

AIMS Mathematics, 5(2): 1186–1198. DOI:10.3934/math.2020082 Received: 11 October 2019 Accepted: 02 January 2020 Published: 17 January 2020

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Probabilistic α -min Ciric type contraction results using a control function

Samir Kumar Bhandari¹, Dhananjay Gopal² and Pulak Konar^{3,*}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Bajkul Milani Mahavidyalaya, P. O–Kismat Bajkul, Dist–Purba Medinipur, Bajkul, West Bengal, 721655, India
- ² Department of Applied Mathematics and Humanities, S. V. National Institute of Technology, Surat, 395007, Gujarat, India
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Amity University, Kadampukur, 24PGS(N), Kolkata, West Bengal, 700135, India
- * Correspondence: Email: pulakkonar@gmail.com.

Abstract: The purpose of the paper is to propose some new probabilistic α -minimum Ciric type contraction results. Our results are established on probabilistic generalization of metric spaces or probabilistic metric spaces. The use of class of control function Φ which was introduced by Choudhury et al. in 2008 helped us to deduce the result. We also get a corollary. Some illustrative examples are given here. Our results are supported by those examples. Lastly an application of integral equation is given. An important conclusion is also made at the end of the results.

Keywords: PM-space; Ciric type contraction; Cauchy sequence; fixed point; altering distance function

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54E40, 54H25

1. Introduction

In this current paper, the probabilistic outcomes of Ciric contraction of α -min are considered. Probabilistic metric space are probabilistic generalization of metric spaces which was introduced by K. Menger in 1942 [20]. Distribution function plays the role of metric on these spaces. Menger spaces are the specific probabilistic metric spaces where the triangle inequality is postulated with the help of *t*-norm. Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid were the pesons who established Banach contraction mapping principle to probabilistic metric spaces in 1972. This result was done in their research works [27]. Schweizer and Sklar have described many aspect on these spaces in their book [26].

Being a control function, "altering distance function", alters the distance between two points in a metric space and Khan, Swaleh and Sessa in 1984 showed us the property in their paper [17]. Some

generalized works in this line may be referred as [16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28].

In recent time, the concept of altering distance function is extended to the context of Menger spaces in [6]. This control function is known as ϕ -function and very useful for proving fixed point results in Menger spaces. This concept is also applied to many other problem such as coincidence point problems in this line. Some recent works using ϕ -function are mentioned in [1–3, 7, 12, 13].

Main features of this paper are following:

- (1) A new probabilistic α -min special Ciric type contraction result.
- (2) For such contraction, unique fixed point is obtained.
- (3) The use of control function to prove the theorems.
- (4) A corollary.
- (5) Two illustrative examples validating our theorems.
- (6) An application of our results on integral calculus.
- (7) An important conclusion which may incur new problems.

2. Definitions and mathematical requisits

Some important definitions and mathematical preliminaries are discussed before we want to prove our main results.

Definition 2.1. [15, 26] A distribution function is a mapping $F : R \to R^+$ if it is non-decreasing and left continuous with $\inf_{t \in R} F(t) = 0$ and $\sup_{t \in R} F(t) = 1$, where R is the set of reals and R^+ is the set of non-negative reals respectively.

Definition 2.2. *t-norm* [15, 26] A function $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t-norm, if it satisfies the following conditions for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$

- (*i*) $\Delta(1, a) = a$,
- $(ii) \quad \Delta(a,b) = \Delta(b,a),$
- (*iii*) $\Delta(c, d) \ge \Delta(a, b)$ whenever $c \ge a$ and $d \ge b$,
- $(iv) \quad \Delta(\Delta(a,b),c) = \Delta(a,\Delta(b,c)).$

The examples of *t*-norm are as follows:

(i) $\Delta = T_m$, which is the minimum *t*-norm and is defined by $T_m(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$.

(ii) $\Delta = T_p$, which is the product *t*-norm and is defined by $T_p(a, b) = a.b$.

Definition 2.3. *Menger space* [15, 26] A triplet (X, F, Δ) is called a Menger space where $X \neq \phi$, F is a function on $X \times X$ to the set of distribution functions and Δ is a t-norm, such that it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (*ii*) $F_{x,y}(s) = 1$ for all s > 0 and $x, y \in X$ if and only if x = y,
- (iii) $F_{x,y}(s) = F_{y,x}(s)$ for all $x, y \in X$, s > 0 and
- (iv) $F_{x,y}(u+v) \ge \Delta(F_{x,z}(u), F_{z,y}(v))$ for all $u, v \ge 0$ and $x, y, z \in X$.

