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} W_i \right) = \emptyset. \quad (3.10)$$

For arbitrary $t', t'' \in (t - \delta, t + \delta)$ with $t' < t''$. Lebourg's mean value theorem provides $y \in (\sigma(t', x), \sigma(t'', x))$, $x^* \in \partial\Phi(y)$, $z^* \in \partial\Psi(y)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma(t', x)) - f(\sigma(t'', x)) &= \langle x^* + z^*, \sigma(t'', x) - \sigma(t', x) \rangle \\ &= - \int_{t'}^{t''} \langle x^* + z^*, V(\sigma(\tau, x)) \rangle d\tau \\ &< -2\epsilon \int_{t'}^{t''} l(\sigma(\tau, x)) \sum_{j \in J} \rho_j(\sigma(\tau, x)) d\tau \\ &= -2\epsilon \int_{t'}^{t''} l(\sigma(\tau, x)) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where (3.6) and (3.10) have been used. Given $p, q \in [t, t + 1]$ with $p < q$, a standard compactness argument and the above estimate enable us to find $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s \in [t, t + 1]$ with $p = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_s = q$ such that

$$f(\sigma(t_i, x)) - f(\sigma(t_{i-1}, x)) < -2\epsilon \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} l(\sigma(\tau, x)) d\tau,$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma(q, x)) - f(\sigma(p, x)) &= \sum_{i=1}^s [f(\sigma(t_i, x)) - f(\sigma(t_{i-1}, x))] \\ &< -2\epsilon \int_p^q l(\sigma(\tau, x)) d\tau < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

which establish (3.9). Now we define

$$\eta(x) = \sigma(1, x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

From the general theory of ordinary differential equations it is well known that $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism.

Since (3.7) renders $\{x \in X : |f(x) - a| \geq 2\epsilon\} \subseteq X \setminus W$ when $x \in X \setminus W$, $V(x) = 0$, thus $\sigma(\cdot, x)$ is constant, and $\eta(x) = \sigma(1, x) = \sigma(0, x) = x$. We then deduce property (2).

From (3.8), for all $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta(x) - x\| &= \|\sigma(1, x) - \sigma(0, x)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 V(\sigma(\tau, x)) d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|V(\sigma(\tau, x))\| d\tau \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., property (3) holds true.

Since $f(\eta(x)) = f(\sigma(1, x)) \leq f(\sigma(0, x)) = f(x)$, for all $x \in X$, the property (4) holds true.

For every $x \in D_\Psi$, there is $f(x) < +\infty$. Since (4) holds, $f(\eta(x)) \leq f(x) < +\infty$, so $\eta(x) \in D_\Psi$. i.e. $\eta(D_\Psi) \subseteq D_\Psi$, (5) holds true.

In order to prove (6), let $x \in X$ with $\|x\| \leq r$ and $f(x) \leq a + \epsilon$. If $f(x) \leq a - \epsilon$, (6) follows from (4) immediately. In case $a - \epsilon < f(x) \leq a + \epsilon$, we argue by contradiction. Suppose

$$a - \epsilon < f(\eta(x)) = f(\sigma(1, x)) \leq f(\sigma(t, x)) \leq f(x) \leq a + \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.12)$$

In addition, through (3.8), for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(t, x)\| &\leq \|x\| + \|\sigma(t, x) - x\| \leq r + \left\| \int_0^t \frac{d\sigma(\tau, x)}{d\tau} d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq r + \int_0^t \|V(\sigma(\tau, x))\| d\tau \leq r + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\sigma([0, 1], x) \subseteq F_{a, \epsilon}^r$, which forces $l(\sigma(\cdot, x))|_{[0, 1]} \equiv 1$. Then (3.11) with $p = 0$ and $q = 1$ reads as

$$f(\eta(x)) - f(x) < -2\epsilon. \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) gives

$$a - \epsilon < f(\eta(x)) < f(x) - 2\epsilon \leq a - \epsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. □

4. Existence of critical points

Fix $v_0, v_1 \in D_\Psi$. Consider the following set of paths

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C^0([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_0, \gamma(1) = v_1\}, \quad (4.1)$$

and a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ which verifies hypothesis (H_f) . Set

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} f(\gamma(t)). \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ satisfies (H_f) and $(PS)_f$. Assume in addition that

- (i₁) f is bounded below and coercive, and put $\alpha = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$;
- (i₂) $\alpha < \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\} \leq c$, $v_0 \neq v_1$ and for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(\gamma(t)) \geq \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\}$;
- (i₃) for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist $r > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $F_{a, \epsilon_0}^r \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi)$.

