

AIMS Mathematics, 5(2): 1199–1215. DOI: 10.3934/math.2020083 Received: 08 October 2019 Accepted: 05 January 2020 Published: 17 January 2020

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Solitary wave solutions of few nonlinear evolution equations

A. K. M. Kazi Sazzad Hossain^{1,*} and M. Ali Akbar²

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Begum Rokeya University, Rangpur, Bangladesh
- ² Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
- * Correspondence: Email: kazi_bru@yahoo.com.

Abstract: The solitary wave solutions of nonlinear evolution equations, in the recent years is being attractive in the field of physical sciences and engineering. In this article, we have investigated further general solitary wave solutions of three important nonlinear evolution equations, via the simplified MCH equation, the Pochhammer-Chree equation and the Schrödinger-Hirota equation by using modified simple equation method. These equations play an important role in the study of nonlinear sciences. The obtained solutions are expressed in terms of exponential and trigonometric functions including kink, singular kink and periodic soliton solutions. It is shown that the obtained solutions are more general and fresh and can be helpful to analyze the intricate physical incident in mathematical physics.

Keywords: modified simple equation method; simplified MCH equation; Pochhammer-Chree equation; Schrödinger-Hirota equation; solitary wave solutions **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35C07, 35C08, 35K05, 35P99

1. Introduction

Now it is well recognized that nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) and its solutions are the most embracing way to describe the physical significance of nonlinear phenomena appearing in the field of science and engineering. In particular, the soliton solutions are most remarkable in the study of the nonlinear physical sciences, as for instance the wave phenomena are observed in fluid mechanics, optical fibers, biophysics, high-energy physics, chemical kinematics etc. But, the nonlinear processes are one of the basic challenges and not easy to control, because the nonlinear characteristic of the system sharply changes due to small changes of valid parameters including time. Thus the issue becomes more intricate and hence ultimate solution is needed. Therefore, searching

solitary wave solutions to NLEEs is becoming increasingly attractive field in nonlinear sciences day by day. There are lot of NLEEs that can be solved using different mathematical methods. For these physical problems, soliton solutions, compactons, singular solitons and the other solutions have been originated. However, not all equations posed of these models are solvable. Thus, the methods for deriving exact solutions for the governing equations have to be developed. As a result, significant improvements have been made for searching solitary wave solutions to NLEEs and many effective and powerful methods have been established to examine the NLEEs, such as the nonlinear transformation method [1], the Hirota's bilinear transformation method [2,3], the first integral method [4], the sine-cosine method [5,6], the Jacobi-elliptic function expansion method [7,8], the functional variable method [9], the Adomian decomposition method [10], the modified Exp-function method [11], the generalized Riccati equation method [12], the Exp-function method [13,14], the bifurcation theory [15], the exp($-\Phi(\eta)$)-expansion method [16,17], the extended direct algebraic method [18–20], the (G'/G)-expansion method and its different variant [21–28], the variational method [29–31], the generalized Kudryashov method [32], the ansatz method [33–38], the modified simple equation (MSE) [39–42] method, the modified extended direct algebraic method [43,44], the modified extended auxiliary equation method [45], the modified auxiliary equation method [46,47], the generalized exponential rational function method [48], the bilinear forms [49], the generalized unified method [50,51], the extended unified method [52,53] etc. The modified simple equation method is a recently developed method and getting popularity in use because of its straight forward calculation procedure. The objective of this article is to contrivance the modified simple equation method to construct solitary wave solutions to the simplified modified Camassa-Holm (MCH) equation, the Pochhammer-Chree (PC) equation and the Schrödinger-Hirota equation. The rest of the article is organized as follows: In section 2, we summarize the description of the method. In section 3, the MSE method is applied to extract exact soliton solutions to the NLEEs stated earlier. In section 4, explanation and physical interpretation of the solutions are presented and in section 5, we have drawn our conclusions.

2. The modified simple equation (MSE) method

To describe the MSE, let us consider a nonlinear evolution equation in two independent variables x and t in the form:

$$\mathcal{F}\left(u, u_{t}, u_{x}, u_{tt}, u_{xx}, u_{xt}, \dots\right) = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where u = u(x, t) is an unknown function and \mathcal{F} is a polynomial of u(x, t) and its partial derivatives wherein the highest order derivatives and nonlinear terms are involved and the subscripts are used for partial derivatives. The essential steps of this method are presented as the following:

Step 1: Initiating a compound variable ξ , we combine the real variables x and t:

$$u(x, y, t) = u(\xi), \quad \xi = k x + l y \pm c t, \tag{2.2}$$

where c is the speed of the traveling wave.

The traveling wave transformations (2.2) allow us in reducing Eq. (2.1) into an ODE for $u = u(\xi)$ in the form:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{u}, u', u'', u'''...) = 0,$$
 (2.3)

where \mathcal{R} is a polynomial in $u(\xi)$ and its derivatives with respect to ξ .