1188

Definition 2.4. [15, 26] A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to converge to some point $x \in X$ if given $\epsilon > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$, we can find a positive integer $N_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ such that for all $n > N_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ $F_{x_n,x}(\epsilon) \ge 1 - \lambda.$ (2.2)

Definition 2.5. [15, 26] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence in X if given $\epsilon > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$, there exists a positive integer $N_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ such that

$$F_{x_n, x_m}(\epsilon) \ge 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for all } m, n > N_{\epsilon, \lambda}. \tag{2.3}$$

The equivalent of Definition 2.4 and 2.5 is to replace \geq with > in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively. They are not written in this conventional way. We have presently given them the evidence from our theorems for our convenience.

Definition 2.6. [15, 26] A Menger space (X, F, Δ) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X.

We use the following control function ϕ which Choudhury and Das presented [6].

Definition 2.7. Φ -function [6] A function $\phi : R \to R^+$ is said to be a Φ -function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0,
- (ii) $\phi(t)$ is strictly monotone increasing and $\phi(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$,
- (iii) ϕ is left continuous in $(0, \infty)$,
- (iv) ϕ is continuous at 0.

In numerous research works, many authors [4, 8–11] use this function.

3. Main results

We begin this section by introducing the concept of α -min Ciric type contraction and α -admissible mappings in Menger PM spaces.

Recent documents, such as [13, 14] motivated us.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, F, Δ) be a PM-space and $f : X \to X$ be a mapping. We say that f is an α -min *Ciric type mapping if there exists function* $\alpha : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \to R^+$ satisfying the following inequality

$$\alpha(x, y, t)(\frac{1}{F_{fx, fy}(\phi(t))} - 1) \le \min(\frac{1}{F_{x, y}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x, fx}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y, fy}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1)$$
(3.1)

for all $x, y \in X$, t > 0, where 0 < c < 1, $\phi \in \Phi$.

Definition 3.2. ([14]) Let (X, F, Δ) be a PM-space, $f : X \to X$ be a given mapping and $\alpha : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \to R^+$ be a function, we say that f is α -admissible if $x, y \in X$, for all t > 0,

$$\alpha(x, y, t) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(fx, fy, t) \ge 1$$

AIMS Mathematics

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, F, Δ) be a complete Menger space, Δ is a continuous t-norm and $f : X \to X$ be an α -min Ciric type mapping satisfying the following conditions.

(i) f is α -admissible,

(*ii*)*there exists* $x_0 \in X$ *such that* $\alpha(x_0, fx_0, t) \ge 1$ *, for all* t > 0*,*

(iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge 1$ for all $n \in N$ and for all t > 0.

Then f has a fixed point, that is, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that fu = u.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, fx_0, t) \ge 1$ for all t > 0. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X so that $x_{n+1} = fx_n$, for all $n \in N$, where N is the set of natural numbers. Clearly, we suppose $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in N$, otherwise f has trivially a fixed point.

Then by using the fact f is α -admissible, we write

 $\alpha(x_0, fx_0, t) = \alpha(x_0, x_1, t) \ge 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(fx_0, fx_1, t) = \alpha(x_1, x_2, t) \ge 1,$ and, by induction, we get

 $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge 1$, for all $n \in N$ and for all t > 0.

From the properties of function ϕ , we can find t > 0 such that $F_{x_0,x_1}(\phi(t)) > 0$. Now, we have from (3.1) for t > 0 and $c \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(t))} - 1 = \frac{1}{F_{fx_n,fx_{n-1}}(\phi(t))} - 1
\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n-1}, t) \frac{1}{F_{fx_n,fx_{n-1}}(\phi(t))} - 1
\leq \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,fx_n}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_{n-1},fx_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1)
= \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n+1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_{n-1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1)
= \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1).$$
(3.2)

We now claim that for all $t > 0, n \ge 1, c \in (0, 1)$,

$$\min(\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1) = \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1.$$
(3.3)

If possible, let for some s > 0,

$$\min(\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1) = \frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1,$$

then we have from (3.2),

$$\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(s))} - 1 \le \frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1,$$

that is,

$$F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(s)) \ge F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{s}{c})),$$
(3.4)

