

AIMS Medical Science, 6(3): 181–190. DOI: 10.3934/medsci.2019.3.181 Received: 11 May 2019 Accepted: 04 July 2019 Published: 29 July 2019

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/medicalScience

Research article

The relationship between facet tropism and lumbar disc herniation in patients with low back pain

Sima Fallah Arzpeyma¹, Parisa Hajir² and Pooya Bahari Khorram^{2,*}

- ¹ Assistant Professor of Radiology, Radiology Department, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran
- ² Radiology Resident, Radiology Department, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran
- * Correspondence: Email: Pooyabahari@gmail.com.

Abstract: Introduction: Intervertebral disc herniation is a degenerative lumbar disease and a common pathology of skeletal system. Currently, most experts assume that facet tropism may affect lumbar degenerative diseases. However, the reports on relationship between facet tropism and lumbar disc herniation are inconsistent. Objective: To compare the relationship between facet tropism and lumbar disc herniation. Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional study, patients with low back pain referring to Radiology Department of Poursina Hospital in 2017-2018 with lumbar disc herniation in only one intervertebral level (L3-L4, L4-L5 or L5-S1) were included. Using gradual sampling, patients were categorized into two case and control groups. Lumbar MRI was performed on all patients and the results were studied by only one professional radiologist. Studied variables were collected with a checklist and analyzed using SPSS software (version 21). Quantitative variables were compared using T-test and qualitative (categorical) variables were compared using Contingency Tables and Chi-Square test and/or Fisher's Extract. P < 0.05 was identified statistically significant. Findings: Seventysix patients with lumbar disc herniation were compared in terms of facet tropism presence. Investigating facet tropism in L4–L5 disc showed that percentage of facet tropism incidence in this level was 37% for patients with herniation (n = 10), while it was 10.2% in control group; the difference was statistically significant according to Chi-square test (P = 0.005). In L3-L4 level, percentage of facet tropism incidence in case and control groups were 43.8% (n = 7) and 18.3%, respectively. This 25% difference was statistically significant based on Fisher's Exact test (P = 0.041). Findings for L5-S1 disc showed no significant relationship. Discussion: Given the inconsistent findings in previous studies on the relationship between facet tropism and lumbar disc herniation, the current study demonstrated the presence of this relationship. This findings can help experts prevent disc herniation to some extents through supportive and preventive measures.

1. Introduction

Intervertebral disc herniation is known as the most common skeletal system pathology causing low back pain [1]. This degenerative disc pathology can progress due to its inherent biologic properties and improper mechanical movement. Condition caused by this pathology is the most common reason for absence from work and is considered a general health issue all over the world [2–4]. Disc herniation occurs in any age group, but it is more likely to occur in ages 40–50. It is estimated that around 2–3% of population (about 5% of men and 2.5% of women) are affected by this disease [5]. Studies have suggested that its etiology involves multiple factors associated with everyday life habits such as heavy lifting, smoking, obesity, and also normal aging process, genetic, and anatomical changes [6].

Facet orientation is an important structural factor in disc degenerative disease. Facet joints are placed on a transverse plane proportional to sagittal plane. Facet tropism (FT) is defined as an asymmetric angular orientation of left and right facet joints. FT has the potential to make biomechanical changes in lumbar movements which in turn accelerate the degenerative changes in adjacent intervertebral discs or joints [7–9]. First theory belongs to Farfan et al. which indicated a relationship between FT and progression of intervertebral lumbar disc herniation. FT > 10 degrees was detected in 14–28 percent of cases. Studies have shown that FT was associated with increased shear forces and hence decreased resistance to shear forces [10–12].

Despite the previous efforts made to study whether facet orientation and tropism increase the risk of lumbar disc herniation, the results are still inconsistent. Some studies demonstrated the relationship between FT and lumbar disc herniation [10,12–18], while others have found no relationship [19–24]. Therefore, clinical significance of FT and its orientation are still unidentified. In this study, we aim to investigate the relationship between facet tropism and lumbar discs herniation at L3–L4, L4–L5, and L5–S1.

2. Method

2.1. Patients

The present study is a comparative, cross-sectional study. Patients referring to radiology department of Poursina Hospital in 2017–2018 with low back pain and lumbar disc herniation only in one intervertebral level (L3–L4, L4–L5 or L5–S1) entered the study voluntarily with gradual sampling method, then they were divided into case and control groups accordingly. patients with disc herniation in a given lumbar level were considered as case group and control group was defined as patients without signs of disc herniation. Lumbar disc herniation in all patients was evaluated and diagnosed by only one expert radiologist.

