

Clean Technologies and Recycling, 1(2): 112–123. DOI: 10.3934/ctr.2021006 Received: 08 June 2021 Accepted: 25 October 2021 Published: 02 November 2021

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/ctr

# Mini review

# Recent advances in acid-free dissolution and separation of rare earth elements from the magnet waste

# Grace Inman, Denis Prodius and Ikenna C. Nlebedim\*

Ames Laboratory, US Department of Energy and Critical Materials Institute, Ames, Iowa 50011-3020, USA

\* Correspondence: Email: nlebedim@ameslab.gov; Tel: 5152948916.

Abstract: The availability of REEs is limiting the successful deployment of some environmentally friendly and energy-efficient technologies. In 2019, the U.S. generated more than 15.25 billion pounds of e-waste. Only  $\sim$ 15% of it was handled, leaving  $\sim$ 13 billion pounds of e-waste as potential pollutants. Of the 15% collected, the lack of robust technology limited REE recovery for re-use. Key factors that drive the recycling of permanent magnets based on rare earth elements (REEs) and the results of our research on magnet recycling will be discussed, with emphasis on neodymium and samarium-based rare earth permanent magnets.

Keywords: acid-free dissolution; rare earth recovery; magnet waste recycling

#### 1. Introduction

The unique physical and chemical properties of rare earth elements (REEs) drive their increasing demands in electronics, health care, aerospace, transportation, and defense applications. Technologies that produce substantially lower amounts of carbon dioxide emissions such as wind power and electric vehicles depend critically on neodymium and dysprosium for powerful magnets. The U.S. Department of Energy and the European Commission have considered REEs as critical materials, due to their importance in the clean energy economy and the possibility of disruption in their supplies [1–3]. Approaching large-scale deployment of the above-mentioned technologies will increase the demands for neodymium and dysprosium [4,5]. It is projected that the demand for dysprosium and neodymium alone could increase by a factor of 62–72 in the next 10 years [6]. The addition of expensive dysprosium

permits the use of Nd-Fe-B magnets to higher temperatures, therefore some amounts of critical materials are required to increase the performance of magnets. Nevertheless, the natural source for dysprosium (clays), currently mined mainly in southern China [7], is geographically unfavorable to many countries, including the USA. Moreover, the existing recycling rate of REE is about one percent, which is tremendously small and unsatisfactory [8-10]. A technological challenge is the low concentration of REEs in end-of-life devices and associated materials, which makes the extraction process complicated and cost-intensive [11]. Another fundamental challenge in the field is the similarity in the chemical properties of REEs, which makes their separation as individual elements difficult [12–16]. In general, the REE-containing materials can be recycled by direct reuse, reprocessing the materials before reuse, or via recovery of the chemical elements. The chemical recovery methods for REEs generally include either pyrometallurgical (PMG) or hydrometallurgical (HMG) methodologies [17-22] or a combination of them. In the PMG route, the REEs recovery efficiency is reduced by slag formation, due to the high affinity of the REEs with oxygen. PMG processes are energy-intensive and generate large amounts of solid wastes, although they can have the benefit of recovering the REEs in the form of metals, instead of oxides. HMG approaches allow better recovery efficiency of REEs, especially as oxides or in other nonmetallic forms. However, most HMG methods need substantial amounts of hazardous chemicals, especially strong mineral acids such as sulfuric, nitric, and hydrochloric acids. Large amounts of wastes, including residual strong mineral acids, present recognizable environmental problems. Investments to contain both the acids and their contaminated wastes add to the cost of the HMG processes. Therefore, there is an obvious need for a cost-effective, environment-friendly and energy-efficient method for recycling REEs-containing materials. Aqueous solution of copper(II) salts can selectively dissolve (oxidize) magnetic alloy and efficiently transfer the relevant metals into the solution. Such an approach helps to avoid strong mineral acid use, hence eliminating the associated harsh reaction conditions. The recycling process allows for the copper content of the copper(II) salts to be recovered and reinserted into the value chain. Alternatively, copper salts can be prepared without any use of mineral acids as demonstrated by some selected examples below (Eqs 1-7):

