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#### Abstract

Let $n$ be a positive integer with $n>1$ and let $a, b$ be fixed coprime positive integers with $\min \{a, b\}>2$. In this paper, using the Baker method, we proved that, for any $n$, if $a>\max \left\{15064 b, b^{3 / 2}\right\}$, then the equation $(a n)^{x}+(b n)^{y}=((a+b) n)^{z}$ has no positive integer solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $x>z>y$. Further, let $A, B$ be coprime positive integers with $\min \{A, B\}>1$ and $2 \mid B$. Combining the above conclusion with some existing results, we deduced that, for any $n$, if $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right), A>\max \left\{123 B, B^{3 / 2}\right\}$ and $B \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then this equation has only the positive integer solution $(x, y, z)=(1,1,1)$. Thus, we proved that the conjecture proposed by Yuan and Han is true for this case.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the sets of all positive integers. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $a, b$ be fixed coprime positive integers with $\min \{a, b\}>2$. Recently, Yuan and Han [1] proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. For any positive integer $n$, if $\min \{a, b\} \geq 4$, then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a n)^{x}+(b n)^{y}=((a+b) n)^{z}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the solution $(x, y, z)=(1,1,1)$.
The above conjecture has been proved in many cases for $n=1$ (see [2]). However, for general $n$, it is still widely open.

Let $A, B$ be coprime positive integers with $\min \{A, B\}>1$ and $2 \mid B$. In [1], Yuan and Han [1] deal with the solutions $(x, y, z)$ of (1.1) for the case that $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right)$, then (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{2} n\right)^{x}+\left(B^{2} n\right)^{y}=\left(\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right) n\right)^{z}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this respect, they proved that, for any $n$, if $B \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then (1.2) has no solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $y>z>x$; in particular, if $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right)$ and $B=2$, then Conjecture 1.1 is true. Very recently, Le and Soydan [3] proved that, for any $n$, if $A>B^{3} / 8$, then (1.2) has no solutions ( $x, y, z$ ) with $x>z>y$. Thus, they deduce that, for any $n$, if $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right), A>B^{3} / 8$ and $B \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then Conjecture 1.1 is true. Their proof relies heavily on an upper bound for solutions of exponential Diophantine equations due to Scott and Styer [4].

In this paper, using the Baker method, we prove a general result as follows:
Theorem 1.2. For any $n>1$, if $a>\max \left\{15064 b, b^{3 / 2}\right\}$, then (1.1) has no solutions ( $x, y, z$ ) with $x>z>y$.

Combining Theorem 1.2 with the above mentioned results of [1], we can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1.3. For any $n>1$, if $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right), A>\max \left\{123 B, B^{3 / 2}\right\}$ and $B \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then Conjecture 1.1 is true.

Obviously, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 improve the corresponding results in [3].

## 2. Lemmas

Let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$ be the sets of all integers, rational numbers, and complex numbers, respectively. For any algebraic number $\alpha$ of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, let $h(\alpha)$ denote the absolute logarithmic height of $\alpha$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)=\frac{1}{d}\left(\log \left|a_{0}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \log \max \left\{1,\left|\alpha^{(i)}\right|\right\}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ is the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha^{(i)}(i=1, \ldots, d)$ are the conjugates of $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}$. For $\alpha \neq 0$, let $\log \alpha$ be any determination of its logarithms.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ be two algebraic numbers with $\min \left\{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|,\left|\alpha_{2}\right|\right\}>1$ and let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ be positive integers. Further, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\beta_{1} \log \alpha_{1}-\beta_{2} \log \alpha_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. If $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are multiplicatively independent and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \log \alpha_{1}, \log \alpha_{2}$ are real and positive, then

$$
\log |\Lambda| \geq-25.2 D^{4}\left(\log A_{1}\right)\left(\log A_{2}\right)\left(\max \left\{1, \frac{10}{D}, 0.38+\log K\right\}\right)^{2},
$$

where $D=\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{j} \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{D}, \frac{\left|\log \alpha_{1}\right|}{D}, h\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right\}, j=1,2, \\
K=\frac{\beta_{1}}{D \log A_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{D \log A_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. This is the special case of Corollary 2 of [5] for $m=10$.
Lemma 2.2. (Theorem 1.1 of [6], Proposition 3.1 of [1]) Let ( $x, y, z$ ) be a solution of (1.1) with $(x, y, z) \neq(1,1,1)$, then either $x>z>y$ or $y>z>x$. Moreover, if $n>1$, then either

$$
x>z>y, \operatorname{rad}(n) \mid b, b=b_{1} b_{2}, b_{1}^{y}=n^{z-y}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, b_{1}>1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=1
$$

or

$$
y>z>x, \operatorname{rad}(n) \mid a, a=a_{1} a_{2}, a_{1}^{x}=n^{z-x}, a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, a_{1}>1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=1,
$$

where $\operatorname{rad}(n)$ is the product of all distinct prime divisors of $n$.
Lemma 2.3. Let t be a real number. If $t>7600$, then $t>75.6(1.08+\log t)^{2}$.
Proof. Let $f(t)=t-75.6(1.08+\log t)^{2}$ for $t>1$, then we have $f^{\prime}(t)=1-151.2(1.08+\log t) / t$, where $f^{\prime}(t)$ is the derivative of $f(t)$. Since $f^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t>1500, f(t)$ is an increasing function for $t>1500$. Therefore, since $f(7600)>0$, we get $f(t)>0$ for $t>7600$. Thus, the lemma is proved.