Then the function f possesses at least two critical points.

Proof. Since f is coercive, for every $x \in X$ with $c - 1 \leq f(x) \leq c + 1$, there exists a constant k such that

$$\|x\| \leq k. \quad (4.3)$$

From (i₃), for c and $k > 0$, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$F_{c, \epsilon_0}^k \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi). \quad (4.4)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\epsilon_0 < 1$. Let

$$c_\epsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} [f(\gamma(t)) + \epsilon d(t)], \quad (4.5)$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0$ is arbitrary, and $d(t) = \min\{t, 1 - t\}$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

From (i₂), we can easily verify that

$$c \leq c_\epsilon < c + \epsilon.$$

Since for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(\gamma(t_0)) \geq \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\}$, one has

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} (f(\gamma(t)) + \epsilon d(t)) \geq f(\gamma(t_0)) + \epsilon d(t_0) > f(\gamma(t_0)) \geq \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\},$$

thus $c_\epsilon > \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\}$ for every $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0)$.

We claim that for every $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0)$ satisfying that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}(c_\epsilon - \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\})$ there holds

$$\inf\{\|x^* + z^*\| : x^* \in \partial\Phi(x), z^* \in \partial\Psi(x), x \in F_{c_\epsilon, \epsilon}^k\} \leq 2\epsilon. \quad (4.6)$$

Due to the definition of $\widehat{\epsilon}$, for every $\epsilon \in (0, \widehat{\epsilon})$, we have

$$c - \epsilon_0 < c - \epsilon < c_\epsilon - \epsilon \leq f(x) \leq c_\epsilon + \epsilon < c + 2\epsilon < c + \epsilon_0$$

and $\|x\| \leq k$. It is straightforward to verify that $F_{c_\epsilon, \epsilon}^k \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi)$.

To show (4.6), we argue by contradiction. If it was not true, then we would find $\epsilon \in (0, \widehat{\epsilon})$ for which Theorem 3.4 can be applied with $a = c_\epsilon$ and $r = k$. So there would exist a continuous mapping $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ with the properties (1)–(6) formulated in Theorem 3.4. By the definition of $\widehat{\epsilon}$, there is $\gamma_\epsilon \in \Gamma$ such that

$$c_\epsilon \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} [f(\gamma_\epsilon(t)) + \epsilon d(t)] < c_\epsilon + \epsilon,$$

which easy to verify that

$$c_\epsilon - \epsilon < \sup_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma_\epsilon(t)) < c_\epsilon + \epsilon,$$

and use the definition of $\widehat{\epsilon}$ again, it is straightforward to verify that $\eta(\gamma_\epsilon(\cdot)) \in \Gamma$ for every ϵ . Hence, in view of (4.5), we may consider a sequence $\{s_\epsilon\}$ in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$c_\epsilon - \epsilon < f(\eta(\gamma_\epsilon(s_\epsilon))), c_\epsilon - \epsilon < f(\gamma_\epsilon(s_\epsilon)) < c_\epsilon + \epsilon. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $c_\epsilon + \epsilon < c + 2\epsilon < c + 1$ and $c_\epsilon - \epsilon > c - \epsilon > c - 1$, it implies that $\|\gamma_\epsilon(s_\epsilon)\| \leq k$.

Exploiting (4.7) and property (6), we achieve the contradiction

$$c_\epsilon - \epsilon < f(\eta(\gamma_\epsilon(s_\epsilon))) \leq c_\epsilon - \epsilon.$$

thereby (4.6) holds true.