Chlorination of copper sulfide [23]	: $CuS + Cl_2 \rightarrow CuCl_2 + S$	(1)
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

Chloridizing roasting [23]:	$CuS + 2NaCl + 2O_2 \rightarrow CuCl_2 + Na_2SO_4$	(2)
-----------------------------	--	-----

Using ammonium chloride [24]:  $CuS + 2NH_4Cl \rightarrow CuCl_2 + 2NH_3\uparrow + H_2S\uparrow$  (3)

Sulfatizing roasting [25]:  $CuS + 2O_2 \rightarrow CuSO_4 (T \ge 550^{\circ}C)$  (4)

 $8Cu_2S + 15O_2 \rightarrow 6Cu_2O + 4CuSO_4 + 4SO_2\uparrow (T \ge 500^{\circ}C)$   $\tag{5}$ 

$$4CuFeS_2 + 4CuO + 17O_2 \rightarrow 8CuSO_4 + 2Fe_2O_3 (T = 600^{\circ}C)$$
(6)

From copper via anhydrous copper(II) nitrate [26]:

$$Cu + 2N_2O_4 \rightarrow Cu(NO_3)_2 + 2NO\uparrow (T = 80^{\circ}C)$$

$$(7.1)$$

$$Cu(NO_3)_2 + 3H_2O \rightarrow Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O \tag{7.2}$$

Interestingly, a significant amount of global copper supply is obtained with the aid of microorganisms via bioleaching [27–29]. The recycling process by using copper(II) salts was developed

considering the application of green chemistry principles (acid-free dissolution of magnets and some alloys through the redox-dissolution) (Figure 1, Table 1). It was also developed because of potential commercial adoption such that the recovered REEs and other recycling co-products are suitable for reinsertion into the supply chain. Moreover, to maximize profit in the application, the process development included recovery and reinsertion of some of the chemicals back into the recycling process. The chemical dissolution method comprises contacting the REEs-containing material and an aqueous solution of a copper(II) salt to dissolve magnet materials. The REEs are then precipitated from the aqueous solution, which then can be calcined to produce REE-oxides or other REE compounds. This process also enables selective leaching of the REEs contained in magnet swarfs and waste magnets contained in e-waste products such as shredded hard disk drives (HDDs), decrepitated alloys, and crushed electric motors. Swarfs are typically oxidized metal powders generated from the post-manufacturing processing (cutting, grinding, etc.) and are normally contaminated by grinding media (including lubricants).



**Figure 1.** General overview of acid-free dissolution approach. TEA = techno-economic analysis; LCA = Life-cycle analysis; HDD = hard disk drives; Terfenol-D = magnetostrictive alloy Tb<sub>x</sub>Dy<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub> ( $x \approx 0.3$ ).

### 2. Discussion

Materials and Experimental Method: The recycling feedstock materials described in this work are (a) Nd-Fe-B and Sm-Co grinding swarfs from U.S. magnet plants, and (b) Nd-Fe-B contained in crushed electric motors [30]. All chemicals for recycling were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich, ACS reagent grade) and were used without further purifications. The acid-free leaching solutions were prepared by straightforward dissolution of Cu(II) salts in water.

Complete oxidative dissolution at room temperature was accomplished for (neodymium, praseodymium)-iron-boron (RE-Fe-B) magnets according to Eqs 8 and 9 with copper(II) chloride salt as an example:

$$12FeCl_2 + 6H_2O + 3O_2 \rightarrow 4Fe(OH)_3 \downarrow + 8FeCl_3$$
(9)

For recovering REE from the filtrate, a two-step approach was applied to eliminate the presence of iron in the final REE oxides. In the first step, the filtrate was stirred together with an aqueous ammonia solution at 60°C for 2 hrs which resulted in the precipitation of Fe(III) and RE(III) hydroxides (Eq 10):

 $4FeCl_2 + FeCl_3 + RECl_3 + 14NH_4OH + 2H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow 5Fe(OH)_3 \downarrow + RE(OH)_3 \downarrow + 14NH_4Cl$ (10)

