

AIMS Medical Science, 5(2): 162–180. DOI: 10.3934/medsci.2018.2.162 Received: 20 December 2017 Accepted: 28 March 2018 Published: 15 April 2018

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/medicalScience

Review

Mass spectrometry imaging for early discovery and development of

cancer drugs

Masahiro Yasunaga^{1,*}, Shino Manabe², Masaru Furuta³, Koretsugu Ogata³, Yoshikatsu Koga¹, Hiroki Takashima¹, Toshirou Nishida⁴ and Yasuhiro Matsumura¹

- ¹ Division of Developmental Therapeutics, EPOC, National Cancer Center (6-5-1 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba 277-8577, Japan), Japan
- ² Synthetic Cellular Chemistry Laboratory, RIKEN (Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan), Japan
- ³ Shimadzu Corporation (1, Nishinokyo-Kuwabaracho, Nakagyo-ku, Kyoto 604-8511, Japan), Japan
- ⁴ National Cancer Center Hospital (5-1-1, Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan), Japan
- * Correspondence: Email: mayasuna@east.ncc.go.jp.

Abstract: A drug delivery system (DDS) is a method for delivering a drug to its site of action in the body, with the goal of achieving therapeutic benefits while reducing adverse effects. Pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) studies have been conducted to evaluate drug delivery, but these approaches are rarely used in the early stages of drug discovery and development. We demonstrated that the tumor stromal barrier inhibits drug distribution within tumor tissue, especially in refractory cancers such as pancreatic cancer. This poses an obstacle to the discovery of new drugs, and is difficult to overcome using conventional in vitro drug discovery methods. In addition, we are also developing new DDS drugs and antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs). These agents act via four steps: Systemic circulation, the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, penetration within the tumor tissue, and action on cells including controlled drug release. Most of these activities can be evaluated by conventional biological or pharmacological assays. However, it is difficult to examine drug distribution and controlled drug release within targeted tissues. Recent advances in mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) allow examining drug delivery much more conveniently with the off-labeling. A mass microscope, a new type of matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization (MALDI)-MSI analyzer, is a microscope coupled with an atmospheric MALDI and quadruple ion trap time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer, and can provide imaging data with enhanced resolution and high sensitivity. Using a mass microscope, we succeeded in visualizing the EPR effect of a polymeric micelle drug and controlled drug release by an ADC. Currently, we are developing a new drug imaging method using electrospray ionization (ESI)-MSI. Here, we review the use of MSI in early stages of drug discovery and development, as well as our related recent work.

Keywords: drug discovery and development; molecular imaging; mass spectrometry imaging (MSI); drug delivery system (DDS); antibody-drug conjugate (ADC); MALDI (matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization); electrospray ionization (ESI); mass microscope

Abbreviations: MSI: mass spectrometry imaging; DDS: drug delivery system; ADC: antibody-drug conjugate; MALDI: matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization; ESI: electrospray ionization; PK: pharmacokinetics; PD: pharmacodynamics

1. Introduction

Today, in addition to chemical compounds, many biologics have been developed for clinical application. For both categories of drug, validation of pharmacological efficacy by pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) studies is important for successful development of safe and effective therapeutics [1,2]. In conventional PK/PD analysis, drug concentrations are examined in a blood or tumor sample. The profile of a small-molecule chemical compound can be predicted based on the profiles of similar compounds, or by using any of several pharmacological compartment models [2–4].

Unlike chemical compounds, biologics (especially antibodies) have unique PK/PD profiles and multiple mechanisms of action, including neutralizing effects, induction of apoptosis, and immunoreactions such as ADCC (antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity), CDC (complement dependent cytotoxicity), and ADPC (antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis) [5,6]. Moreover, the PK profile of an antibody has distinct features that depend on the structure of the antibody itself or the biology of the targeted antigen [5,7–10]. In regard to PD, efficient drug delivery into the targeted site and accumulation in normal tissues should be checked carefully. Traditionally, however, such PK/PD studies are usually conducted in the middle or late stage of drug discovery and development.

A more convenient evaluation method for visualizing drug distribution in the early stage of development would improve the success rate. Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) is a method for viewing a biomolecule or metabolite in a tissue sample using MS analysis [11–20]. We applied this approach to drug imaging as a form of *in situ* PK/PD analysis. Although in situ evaluations usually employ autoradiograms with radiolabeled drugs, the associated techniques are complicated and expensive [14,21,22]. By contrast, MSI is convenient, and (excluding the cost of the analytical device itself) the running cost is low.

Here, we review advances in the use of MSI for early drug discovery and development, and describe our recent relevant work.

