



Research article

Comprehensive subclasses of analytic functions and coefficient bounds

Serap Bulut*

Kocaeli University, Faculty of Aviation and Space Sciences, Arslanbey Campus, 41285
Kartepe-Kocaeli, Turkey

* **Correspondence:** Email: serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr.

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce two general subclasses of analytic functions by means of the principle of subordination and investigate the coefficient bounds for functions in these classes. The well-known results are obtained as a corollary of our main results. Especially, we improve the results of Altıntaş and Kılıç [1].

Keywords: analytic functions; coefficient bounds; subordination

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C80

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the family of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

For analytic functions f and g with $f(0) = g(0)$, f is said to be subordinate to g in \mathbb{D} if there exists an analytic function h on \mathbb{D} such that

$$h(0) = 0, \quad |h(z)| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = g(h(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

We denote the subordination by

$$f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Note that if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then we have

$$f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D}).$$

Let \mathcal{N} be the class consisting of analytic and univalent functions $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is convex with

$$\varphi(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(\varphi(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

By means of functions belong to the class \mathcal{N} and the principle of subordination, we consider following subclasses of analytic function class \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : g \in \mathcal{K}(\psi) \wedge \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{CS}(\varphi, \psi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi) \wedge \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathcal{QK}(\varphi, \psi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : g \in \mathcal{K}(\psi) \wedge \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}; z \in \mathbb{D}) \right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ are introduced by Ma and Minda [2], and the class $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ is introduced by Kim et al. [3]. Since

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi),$$

we also have

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Remark 1. If we choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$$

in (1.2) and (1.3), then we get the classes of Janowski starlike functions and Janowski convex functions

$$\mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*(A, B) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) = \mathcal{K}(A, B),$$

respectively, introduced by Janowski [4].

Remark 2. If we choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$$

in (1.4) and (1.5), then we obtain the classes

$$\mathcal{C}\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right) = \mathcal{CCV}(A, B), \quad \mathcal{CS}\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right) = \mathcal{CST}(A, B)$$

introduced by Reade [5]; and from (1.6), we have the class

$$\mathcal{QK}\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right) = \mathcal{QCVC}(A, B)$$

introduced by Altıntaş and Kılıç [1].

Remark 3. If we choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

in (1.4), then we obtain the class of close-to-convex functions of order α and type β ,

$$C\left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}\right) = C(\alpha, \beta),$$

introduced by Libera [6].

Remark 4. If we choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} = \psi(z)$$

in (1.2) – (1.4), then we get the familiar class \mathcal{S}^* consists of starlike functions in \mathbb{D} , \mathcal{K} consists of convex functions in \mathbb{D} and \mathcal{C} consists of close-to-convex function in \mathbb{D} , respectively. Also, from (1.5) and (1.6), we get the class \mathcal{CS} of close-to-starlike functions in \mathbb{D} introduced by Reade [5], and the class \mathcal{Q} of quasi-convex functions in \mathbb{D} introduced by Noor and Thomas [7], respectively.

Throughout this paper

$$0 \leq \delta \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Now we define new comprehensive subclasses of analytic function class \mathcal{A} , as follows:

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ if

$$\frac{f'(z) + (\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta)zf''(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f'''(z)}{g'(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (1.7)$$

where $g \in \mathcal{K}(\psi)$.

Definition 2. a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ if

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \delta)f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)zf'(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f''(z)}{g(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (1.8)$$

where $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$.

Remark 5. If we set $\delta = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Definition 1 and Definition 2, then we have the classes

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,0}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{QK}(\varphi, \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{1,0}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi).$$

Also when $\delta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, we get the classes

$$\mathcal{K}_{0,0}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{0,0}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{CS}(\varphi, \psi).$$

Remark 6. If we set $\delta = 0$ and

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$$

in Definition 1 and Definition 2, then we obtain the classes $\mathcal{Q}_{CV}(\lambda, A, B)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{ST}(\lambda, A, B)$, respectively, introduced very recently by Altıntaş and Kılıç [1]. These classes consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\frac{f'(z) + \lambda z f''(z)}{g'(z)} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (g \in \mathcal{K}, z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z)}{g(z)} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (g \in \mathcal{S}^*, z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

respectively.

Altıntaş and Kılıç [1] obtained following coefficient bounds for functions belong to the classes $\mathcal{Q}_{CV}(\lambda, A, B)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{ST}(\lambda, A, B)$, as follows:

Theorem 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{CV}(\lambda, A, B)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{1 + (n-1)\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{1-B} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Theorem 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{ST}(\lambda, A, B)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{n}{1 + (n-1)\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{1-B} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

In this work, we obtain coefficient bounds for functions in the comprehensive subclasses $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ of analytic functions. Our results improve the results of Altıntaş and Kılıç [1] (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2).