AIMS Mathematics

which is impossible as for $c \in (0, 1)$ (since $\phi(\frac{s}{c}) > \phi(s)$, that is, $F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(\frac{s}{c})) \ge F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(s))$, by the monotone property of *F* and for $c \in (0, 1)$).

monotone property of *F* and for $c \in (0, 1)$). Then, for all t > 0, $\frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(t))} - 1 \le \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1$, that is,

$$F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(t)) \geq F_{x_n,x_{n-1}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))$$

$$\geq F_{x_{n-1},x_{n-2}}(\phi(\frac{t}{c^2}))$$

$$\geq \dots$$

$$\geq F_{x_1,x_0}(\phi(\frac{t}{c^n})),$$

Therefore,

$$F_{x_{n+1},x_n}(\phi(t)) \ge F_{x_1,x_0}(\phi(\frac{t}{c^n})).$$
 (3.5)

Now, taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.5), for all t > 0, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, x_n}(\phi(t)) = 1.$$
(3.6)

Now, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

On the contrary, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ for which we can find subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with m(k) > n(k) > k such that

$$F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\epsilon) < 1 - \lambda.$$
(3.7)

We take m(k) corresponding to n(k) to be the smallest integer satisfying (3.7), so that

$$F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\epsilon) \ge 1 - \lambda. \tag{3.8}$$

If $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon$ then we have

$$F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\epsilon_1) \leq F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\epsilon).$$

So, it is feasible to construct $\{x_{m(k)}\}\$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}\$ with m(k) > n(k) > k and satisfying (3.7), (3.8) whenever ϵ is replaced by a smaller positive value. By the continuity of ϕ at 0 and strictly monotone increasing property with $\phi(0) = 0$, it is possible to find $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $\phi(\epsilon_2) < \epsilon$.

Then, by the above logic, it is possible to get an increasing sequence of integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ with m(k) > n(k) > k such that

$$F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2)) < 1 - \lambda, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2)) \ge 1 - \lambda.$$
(3.10)

Now, from (3.9), we get

$$1 - \lambda > F_{x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2)),$$

AIMS Mathematics

that is,

$$\frac{1}{1-\lambda} < \frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2))},$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{1-\lambda} - 1 < \frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2))} - 1,$$

which implies,

$$\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_{2}))} - 1,$$

$$\leq \alpha(x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)-1},t)(\frac{1}{F_{fx_{m(k)-1},fx_{n(k)-1}}(\Phi(\epsilon_{2}))} - 1),$$

$$\leq \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)-1}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{c}))} - 1,\frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{m(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{c}))} - 1,\frac{1}{F_{x_{n(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{c}))} - 1)$$
(3.11)

(using the inequality (3.1))

Now, using the property of (iv) of the Menger space, we have

$$F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)-1}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c})) \geq \Delta(F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\epsilon_2)), F_{x_{n(k)},x_{n(k)-1}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c})) - \phi(\epsilon_2))$$

$$\geq \Delta(1 - \lambda, 1 - \lambda)(\text{using (3.6) and (3.10)})$$

$$= 1 - \lambda,$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)-1}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{c}))} - 1 \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda} - 1 = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$
(3.12)

Now, using (3.6), for sufficiently large k, we have

$$F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{m(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c})) \geq 1-\lambda,$$

$$\frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{m(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c}))} - 1 \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda} - 1 = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$
(3.13)

$$F_{x_{n(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c})) \geq 1-\lambda,$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{F_{x_{n(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\frac{\epsilon_2}{c}))} - 1 \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda} - 1 = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$
(3.14)

AIMS Mathematics

Now using (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) in (3.11), we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)-1}}(\phi(\frac{e_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_{m(k)-1},x_{m(k)}}(\phi(\frac{e_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_{n(k)-1},x_{n(k)}}(\phi(\frac{e_2}{c}))} - 1)$$

$$\leq \min(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda},$$

that is,

$$\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda},$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since (X, F, Δ) be a complete Menger space, therefore $x_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$, for some $u \in X$. Moreover, we get

$$F_{fu,u}(\epsilon) \ge \Delta(F_{fu,x_{n+1}}(\frac{\epsilon}{2}), F_{x_{n+1},u}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})).$$
(3.15)