By virtue of (4.6), for every $x \in X$ and all $n \in N$ sufficiently large, there exists $x_n \in F_{c_\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}}^k$, $x_n^* \in \partial\Phi(x_n)$, $z_n^* \in \partial\Psi(x_n)$ such that

$$\|x_n^* + z_n^*\| < \frac{3}{n},$$

and

$$c - \frac{1}{n} < c_\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \leq f(x_n) \leq c_\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < c + \frac{2}{n}.$$

This guarantees that

$$\|x_n\| \leq k + 1, c - \frac{1}{n} < f(x_n) < c + \frac{2}{n},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^0(x_n; x - x_n) + \Psi(x) - \Psi(x_n) &\geq \langle x_n^* + z_n^*, x - x_n \rangle \\ &\geq -\|x_n^* + z_n^*\| \|x - x_n\| \\ &> -\frac{3}{n} \|x - x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since f satisfies the $(PS)_f$ condition, there is an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ in X , where a subsequence is considered when necessary. At this point, \bar{x} is a critical point of f , and $\bar{x} \in K_c(f)$.

Next we prove that f possesses a global minimum point $x_0 \in X$. Since by (i_1) and the condition $(PS)_f$, each minimizing sequence for f possesses a convergent subsequence (see [16]), the function f must attain its minimum at some point $x_0 \in X$.

Due to $f(x_0) = \alpha < c = f(\bar{x})$, $x_0 \neq \bar{x}$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2 By the above proof, one can find that under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, when $a \geq \alpha$, there exist $r > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ such that $F_{a,\epsilon}^r \neq \emptyset$. By the coercivity of f , if Ψ is convex and continuous, the condition (i_3) obviously holds.

5. An application

In this section we use Theorem 4.1 to discuss an elliptic variational-hemivariational inequality in the sense of Panagiotopoulos [24].

Let Ω be a nonempty, bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 3$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Denote by $H_0^1(\Omega)$ the usual Sobolev space with norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It's well known that for $p \in [1, 2^*]$, $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$, there exists a positive constant C_p such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_p \|u\|, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (5.1)$$

Given a function $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $a(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let

$$\beta = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} a(x) \geq 0.$$

If $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition

(g₁) g is locally bounded and measurable.

Then the functions $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{G} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(\xi) &= \int_0^\xi -g(t) dt, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{G}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} G(u(x)) dx, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, are well defined and locally Lipschitz continuous. So it makes sense to consider their generalized directional derivatives G^0 and \mathcal{G}^0 . On account of [22, formula(9), P.84] one has

$$\mathcal{G}^0(u; v) \leq \int_{\Omega} G^0(u(x); v(x)) dx, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (5.2)$$

We will further assume

$$(g_2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)}{t} = 0;$$

$$(g_3) \quad \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} \leq 0;$$

$$(g_4) \quad \text{there exists a } \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } G(\xi_0) < 0.$$

Through (g₃) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that

$$g(t) \leq \epsilon t, \quad \text{for all } |t| \geq r. \quad (5.3)$$

Since g is locally bounded, we also have

$$M = \sup_{t \in [-r, r]} |g(t)| < +\infty. \quad (5.4)$$

Let $\lambda > 0$, $\mu(\Omega)$ be the Lebesgue measure of Ω . Define

$$r_\lambda = \sqrt{4\lambda + 2Mr\mu(\Omega)}.$$

A set $K_\lambda \subseteq H_0^1(\Omega)$ is called of type (K_λ^g) provided

(K_λ^g) : K_λ is convex and closed in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\overline{B_{r_\lambda}} \subseteq K_\lambda$.

Given $\lambda > 0$ and K_λ satisfying (K_λ^g) , consider the elliptic variational-hemivariational inequality problems:

(P_λ) : Find $u \in K_\lambda$ such that for all $v \in K_\lambda$,

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla(v-u)(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x)u(x)(v-u)(x) dx \leq \lambda \mathcal{G}^0(u; v-u).$$

Due to (5.2), any solution u of (P_λ) also fulfills the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla(v-u)(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} a(x)u(x)(v-u)(x) dx \\ & \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} G^0(u(x); (v-u)(x)) dx, \text{ for all } v \in K_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

If g is continuous and $K_\lambda = H_0^1(\Omega)$, the function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ turns out a weak solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + a(x)u = \lambda g(u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

which has been previously investigated in [3, 14] under more restrictive conditions.

Theorem 5.1 Suppose $(g_1) - (g_4)$ hold true. Then, for every λ sufficiently large, problem (P_λ) possesses at least two solutions.