2. PK/PD and drug development

Chemical compounds have linear PK profiles, and their biodistribution can be predicted using pharmacological compartment models [2–4]. By contrast, antibodies have complex non-linear PK profiles with substantial between- and within-patient variability. In particular, the target-binding

activity and immunogenicity of the antibody strongly influence the PK profile. The former phenomenon is referred to as target-mediated drug disposition (TMDD). High-affinity target binding or high levels of target will strongly influence the PK profile, typically resulting in non-linear PK characteristics [5,8,23]. The immunogenicity of an antibody is another important factor influencing the PK profile [9,24].

Several factors are involved in forming an anti-drug antibody (ADA) against a therapeutic antibody. ADAs are classified into two types, binding antibody (Bab) and neutralizing antibody (NAb). ADA-Babs are produced even against fully human therapeutic antibodies that avoid immunogenicity based on species differences. Babs react to allotypes that vary based on genetic differences between populations. On the other hand, NAbs react to the epitope that determines the specificity of the antibody. In immunology, this phenomenon is referred to as an idiotype network. Both B and T lymphocytes recognize antigens specifically, either via antibodies (B cells) or T-cell receptors. Idiotype networks enable the host to avoid the expansion of autoreactive B or T cells [7,9,24,25].

ADAs can be produced not only against antibodies, but also against chemical compounds. Because it is still difficult to abolish the immunogenicity of a drug, especially an antibody drug, the detection, characterization, and control of ADAs have become more and more important. These factors, which influence drug development, can be predicted using molecular imaging and DDS technologies. Genetically engineered mice with targets derived from humans or monkeys would be helpful for such predictions [7,9].

In the drug discovery, determination of the mode of action (MOA) and its uniqueness in comparison with conventional drugs are also important factors influencing the success rate of drug development. Chemical compounds, especially molecular targeting drugs such as kinase inhibitors, have simple straightforward MOAs. By contrast, antibodies have multiple functions: (1) neutralizing effect; (2) apoptosis-inducing effect; (3) ADCC (antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity); (4) CDC (complement dependent cytotoxicity); (5) ADPC (antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis) [5,6]. Furthermore, next-generation antibody therapeutics have additional distinctive actions. An antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), a combination of an antibody and chemical compound connected via a specialized linker, has a chemotherapeutic effect as an additional action [14,26–31]. In radioimmunotherapy (RIT) and photoimmunotherapy (PIT), the new properties are radiotherapeutic and phototherapeutic, respectively [32,33]. The recently approved anti–PD-1, anti–PD-L1, and anti–CTLA-4 antibodies have a unique MOA; they block the immune checkpoint to enhance the anti-tumor immunoreaction [34–36].

3. EPR effect and DDS drug

A drug delivery system (DDS) is a method that delivers a required dose of drug into a specified area in the body when needed. Anticancer agents (ACAs) are distributed throughout the body, leading to adverse side effects. On the other hand, a DDS can target tumors, thereby enhancing the action of the drug and minimizing toxicity [14,37,38]. The EPR (enhanced permeability and retention) effect is a very important concept for DDSs. In normal tissues, low-molecular weight (MW) agents can extravasate easily, whereas high-MW (HMW) agents cannot. By contrast, in tumors, HMW agents from 10 to 200 nm in size can extravasate into the tumor selectively, dependent on the EPR effect (Figure 1).



Figure 1. EPR effect. In tumor tissue, high-molecular weight agents (HMAs) can extravasate due to enhanced permeability through leaky vessels, whereas they cannot do so in normal tissue. In addition, HMAs can persist for a long time because the tumor is not efficiently drained by lymphatic vessels.

Accordingly, many DDS drugs, such as liposome or micelles, have been developed [37,38,40–47]. We consider antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) to be DDS drugs because the antibody ranges in size from 10 to 20 nm and can extravasate via the EPR effect [14,39]. Figure 2 shows a comparison among liposomes, micelles, and ADCs. Liposomes range in size from 50 to 200 nm, and the drugs they contain are released by natural breakdown or enzymatic cleavage [41,42,48]. Micelles range in size from 30 to 100 nm, and the drugs they contain are released by natural breakdown or unique technologies such as pH-dependent cleavage [38,40,47]. ADCs range in size from 10 to 20 nm. In an ADC, a specialized linker is used for the control of drug release. Importantly, ACAs are very small size, below 1 nm; consequently, they can distribute into both tumor and normal tissues, often causing adverse effects. By contrast, DDS drugs cannot distribute in this fashion, and the frequency of adverse effects is correspondingly reduced. DDS drugs and ADCs act via four steps: (1) systemic circulation; (2) EPR effect (passive targeting); (3) penetration within tumor tissue; (4) action on cells [14]. To evaluate these four steps, delivery of the drug carrier or antibody should be examined. In addition, to evaluate the final step, controlled release should be examined. In regard to the final step, our strategy of using MSI to visualize the drug released from a DDS drug or ADC is very useful (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Representative DDS drugs. Features of liposomes, micelles, and antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) are shown.