Next, using the properties of function ϕ , we can find $t_2 > 0$ such that $\phi(t_2) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Again $x_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$ and hence there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that, for all $n > n_0$ (sufficiently large), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{x_{n+1},fu}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} &-1 &\leq \frac{1}{F_{fx_n,fu}(\phi(t_2))} - 1 \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, u, t)(\frac{1}{F_{fx_n,fu}(\phi(t_2))} - 1) \\ &\leq \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_n,u}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,fx_n}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{u,fu}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1) \\ &= \min(\frac{1}{F_{x_n,u}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x_n,x_{n+1}}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{u,fu}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \to \infty$ on both sides, we have

$$\frac{1}{F_{u,fu}(\phi(t_2))} - 1 \le \min(0, 0, \frac{1}{F_{u,fu}(\phi(\frac{t_2}{c}))} - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{F_{u,fu}(\phi(t_2))} \le 1$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{u,fu}(\phi(t_2)) \ge 1.$$

$$fu = u.$$

Thus,

The uniqueness of the fixed point is established next. Let x and y be two fixed point of f, that is, fx = x and fy = y. By the virtue of ϕ there exists s > 0 such that $F_{x,y}(\phi(s)) > 0$. Then, by an application of (3.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(s))} - 1 \le \alpha(x, y, t)(\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(s))} - 1)$$

AIMS Mathematics

$$\leq \min(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x,fx}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y,fy}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1)$$

$$= \min(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x,x}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y,y}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1)$$

$$= \min(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(\frac{s}{c}))} - 1, 0, 0)$$

$$= 0,$$

which implies,

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(s))} - 1 \le 0,$$
$$\Rightarrow F_{fx,fy}(\phi(s)) \ge 1,$$

that is,

 $F_{x,y}(\phi(s)) = 1.$

Hence x = y, that is, the fixed point is unique.

If we replace $\phi(t)$ by t in Theorem 3.1, we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, F, Δ) be a complete Menger space and $f : X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(t)} - 1 \le \min(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\frac{t}{c})} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x,fx}(\frac{t}{c})} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y,fy}(\frac{t}{c})} - 1)$$
(3.16)

where t > 0, 0 < c < 1. Then f has a unique fixed point in X.

4. Example

Example 4.1. Let X = [0, 1], the t-norm Δ is a continuous t-norm and F be defined as

 $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}.$ Then (X, F, Δ) is a complete Menger space. If we define $f : X \to X$ as follows: $fx = \frac{x}{6}$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$,

then the mapping f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.1, for $c = \frac{2}{3}$, where 0 is the unique fixed point of f.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, the t-norm Δ is a minimum t-norm and F be defined as

$$F_{\beta,\gamma}(t) = F_{\gamma,\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \le 0, \\ 0.75, & \text{if } 0 < t \le 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 2, \end{cases}$$
$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \le 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and

Then (X, F, Δ) is a complete Menger space. If we define $f : X \to X$ as follows: $f\alpha = \alpha$, $f\beta = \alpha$, $f\gamma = \beta$ then the mapping f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 where $\phi(t) = t$, $c \in (0, 1)$ and α is the unique fixed point of f in X.

AIMS Mathematics

4.1. Application

Some recent references [5, 14, 23] help us to establish the following application. We consider the following boundary value problem of second order differential equation :

$$-\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = g(t, x(t)), \qquad t \in [0, 1]$$
$$x(0) = x(1) = 0,$$

where $g : [0, 1] \times R \longrightarrow R$ is a continuous function.

$$x'' = 0 \Rightarrow D^2 x = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

and boundary values are x(0) = 0, x(1) = 0. The auxiliary equation is

$$D^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, the general solution is

$$x(t) = At + B.$$

Now, The Green's function G(t, s) exists for the associated boundary-values problem and is given by

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} a_1t + a_2, & 0 \le t < s \\ b_1t + b_2, & s < t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

The Green's function must satisfy the following three properties:

i) G(t, s) is continuous at x = s

i.e.,

$$b_1 s + b_2 = a_1 s + a_2 \Rightarrow s(b_1 - a_1) + b_2 - a_2 = 0$$
(4.2)

ii) The determination of *G* has a discontinuity of magnitude $-\frac{1}{p_0(s)}$ at the point x = s, where $p_0(t) =$ co-efficient of the highest order derivative

i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}\right)_{t=s+0} - \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}\right)_{t=s-0} = -1 \Rightarrow b_1 - a_1 = -1 \tag{4.3}$$

iii) G(t, s) must satisfy the boundary condition

$$G(0,s) = 0 \implies a_2 = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

and

Therefore,
$$G(t, s) = G(1, s) = 0 \Rightarrow b_1 + b_2 = 0.$$
 (4.5)

$$\begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1 \\ -st + s, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Let C(I) (I = [0, 1]) be the space of all continuous functions defined on I. It is well known that such a space with the metric given by

$$d(x, y) = ||x - y||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in I} |x(t) - y(t)|$$

AIMS Mathematics

is a complete metric space.