Step 2: Affording the MSE method, it is assume that the solution of (2.3) can be expressed in the subsequent form:

$$u(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i \left(\frac{\psi'(\xi)}{\psi(\xi)}\right)^i,$$
(2.4)

where $a_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., N)$ are arbitrary constants to be determined such that $a_N \neq 0$ and $\psi(\xi)$ is an unknown function to be evaluated later, such that $\psi'(\xi) \neq 0$. The attribute and uniqueness of this method is that, it is not possible to assumption in advance what kind of solutions one may obtain through this method. Thus, it might be possible to achieve some fresh solution by this method.

Step 3: The positive integer N arises in (2.4) can be found by the balancing principle of the highest order of linear and nonlinear terms appearing in (2.3).

Step 4: Compute the necessary derivatives u', u'', ... and insert Eq. (2.4) into (2.3) and then we account the function $\psi(\xi)$. The above procedure yields a polynomial in $(1/\psi(\xi))$. Equating the coefficients of same power of this polynomial to zero delivers a system of algebraic and differential equations that can be solved to get $a_i(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., N)$ and $\psi(\xi)$. This completes the determination of solutions of Eq. (2.1).

3. Determination of the solutions

In this section, we will investigate the solitary wave solutions leading to the simplified MCH equation, the PC equation and the Schrödinger-Hirota equation using the MSE method.

3.1. The simplified MCH equation

In this subsection, the MSE method has been put in use to examine the closed form soliton solutions and then the solitary wave solution to the simplified MCH equation of the form [19]:

$$u_t + 2\alpha u_x - u_{xxt} + \beta u^2 u_x = 0. \text{ where } \alpha \in \Re, \beta > 0.$$
(3.1)

where α and β are constants. Camassa and Holm derived a completely integrable wave equation namely CH equation for water waves by retaining two terms that are usually neglected in the small amplitude, shallow water limit [54]. Tian and Song [55] has investigated MCH equation and obtained peaked solitary wave solutions. Wazwaz [56] investigated a modified form of the Camassa-Holm equation, which is simplified from of MCH equation and Eq. (3.1) is obtained by considering n = 2is called the simplified MCH equation. More details can be found in references [14,36,54,55].

The traveling wave transformation $u(x,t) = u(\xi)$, $\xi = kx - ct$, converts Eq. (3.1) to the following form

$$-cu' + 2\alpha ku' + ck^2 u''' + \beta ku^2 u' = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

where c is the wave speed.

Integrating (3.2) with respect to ξ once and the setting the constant of integration to zero, we obtain the following result

$$(2\alpha k - c)u + ck^2 u'' + \frac{\beta k}{3}u^3 = 0.$$
(3.3)

Since, solitary waves are localized and they decay as $\xi \to \pm \infty$ and we are probing solitary wave solutions, therefore the boundary conditions must be $u(\xi) \to 0$, $u'(\xi) \to 0$, $u''(\xi) \to 0$, ... etc. as $\xi \to \pm \infty$ and these boundary conditions yield zero constant.

Balancing between the terms u'' and u^3 yield N = 1. Therefore, the solution of Eq. (3.3) becomes

$$u(\xi) = a_0 + a_1 \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\right),\tag{3.4}$$

where a_0 and a_1 are constants, such that $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\psi(\xi)$ is an unknown function to be calculated. Inserting (3.4) and its derivatives into (3.3) yield a polynomial and equating the coefficients of ψ^0 , ψ^{-1} , ψ^{-2} , ψ^{-3} to zero, we achieve the successive algebraic and differential equations

$$\frac{\beta k}{3}a_0^3 + 2\alpha ka_0 - ca_0 = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

$$-ca_1\psi''' + \beta a_0^2 a_1\psi' + 2ka_1\psi' - ca_1\psi' = 0$$
(3.6)

$$-3k^2ca_1\psi'\psi'' + \beta ka_0a_1^2{\psi'}^2 = 0$$
(3.7)

$$2k^2 c a_1 \psi'^3 + \frac{\beta k}{3} a_1^3 \psi'^3 = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

From Eq. (3.5) and Eq. (3.8), we obtain $a_0 = 0, \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}}$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{-6kc}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$, since $a_1 \neq 0$. From Eq. (3.7), it can be deduced that

$$\frac{\psi''}{\psi'} = \frac{\beta a_0 a_1}{3kc} = \theta \tag{3.9}$$

Integrating (3.9) with respect to ξ , yields

$$\psi' = c_1 \mathrm{e}^{\theta\xi} \tag{3.10}$$

and
$$\psi = \frac{c_1 e^{\theta \xi}}{\theta} + c_2,$$
 (3.11)

where c_1 and c_2 are constants of integration and $\theta = \frac{\beta a_0 a_1}{3kc}$.

Case 1: When $a_0 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}}$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{-6kc}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$, solving Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) with (3.10) and (3.11), provides c = c and $\theta = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2(2\alpha k-c)}}{k\sqrt{c}}$. Making use of the values of a_0, a_1 and c in (3.4), we found the subsequent general solution

$$u(\xi) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(1 - \frac{2c_1}{c_1 + c_2 \theta e^{-\theta \xi}} \right), \tag{3.12}$$

where $\xi = (kx - ct)$ and $\theta = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2(2\alpha k - c)}}{k\sqrt{c}}$.

