

AIMS Mathematics, 5(5): 4444–4452. DOI:10.3934/math.2020284 Received: 03 December 2019 Accepted: 07 May 2020 Published: 13 May 2020

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Some novel fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces

Vishal Gupta^{1,*}, Gerald Jungck² and Naveen Mani³

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar, Deemed to be University, Mullana, Haryana, India
- ² Department of Mathematics, Bradley University, Peoria- 61625, Illinois, United States
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Sandip University, Nashik, Maharashtra, India
- * Correspondence: Email: vishal.gmn@gmail.com, vgupta@mmumullana.org.

Abstract: Our aim in this communication is to present a new type of contraction and common fixed point results for non-continuous self mappings without using the compatibility and commutative property. Moreover, we have found common fixed point without using the Cauchy's criterion for convergence. Some illustrative examples with graphical representation and remarks are given to justify that the contraction introduced in the main result is new and unique, which will be useful for future researches.

Keywords: fixed point; continuity; compatibility; contraction; partially ordered metric spaces **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46TXX, 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction

In past decades, the progress of fixed point theory in metric spaces is highly active branch of research in nonlinear analysis. Current evolution in fixed point theory is bucked up by its utility in proving existence of solution of differential and integral equations (refer to [1-6]).

One of the most famous problem in nonlinear analysis is the Banach fixed point theorem [7], this introduced a number of interesting ideas for other researchers to study on contractions, some of them are [8–10]. One of the famous extension of the Banach's result was given by Dass and Gupta [11], where they generalized the Banach contraction as rational expression.

Theorem 1.1. [11] Let (E, d) be a metric space and J be a self-maps of E such that

$$d(Jf, Jg) \le \frac{hd(g, Jg)[1 + d(f, Jf)]}{[1 + d(f, g)]} + md(f, g),$$

for all $f, g \in E$, h, m > 0 with h + m < 1, and for some $f_0 \in E$, the sequence of iterates $J^i(f_0)$ has a sub-sequence $J^{i_k}(f_0)$ with $z = \lim_{k \to \infty} J^{i_k}(f_0)$.

Then J has a unique fixed point $z \in E$.

On the line of previous results, Pachpatte [12], Ciric [13] and Fisher [14] proposed a problem with rational contraction and derived some results. Jungck [15] articulated idea of commuting maps in mid 70's. The intent of this introduction was to present commuting mappings as a tool to extend, unify and generalize many widely known results of literature. He generalized the most famous Banach's result for two self maps. This foundation by Jungck [15] gave a new source of distinguished thoughts to researchers to think, observe and discuss the various fixed point problems with new approach. In early 80's, Sessa [16] leaded this approach and defined the concept of weak commutativity in metric spaces. Further in mid 80's, Jungck [17] generalized this idea and led the foundation of weakly compatible mappings and compatible mappings. Result of Jungck [17] was further unified by Gupta and Mani [18] through rational expression.

Now a days, trends are rapidly increasing to weaken the contraction. Partially ordered metric space plays an important role to fulfill the requirement of weaker contraction. The roll of partially ordered space significantly increased in last 20 years because of its important features. In most of the previously derived results continuity of mappings plays a crucial role in obtaining fixed point. To derive a fixed point problem in such spaces, continuity condition of map(s) is no longer required; however, results can be derived by assuming that map should be non-decreasing or weakly increasing or may be any other assumptions. Sufficient work already had been done in partially ordered metric spaces. Some of the related results on this emerging theory, we refer to [19–22]. Ran and Reurings [23] were the first who unified the existence of fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces. Nieto and Lopez [24] further refined and extended above results with the help of non-decreasing functions and then proved some fixed point results in such spaces. These studies further gave other aspects of weak contractions to researchers, in particular, problems related to partially ordered metric spaces. Some of widely known results of this theory can be found in ([25–27]).

Definition 1.1. [24] Suppose E is a non-empty set and \leq is a partially ordered relation on set E. Then a map $J : E \rightarrow E$ is said to be non-decreasing if for each $f, g \in E, f \leq g$ implies $J(f) \leq J(g)$.

In 2010, Altun et al. [28] contributed in this field by defining notion of weakly increasing mappings. In addition, by using implicit relations, they derived some results (both for weakly increasing and non-decreasing operators) in a partially ordered metric space. This foundation of Altun et al. [28] is of considerable interest for others related to these areas. Some more results on this theory are referred to [29–31] and the references there in.

Definition 1.2. [28] Suppose E is a non-empty set, \leq is a partially ordered relation on set E and let $J, K : E \rightarrow E$. If for each $f \in E$, $Jf \leq KJf$ and $Kf \leq JKf$, then the map J and K are weakly increasing.