We have to show that the above mentioned differential equation satisfies the following inequality,

$$\alpha(x, y, t)(\frac{1}{F_{fx, fy}(\phi(t))} - 1) \le \min(\frac{1}{F_{x, y}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x, fx}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y, fy}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1)$$

taking $\alpha(x, y, z) = 1$, $\phi(t) = t$,

we have

$$\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\phi(t))} - 1 \le \min(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{x,fx}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1, \frac{1}{F_{y,fy}(\phi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1).$$

Taking $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$, that is,

$$\frac{1}{\frac{t}{t+d(fx,fy)}} - 1 \le \min(\frac{1}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1, \frac{1}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1, \frac{1}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1, \frac{1}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1),$$

that is,

$$\frac{t + d(fx, fy)}{t} - 1 \le \min(\frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(x, y)}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1, \frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(x, fx)}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1, \frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(y, fy)}{\frac{t}{c}} - 1),$$

that is,

$$\frac{d(fx, fy)}{t} \le \min(\frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(x, y) - \frac{t}{c}}{\frac{t}{c}}, \frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(x, fx) - \frac{t}{c}}{\frac{t}{c}}, \frac{\frac{t}{c} + d(y, fy) - \frac{t}{c}}{\frac{t}{c}}),$$

that is,

$$\frac{d(fx, fy)}{t} \le \min(\frac{cd(x, y)}{t}, \frac{cd(x, fx)}{t}, \frac{cd(y, fy)}{t}), \text{ for } t \neq 0$$

that is,

$$d(fx, fy) \le \min c(d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy)).$$

We have c > 0 such that for all $x, y \in C(I, R)$ and for all $t, s \in I$, for all $a, b \in R$, we get

$$|g(t,a) - g(t,b)| \le c \min\{|x(s) - y(s)|, |x(s) - fx(s)|, |y(s) - fy(s)|\}.$$

Now, It is well known that $x \in C^2(I)$ is a solution of given differential equation is equivalent to that $x \in C(I)$ is a solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, x(s))ds, \text{ for all } t \in I.$$
 (4.6)

AIMS Mathematics

Define the operator $f : C(I) \to C(I)$ by

$$f(x(t)) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s, x(s))ds, \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

To find $x^* \in C(I)$ that is a fixed point of f. So,

$$\begin{split} |f(x(t)) - f(y(t))| &= |\int_0^1 G(t,s)[g(s,x(s)) - g(s,y(s))]ds| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(t,s)|g(s,x(s)) - g(s,y(s))|ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(t,s) \, c \cdot \min\{d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy))\}ds \\ &= c \cdot \min\{d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy)\}\int_0^1 G(t,s)ds \\ &\leq c \cdot \min\{d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy))\} \times \frac{1}{8} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Note that for all $t \in I$,

$$\int_0^1 G(t,s)ds = -\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t}{2},$$

which implies that,

$$\sup_{t\in I}\int_0^1 G(t,s)ds = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Also,

$$\min\{d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} = \min\{d(x, y), 0, 0\} = 0$$

implies

$$d(fx, fy) = min\{d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in C([0, 1], R).$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.1 with $\phi(t) = t$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $\alpha(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in C([0, 1], R)$ and t > 0, we conclude that the uniqueness of the operator f is $fx^* = x^* \in C([0, 1], R)$, which also serves the purpose of unique solution of (4.6), our proposed integral equation.

5. Conclusion

In the course of mathematical analysis and allied stream related to it, probabilistic metric spaces has an important role. The structural theory was created primarily after 1960. Many researchers have taken their interest in this area of research. Some authors have recently demonstrated that PM spaces are also applicable in nuclear fusion. One of the references may be noted as [29]. This paper [29] outlines the application to identify regimes of containment and disruption of plasma.