Thus, in (x, t) variables, the general closed form traveling wave solution of the simplified MCH equation is obtained as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(1 - \frac{2c_1}{c_1 + c_2 \theta e^{-(kx-ct)\theta}} \right)$$
(3.13)

The exponential solution (3.13) can be transformed to the closed form hyperbolic function solution as

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(1 - \frac{2c_1\left(\cosh\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right)\right)}{(c_1+c_2\theta)\cosh\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) + (c_1-c_2\theta)\sinh\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right)} \right)$$
(3.14)

Since c_1 and c_2 are integral constants, we may generally pick their values, Therefore, if we put $c_1 = \theta$ and $c_2 = 1$ into solution (3.14), we attain the following kink shape soliton solution to the simplified MCH equation

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(tanh\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$
(3.15)

On the other hand, if we put $c_1 = -\theta$ and $c_2 = 1$ into solution (3.14), we obtain the following closed form singular kink type solution to the simplified MCH equation

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$
(3.16)

Using hyperbolic function identities, solutions (3.15) and (3.16) can be rewritten as

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(itan\left(\frac{i(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$
(3.17)

and
$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3(c-2\alpha k)}}{\sqrt{\beta k}} \left(icot\left(\frac{i(kx-ct)\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$
 (3.18)

Case 2: When $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{-6kc}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$, solving Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) together with (3.10) and (3.11), we achieve $c = 2\alpha k$ and $\theta = 0$. Introducing these values into solution (3.4), we achieve the next rational function solution

$$u(\xi) = \pm \frac{2k\sqrt{3\alpha}}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right),\tag{3.19}$$

where $\xi = (kx - 2\alpha kt)$.

Thus, in (x, t) variables, the general closed form traveling wave solution of the simplified MCH equation is obtained as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{3\alpha}}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{(x-2\alpha t)}$$
(3.20)

3.2. The Pochhammer-Chree equation

In this subsection, we will put in use the method described in section 2 to extract the closed form solutions of the Pochhammer-Chree (PC) equation of the form [27]:

$$u_{tt} - u_{ttxx} - (\alpha u + \beta u^3 + \gamma u^5)_{xx} = 0, \qquad (3.21)$$

where α, β and γ are constants and the equation describes the nonlinear model for longitudinal wave propagation in elastic rods. Li *et al.* [15] and Zhang *et al.* [56] derived some explicit solitary wave solution to the generalized PC equation of the form $u_{tt} - u_{ttxx} - (\alpha u + \beta u^{n+1} + \gamma u^{2n+1})_{xx} = 0$, $n \ge 1$, considering n = 1. In this article, we will study Eq. (3.21) considering n = 2. For details see the references [15,56–57].

The traveling wave transformation $u(x,t) = u(\xi)$, $\xi = x - ct$, where c is the wave speed to be determined latter, converts (3.21) to the ODE in the form

$$c^{2}u'' - c^{2}u^{(iv)} - (\alpha u + \beta u^{3} + \gamma u^{5})'' = 0, \qquad (3.22)$$

here $u^{(iv)}$ stands for the fourth derivative and u'' indicate the second derivative of u with respect to ξ . Eq. (3.22) is integrable, therefore integrating twice and setting constant of integration to zero, we obtain

$$(c^{2} - \alpha)u - c^{2}u'' - \beta u^{3} - \gamma u^{5} = 0.$$
(3.23)

Taking homogeneous balance between u'' and u^5 yields n = 1/2. To establish a closed form analytic solution through an ansatz method n should be an integer. This requires the use of the transformation $u(\xi) = v(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This transformation converts Eq. (3.23) to the following equation:

$$4(c^{2} - \alpha)v^{2} - 2c^{2}vv'' + c^{2}(v')^{2} - 4\beta v^{3} - 4\gamma v^{4} = 0.$$
(3.24)

Balancing vv'' and v^4 gives N = 1. Therefore, the solution structure of Eq. (3.24) is identical to solution (3.4). Substituting solution (3.4) and its derivatives into Eq. (3.24) and completing the analogous process described in subsection 3.1, we achieve the successive algebraic and differential equations,

$$4c^2a_0^2 - 4\beta a_0^3 - 4\alpha a_0^2 - 4\gamma a_0^4 = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

$$(8c^2a_0a_1 - 8\alpha a_0a_1 - 12\beta a_0^2a_1 - 16\gamma a_0^3a_1)\psi' + 2c^2a_0a_1\psi''' = 0$$
(3.26)

$$c^{2}a_{1}^{2}\psi^{\prime\prime^{2}} + 4a_{1}^{2}(c^{2} - \alpha - 3\beta a_{0} - 6\gamma a_{0}^{2})\psi^{\prime^{2}} + 6c^{2}a_{0}a_{1}\psi^{\prime\prime}\psi^{\prime} - 2c^{2}a_{1}^{2}\psi^{\prime}\psi^{\prime\prime\prime} = 0$$
(3.27)