Proof . Let $X = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$. Define a functional $f(u) = \Phi(u) + \Psi(u)$ on X as follows:

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a(x)u(x)^2) dx + \lambda \mathcal{G}(u)$$

as well as

$$\Psi(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \in K_\lambda, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $K_\lambda \subseteq H_0^1(\Omega)$ is of type (K_λ^g) . Owing to (g_1) the function $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ turns out locally Lipschitz continuous. Consequently, f satisfies condition (H_f) .

We shall prove that f is bounded from below and coercive.

By (5.3) and (5.4), one has

$$\int_0^\xi g(t) dt \leq Mr + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \xi^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.5)$$

Which clearly implies

$$\mathcal{G}(u) \geq -Mr\mu(\Omega) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) & \geq \Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\lambda\epsilon}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \lambda Mr\mu(\Omega) \\ & = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \epsilon\lambda) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \lambda Mr\mu(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{\rho}{\lambda})$, then we have

$$f(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda M r \mu(\Omega), \quad \forall u \in X, \quad (5.6)$$

which shows the claim.

Let us next show that the function f satisfies condition $(PS)_f$. Pick a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{f(u_n)\}$ is bounded and

$$\Phi^0(u_n; v - u_n) + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\epsilon_n \|v - u_n\|. \quad (5.7)$$

for all $n \in N, v \in X$, where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$.

By (5.7) one evidently has $\{u_n\} \subseteq K_\lambda$, and $\{f(u_n)\}$ is bounded. Since f is coercive, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ turns out bounded. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we suppose $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. The point u belongs to K_λ because this set is weakly closed.

Exploiting (5.7) with $v = u$, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n(x) \nabla(u - u_n)(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) u_n(x) (u - u_n)(x) dx \\ + \lambda \mathcal{G}^0(u_n; u - u_n) \geq -\epsilon_n \|u - u_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for all $n \in N$.

From $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X it follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) u_n(x) (u - u_n)(x) dx = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

The upper semi-continuity of \mathcal{G}^0 on $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ forces

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{G}^0(u_n; u - u_n) \leq \mathcal{G}^0(u; 0) = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Taking account of (5.9), (5.10) besides $\{\|u - u_n\|\}$ is bounded, and letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$, inequality (5.8) yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Hence, thanks to [2, Proposition III.3], $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X . i.e., $(PS)_a$ holds.

By (g_4) , we can construct an $u_0 \in X$ such that $\mathcal{G}(u_0) < 0$. Moreover, $u_0 \in \overline{B_{r_\lambda}}$ for any $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u_0\|^2$. Therefore, $\inf_{u \in X} f(u) \leq f(u_0) < 0$ provided

$$\lambda > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4}\|u_0\|^2, -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{G}(u_0)} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_0(x)|^2 + a(x)u_0(x)^2) dx \right\},$$

while $f(0) = \lambda \mathcal{G}(0) = 0$.

Our next objective is to verify (i_1) . From (g_2) , there exists $\sigma \in (0, r)$ such that

$$\int_{|u(x)| < \sigma} \left[\int_0^{u(x)} g(t) dt \right] dx \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx. \quad (5.11)$$

Due to (5.5), one has

$$G(\xi) \geq -Mr - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\xi^2 \geq -\left(\frac{Mr}{\sigma^p} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\sigma^{p-2}}\right)|\xi|^p,$$

provided $|\xi| \geq \sigma$.

The Sobolev embedding theorem gives

$$\int_{|u(x)| \geq \sigma} G(u(x))dx \geq -\left(\frac{Mr}{\sigma^p} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\sigma^{p-2}}\right)\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \geq -C^*\|u\|^p, \quad (5.12)$$

where $C^* = \left(\frac{Mr}{\sigma^p} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\sigma^{p-2}}\right)C_p^p$. Then by (5.11) (5.12) and (5.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(u) &= \int_{|u(x)| < \sigma} \left[\int_0^{u(x)} -g(t)dt \right] dx + \int_{|u(x)| \geq \sigma} G(u(x))dx \\ &\geq -\frac{\epsilon}{2}C_2^2\|u\|^2 - C^*\|u\|^p \\ &= -\|u\|^2\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}C_2^2 + C^*\|u\|^{p-2}\right), \forall u \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Let us next prove that for a suitable constant $\theta > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a(x)u(x)^2)dx \geq \theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in X. \quad (5.14)$$