Then, a slight excess of solid oxalic acid was added, followed by heating to 80°C and stirring until insoluble REE oxalate precipitated and highly soluble double salt of iron-ammonium oxalate formed (Eq 11):

$$10Fe(OH)_{3}\downarrow + 2RE(OH)_{3}\downarrow + 30NH_{4}OH + 33H_{2}C_{2}O_{4} \rightarrow 10(NH_{4})_{3}[Fe(C_{2}O_{4})_{3}] + RE_{2}(C_{2}O_{4})_{3}\downarrow + 66H_{2}O$$
(11)

The REE oxalates were separated by filtration and washing in hot water, and were calcined in air at 800°C to obtain RE<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (>98% yield; Eq 12):

$$2\text{RE}_2(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)_3 + 3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{RE}_2\text{O}_3 + 12\text{CO}_2\uparrow\tag{12}$$

Nd-Fe-B magnets usually contain Nd and Pr (a mixture of both elements is frequently named didymium). Dysprosium (Dy), which is considered even more critical, is added at lower a concentration for the higher temperature grades. Extraction of such a low concentration of Dy from a mixture of REEs is a challenging problem, from both technical and economic perspectives, even with some established separation procedures [41–48] (Table 2). For complex REE oxalates, an additional one-step separation process, which comprises water-based leaching of dysprosium with organic base/oxalic acid mixture, can be applied (Eq 13) [39]:

$$xRE_2(C_2O_4)_3 \cdot nH_2O + H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O + 2Base \rightarrow [H_3O]_m(Base-H^+)_a[RE(C_2O_4)_b](H_2O)_c$$
(13)

where  $x = 0.01 \div 1.6$ ; n = 10 (RE = La to Er) and 6 (RE = Er to Lu); m = 0 or 1; a = 1, 3, 4, 5, 8; b = 2, 3, 4, 7; c = 1, 1.5, 2 and 10; Base = 1-methylimidazole, 1-ethylimidazole, N-methylpyrrolidine.

So this straightforward and environmentally benign chemical separation of heavy rare earth element (Dy) results in efficient extraction (>68%) even at low initial concentrations of metal (<5% of Dy) from processed magnet wastes. Recently, Schelter et al. reported the separation of neodymium and dysprosium by selective precipitation in benzene, diethyl ether (Et<sub>2</sub>O), or aqueous HCl of complexes with tripodal nitroxide ligand [46–48].