2.2. MRI and measuring method

In recent years MRI is the most common modality to assess patients with spinal degenerative diseases. In this study, 1T conventional MRI was used for scans of L1–S1 levels with 3 mm slice thickness and 5 mm slice gap on transverse and sagittal planes. In order to perform scans on patients, they were placed in a supine position. Intravenous anesthetic were used for patient who could not tolerate the position due to the pain they were experiencing. Patients with improper MRIs due to rotation, prior surgery or scoliosis were excluded.

Focusing on obtained Axial images, the clearest images with best subjective view of both facet joints without rotation, or technical artifacts were selected. Facet angles were measured by drawing straight lines, one passing through central point of vertebral body and tip of the spinal process, and the others parallel to midline of facet joints. Consistent with Gao et. al. work, facet angles difference equal to or higher than 10 degrees in lumbar vertebrae $\{a-b\}$ were considered as facet tropism (Figure 1) [25].



Figure 1. Method of measuring facet angle in lumbar vertebrae.

2.3. Statisical analysis

After collecting through check-list, data were analyzed with SPSS software, version 21 (IBM Corporation, New York, USA). Quantitative data were compared using Student T-test (independent samples). Qualitative data (categorical) were analyzed by Contingency tables using Chi-Square test and/or Fisher's Exact test based on the situation. In all studied cases, results were considered statistically significant providing their *P* value was ≤ 0.05 .

3. Results

In this study, 76 patients with lumbar disc herniation in one of intervertebral levels (L3–L4, L4–L5, and L5–S1) were investigated. Men consisted 39.5% (30 individuals) and women consisted 60.5% (46 individuals) of the population. Patients were between the ages 20–97 with mean and standard deviation 46.1 ± 15.3 .

In most cases, L5–S1 vertebrae level was involved (43.4%, n = 33). L4–L5 and L3–L4 vertebrae levels were involved in the second place (35.5%, n = 27; 21.1% and n = 16 respectively) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Lumbar disc herniation frequency L3–L4, L4–L5 and L5–S1.

Each intervertebral level (L3–L4, L4–L5 and L5–S1) was evaluated separately to detect facet tropism. The result of studying L3–L4 level in patients with herniation showed that facet tropism percentage was 43.8% (n = 7), while it was18.3% (n = 11) in control group. This 25% difference was statistically significant according to Fisher's Exact test (P = 0.041) (Table 1).

Evaluating tropism in L4–L5 intervertebral level in patients with herniation showed that the frequency of facet tropism in this level, both in case and control group was less than in L3–L4 level; in other words, it was 37% in case group (n = 10) and 10.2% (n = 5) in control group. However, this difference was statistically significant based on Chi-square test (P = 0.005) (Table 1).

Finally, facet tropism was investigated in L5–S1 intervertebral level in case and control group and its frequency was 48.5% (n = 16) and 27.9% (n = 12), respectively. Although the highest frequency rate of facet tropism was observed in this level, both in case and control group, its difference was not significant based on Chi-square test (P = 0.065) (Table 1).

Vertebral Levels			Facet tropism		Total	Р	
			Negative	Positive			
L5-S1	Control	Number	31	12	43	0.065	
		Percent	72.1%	27.9%	100.0%		
	Case	Number	17	16	33		
		Percent	51.5%	48.5%	100.0%		
Total		Number	48	28	76		
		Percent	63.2%	36.8%	100.0%		

Table 1. Comparison of frequency of facet tropism incidence in L3–L4, L4–L5 and L5–S1 intervertebral levels in patients with lumbar disc herniation in case and control groups.

Continued on next page

Vertebral Levels			Facet tropism		Total	Р
			Negative	Positive		
L4–L5	Control	Number	44	5	49	0.005
		Percent	89.8%	10.2%	100.0%	
	Case	Number	17	10	27	
		Percent	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%	
Total		Number	61	15	76	
		Percent	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%	
L3–L4	Control	Number	49	11	60	0.041
		Percent	81.7%	18.3%	100.0%	
	Case	Number	9	7	16	
		Percent	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%	
Total		Number	58	18	76	
		Percent	76.3%	23.7%	100.0%	

The frequency of facet tropism incidence is evaluated based on gender, and the results in Table 2 indicate that the rate of incidence is higher in men than in women (66.7% compared to 52.2%); however, this difference is not statistically significant (P = 0.21).

Table 2. A comparison of frequency of facet tropism incidence in patients with herniation based on gender.