Indeed, if it's not true, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq X$ enjoying the properties

$$\|u_n\| = 1, \quad n \in N,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n(x)|^2 + a(x)u_n(x)^2)dx < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in N. \quad (5.15)$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X as well as $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus, letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (5.15) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a(x)u(x)^2)dx \leq 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Using the sobolev embedding theorem and $\beta = \text{ess inf}_{x \in \Omega} a(x) \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{C_2^2} + \beta\right)\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a(x)u(x)^2)dx. \quad (5.17)$$

Gathering (5.16) and (5.17) together, leads to $u = 0$. By (5.15) this forces $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in X , against to $\|u_n\| = 1, \forall n \in N$.

Combining (5.14) with (5.13), provides

$$f(u) \geq \|u\|^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2} - \lambda\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}C_2^2 + C^*\|u\|^{p-2}\right)\right), \quad \forall u \in X. \quad (5.18)$$

Pick $\epsilon > 0$ and $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|)$ sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{\theta}{2} - \lambda\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}C_2^2 + C^*R^{p-2}\right) > 0.$$

Then by (5.18) we have

$$f(u) \geq 0, \forall u \in \overline{B_R}. \quad (5.19)$$

Furthermore, it is easy to prove that $R < \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\| < r_\lambda$.

Now, let $v_0 = 0$, $v_1 = u_0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \{\gamma \in C^0([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_0, \gamma(1) = v_1\}, \\ c &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} f(\gamma(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (5.19) and the definition of c , one has

$$c \geq 0 = \max\{f(v_0), f(v_1)\},$$

and for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists a $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $\gamma(t) \in X$ and $\|\gamma(t)\| = R$. Then by (5.19) again, we obtain $f(\gamma(t)) \geq 0$. Hence hypothesis (i_1) of Theorem 4.1 is fulfilled.

Finally, let us prove that (i_3) holds. Since f is bounded below, put $\alpha = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$, then $\alpha < 0 \leq c$. For every $a \geq \alpha$ suppose that $a < \lambda$, then there exist $r > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$F_{a, \epsilon_0}^r \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi). \quad (5.20)$$

Indeed, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $a + \epsilon_0 \leq \lambda < 2\lambda$.

Inequality (5.6) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \{u \in X : f(u) \leq a + \epsilon_0\} &\subseteq \{u \in X : f(u) \leq \lambda\} \\ &\subseteq \{u \in X : \|u\| < r_\lambda\} \subseteq \overline{B_{r_\lambda}} \subseteq D_\Psi. \end{aligned}$$

So we immediately have $\{u \in X : f(u) \leq a + \epsilon_0\} \subseteq \text{int}(D_\Psi)$.

Since f is coercive, there exists $r > 0$ such that every $u \in X$ satisfies $a - \epsilon_0 \leq f(u) \leq a + \epsilon_0$, and $\|u\| \leq r + 1$, which leads to (5.20), i.e., condition (i_3) holds true.

We are now in a position to apply Theorem 4.1. By this theorem, there exist at least two points $u_1, u_2 \in X$ such that

$$\Phi^0(u_i; v - u_i) + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_i) \geq 0, \forall v \in X, i = 1, 2.$$

The choice of Ψ gives both $u_i \in K_\lambda$ and $\Phi^0(u_i; v - u_i) \geq 0$, $v \in K_\lambda$, $i = 1, 2$. Namely, u_1, u_2 are solutions to the problem (P_λ) . \square

Example 5.2 The aim of this example is to exhibit a nontrivial case of set in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of type (K_λ^g) . Let $h : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a weakly continuous and convex function. For $\bar{r} > 0$ fixed, $\lambda > 0$, put

$$\bar{r}_\lambda = \sqrt{4\lambda + 2M\bar{r}\mu(\Omega)},$$

with the same notation as before. The ball $\bar{B}(0, \bar{r}_\lambda)$ is a weakly compact subset of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, since h is weakly continuous, there exists $u_0 \in \bar{B}(0, \bar{r}_\lambda)$ such that

$$\gamma = \max_{u \in \bar{B}(0, \bar{r}_\lambda)} h(u) = h(u_0),$$

i.e., $h_{\bar{B}(0, \bar{r}_\lambda)}$ admits a global maximum. Then the set

$$K_\lambda := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : h(u) \leq \gamma + 1\}$$

is a subset of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of type (K_λ^g) .