Year	Leaching	Processes	Results	Refer
	reactants			ence
2015	$H_2SO_4$	Disk and ball-milling; roasting; thermodynamic	12-16 M acid solutions; drying at 110°C for 6-24 hrs; selective	[31]
		stability and solubility of products of the	roasting at 650-850°C for 15-120 min; 98 wt% purity of REE	
		considered metal sulfates (REE, Fe).	product; SO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>3</sub> gas is a by-product.	
2016	HCl	Heat treatment (1 hr, 450°C) followed by room	Selective dissolution of NdFeB magnet; generates acidic waste; a	[32]
		temperature processing; dissolution for bonded and	recovery rate of >80%.	
		non-bonded NdFeB magnets; leaching time $\sim$ 4 hrs.		
2016	CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	Pulverization; sieving; leaching with dilute acetic	Extraction of Nd and Fe are quantitative using dilute CH <sub>3</sub> COOH (0.4)	[33]
		acid; leaching of shrinking-sphere model.	M) solution; leaching time of $\sim$ 4 hrs, leaching kinetics study.	
2017	HCl	Pulverization; corrosion in 3% NaCl (~ one week);	Closed-loop hydrochloric acid (HCl)-based process; triple extraction;	[34]
		additional chemicals used (NH <sub>4</sub> Cl, Cyphos®	about 30% of B separation.	
		IL101); leaching time: 1–2 hrs.		
2017	HCl,	Crushing and grinding; solvent extraction with	Separation of Dy vs. Nd; Stripping efficiency of loaded organic	[35]
	$H_2SO_4$	NaCyanex302; $pH = 0.5-1.2$ ; leaching with HCl.	followed the order: $HCI < HNO_3 < H_2SO_4$ .	
2018	$HNO_3$	Demagnetization; crushing; Hollow Fibre	Dy separated from NdFeB magnetic scrap with >97% purity and 94%	[36]
		Membrane (HFM) operation in non-dispersive	recovery; Separation behavior of Nd, Dy, and Pr under different	
2010	o :	solvent extraction (NDSX) mode.	hydrodynamic conditions.	[07]
2019	Organic	Hydrogen decrepitated starting material; roasting,	95% extraction at 70°C for REEs for ascorbic acid case; glycolic and	[37]
	acids	sieving; solvent extraction (TBP, D2EHPA,	maleic acids were more efficient as lixiviants (time $\geq 6.5$ hrs) than	
2020	<b>C</b> 1/	Cyanex $2/2$ , and $923$ ).	ascorbic acid; results are comparable to mineral acids leaching activity.	[20]
2020	Cu salts	Acid-free dissolution of NdFeB (swarf, e-waste)	Selective acid-free leaching of rare-earth elements from magnet-	[38]
		and Sm-Co magnets through the redox reactions at	containing electronic wastes, such as end-oi-life (EoL) hard disk	
		R1; partial separation of oxidized materials (iron	drives and electric motors; it excludes some energy-consuming steps.	
2020	$C_{\rm er}C_{\rm l}$	(24  nrs).	Coloring dissolution and comparties of DE conditions into an equipart	[20]
2020	CuCl <sub>2</sub>	Acid-free dissolution of NdFeB magnet from the	selective dissolution and separation of RE oxalates into an aqueous	[39]
		motor, chemical separation of fale earth oxalates	(80/) from the low Dr. containing material	
2020		Crushing (hydraulic press) hell milling columnt	68%) from the low Dy-containing material.	[40]
2020	Гупјсі	extraction (Cyanes 023 D2EHDA and DC 88A)	consumption of other solvents: Nd and Dy were extracted at different	[40]
		cruation (Cyanes 725, DZEIIFA, and FC-88A).	concentrations of $PC_{-88A}$	
			concentrations of 1 C-0071.	

**Table 1.** Some selected hydrometallurgical approaches for leaching rare earth metals from magnets.

Year	Extractants	Solvents/Mineral acids used	Processes/Results	Separation factor	Refere nce
1957	HDEHP	Toluene-H <sub>2</sub> O/HCl, H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid: industrially applied process.	41.5 (Dy/Nd)	[41,42]
1995	Cyanex 302	Heptane-H <sub>2</sub> O/HNO <sub>3</sub>	Bis-(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)monothiophosphinic acid: Solvent extraction of Ln(III) (except for Pm) was studied at various aqueous pH values, extractant concentrations, and different temperatures (°C).		[43]
2015	TriNOx	THF-Et <sub>2</sub> O-Arenes- CHCl <sub>3</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /No acid	Rare-earth-metal coordination compounds with a tripodal nitroxide ligand (TriNOx <sup>3-</sup> ) undergo a self-association (dimerization) equilibrium based on cation size, which enables the separation of light and heavy rare earth metals.	359 (Dy/Nd)	[46-48]
2016	Ionic Liquid [MAIL]Tf <sub>2</sub> N	[MAIL]Tf <sub>2</sub> N- [P <sub>666(14)</sub> ]Tf <sub>2</sub> N- H <sub>2</sub> O/HCl	A method for extracting a rare earth metal from a mixture of one or more rare earth metals in acidic aqueous solution with help of hydrophobic ionic liquid (phosphonium-based) and designed imidazolium-based ionic liquid ligand (MAIL).	1085 (Dy/Nd)	[20]
2020	Cyanex 572/TODGA	Isopar L (normal alkanes, isoalkanes, and cycloalkanes)/HNO <sub>3</sub>	The recovery and separation of rare earth elements (REEs) using supported membrane solvent extraction are provided. The immobilized organic phase includes a solvent and an extractant. The organic phase can include an isoparaffinic hydrocarbon solvent and a phosphorous-based chelating extractant and with a ratio by volume of between 1:1 and 3:1 or any other combination. The feed solution can include a pH maintained between 0 and 2.0, and optionally between 1.0 and 1.5.	400 (Dy/Nd)	[44]
2020	Organic base/ oxalic acid	H <sub>2</sub> O/No acid	The approach (CSEREOX) allows for selective solubilization of water- insoluble oxalates of rare earth elements even at low initial concentrations (<5%, Dy) from processed magnet wastes.	38 (Dy/Nd)	[39]
2020	Cyanex 923	PEG 200-alkanes- 1-decanol/HCl, LiCl	Cyanex 923 is a commercial mixture of trialkyl phosphine oxides, with $C_6$ and $C_8$ chains. It has the advantage over trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) that it is a liquid at room temperature, and it is a stronger extractant than tri-n-butyl phosphate (TBP). It was demonstrated that nonaqueous solvent extraction can be integrated in conventional hydrometallurgical flow sheets to provide a sustainable process for the separation of Nd and Dy.	69 (Dy/Nd)	[45]