$$4c^{2}a_{1}^{2}\psi'^{2}\psi'' - (4c^{2}a_{0}a_{1} + 4\beta a_{1}^{3} + 16\gamma a_{0}a_{1}^{3})\psi'^{3} = 0$$
(3.28)

$$-4\gamma a_1^4 {\psi'}^4 - 3c^2 a_1^2 {\psi'}^4 = 0 \tag{3.29}$$

From Eqs. (3.25) and (3.29), we achieve $a_0 = 0$, $\frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\gamma(c^2 - \alpha)}}{2\gamma}$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{c\sqrt{-3}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}$, since $a_1 \neq 0$. From Eq. (3.26) it can be figure out that

$$\frac{\psi^{\prime\prime}}{\psi^{\prime}} = \lambda \tag{3.30}$$

(3.32)

Integrating (3.30) with respect to ξ , yields

$$\psi' = c_1 \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \xi},\tag{3.31}$$

and $\psi = \frac{c_1 e^{\lambda \xi}}{\lambda} + c_2$,

where $\lambda = \frac{a_0 c^2 + a_1^2 (\beta + 4\gamma a_0)}{a_1 c^2}$ and c_1, c_2 are integral constants.

Case 1: When $a_0 = \frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\gamma(c^2 - \alpha)}}{2\gamma}$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{c\sqrt{-3}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}$, solving Eqs. (3.26) and (3.27) with (3.31) and (3.32), we achieve $c = \pm \frac{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$. Embedding the values of a_0, a_1 and c into (3.4) provides

$$\nu(\xi) = \frac{3\beta}{4\gamma} \left(-1 + \frac{c_1}{c_1 + c_2\lambda e^{-\lambda\xi}} \right), \tag{3.33}$$

where $\xi = x - ct$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$.

Thus, in (x, t) variables, the general solitary wave solution of the PC equation is obtained as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{4\gamma}} \left(-1 + \frac{c_1}{c_1 + c_2 \lambda e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.34)

Simplifying the exponential solution to the hyperbolic function, the solitary wave solution of the Eq. (3.34) turns into

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{4\gamma}} \left(-1 + \frac{c_1\left(\cosh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)}{\left((c_1+c_2\lambda)\cosh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) + (c_1-c_2\lambda)\sinh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.35)

Since c_1 and c_2 are integral constants, we might spontaneously choose their values, Therefore, if we choose $c_1 = \lambda$ and $c_2 = 1$, from solution (3.35) we attain the following closed form solution to the PC equation:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(-1 + tanh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.36)

Setting $c_1 = -\lambda$ and $c_2 = 1$ into solution (3.35), we arrive at the following solitary wave solution to the PC equation:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(-1 + \coth\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.37)

Using hyperbolic function identities, solutions (3.36) and (3.37) can be rewritten as

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(-1 - itan\left(\frac{i(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3.38)

and
$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(-1 + icot \left(\frac{i(x-ct)\lambda}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (3.39)

where $c = \pm \frac{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$.

Case 2: When $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = \pm \frac{c\sqrt{-3}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}$, solving Eq. (3.26) and (3.27) with (3.31) and (3.32), we achieve $c = \pm \frac{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$. Replace the values of a_0, a_1, c and λ into solution (3.4) provides

$$\nu(\xi) = -\frac{3\beta}{4\gamma} \left(\frac{c_1 e^{\lambda\xi}}{c_1 e^{\lambda\xi} + c_2 \lambda} \right), \tag{3.40}$$

where $\xi = x - ct$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$.

In (x, t) variables, the general solitary wave solution to the PC equation becomes:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{4\gamma}} \left(\frac{c_1 e^{(x-ct)\lambda}}{c_1 e^{(x-ct)\lambda} + c_2\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.41)

Changing the exponential solution into the hyperbolic function solution, the solitary wave solution of the Eq. (3.41) turns into

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{4\gamma}} \left(\frac{c_1\left(\cosh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)}{\left(\left(c_1+c_2\lambda\right)\cosh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right) + (c_1-c_2\lambda)\sinh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.42)

Inasmuch as c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants, we may arbitrarily select their values, Therefore, if we select $c_1 = \lambda$ and $c_2 = 1$, solution (3.42) to the PC equation turns into the stable kink type solution as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(1 + tanh\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(3.43)

Setting $c_1 = -\lambda$ and $c_2 = -1$ into solution (3.42), we arrive to the following solution to the PC equation:

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(1 + \coth\left(\frac{(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.44)

Using hyperbolic functions identities, solutions (3.43) and (3.44) can be rewritten as

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(1 - itan\left(\frac{i(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{3.45}$$

and
$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{-\frac{3\beta}{16\gamma}} \left(1 + icot\left(\frac{i(x-ct)\lambda}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (3.46)

where $c = \pm \frac{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\beta\sqrt{-3}}{\sqrt{16\gamma\alpha - 3\beta^2}}$.