Example 5.3 There exist functionals satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.1. For example

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} |t|(1 - e^{-t^2}), & |t| \leq 1, \\ t(e^{-t^2} - 1), & |t| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading and helpful comments, which greatly improve the manuscript. The work was supported by the NSF of Shandong Province (No. ZR2018PA006, ZR2017PA001) and the NSF of China (No. 11901240).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. G. M. Bisci, *Some remarks on a recent critical point result of nonsmooth analysis*, *Le Matematiche*, **64** (2009), 97–112.
2. H. Brézis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications*, 1983.
3. H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg, *Remarks on finding critical points*, *Commun. Pur. Appl. Math.*, **44** (1991), 939–961.
4. P. Candito, R. Livrea, D. Motreanu, *Bounded Palais-Smale sequences for non-differentiable functions*, *Nonlinear Anal-Theor.*, **74** (2011), 5446–5454.
5. K. C. Chang, *Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **80** (1981), 102–129.
6. F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
7. N. Costea, C. Varga, *Multiple critical points for non-differentiable parametrized functionals and applications to differential inclusions*, *J. Global Optim.*, **56** (2013), 399–416.
8. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
9. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1996.
10. F. Faraci, A. Iannizzotto, *Three nonzero periodic solutions for a differential inclusion*, *Discrete Cont. Dyn. S*, **5** (2012), 779–788.
11. A. Iannizzotto, *Three critical points for perturbed nonsmooth functionals and applications*, *Nonlinear Anal-Theor.*, **72** (2010), 1319–1338.

12. S. T. Kyritsi, N. S. Papageorgiou, *Nonsmooth critical point theory on closed convex sets and nonlinear hemivariational inequalities*, *Nonlinear Anal-Theor.*, **61** (2005), 373–403.
13. S. T. Kyritsi, N. S. Papageorgiou, *An obstacle problem for nonlinear hemivariational inequalities at resonance*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **276** (2002), 292–313.
14. S. J. Li, M. Willem, *Applications of local linking to critical point theory*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **189** (1995), 6–32.
15. Z. Li, Y. Shen, Y. Zhang, *An application of nonsmooth critical point theory*, *Topol. Method. Nonl. An.*, **35** (2010), 203–219.
16. R. Livrea, S. A. Marano, D. Motreanu, *Critical points for nondifferential function in presence of splitting*, *J. Differ. Equations*, **226** (2006), 704–725.
17. A. M. Mao, S. X. Luan, *Periodic solutions of an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **201** (2008), 800–804.
18. A. M. Mao, M. Xue, *Positive solutions of singular boundary value problems*, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **44** (2001), 899–908.
19. A. M. Mao, Y. Chen, *Existence and Concentration of Solutions For Sublinear Schrödinger-Poisson Equations*, *Indian J. Pure Ap. Mat.*, **49** (2018), 339–348.
20. S. A. Marano, D. Motreanu, *Infinitely many critical points of non-differentiable functions and applications to a Neumann-type problem involving the p -Laplacian*, *J. Differ. Equations*, **182** (2002), 108–120.
21. S. A. Marano, D. Motreanu, *A deformation theorem and some critical point results for non-differentiable functions*, *Topol. Method. Nonl. An.*, **22** (2003), 139–158.
22. D. Motreanu, P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Minimax Theorems and Qualitative properties of the solutions of the Hemivariational Inequalities*, 1999.
23. D. Motreanu, V. Radulescu, *Variational and Non-variational Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.
24. P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Hemivariational Inequalities: Applications in Mechanics and Engineering*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
25. A. Szulkin, *Minimax principle for lower semicontinuous functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, *Ann. I. H. Poincare-An.*, **3** (1986), 77–109.
26. J. Wang, T. An, F. Zhang, *Positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N* , *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A*, **145** (2015), 411–444.
27. Y. Wu, T. An, *Existence of periodic solutions for non-autonomous second-order Hamiltonian systems*, *Electron. J. Differ. Eq.*, **2013** (2013), 1–13.



AIMS Press

©2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)