Table 2. Some selected hydrometallurgical approaches for the separation of individual rare earth metals (Dy/Nd).

Despite outstanding separation factors in Table 2, some of these approaches were not considered yet as commercially viable. Some research groups (Nockemann, Binnemans) successfully applied ionic liquids [49] intending to enhance the separation selectivity of rare earth metals [50]. However, there is still a need in creating an environmentally friendly and commercially viable alternative for the standard liquid-liquid extraction (solvent extraction) of rare earth metals.

Samarium-cobalt (Sm-Co) magnets excel the high-performance Nd-Fe-B magnets when high temperature (>150°C) applications are required. The acid-free leaching process was adapted to Sm-Co swarfs with some specific modifications depending on the nature of copper salt (sulfate) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. The overall strategy for recycling samarium and cobalt from Sm-Co swarf.

Most of the known recycling processes for Sm-Co magnets use an excess of hazardous sulfuric acid for leaching (a) and shifting of the solubility equilibrium (b) to the target insoluble (double salt) product [51]. The mechanism of redox dissolution with copper(II) sulfate is similar (Eq 14) to that of Nd-Fe-B:

$$2\operatorname{SmCo}_5 + 13\operatorname{CuSO}_4 + \operatorname{O}_2 \to \operatorname{Sm}_2(\operatorname{SO}_4)_3 + 10\operatorname{CoSO}_4 + 2\operatorname{Cu}_2\operatorname{O}_4 + 9\operatorname{Cu}^0_4 \qquad (14)$$

The recovery of  $Sm_2O_3$  from this solution requires precipitation of double salt  $NaSm(SO_4)_2$  (Eq 15), conversion to samarium(III) oxalate (Eq 16) and calcination at 800°C with  $Sm_2O_3$  as a final product (Eq 17):

$$Na_2SO_4 + Sm_2(SO_4)_3 \rightarrow 2NaSm(SO_4)_2 \downarrow$$
(15)

$$2NaSm(SO_4)_2 \downarrow + 6NH_4OH + 3H_2C_2O_4 \rightarrow Sm_2(C_2O_4)_3 \downarrow + Na_2SO_4 + 3(NH_4)_2SO_4 + 6H_2O$$
(16)

$$2Sm_2(C_2O_4)_3 + 3O_2 \to 2Sm_2O_3 + 12CO_2^{\uparrow}$$
(17)

Cobalt, like the REEs, has been identified as a critical material. Successful application of the recycling process to Sm-Co magnets indicates the potential for waste Sm-Co alloys to be sources of significant amounts of cobalt for secondary supplies. The recovery of cobalt can be done in the form of  $Co_3(PO_4)_2$  (used as an inorganic pigment) (Eq 18) or cobalt(II, III) oxide (Eqs 19, 20; used for cathode material manufacturing):

$$3\operatorname{CoSO}_{4} + 2\operatorname{Na}_{3}\operatorname{PO}_{4} \rightarrow \operatorname{Co}_{3}(\operatorname{PO}_{4})_{2}\downarrow + 3\operatorname{Na}_{2}\operatorname{SO}_{4}$$