3.3. The nonlinear Schrödinger-Hirota equation

Let us consider the nonlinear Schrödinger-Hirota Equation [6]:

$$iq_t + \frac{1}{2}q_{xx} + i\lambda q_{xxx} + |q|^2 q = 0.$$
(3.47)

The Eq. (3.47) analyzes the propagation of optical soliton in a dispersive optical fiber. Here q represents the wave profile and λ is the third order dispersion coefficient. The first term represents the evolution, while the second term is the group velocity dispersion and the fourth term is the Kerr law of nonlinearity that arises when the intensity of the light is dependent on the refractive index of the material. The third order dispersion term is taken into account when the group velocity dispersion is small so that there is performance enhancement during pulse propagation across transoceanic and trans-continental distances [37].

The complex transformation $q(x,t) = e^{i(\alpha x + \beta t)}u(\xi)$, $\xi = k(x - 2\alpha t)$, where α, β, k and ω are real constants reduces Eq. (3.47) to an ordinary differential equation of the form:

$$\left(\frac{k^{2}}{2} - 3\alpha\lambda k^{2}\right)u'' - ik(2\alpha - \alpha + 3\lambda\alpha^{2})u' - \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} + \lambda\alpha^{3}\right)u + i\lambda k^{3}u''' + u^{3} = 0 \quad (3.48)$$

From the above Eq. (3.48), we obtain $\alpha = -1/3 \lambda$ and $u(\xi)$ satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{3k^2}{2}u'' - \left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)u + u^3 = 0.$$
(3.49)

Eq. (3.49) can be rewritten as

$$u'' + \mu_1 u^3 - \mu_2 u = 0, (3.50)$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{2}{3k^2}$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{2}{3k^2} \left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)$.

Taking homogeneous balance between linear term u'' and nonlinear term u^3 yields N = 1. Therefore, the solution structure of Eq. (3.50) is same as the solution (3.4). Hence substituting solution (3.4) and its derivatives into Eq. (3.50), and completing the similar procedure described in subsection 3.1, we achieve the successive algebraic and differential equations

$$\mu_1 a_0^3 - \mu_2 a_0 = 0 \tag{3.51}$$

$$a_1\psi''' + 3\mu_1 a_0^2 a_1\psi' - \mu_2 a_1\psi' = 0 \tag{3.52}$$

$$-3a_1\psi'\psi'' + 3\mu_1a_0a_1^2{\psi'}^2 = 0 \tag{3.53}$$

$$2a_1\psi'^3 + \mu_1 a_1^3\psi'^3 = 0 \tag{3.54}$$

Volume 5, Issue 2, 1199–1215.

1207

From Eq. (3.51) and Eq. (3.54), we obtain $a_0 = 0, \pm \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}}$ and $a_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2}{\mu_1}}$, since $a_1 \neq 0$. And from Eq. (3.53), we attain

$$\frac{\psi''}{\psi'} = \mu_1 a_0 a_1 = \theta \tag{3.55}$$

Integrating (3.55) with respect to ξ , yields

$$\psi' = c_1 \mathrm{e}^{\theta\xi},\tag{3.56}$$

and $\psi = \frac{c_1 e^{\theta \xi}}{\theta} + c_2,$ (3.57)

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants and $\theta = \mu_1 a_0 a_1$. **Case 1:** When $a_0 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}}$ and $a_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2}{\mu_1}}$, and $\alpha = -1/3 \lambda$, substitute the values of a_0, a_1 and α into solution (3.4), we determine

$$u(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}} \left(1 - \frac{2c_1}{c_1 + c_2 \theta e^{-\theta \xi}} \right), \tag{3.58}$$

where $\xi = k(x - \frac{2}{3\lambda}t)$ and $\theta = \pm \sqrt{-2\mu_2}$.

Forasmuch as c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants, we may freely accept their values. Therefore, if we set $c_1 = \theta$ and $c_2 = 1$ into solution (3.58) and simplifying the exponential solution to hyperbolic function, we attain the following solitary wave solution of the Eq. (3.47)

$$u(\xi) = \mp \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}} \left(\tanh\left(\frac{\theta\xi}{2}\right) \right)$$
(3.59)

Moreover, setting $c_1 = -\theta$ and $c_2 = 1$ into solution (3.58), we arrive at the following solitary wave solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger-Hirota equation:

$$u(\xi) = \mp \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}} \left(\coth\left(\frac{\theta\xi}{2}\right) \right)$$
(3.60)

Thus, in (x, t) variables, the general solitary wave solution to the nonlinear Schrödinger-Hirota equation is obtained as follows:

$$q(x,t) = \mp \sqrt{\left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)} \left(tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{-4}{3k^2}\left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)}\left[k\left(x - \frac{2}{3\lambda}t\right)\right]}{2}\right)\right),\tag{3.61}$$

and
$$q(x,t) = \mp \sqrt{\left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)} \left(\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{-4}{3k^2}\left(\beta + \frac{5}{54\lambda^2}\right)}\left[k\left(x - \frac{2}{3\lambda}t\right)\right]}{2}\right)\right).$$
 (3.62)

Case 2: When $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{-2}{\mu_1}}$, and $\alpha = -\frac{1}{3}\lambda$, making use of the values of a_0, a_1 and α into (3.4), it does not satisfy the Eq. (3.53) and hence the solution must be rejected.