Example 1.1. [28] Let E = [0, 1] be endowed with usual ordering. Let $J, K : E \to E$ defined by

$$J(f) = f \quad and \quad K(f) = \sqrt{f}. \tag{1.1}$$

Then clearly J and K are weakly increasing mappings, but not non-decreasing.

AIMS Mathematics

Example 1.2. [28] Let $E = [1, \infty)$ be endowed with usual ordering. Let $J, K : E \to E$ defined by

$$J(f) = 2f$$
 and $K(f) = f^2$. (1.2)

Then J and K are weakly increasing mappings.

Remark 1.1. Clearly, weakly increasing mappings doesn't implies that it is non-decreasing.

2. Main results

This section will be devoted to prove some fixed point results for non-continuous self-maps without using the compatibility and commutative property for new type of contraction (2.1). Before stating main theorem, lets recall a definition, that will be quite useful in proving our main result.

Definition 2.1. [10] A function π : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is known as altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) π is continuous and non-decreasing,
- (*ii*) $\pi(t) = 0$ *if and only if* t = 0.

Theorem 2.1. Let (E, d) be a partially ordered metric space and let $J, K : E \to E$ be weakly increasing mappings. Suppose that for every comparable pair $(f, g) \in E$, we have

$$\pi(d(Jf, Kg)) \le \theta \Big(d(g, Kg) \frac{[1 + d(f, Jf)]}{[1 + d(f, g)]} \Big)$$
(2.1)

where π is an altering distance function and $\theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that

$$0 < \theta(s) < \pi(s), \quad \forall \quad s > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Then J and K have a common fixed point.

Proof. Set initial approximation $f_0 \in E$ as an any arbitrary point. Define $Jf_0 = f_1$ and $Kf_1 = f_2$. In general, construct sequences $\{f_i\}, \{g_i\} \in E$ such that

$$f_{2i+1} = Jf_{2i} = g_{2i}, \quad f_{2i+2} = Kf_{2i+1} = g_{2i+1}, \quad \forall \ i \in N.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Since J and K are weakly increasing function

$$g_0 = f_1 = Jf_0 \leq Kf_1 = g_1 = f_2 \cdots$$

Continuing this process, we obtain

$$g_0 \leq g_1 \leq g_2 \cdots \leq g_{2i} \leq g_{2i+1} \leq \cdots$$

Assume that

$$g_{2i} = g_{2i+1} \text{ for no } i \in N.$$
 (2.4)

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 5, Issue 5, 4444-4452.

Since f_{2i} and f_{2i+1} are comparable, we can use (2.1) and (2.2) to write

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1})) &= \pi(d(Jf_{2i}, Kf_{2i+1})) \\ &\leq \theta\Big(d(f_{2i+1}, Kf_{2i+1}) \frac{[1 + d(f_{2i}, Jf_{2i})]}{[1 + d(f_{2i}, f_{2i+1})]}\Big) \\ &\leq \theta\Big(d(f_{2i+1}, f_{2i+2}) \frac{[1 + d(f_{2i}, f_{2i+1})]}{[1 + d(f_{2i}, f_{2i+1})]}\Big) \\ &\leq \theta(d(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1})) \\ &< \pi(d(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1})). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus our assertion in (2.4) is false. Therefore, $g_{2i} = g_{2i+1}$ for some $i \in N$, let us say i = k. That is, for some i = k, we have $g_{2k} = g_{2k+1}$ and $l = f_{2k+1}$, this implies by referring (2.3) that Kl = l. Further, we assert that for any $l \in E$ such that Kl = l implies that Jl = l. To get this, set f = g = l in (2.1), we obtain

$$\pi(d(Jl, l)) = \pi(d(Jl, Kl)) \le \theta(d(l, Kl) \frac{[1 + d(l, Jl)]}{[1 + d(l, l)]})$$
$$\le \theta(d(l, l) \frac{[1 + d(l, Jl)]}{[1 + d(l, l)]}) = \theta(0).$$

But (2.2) and the continuity of π and θ imply that $\theta(0) = 0$ since $\pi(0) = 0$, we thus obtain Jl = l. Therefore, we get Jl = Kl = l. This proves that common fixed point of J and K is l.

Remark 2.1. It should be noted from (2.1) that if p is a fixed point of K, then Jl = p for all l comparable with p.