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We now prove the first half of the theorem. Let $a>\max \left\{15064 b, b^{3 / 2}\right\}$ and $(x, y, z)$ be a solution of (1.1) with $x>z>y$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=b_{1} b_{2}, b_{1}^{y}=n^{z-y}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{x} n^{x-z}+b_{2}^{y}=(a+b)^{z} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \log (a+b)=x \log a+(x-z) \log n+\Lambda, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\Lambda=\log \left(1+\frac{b_{2}^{y}}{a^{x} n^{x-z}}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\Lambda=z \log \left(\frac{a+b}{a}\right)-(x-z) \log (a n) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $x>z>y, a>b$, and $b \geq b_{2}$ by (3.1). We get $a^{x} n^{x-z} \geq a^{x}>a^{y}>b^{y} \geq b_{2}^{y}$. Hence, we see from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a^{x} n^{x-z}>(a+b)^{z} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\log (1+t)<t$ for any $t>0$, by (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda<\frac{b_{2}^{y}}{a^{x} n^{x-z}}<\frac{2 b_{2}^{y}}{(a+b)^{z}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(2 b_{2}^{y}\right)>\log |\Lambda|+z \log (a+b) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{a+b}{a}, \alpha_{2}=a n, \beta_{1}=z, \beta_{2}=x-z . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5) and (3.9), $\Lambda$ can be rewritten as (2.2). We see from (3.9) that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are multiplicatively independent rational numbers with $\min \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}>1$. By (2.1) and (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=1,}  \tag{3.10}\\
h\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\log (a+b), h\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\log (a n) . \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\Lambda>0$ by (3.5), applying Lemma 2.1, we get from (3.5), (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\Lambda| \geq-25.2(\log (a+b))(\log (a n))(\max \{10,0.38+\log K\})^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{z}{\log (a n)}+\frac{x-z}{\log (a+b)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.8) and (3.12), we have

$$
\log \left(2 b_{2}^{y}\right)+25.2(\log (a+b))(\log (a n))(\max \{10,0.38+\log K\})^{2}>z \log (a+b)
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(2 b_{2}^{y}\right)}{(\log (a+b))(\log (a n))}+25.2(\max \{10,0.38+\log K\})^{2}>\frac{z}{\log (a n)} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z>y$ and $a>b^{3 / 2}$, if $2 b_{2}^{y}>(a+b)^{2 z / 3}$, then from (3.1) we get $a^{2 / 3}>b$ and

$$
2 a^{2 y / 3}>2 b^{y} \geq 2 b_{2}^{y} \geq(a+b)^{2 z / 3}>a^{2 z / 3} \geq a^{2(y+1) / 3}>2 a^{2 y / 3}
$$

which is a contradiction. So, we have $2 b_{2}^{y}<(a+b)^{2 z / 3}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(2 b_{2}^{y}\right)}{(\log (a+b))(\log (a n))}<\frac{2 z}{3 \log (a n)} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
25.2(\max \{10,0.38+\log K\})^{2}>\frac{z}{3 \log (a n)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $10 \geq 0.38+\log K$ by (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{\log (a n)}<K \leq e^{9.62}<15064 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $10<0.38+\log K$ by (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
75.6(0.38+\log K)^{2}>\frac{z}{\log (a n)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{\log (a n)}>\frac{x-z}{\log ((a+b) / a)}>\frac{x-z}{\log (a+b)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (3.5), we see from (3.13) and (3.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K<\frac{2 z}{\log (a n)} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.18) and (3.20), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
75.6\left(1.08+\log \left(\frac{z}{\log (a n)}\right)\right)^{2} \\
>75.6\left(0.38+\log \left(\frac{2 z}{\log (a n)}\right)\right)^{2}>\frac{z}{\log (a n)} . \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{\log (a n)}<7600 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (3.17) and (3.22) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{\log (a n)}<15064 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (3.5), we have

$$
\log (a n) \leq(x-z) \log (a n)<z \log \left(\frac{a+b}{a}\right)<\frac{z b}{a}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{b}<\frac{z}{\log (a n)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{b}<15064 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, since $a>15064 b$, (3.25) is false. Thus, the theorem is proved.
Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let $(a, b)=\left(A^{2}, B^{2}\right)$. By Theorem 1.2, if $A>\max \left\{123 B, B^{3 / 2}\right\}$, then (1.2) has no solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $x>z>y$. On the other hand, by [1], if $B \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, then (1.2) has no solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $y>z>x$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, if $A>\max \left\{123 B, B^{3 / 2}\right\}$ and $B \equiv 2$ $(\bmod 4)$, then $(1.2)$ has no solutions $(x, y, z)$ with $(x, y, z) \neq(1,1,1)$. Thus, the corollary is proved.
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