	Facet tropism						
	No		Yes		Total		_
Sex	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Р
Male	10	33.3%	20	66.7%	30	100.0%	0.21
Female	22	47.8%	24	52.2%	46	100.0%	

The age average of patients with and without facet tropism was 47 ± 15.7 and 44.7 ± 14.9 respectively, which was not significant based on Independent test (P = 0.937) (Table 3).

	Facet tropism	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Р	
Age	No	32	44.75	14.92	0.53	
	Yes	44	47.00	15.74		

Table 3. Comparison of age average in two groups of patients with/without facet tropism.

4. Discussion

Facet joints are responsible for mechanical support of posterior column, movement stability and extension, and support against over contraction of disc. Two facet joints in a level carry the parallel load in normal lumbar spine. Asymmetry in facet joint or FT (facet tropism) in vertebrae can be a potential anatomical risk factor for degenerative changes in spine. Moreover, it is assumed that changes in Facet joint alignment with changes in spine biomechanical pressures can lead to early degeneration and herniation of vertebral discs [26]. The concept of facet joint disease as a reason of

low back pain in some patients, was presented by Putty in 1927 for the first time. He demonstrated that orientation of two facet joints in a level can be significantly different in sagittal plane [27]. Using biomechanical studies, some researchers have shown that FT is associated with increased shear pressure and hence increased risk of low back pain. Farfan et al. stated that Facet joint asymmetric orientation can lead to increased shear pressure in axial rotation and hence increased torsion stress in annulus fibrosus [14]. On the other hand, Kim et al. showed that facet orientation does not increase stress in disc or facet joint, but FT can make that part more susceptible to external movement or anterior shear force [11].

In the present study, herniation is more identified in L5–S1 intervertebral level. Tisot et al identified 47.1% of herniation cases at L5–S1 intervertebral level [28]. The frequency of facet tropism incidence was investigated based on gender. the results showed that although the rate was higher in men, the difference was not statistically significant. In a study carried out by Lee et al., the results showed that there was no significant difference in rate of facet tropism incidence and lumbar disk herniation based on sex [8].

The age average among patients with herniation was 46.1 ± 15.3 in this study. Although there was no statistically significant difference in age average of patients with and without facet tropism, patients with facet tropism had higher age average. The result of other studies showed that with aging process, facet tropism was accelerated as a degenerative process. With aging, spine instability developed as a result of undermined facet joints and intervertebral discs; the condition was much severe in some cases that leads to slip of upper vertebra on the lower [15,29–32].

According to the results of this study, there was a statistically significant difference in incidence of facet tropism at L3–L4 level between patients with herniation and control group. The results of studies carried out by Pichaisak et al. [33] and Chadha [13] were consistent with our results. However, in Gao et al., there was no significant difference in rate of facet tropism incidence in L3–L4 level of patient with herniation compared to control group [25]. In addition, the study of facet tropism in L4–L5 intervertebral level showed that the rate of facet tropism in patients with herniation was higher compared to healthy individuals; it was a statistically significant difference [21,19].

Other findings demonstrate that the highest rate of facet tropism was observed at L5–S1 intervertebral level both in case and control group. Despite the fact that rate of facet tropism incidence in patient with herniation was higher compared to control group, no significant difference was observed. This finding was consistent with Ghandhari et al. as they demonstrated that the rate of facet tropism incidence at L5–S1 intervertebral level in patient with herniation was 50.8% (n = 32) compared to control group which was 36% (n = 22); this was not a statistically significant difference [34]. On the other hand, findings in Gao et al. work was inconsistent with ours; in their study, 24 out of 34 patient with lumbar disc herniation at L5–S1 had facet tropism; while in control group, 10 out of 52 patients had facet tropism which was a statistically significant difference [25].

The results showed that there was a statistically significant relationship between FT and disc herniation at L3–L4 and L4–L5 intervertebral level in case and control groups, while it was not significant at L5–S1. The reason for insignificance at L5–S1 may be the change in the ratio of case to control group compared to two other levels (More number of cases and less number of controls).

Due to the controversy of previous clinical and biomechanical studies, the role that FT plays in lumbar disc herniation remains unknown; it is still unconfirmed that whether FT is associated with increased risk of lumbar disc herniation. These differences can be partly due to differences in measurement of facet angles, differences in FT definitions or research protocols. Several studies indicated that the presence of FT (11 degrees \leq) was the underlying condition for incidence of lumbar discs herniation, especially in aged people [15,18]. Kunakornsawat et al. defined FT as 5 degrees difference in angle between right and left facet joints; they found no significant difference between herniation and FT [35]. Karacan et al. introduced FT as difference in two-side angles more than two interobserver errors and found that FT was more common in patients with lumbar disc herniation [36]. Kong et al. defined FT as 7 degrees difference between two-side facet joints on the same level [37]. Like our study, Wang et al, defined FT as 10 degrees difference between right and left angles [32].