$$\operatorname{CoSO}_{4} + \operatorname{Na}_{2}\operatorname{C}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{4} \rightarrow \operatorname{CoC}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{4}\downarrow + \operatorname{Na}_{2}\operatorname{SO}_{4}$$

$$(18)$$

$$(19)$$

$$6\operatorname{CoC}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{4} + 4\operatorname{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Co}_{3}\operatorname{O}_{4} + 12\operatorname{CO}_{2}\uparrow$$

$$(T = 600 - 700^{\circ}\operatorname{C})$$

$$(20)$$

Another advantage here is reusable by-products ( $Na_2SO_4$  and copper oxides) which is important because it minimizes materials (processing) costs and being fully in line with some of the 12 principles of green chemistry (atom economy, use of renewable feedstock, and reduce derivatives) [52].

For making magnets using recovered REE oxide, the oxides were first reduced to RE metal ingot using the established Ames process [53] (Eqs 21, 22):

$$RE_2O_3 + 6HF \rightarrow 2REF_3 + 3H_2O\uparrow \qquad (T = 650-700^{\circ}C)$$
(21)

The oxides were converted to fluorides at 700°C under a flow of anhydrous HF and argon. The anhydrous fluorides were then reduced with high purity calcium in tantalum crucibles as described in (Eq 22):

$$2REF_3 + 3Ca \rightarrow 2RE + 3CaF_2$$
 (T = 900–950°C) (22)



**Figure 3.** The suitability for reinsertion of the recycled REEs into the magnet supply chain. Reprinted with permission from *ACS Sustainable Chem Eng* 2020, 8: 1455–1463 [38]. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

Part of the obtained ingot was alloyed in an argon atmosphere with 99.9% pure Fe and Cu, and 99.5% pure boron via arc melting to produce a magnet feed-stock with composition  $(Nd-Pr)_{2.3}Fe_{14}B + 0.5$  wt.% Cu. The recycled REEs were used to make magnets as previously described in [38] (Figure 3) to exhibit the suitability for reinsertion into the magnet supply chain.

In conclusion, the development of efficient and safe recycling technology can help to minimize the consequences of supply disruptions. Recycling of REEs from magnet waste is one approach for addressing REEs materials criticality problems and outcomes in products suitable to be reinserted into the rare earth elements supply chain.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Critical Materials Institute, an Energy Innovation Hub funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Advanced Manufacturing Office. Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. DOE by Iowa State University of Science and Technology under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. Grace Inman acknowledges support by the Science Undergraduate Laboratory Internships (SULI) program (US DOE).

# **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare no conflict of interest in this paper.

# References

- 1. U.S. Department of Energy (2011) Critical Materials Strategy. Washington DC, 1–171. Available from: https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1000846.
- European Commission communication, Brussels (2017) 13.9.2017, COM 490 final. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2017/EN/COM-2017-490-F1-EN-MAIN-PART-1.PDF.
- 3. Nassar NT, Brainard J, Gulley A, et al. (2020) Evaluating the mineral commodity supply risk of the US manufacturing sector. *Sci Adv* 6.
- 4. Rollat A, Guyonnet D, Planchon M, et al. (2016) Prospective analysis of the flows of certain rare earths in Europe at the 2020 horizon. *Waste Manag* 49: 427–436.
- 5. Nguyen RT, Fishman T, Zhao F, et al. (2018) Analyzing critical material demand: A revised approach. *Sci Total Environ* 630: 1143–1148.
- 6. Byrne L, Bach V, Finkbeiner M (2021) Urban transport assessment of emissions and resource demand of climate protection scenarios. *Cleaner Environ Syst* 2: 100019.
- 7. Van Gosen BS, Verplanck PL, Seal RR, et al. (2017) Rare-earth Elements. Available from: https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1802/o/pp18020.pdf.
- 8. Jowitt SM, Werner TT, Weng Z, et al. (2018) Recycling of the rare earth elements. *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem* 13: 1–7.
- 9. Sethurajan M, van Hullebusch ED, Fontana D, et al. (2019) Recent advances on hydrometallurgical recovery of critical and precious elements from end of life electronic wastes-a review. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 49: 212–275.