Assumptions we have made in Theorem 2.1 are not sufficient to prove the uniqueness of the fixed point. Let us define a sufficient condition to overcome this problem:

For each
$$f, g \in E$$
, there exists $z \in E$ which is comparable to f and g . (2.5)

Theorem 2.2. Let (E, d) be a partially ordered metric space and let $J, K : E \to E$ be weakly increasing mappings. Suppose that for every comparable pair $(f, g) \in E$ the following condition is satisfying:

$$\pi(d(Jf, Kg)) \le \theta \Big(d(g, Kg) \frac{[1 + d(f, Jf)]}{[1 + d(f, g)]} \Big)$$

where π is an altering distance function and $\theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that

$$0 < \theta(s) < \pi(s), \quad \forall \quad s > 0.$$

Also, for each $f, g \in E$ there exists $z \in E$ which is comparable to f and g.

Then both maps J and K preserve a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Existence of common fixed point follows from Theorem 2.1. Lets prove the uniqueness of common fixed point. Suppose on contrary that $l \neq p$ are two common fixed points of J and K such that Jl = Kl = l and Jp = Kp = p. Now we will discuss two different cases.

AIMS Mathematics

Case 1. If *l* is comparable to *p*, then Jl = l is comparable to p = Kp. Also from above Remark 2.1, Jl = p.

Hence, from (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d(l,p)) &= \pi(d(Jl,Kp)) \\ &\leq \theta \Big(d(p,Kp) \frac{[1+d(l,Jl)]}{[1+d(l,p)]} \Big) \\ &= \theta \Big(d(p,p) \frac{[1+d(l,p)]}{[1+d(l,p)]} \Big) \\ &= \theta(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore d(l, p) = 0, this is a contradiction to our assumption, thus l = p.

Case 2. Secondly, suppose that *l* is not comparable to *p*. Then there exists $q \in X$ such that *q* is comparable to *l* and also comparable to *p*. This implies that Kq = q is comparable to l = Kl and also comparable to Jp = p. Therefore, from (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d(p, Kq)) &= \pi(d(Jp, Kq)) \\ &\leq \theta \Big(d(q, Kq) \frac{[1 + d(p, Jp)]}{[1 + d(p, q)]} \Big) \\ &= \theta \Big(d(q, q) \frac{[1 + d(p, p)]}{[1 + d(p, q)]} \Big) \\ &= \theta(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus d(p, Kq) = 0, *i.e.*, Kq = p. Analogously, we can show that d(l, Kq) = 0, *i.e.*, Kq = l.

Since the function *K* is well defined, we have l = p. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3. Examples and remark

In this section, we give some examples in support of our findings and one remark which signifies the importance of our result.

Example 3.1. Let E = (0, 1] and metric d is usual metric. We define partial order \leq in E as $f \leq g$ if and only if $g \leq f$ for all $f, g \in E$. Define selfmaps $J, K : E \to E$ such that

$$Jf = f$$
 and $Kf = \sqrt{f}, \forall f \in E$.

Clearly, the maps J and K are weakly increasing. Define an altering distance function $\pi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *and a function* $\theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *by*

$$\pi(s) = s^{10};$$
 $\theta(s) = \log[1 + s], \text{ for all } s > 0.$

It is clear that θ is a continuous function and satisfying the condition $0 < \theta(s) < \pi(s)$. Thus all the assumptions made in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied (see Figure 1). Also $1 \in E$ is the only one common fixed point of J and K (see Figure 2).

AIMS Mathematics



Figure 1. Graph showing the common fixed point of J(f) and K(f) as defined in Example 3.1.



Figure 2. Plot of inequality (2.1) for Example 3.1 with $(x, y) \in (0, 1]$ and $y \le x$.

Example 3.2. Let $E = \{0, 0.5, 1\}$ and metric *d* is usual metric. We define partial order \leq in *E* as $f \leq g$ if and only if $g \leq f$ for all $f, g \in E$. Define selfmaps $J, K : E \to E$ such that

$$J(f) = 0.5;$$
 $K(f) = 1 - f, \forall f \in E.$

Also, we assume that function π and θ is defined as:

$$\pi(s) = s^3;$$
 $\theta(s) = \log[1 + s^2].$

Clearly, maps J and K are weakly increasing. Also, for all $s \in E$, $0 < \theta(s) < \pi(s)$. Thus all the assumptions made in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied (see Figure 3). Also $0.5 \in E$ is the only one common fixed point of J and K (see Figure 4).

Remark 3.1. Suppose, if we take K = J, in (2.1), we get

$$\pi(d(Jf, Jg)) \le \theta \Big(d(g, Jg) \frac{[1 + d(f, Jf)]}{[1 + d(f, g)]} \Big).$$
(3.1)

Then here we are leaving this problem for future research, to get fixed point without completeness of space and without continuity of map J.

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 5, Issue 5, 4444-4452.



Figure 3. Graph showing the common fixed point of J(f) and K(f) as defined in Example 3.2.