AIMS Mathematics

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. S. K. Bhandari, *Probabilistic Ciric type contraction results using drastic t-norm*, B. Cal. Math. Soc., **109** (2017), 439–454.
- 2. S. K. Bhandari, B. S. Choudhury, Two unique fixed point results of p-cyclic probabilistic ccontractions using different types of t-norm, J. Int. Math. Vir. Inst., 7 (2017), 147–164.
- 3. S. K. Bhandari, *Unique Probablistic p-cyclic c-contraction results using special product T-Norm*, B. Cal. Math. Soc., **109** (2017), 55–68.
- 4. S. K. Bhandari, *Generalized contraction results on probabilistic 2-metric spaces using a control function*, Int. J. Eng. Sci., 7 (2018), 49–55.
- 5. S. S. Chang, B. S. Lee, Y. J. Cho, et al. *Generalized contraction mapping principle and differential equations in probabilistic metric spaces*, P. Am. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 2367–2376.
- 6. B. S. Choudhury, K. P. Das, *A new contraction principle in Menger spaces*, Acta Math. Sin., **24** (2008), 1379–1386.
- 7. B. S. Choudhury, K. P. Das, S. K. Bhandari, *Two Ciric type probabilistic fixed point theorems for discontinuous mappings*, Int. Electron. J. Pure Appl. Math., **5** (2012), 111–126.
- 8. B. S. Choudhury, S. K. Bhandari, *Ciric type p-cyclic contraction results for discontinuous mappings*, J. Int. Math. Vir. Inst., **4** (2014), 27–42.
- 9. B. S. Choudhury, S. K. Bhandari, P. Saha, *A cyclic probabilistic c-contraction results using Hadzic and Lukasiewicz t-norms in Menger spaces*, Anal. Theory Appl., **31** (2015), 283–298.
- 10. B. S. Choudhury, S. K. Bhandari, P. Saha, Unique fixed points of p-cyclic kannan type probabilistic contractions, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., **10** (2017), 179–189.
- 11. B. S. Choudhury, S. K. Bhandari, *P* cyclic c-contraction result in Menger spaces using a control function, Demonstratio Math., **49** (2016), 213–223.
- 12. B. S. Choudhury, S. K. Bhandari, P. Saha, *Probabilistic p-cyclic contractions using different types* of *t-norms*, Random Oper. Stoch. Equ., **26** (2018), 39–52.
- 13. P. N. Dutta, B. S. Choudhury, K. P. Das, *Some fixed point results in Menger spaces using a control function*, Surv. Math. Appl., **4** (2009), 41–52.
- 14. D. Gopal, M. Abbas, C. Vetro, Some new fixed point theorems in Menger PM-spaces with application to Volterra type integral equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 232 (2014), 955–967.
- 15. O. Hadzic, E. Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- 16. A. F. R. L. de Hierro, M. de la Sen, *Some fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric-like spaces*, Fixed Point Theory A., **2015** (2015), 176.
- M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, B. Aust. Math. Soc., **30** (1984), 1–9.

- 18. I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, *Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, **11** (1975), 336–344.
- 19. M. A. Kutbi, D. Gopal, C. Vetro, et al. *Further generalization of fixed point theorems in Menger PM-spaces*, Fixed Point Theory A., **2015** (2015), 32.
- 20. K. Menger, Statistical metrics, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 28 (1942), 535-537.
- D. Mihet, Altering distances in probabilistic Menger spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009), 2734– 2738.
- S. V. R. Naidu, Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances, Czec. Math. J., 53 (2003), 205–212.
- B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for α-ψ-contrantive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 2154–2165.
- 24. K. P. R. Sastry, G. V. R. Babu, *Some fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Ind. J. Pure. Appl. Math., **30** (1999), 641–647.
- 25. K. P. R. Sastry, S. V. R. Naidu, G. V. R. Babu, et al. *Generalisation of common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps by altering distances*, Tamkang J. Math., **31** (2000), 243–250.
- 26. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, Elsevier, North-Holland, 1983.
- 27. V. M. Sehgal, A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed point of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Theor. Math. Syst., **6** (1972), 97–102.
- 28. M. De la Sen, A. Ibeas, *On the global stability of an iterative scheme in a probabilistic Menger space*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2015** (2015), 243.
- 29. G. Verdoolage, G. Karagounis, A. Murari, et al. *Jet-Efda contributors, Modelling fusion data in probabilistic metric spaces: applications to the identification of confinement regimes and plasma disruptions*, Fusion Sci. Tech., **62** (2012), 356–365.



© 2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)