2. Main results

Lemma 1. [8] *Let the function Φ given by*

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

be convex in \mathbb{D} . Also let the function Ψ given by

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

be holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . If

$$\Psi(z) < \Phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then

$$|B_n| \leq |A_1| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 2. [9] *Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(\psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{n!} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Lemma 3. [9] Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-1)!} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + (n-1)(\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta) + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda\delta] |a_n| \\ & \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{n!} + \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-k-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-k-1)!} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ be defined by (1.1). Therefore, by Definition 1, there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{M} \quad (2.2)$$

so that

$$\frac{f'(z) + (\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta)zf''(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f'''(z)}{g'(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that by (2.2) and Lemma 2, we have

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{n!} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (2.4)$$

Let us define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{f'(z) + (\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta)zf''(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f'''(z)}{g'(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.5)$$

Then according to (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$p(z) < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{p^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \right| = |c_m| \leq |\varphi'(0)| \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.8)$$

Also from (2.5), we find

$$f'(z) + (\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta)zf''(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f'''(z) = p(z)g'(z). \quad (2.9)$$

Since $a_1 = b_1 = 1$, in view of (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n[1 + (n-1)(\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta) + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda\delta]a_n - nb_n \\ &= c_{n-1} + 2c_{n-2}b_2 + \cdots + (n-1)c_1b_{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)c_k b_{n-k} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Now we get the desired result given in (2.1) by using (2.4), (2.7) and (2.10). \square

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + (n-1)(\lambda - \delta + 2\lambda\delta) + (n-1)(n-2)\lambda\delta] |a_n| \\ & \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-1)!} + |\varphi'(0)| \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-k-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-k-1)!} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Let the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,\delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ be defined by (1.1). Therefore, by Definition 2, there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{M} \quad (2.12)$$

so that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \delta)f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)zf'(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f''(z)}{g(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.13)$$

Note that by (2.12) and Lemma 3, we have

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-1)!} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (2.14)$$

Let us define the function $q(z)$ by

$$q(z) = \frac{(1 - \lambda + \delta)f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)zf'(z) + \lambda\delta z^2 f''(z)}{g(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.15)$$

Then according to (2.13) and (2.15), we get

$$q(z) < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.16)$$

Hence, using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{q^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \right| = |d_m| \leq |\varphi'(0)| \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$q(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.18)$$

Also from (2.15), we find

$$(1 - \lambda + \delta) f(z) + (\lambda - \delta) z f'(z) + \lambda \delta z^2 f''(z) = q(z)g(z). \quad (2.19)$$

Since $a_1 = b_1 = 1$, in view of (2.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 - \lambda + \delta + n(\lambda - \delta) + n(n-1)\lambda\delta] a_n - b_n \\ &= c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}b_2 + \cdots + c_1b_{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k b_{n-k} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Now we get the desired result given in (2.11) by using (2.14), (2.17) and (2.20). \square

3. Corollaries and consequences

Letting $\delta = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we obtain the following consequences, respectively.

Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{QK}(\varphi, \psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{n^2 (n-1)!} + \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{n^2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-k-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-k-1)!} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Corollary 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{n!} + \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-k-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-k-1)!} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Letting $\delta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{CS}(\varphi, \psi)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-1)!} + |\varphi'(0)| \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-k-2} (j + |\psi'(0)|)}{(n-k-1)!} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

If we choose

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

in Corollary 2, then we get following consequence.

Corollary 4. [6] Let $f \in C(\alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$) and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(3-2\beta)(4-2\beta)\cdots(n-2\beta)}{n!} [n(1-\alpha) + (\alpha-\beta)] \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Letting

$$\delta = 0, \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1), \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$$

in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we obtain the following consequences, respectively.

Corollary 5. Let $f \in Q_{CV}(\lambda, A, B)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{1 + (n-1)\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{2} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Corollary 6. Let $f \in Q_{ST}(\lambda, A, B)$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{n}{1 + (n-1)\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{2} \right) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Remark 7. It is clear that

$$1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{2} \leq 1 + \frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{1-B} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

which would obviously yield significant improvements of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Letting

$$\lambda = 0, \quad A = 1, \quad B = -1$$

in Corollary 5 and Corollary 6, we have following consequences, respectively.

Corollary 7. [5] Let $f \in C$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq n \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Corollary 8. [5] Let $f \in CS$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq n^2 \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Letting

$$\lambda = 1, \quad A = 1, \quad B = -1$$

in Corollary 5, we have following consequence.

Corollary 9. [10] Let $f \in Q$ and be of the form (1.1), then

$$|a_n| \leq 1 \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduce two comprehensive subclasses $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda, \delta}(\varphi, \psi)$ of analytic functions by means of the principle of subordination, and obtain the coefficient bounds for functions in these classes. The well-known results are obtained as a corollary of our main results.

Conflict of interest

Author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

1. O. Altıntaş, Ö. Ö Kılıç, *Coefficient estimates for a class containing quasi-convex functions*, Turk. J. Math., **42** (2018), 2819–2825.
2. W. Ma, D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*. In: *Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis*, Cambridge, MA, (1992), 157–169.
3. Y. C. Kim, J. H. Choi, T. Sugawa, *Coefficient bounds and convolution properties for certain classes of close-to-convex functions*, P. Jpn. Acad. A-Math., **76** (2000), 95–98.
4. W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I*, Ann. Pol. Math., **28** (1973), 297–326.
5. M. O. Reade, *On close-to-convex univalent functions*, Mich. Math. J., **3** (1955), 59–62.
6. R. J. Libera, *Some radius of convexity problems*, Duke Math. J., **31** (1964), 143–158.
7. K. I. Noor, D. K. Thomas, *Quasi-convex univalent functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **3** (1980), 255–266.
8. W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, P. Lon. Math. Soc., **48** (1943), 48–82.
9. Q. Xu, Y. Gui, H. M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order*, Taiwan. J. Math., **15** (2011), 2377–2386.
10. K. I. Noor, *On quasi-convex functions and related topics*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **10** (1987), 241–258.



AIMS Press

©2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)