Figure 4. Plot of inequality (2.1) for Example 3.2 with $(x, y) \in E$ and $y \leq x$.

4. Conclusion

We conclude this note by mentioning that the contraction in Theorem 2.1 is specially constructed for two self maps to get common fixed point. Moreover, on adding condition (2.5) in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, we get uniqueness of fixed point. Example 3.1 and Example 3.2 notify that the results proved in Theorem 2.1, 2.2 are much weaker from other existing results.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the editors and referees for their valuable guidance and support in improving the quality of this paper. No funding is available to publish this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. P. Borisut, K. Khammahawong, P. Kumam, *Fixed point theory approach to existence of solutions with differential equations*, In: *Differential Equations: Theory and Current Research*, Intech Open, London, UK, 2018.
- 2. P. Borisut, P. Kumam, V. Gupta, et al. *Generalized* (ψ, α, β)-weak contractions for initial value problems, Mathematics, **7** (2019), 1–14.
- 3. L. A. Alnaser, J. Ahmad, D. Lateef, et al. *New fixed point theorems with applications to non-linear neutral differential equations*, Symmetry, **11** (2019), 1–11.
- 4. N. Mani, Generalized C^{ψ}_{β} -rational contraction and fixed point theorem with application to second order differential equation, Math. Morav., **22** (2018), 43–54.
- 5. E. Karapinar, T. Abdeljawad, F. Jarad, *Applying new fixed point theorems on fractional and ordinary differential equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2019** (2019), 1–25.
- V. Gupta, N. Mani, N. Sharma, *Fixed-point theorems for weak* (ψ,β)-mappings satisfying generalized C-condition and its application to boundary value problem, Comput. Math. Method., 1 (2019), 1–12.
- 7. S. Banach, *Sur les oprations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales*, Fund. Math., **3** (1922), 133–181.
- 8. V. Gupta, N. Mani, A. K. Tripathi, *A fixed point theorem satisfying a generalized weak contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **6** (2012), 1883–1889.
- 9. V. gupta, N. Mani, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point for contractive mapping of integral type*, Int. J. Comput. Sci. Math., **4** (2013), 72–83.
- 10. M. S. Khan, M. Swalesh, S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, B. Aust. Math. Soc., **30** (1984), 1–9.
- B. K. Dass, S. Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12 (1975), 1455–1458.
- B. G. Pachpatte, *Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying rational inequalities*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (1979), 1362–1368.
- 13. L. B. Ciric, A certain class of maps and fixed point theorems, Publ. Inst. Math., 20 (1976), 73-77.
- 14. B. Fisher, *Common fixed point and constant mapping satisfying rational inequality*, Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ., **5** (1977), 319–326.
- 15. G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Am. Math. Mon., 83 (1976), 261–263.
- S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, Publ. I. Math., 32 (1982), 149–153.
- 17. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 771–779.
- 18. V. Gupta, N. Mani Common fixed point for two self-maps satisfying a generalized $\psi \int_{\varphi}$ -weakly contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci., **16** (2013), 64–71.

- 19. A. A. Harandi, H. Emami, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 2238–2242.
- M. E. Gordji, H. Baghani, G. H. Kim, A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc., 2012 (2012), 1–8.
- 21. J. J. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-lopez, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Acta. Math. Sinica., **23** (2007), 2205–2212.
- J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, R. Rodriguez-lopez, *Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces*, P. Am. Math. Soc., 135 (2007), 2505–2517.
- 23. A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, P. Am. Math. Soc., **132** (2004), 1435–1443.
- 24. J. J. Nieto, R. Rodriguez-lopez, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Order, **22** (2008), 223–239.
- 25. L. B. Ciric, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic, et al. *Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory A., **2008** (2008), 1–11.
- H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenovic, Common fixed point theorems for weakly isotone increasing mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, Math. Comput. Model., 57 (2013), 2355– 2365.
- 27. R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. O. Regan, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Anal., **87** (2008), 109–116.
- I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application, Fixed Point Theory A., 2010 (2010), 1–17.
- 29. H. K. Nashine, B. Samet, *Fixed point result mappings satisfying* (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 2201–2209.
- V. Gupta, N. M. Ramandeep, A. K. Tripathi, Some fixed point result involving generalized altering distance function, Procedia Comput. Sci., 79 (2016), 112–117.
- 31. V. Gupta, W. Shatanawi, N. Mani, *Fixed point theorems for (ψ, β) Geraghty contraction type maps in ordered metric spaces and some applications to integral and ordinary differential equations*, J. Fix. Point Theory A., **19** (2017), 1251–1267.



© 2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)