4. Explanation and physical interpretation of the solutions

In this section, we have discussed about the obtained solution of the simplified MCH equation, the PC equation and the Schrödinger-Hirota equation. Using the MSE method, we get the traveling wave solutions assembled from Eqs. (3.12) to (3.20) to the simplified MCH equation. The solutions are general solitary wave solutions which are periodic wave solution, kink shape soliton and singular kink shape soliton respectively. From the above solution, it has been detected that the solutions (3.12) and (3.13) provides periodic wave solution where the solutions (3.15), (3.17) and (3.19) gives kink shape wave solution. The solutions (3.14), (3.16) and (3.20) present singular kink solutions. The kink shape wave solution (3.15) is represented in Figure 1 for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, k = 1$ and $\omega = 4$. The singular kink solutions (3.16) and (3.20) for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, k = 1, \omega = 4$ and for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ are plotted in the Figures 2 and 3 respectively. From the solutions to the PC equation, it is observed that the solutions (3.34) and (3.35) show the nature of singular kink, solutions (3.36), (3.38), (3.43) and (3.45) represent the kink shape soliton and solutions (3.37), (3.39), (3.44) and (3.46) are singular solution. Singular solitons can be connected to solitary waves when the center position of the solitary wave is imaginary [58]. This solution has spike and therefore it can probably provide an explanation to the formation of Rogue waves [38]. The kink shape solution (3.43) for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = 2$ and $\gamma = -1$ is represented in Figure 4. From the solutions of the Schrödinger-Hirota equation, the solutions (3.59)–(3.62) are categorized to the character of singular periodic solution and (3.58) represents periodic solution. Periodic traveling waves play an important role in numerous physical phenomena, including reaction-diffusion-advection systems, self-reinforcing systems, impulsive systems etc. Mathematical modeling of many intricate physical events, for instance physics, mathematical physics, engineering and many more phenomena resemble periodic traveling wave solutions. The singular periodic solutions (3.59) for $\beta = 1, k = 1, \lambda = 1/3$ and (3.60) for $\beta = 1, k = 1, \lambda = 1/3$ are given in Figures 5 and 6 respectively. The figures of other solutions are similar mentioned above and ignored these figures for simplicity.



Figure 1. Plot of kink shape soliton of solution (3.15) of simplified MCH equation for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, k = 1$ and $\omega = 4$.



Figure 2. Plot of singular kink soliton of solution (3.16) of simplified MCH equation for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, k = 1$ and $\omega = 4$.



Figure 3. Plot of singular kink solution (3.20) of the simplified MCH equation for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$.



Figure 4. Plot of kink shape soliton of solution (3.43) of PC equation for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = 2$ and $\gamma = -1$.



Figure 5. Plot of singular periodic solution (3.60) of Schrödinger-Hirota equation for $\beta = 1, k = 1, \lambda = 1/3$.



Figure 6. Plot of singular periodic solution (3.62) of Schrödinger-Hirota equation for $\beta = 1, k = 1, \lambda = 1/3$.

5. Conclusion

In this article, the modified simple equation method has successfully been used to establish the solitary wave solutions to the simplified MCH equation, the Pochhammer-Chree equation and the Schrödinger-Hirota equation. The attribute and uniqueness of this method is that the considered function $\psi(\xi)$ is not an early known function. So is not possible to presume in advance what kind of solutions one may obtain through this method. Therefore, the obtained solutions are more general and fresh and important to analyze the inner mechanism of these nonlinear phenomena. The solutions are confirmed through checking the correctness by inserting them into the original equations and found correct. The results show that the method is reliable and effective. The used method has several advantages: it is straightforward and its calculation procedure is concise. Therefore this efficient method could be more effectively used to solve various NLEEs which regularly arise in science, engineering and other technical arenas.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. L. Yang, J. Liu, K. Yang, *Exact solutions of nonlinear PDE nonlinear transformation and reduction of nonlinear PDE to a quadrature*, Phys. Lett. A, **278** (2001), 267–270.
- 2. R. Hirota, *Exact envelope soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave equation*, J. Math. Phys., **14** (1973), 805–810.
- 3. R. Hirota, J. Satsuma, *Soliton solutions of a coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Lett. A, **85** (1981), 407–408.
- 4. N. Taghizadeh, M. Mirzazadeh, *The first integral method to some complex nonlinear partial differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **235** (2011), 4871–4877.
- 5. A. M. Wazwaz, *A sine-cosine method for handlenonlinear wave equations*, Math. Comput. Model., **40** (2004), 499–508.
- 6. A. J. M. Jawad, S. Kumar, A. Biswas, *Solition solutions of a few nonlinear wave equations in engineering sciences*, Sci. Iran. D, **21** (2014), 861–869.
- 7. S. Liu, Z. Fu, S. D. Liu, et al. *Jacobi elliptic function expansion method and periodic wave solutions of nonlinear equations*, Phys. Lett. A, **289** (2001), 69–74.
- 8. V. S. Kumar, H. Rezazadeh, M. Eslami, et al. *Jacobi elliptic function expansion method for solving KdV equation with conformable derivative and dual-power law nonlinearity*, Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math., **5** (2019), 127.
- 9. A. C. Cevikel, A. Beker, M. Akar, et al. A procedure to construct exact solution of nonlinear evolution equations, Pramana J. Phys., **79** (2012), 337–344.
- 10. G. Adomian, Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decomposition Method, Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, 1994.
- 11. Y. He, S. Li, Y. Long, *Exact solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation by modified Exp-function method*, Int. Math. Forum, **7** (2012), 175–182.
- 12. Z. Yan, H. Zhang, *New explicit solitary wave solutions and periodic wave solutions for Whitham Broer-Kaup equation in shallow water*, Phys. Lett. A, **285** (2001), 355–362.
- 13. M. A. Akbar, N. H. M. Ali, *Exp-function method for Duffing equation and new solutions of* (2+1) *dimensional dispersive long wave equations*, Prog. Appl. Math., **1** (2011), 30–42.
- 14. A. Irshad, M. Usman, S. T. Mohyud-Din, *Exp-function method for simplified modified Camassa-Holm equation*, Int. J. Modern Math. Sci., **4** (2012), 146–155.
- 15. J. Li, L. Zhang, *Bifurcation of traveling wave solution of the generalized Pochhammer-Chree* (*PC*) equation, Chaos Soliton. Fract., **14** (2002), 581–593.
- 16. M. N. Alam, M. A. Akbar, R. Islam, *Traveling wave solutions of the simplified MCH equation* via $Exp(-\phi(\xi))$ -expansion method, J. Adv. Math. Comput. Sci., **5** (2015), 595–605.