Some studies used CT scans to measure facet angle, while others used MRI as we did. MRI is considered the most useful tool to diagnose lumbar spine pathologies due to its higher contrast resolution [38].

Adjacent normal disc is used as control group in some studies, while healthy and normal individuals consists the control group in other studies [34]. It is very important to use proper control group for clinical tests [16]. However, our study was faced with limitations; Many cases were excluded because of anatomical features that interfere with angle measurement including osteophyte formations, congenital conditions and scoliosis. Disc degeneration process and herniation is affected by FT as well as other factors including environment, local trauma, lifestyle, race, weight, tobacco use, atherosclerosis, potential anatomical factors and changes happened in the aging process. According to literature, all the above mentioned factors affect disc degeneration to some extent. It should be noted that genetic factors can have double effect [39–41]. It was not possible to investigate all these potential intervening factors in current study but matching was made for history of smoking and patient with prior history of major trauma were excluded.

5. Conclusion

Given the previous inconsistent findings regarding facet tropism relationship with lumbar disc herniation, findings of present study can help to prove that there is a relationship between facet tropism and lumbar disc herniation. With demonstrating this relationship, experts can prevent disc herniation in these patients to some extent through supportive and preventive measures including life style modification, focused exercises, correction of posture and gate and preventive physical therapy [42]. Although more studies should be performed to evaluate the efficacy of such measures to prevent the development of disc herniation and symptoms in still asymptomatic patients.

Acknowledgement

This article was extracted from a thesis approved in Guilan University of Medical Sciences. We greatly Vice Chancellor for Research of Guilan University of Medical Sciences for funding this project.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

- 1. Katz JN (2006) Lumbar disc disorders and low-back pain: socioeconomic factors and consequences. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 88: 21–24.
- 2. Adams MA, Roughley PJ (2006) What is intervertebral disc degeneration, and what causes it? *Spine* 31: 2151–2161.
- 3. Nachemson A (1960) Lumbar intradiscal pressure: experimental studies on post-mortem material. *Acta Orthop Scand* 31: 1–104.
- 4. Atlas SJ, Chang Y, Kammann E, et al. (2000) Long-term disability and return to work among patients who have a herniated lumbar disc: the effect of disability compensation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 82: 4–15.
- 5. Vialle LR, Vialle EN, Henao JES, et al. (2010) Hérnia discal lombar Lumbar disc herniation. *Rev Bras Ortop* 45: 17–22.
- 6. Jacobsen S, Sonne-Holm S, Rovsing H, et al. (2007) Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis: an epidemiological perspective: the Copenhagen Osteoarthritis Study. *Spine* 32: 120–125.
- 7. Tulsi RS, Hermanis GM (1993) A study of the angle of inclination and facet curvature of superior lumbar zygapophyseal facets. *Spine* 18: 1311–1317.
- 8. Lee DY, Lee SH (2009) Effects of facet tropism and disk degeneration on far lateral lumbar disk herniation: comparison with posterolateral lumbar disk herniation. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 49: 57–61.
- 9. Brailsford JF (1929) Deformities of the lumbosacral region of the spine. Br J Surger 16: 562–627.
- 10. Farfan H, Sullivan J (1967) The relation of facet orientation to intervertebral disc failure. *Can J surg J can de chir* 10: 179.
- 11. Kim HJ, Chun HJ, Lee HM, et al. (2013) The biomechanical influence of the facet joint orientation and the facet tropism in the lumbar spine. *Spine J* 13: 1301–1308.
- 12. Cyron B, Hutton W (1980) Articular tropism and stability of the lumbar spine. Spine 5: 168–172.
- 13. Chadha M, Sharma G, Arora SS, et al. (2013)Association of facet tropism with lumbar disc herniation. *Eur Spine J* 22: 1045–1052.
- Farfan H, Cossette J, Robertson G, et al. (1970) The effects of torsion on the lumbar intervertebral joints: the role of torsion in the production of disc degeneration. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 52: 468–497.
- 15. Noren R, Trafimow J, Andersson G, et al. (1991) The role of facet joint tropism and facet angle in disc degeneration. *Spine* 16: 530–532.
- 16. Ko HY, Park BK (1997) Facet tropism in lumbar motion segments and its significance in disc herniation. *Arch Phys Medicin Rehabil* 78: 1211–1214.
- 17. Linov L, Klindukhov A, Li L, et al. (2013) Lumbar facet joint orientation and osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study. *J Back Musculoskeletal Rehabil* 26: 421–426.
- 18. Van JS, Verbiest H, Van FS (1985) The orientation of laminae and facet joints in the lower lumbar spine. *Spine* 10: 59–63.
- 19. Ahmed AM, Duncan NA, Burke DL (1990) The effect of facet geometry on the axial torquerotation response of lumbar motion segments. *Spine* 15: 391–401.
- 20. Vanharanta H, Floyd T, Ohnmeiss DD, et al. (1993) The relationship of facet tropism to degenerative disc disease. *Spine* 18: 1000–1005.