AIMS Clean Technologies and Recycling

- Binnemans K, Jones PT, Blanpain B, et al. (2013) Recycling of rare earths: a critical review. J Clean Prod 51: 1–22.
- 11. Golev A, Scott M, Erskine PD, et al. (2014) Rare earths supply chains: Current status, constraints and opportunities. *Resour Policy* 41: 52–59.
- 12. James C (1912) The separation of the rare earths. J Am Chem Soc 34: 757–771.
- 13. Spedding FH, Voigt AF, Gladrow EM, et al. (1947) The separation of rare earths by ion exchange. *J Am Chem Soc* 69: 2777–2781.
- 14. Yin X, Wang Y, Bai X, et al. (2017) Rare earth separations by selective borate crystallization. *Nat Commun* 8: 14438–14446.
- 15. Cheisson T, Schelter EJ (2019) Rare earth elements: Mendeleev's bane, modern marvels. *Science* 363: 489–493.
- Kołodyńska D, Fila D, Gajda B, et al. (2019) Applications of Ion Exchange Materials in the Environment: Rare Earth Elements - Separation Methods Yesterday and Today, ed. Inamuddin AM, Asiri A, Springer, Switzerland, 161–185.
- 17. Jha MK, Kumari A, Panda R, et al. (2016) Review on hydrometallurgical recovery of rare earth metals. *Hydrometallurgy* 165: 2–26.
- Yoon HS, Kim CJ, Chung KW, et al. (2016) Solvent extraction, separation and recovery of dysprosium (Dy) and neodymium (Nd) from aqueous solutions: waste recycling strategies for permanent magnet processing. *Hydrometallurgy* 165: 27–43.
- 19. Orefice M, Binnemans K, Hoogerstraete TV (2018) Metal coordination in the high-temperature leaching of roasted NdFeB magnets with the ionic liquid betainium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide. *RSC Adv* 8: 9299–9310.
- 20. Nockemann P, Ritesh R (2018) Separation of rare earth metals. WO2018109483A1.
- 21. Tunsu C (2018) Hydrometallurgy in the recycling of spent NdFeB permanent magnets. *Waste Electr Electron Equip Recycl* 8: 175–211.
- 22. Padhan E, Nayak AK, Sarangi K (2017) Recovery of neodymium and dysprosium from NdFeB magnet swarf. *Hydrometallurgy* 174: 210–215.
- 23. Jena PK, Brocchi EA (2008) Metal extraction through chlorine metallurgy. *Min Proc Ext Met Rev* 16: 211–237.
- 24. D'yachenko AN, Kraidenko RI (2010) Processing oxide-sulfide copper ores using ammonium chloride. *Russ J Non-Ferrous Met* 51: 377–381.
- 25. Ashcroft EA (1933) Sulfate roasting of copper ores and economic recovery of electrolytic copper from chloride solutions. *Trans Electro-Chem Soc* 23: 23–44.
- 26. Wallwork SC, Addison WE (1965) The crystal structures of anhydrous nitrates and their complexes. Part I. The α-form of copper(II) nitrate. *J Chem Soc* 2925–2933.
- 27. Schippers A, Hedrich S, Vasters J, et al. (2014) Metal recovery from ores with microorganisms. In: Geobiotechnology I; Schippers A, Glombitza F, Sand W, Eds., *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1–47.
- 28. Sethurajan M, van Hullebusch ED, Nancharaiah YV (2018) Biotechnology in the management and resource recovery from metal bearing solid wastes: Recent advances. *J Environ Manage* 211: 138–153.
- 29. Chen BW, Wen JK (2013) Feasibility study on heap bioleaching of chalcopyrite. *Rare Met* 32: 524–531.