- 17. R. Islam, M. N. Alam, A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, et al. *Traveling wave solutions of nonlinear* evolution equations via $Exp(-\Phi(\eta))$ -expansion method, Global J. Sci. Front. Res., **13** (2013), 63–71.
- A. R. Seadawy, Ion acoustic solitary wave solutions of two dimensional nonlinear Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-burgers equation in quantum plasma, Meth. Method. Appl. Sci., 40 (2017), 1598–1607.
- 19. A. R. Seadawy, Stability analysis for Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation of weakly nonlinear ion-acoustic waves in plasma, Comput. Math. Appl., 67 (2014), 172–180.
- 20. A. R. Seadawy, *Three-dimensional nonlinear modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation of ion-acoustic waves in magnetized plasma*, Comput. Math. Appl., **71** (2016), 201–212.
- M. N. Alam, M. A. Akbar, H. O. Roshid, Study of nonlinear evolution equations to construct traveling wave solutions via the new approach of generalized (G'/G)-expansion method, Math. Stat., 1 (2013), 102–112.
- J. G. Liu, M. S. Osman, W. H. Zhu, et al. Different complex wave structures described by the Hirota equation with variable coefficients in inhomogeneous optical fibers, Appl. Phys. B, 125 (2019), 175.
- 23. A. Ali, A. R. Seadawy, D. Lu, *New solitary wave solutions of some nonlinear models and their applications*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2018** (2018), 232.
- 24. J. Zhang, F. Jiang, X. Zhao, An improved (G'/G)-expansion method for solving nonlinear evolution equations, Int. J. Comput. Math., 87 (2010), 1716–1725.
- 25. M. A. Akbar, N. H. M. Ali, E. M. E. Zayed, *Generalized and improved* (g'/g)-expansion method combined with Jacobi elliptic equation, Commun. Theor. Phys., **61** (2014), 669–676.
- A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, M. A. K. Azad, The closed form solutions of simplified MCH equation and third extended fifth order nonlinear equation, Propul. Power Res., 8 (2019), 163–172.
- 27. A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, Closed form solutions of two nonlinear equations via enhanced (G'/G)-expansion method, Cogent Math., 4 (2017), 1355958.
- A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, Closed form solutions of new fifth order nonlinear equations and new generalized fifth order nonlinear equations via the enhanced (G'/G)-expansion method, Biostat. Biometrics Open Acc. J., 4 (2017), 555631.
- 29. M. A. Helal, A. R. Seadawy, Variational method for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with computational applications, Phys. Scripta, **80** (2009), 035004.
- 30. A. R. Seadawy, New exact solutions for the KdV equation with higher order nonlinearity by using the variational method, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 3741–3755.
- 31. G. Zou, B. Wang, Solitary wave solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation in Gaussian nonlocal media, Appl. Math. Lett., **88** (2019) 50–57.
- 32. E. M. E. Zayed, A. G. Al-Nowehy, *Exact traveling wave solutions for nonlinear PDEs in mathematical physics using the generalized Kudryashov method*, Serb. J. Electr. Eng., **13** (2016), 203–227.
- 33. J. Hu, Explicit solutions to three nonlinear physical models, Phys. Lett. A, 287 (2001), 81-89.
- 34. A. Biswas, A. J. M. Jawad, W. N. Manrakhan, et al. *Optical solitons and complexitons of the Schrödinger-Hirota equation*, Opt. Laser Technol., **44** (2012), 2265–2269.