- 21. Cassidy JD, Loback D, Yong-Hing K, et al. (1992) Lumbar facet joint asymmetry. Intervertebral disc herniation. *Spine* 17: 570–574.
- 22. Adams M, Hutton W (1981) The relevance of torsion to the mechanical derangement of the lumbar spine. *Spine* 6: 241–248.
- Yu H, Hou S, Wu W, et al. (1998) The relationship of facet orientation to intervertebral disc protrusion and lateral recess stenosis in lower lumbar spine. *Zhonghua wai ke za zhi* 36: 176–8, 31.
- 24. Grogan J, Nowicki BH, Schmidt TA, et al. (1997) Lumbar facet joint tropism does not accelerate degeneration of the facet joints. *Am J Neuror* 18: 1325–1329.
- 25. Gao T, Lai Q, Zhou S, et al. (2017) Correlation between facet tropism and lumbar degenerative disease: a retrospective analysis. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord* 18: 483.
- 26. Long DM, BenDebba M, Torgerson WS, et al. (1996) Persistent back pain and sciatica in the United States: patient characteristics. *J Spinal Disord* 9: 40–58.
- 27. Putti V (1927) New conceptions in the pathogenesis of sciatic pain. Lancet 2: 53-60.
- 28. Tisot RA, Vieira JDS, Collares DDS, et al. (2018) Influence of facet tropism on the location of lumbar disc herniation. *Columa/Columna* 17: 23–26.
- 29. Lumbar DDD (2013) Associação entre tropismo facetário e doença degenerativa de disco lombar.
- Liu Z, Duan Y, Rong X, et al. (2017) Variation of facet joint orientation and tropism in lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis and disc herniation at L4–L5: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurgery* 161: 41–47.
- 31. Mohanty SP, Kanhangad MP, Kamath S, et al. (2018) Zygapophyseal joint orientation and facet tropism and their association with lumbar disc prolapse. *Asian Spine J* 12: 902–909.
- 32. Wang H, Zhou Y (2016) Facet tropism: possible role in the pathology of lumbar disc herniation in adolescents. *J Neurosurgery Pediatr* 18: 111–115.
- 33. Pichaisak W, Chotiyarnwong C, Chotiyarnwong P (2015) Facet joint orientation and tropism in lumbar degenerative disc disease and spondylolisthesis. *J Med Assoc Thai* 98: 373–379.
- 34. Ghandhari H, Ameri E, Hasani H, et al. (2018) Is facet tropism associated with increased risk of disc herniation in the lumbar spine? *Asian Spine J* 12: 428.
- 35. Kunakornsawat S, Ngamlamaidt K, Tungsiripat R, et al. (2007) The relationship of facet tropism to lumbar disc herniation. *J Med Assoc Thai* 90: 1337–1341.
- 36. Karacan I, Aydin T, Sahin Z, et al. (2004) Facet angles in lumbar disc herniation: their relation to anthropometric features. *Spine* 29: 1132–1136.
- 37. Kong MH, He W, Tsai Y-D, et al. (2009) Relationship of facet tropism with degeneration and stability of functional spinal unit. *Yonsei Med J* 50: 624–629.
- 38. Eun SS, Lee H-Y, Lee S-H, et al. (2012) MRI versus CT for the diagnosis of lumbar spinal stenosis. *J Neuroradiology* 39: 104–109.
- Videman T, Leppävuori J, Kaprio J, et al. (1998) Volvo award winner in basic science studies: Intragenic polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor gene associated with intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine* 23: 2477–2485.
- 40. Battié MC, Videman T, Parent E (2004) Lumbar disc degeneration: epidemiology and genetic influences. *Spine* 29: 2679–2690.
- 41. Battié MC, Videman T, Gibbons LE, et al. (1995) Determinants of lumbar disc degeneration: a study relating lifetime exposures and magnetic resonance imaging findings in identical twins. *Spine* 20: 2601–2612.

42. Cohen SP, Raja SN (2007) Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment of lumbar zygapophysial (facet) joint pain. *Anesthesiology* 106: 591–615.



© 2019 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)