- 30. Great Planes ElectriFly RimFire. 10 35-30-1250. Outrunner Brushless Motor. Available from: www.amazon.com.
- 31. Önal MA, Borra R, Guo CR, et al. (2015) Recycling of NdFeB magnets using sulfation, selective roasting, and water leaching. *J Sustain Metall* 1: 199–215.
- 32. Bandara HMD, Field KD, Emmert MH (2016) Rare earth recovery from end-of-life motors employing green chemistry design principles. *Green Chem* 18: 753–759.
- 33. Behera SS, Parhi PK (2016) Leaching kinetics study of neodymium from the scrap magnet using acetic acid. *Sep Purif Technol* 160: 59–66.
- 34. Kitagawa J, Uemura R (2017) Rare earth extraction from NdFeB magnet using a closed-loop acid process. *Sci Rep* 7: 8039.
- 35. Padhan E, Nayak AK, Sarangi K (2017) Recovery of neodymium and dysprosium from NdFeB magnet swarf. *Hydrometallurgy* 174: 210–215.
- 36. Yadav KK, Anitha M, Singh DK, et al. (2018) NdFeB magnet recycling: dysprosium recovery by non-dispersive solvent extraction employing hollow fibre membrane contactor. *Sep Purif Technol* 194: 265–271.
- Gergoric M, Barrier A, Retegan T (2019) Recovery of rare-earth elements from neodymium magnet waste using glycolic, maleic, and ascorbic acids followed by solvent extraction. *J Sustain Metall* 5: 85–96.
- 38. Prodius D, Gandha K, Mudring AV, et al. (2020) Sustainable urban mining of critical elements from magnet and electronic wastes. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 8: 1455–1463.
- 39. Prodius D, Klocke M, Smetana V, et al. (2020) Rationally designed rare earth separation by selective oxalate solubilization. *Chem Commun* 56: 11386–11389.
- 40. Orefice M, Binnemans K (2020) Solvometallurgical process for the recovery of rare-earth elements from Nd–Fe–B magnets. *Sep Purif Technol* 258: 117800.
- 41. Peppard DF, Mason GW, Maier JL, et al. (1957) Fractional extraction of the lanthanides as their dialkyl orthophosphates. *J Inorg Nucl Chem* 4: 334–343.
- 42. Gupta CK, Krishnamurthy N (2005) Extractive Metallurgy of Rare Earths, CRC, New York, 1-484.
- 43. Yuan M, Luo A, Li D (1995) Solvent extraction of lanthanides in aqueous nitrate media by Cyanex 302. *Acta Metall Sin* 8: 10–14.
- 44. Bhave RR, Islam SZ (2020) Separation of rare earth elements using supported membrane solvent extraction, US2020/0056264A1.
- 45. Dewulf B, Batchu NK, Binnemans K (2020) Enhanced Separation of Neodymium and Dysprosium by Nonaqueous Solvent Extraction from a Polyethylene Glycol 200 Phase Using the Neutral Extractant Cyanex 923. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 8: 19032–19039.
- 46. Bogart JA, Lippincott CA, Carroll PJ, et al. (2015) An Operationally Simple Method for Separating the Rare-Earth Elements Neodymium and Dysprosium. *Angew Chem* 127: 8340–8343.
- 47. Cheisson T, Cole BE, Manor BC, et al. (2019) Phosphoryl-Ligand Adducts of Rare Earth-TriNOx Complexes: Systematic Studies and Implications for Separations Chemistry. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 7: 4993–5001.
- 48. Nelson JJM, Cheisson T, Rugh HJ, et al. (2020) High-throughput screening for discovery of benchtop separations systems for selected rare earth elements. *Commun Chem* 3: 7.
- 49. Prodius D, Mudring AV (2018) Rare earth metal-containing ionic liquids. *Coord Chem Rev* 363: 1–16.

- 50. Dupont D, Binnemans K (2015) Recycling of rare earths from NdFeB magnets using a combined leaching/extraction system based on the acidity and thermomorphism of the ionic liquid [Hbet][Tf<sub>2</sub>N]. *Green Chem* 17: 2150–2163.
- 51. Tanaka M, Oki T, Koyama K, et al. (2013) Recycling of rare earths from scrap. *Handb Phys Chem Rare Earths* 43: 159–211.
- 52. Anastas PT, Warner JC (1998) Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice, Oxford University Press, New York, 135.
- 53. Spedding FH, Daane AH (1952) The Preparation of Rare Earth Metals. J Am Chem Soc 74: 2783–2785.



© 2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)