- 35. M. S. Osman, M. Inc, L. Jian-Guo, et al. *Different wave structures and stability analysis for the generalized (2+1)-dimensional Camassa-Holm-Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Phys. Scripta, Accepted.
- 36. D. Lu, K. U. Tariq, M. S. Osman, et al. New analytical wave structures for the (3+1)-dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili and the generalized Boussinesq models and their applications, Results Phys., 14 (2019), 102491.
- 37. A. M. Wazwaz, New compact and noncompact solutions for two variants of a modified Camassa-Holm equation, Appl. Math. Comput., **163** (2005), 1165–1179.
- 38. A. Biswas, A. J. M. Jawad, W. N. Manrakhan, et al. *Optical solitons and complexitons of the Schrödinger-Hirota equation*, Opt. Laser Technol., **44** (2012), 2265–2269.
- 39. M. A. Akbar, N. H. M. Ali, An ansatz for solving nonlinear partial differential equations in mathematical physics, SpringerPlus, 5 (2016), 24.
- 40. A. J. M. Jawad, M. D. Petkovic, A. Biswas, *Modified simple equation method for nonlinear* evolution equations, Appl. Math. Comput., **217** (2010), 869–877.
- 41. A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, A. M. Wazwaz, *Closed form solutions of complex wave equations via modified simple equation method*, Cogent Phys., **4** (2017), 1312751.
- 42. A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, M. A. K. Azad, *Closed form wave solutions of two nonlinear evolution equations*, Cogent Phys., **4** (2017), 1396948.
- 43. A. K. M. K. S. Hossain, M. A. Akbar, *Traveling wave solutions of nonlinear evolution equations via Modified simple equation method*, Int. J. Appl. Math. Theor. Phys., **3** (2017), 20–25.
- 44. A. R. Seadawy, Fractional solitary wave solutions of the nonlinear higher-order extended KdV equation in a stratified shear flow: Part I, Comput. Math. Appl., **70** (2015), 345–352.
- 45. A. R. Seadawy, Stability analysis solutions for nonlinear three-dimensional modified Korteweg-de Vries-Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in a magnetized electron-positron plasma, Physica A, **455** (2016), 44–51.
- A. R. Seadawy, M. Arshad, D. Lu, Stability analysis of new exact traveling-wave solutions of new coupled KdV and new coupled Zakharov-Kuznetsov systems, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 132 (2017), 162.
- D. Lu, M. S. Osman, M. M. A. Khater, et al. Analytical and numerical simulations for the kinetics of phase separation in iron (Fe–Cr–X (X=Mo, Cu)) based on ternary alloys, Physica A, 537 (2019), 122634.
- 48. M. S. Osman, D. Lu, M. M. A. Khater, et al. *Complex wave structures for abundant solutions related to the complex Ginzburg-Landau model*, Optik, **192** (2019), 162927.
- B. Ghanbari, M. S. Osman, D. Baleanu, Generalized exponential rational function method for extended Zakharov-Kuzetsov equation with conformable derivative, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 34 (2019), 1950155.
- M. S. Osman, A. M. Wazwaz, A general bilinear form to generate different wave structures of solitons for a (3+1)-dimensional Boiti-Leon-Manna-Pempinelli equation, Math. Method. Appl. Sci., 42 (2019), 6277–6283.
- 51. M. S. Osman, One-soliton shaping and inelastic collision between double solitons in the fifth-order variable-coefficient Sawada-Kotera equation, Nonlinear Dynam., **96** (2019), 1491–1496.

- 52. M. S. Osman, H. I. A. Gawad, *Multi-wave solutions of the* (2+1)-dimensional Nizhnik-Novikov-Veselov equations with variable coefficients, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, **130** (2015), 215.
- 53. M. S. Osman, H. I. A. Gawad, On shallow water waves in a medium with time-dependent dispersion and nonlinearity coefficients, J. Adv. Res., 6 (2015), 593–599.
- 54. M. S. Osman, B. Ghanbari, J. A. T. Machado, New complex waves in nonlinear optics based on the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation with Kerr law nonlinearity, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, **134** (2019), 20.
- 55. R. Camassa, D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- 56. L. Tian, X. Song, New peaked solitary wave solutions of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, Chaos Soliton. Fract., **19** (2004), 621–637.
- 57. W. Zhang, W. Ma, *Explicit solitary wave solution of the generalized Pochhammer-Chree (PC)* equation, Appl. Math. Mech., **20** (1999), 666–674.
- 58. P. A. Clarkson, R. J. Leveque, R. Saxton, *Solitary wave interaction in elastic rods*, Stud. Appl. Math., **75** (1986), 95–121.
- 59. P. G. Drazin, R. S. Johnson, *Solitons: An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 60. L. Zhibin, *Exact solitary wave solutions of non-linear evolution equations*, In: *Mathematics Mechanization and Applications*, Academic Press, 2000, 389–408.



© 2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)