4. MSI

MSI is a method for directly visualizing biomolecules or metabolites in tissue samples [11–19]. In MS, it is important to ionize the targeted molecule. Methods for ionization include MALDI (matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization), ESI (electrospray ionization), and SIMS (secondary-ion

mass spectrometry). In MALDI, the matrix must be sprayed on the tissue sample. After the treated sample is laser-irradiated, ion exchange occurs between ionized matrix transfer protons and the analyte molecules (i.e., biomolecules and metabolites). Finally, the molecules become ionized [11,12,18]. ESI uses an electrospray in which a high voltage is applied to a liquid to create charged aerosol droplets. A wide range of molecules, including chemical compounds, can be ionized without any addition of matrix under ambient conditions [12,13,15,49–51]. DESI (desorption electrospray ionization) is a specialized ambient molecular imaging technology, performed without pretreatment, that allows visualization of the spatial localization of targeted molecules, including chemical compounds [52–54].

In SIMS, the molecules are secondarily ionized from the surface of the sample, which is bombarded by an energetic primary ion beam (e.g., metal ions such as Au or Bi). This method can provide detailed chemical information about a material of interest, and is therefore useful for identification and localization of metal composites such as gold, magnetic, and semiconducting nanoparticles. Ionized molecules are analyzed by transmission quadrupole, time-of-flight (TOF) or Fourier transform MS [12,16,18,55]. MALDI-TOF-MS and ESI with LC-MS are described in later sections.

5. MALDI-MSI and mass microscopy

The principles underlying MALDI are shown in Figure 4. Tissue samples are prepared with a sprayed matrix. After the matrix is irradiated by the laser, it absorbs energy, causing it to be desorbed and ionized. Biomolecules are not ionized directly, but are instead desorbed with the matrix around the irradiated site. Subsequently, protons are exchanged between the ionized matrix and the biomolecules, and the biomolecules are ultimately ionized. Matrices used for MALDI include sinapinic acid (SA), α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA), and 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHBA). SA is commonly used for protein analysis. Both CHCA and DHBA are used for analysis of peptides or tryptic peptides. Low-MW metabolites, lipids, or compounds are often measured as a matrix in MALDI [11,12,18,56].



Figure 4. Principles of matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization (MALDI). After laser irradiation, both sample and matrix can be desorbed. Ions are transferred from the ionized matrix to the non-ionized sample. Ultimately, the sample is ionized.

Recently, other matrices (e.g., graphene, 2-amino-4,5-diphenylfuran-3-carboxylic acid, and graphene oxide (GO)-modified sinapinic acid) or the integration of nanotechnology with mass spectrometry have been used to measure ionized molecules with high sensitivity and selectivity [57–62].

In TOF-MS, the ionized sample reaches the detector (Figure 5). Light ions arrive faster than heavy ions, and molecular size is determined by the velocity. A doubly charged ion arrives twice as fast as a singly charged ion. Therefore, to state it more precisely, the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) can be measured. The tissue distribution of a targeted molecule can be acquired as a picture after the measurement of multiple small areas by MALDI-TOF-MS. In some cases, MS/MS analysis can be used to verify the identity of drugs and drug metabolites.

Ionization: MALDI (Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization)



Figure 5. Schema of matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization (MALDI)—time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS). After the ionization of MALDI, the ionized sample can be analyzed by TOF-MS. A doubly charged ion arrives twice as fast as a singly charged ion. Thus, the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) can be measured.

The MSI device we used for drug imaging is called a mass microscope [21,22,63–66]. This instrument is a conceptually new imaging device that combines an optical microscope system with a high resolution ($\leq 10 \ \mu$ m) with atmospheric-pressure MALDI, which is distinct from conventional MALDI-TOF-MS. A transmitted light image of a target tissue can be obtained directly via the dedicated microscope apparatus, making comparison with the MSI image easy. Figure 6 shows the difference in resolution between 100 μ m and 10 μ m. At a resolution of 100 μ m, gray matter and white matter can be discriminated, but it is difficult to obtain more detail. On the other hand, at a resolution of 10 μ m, the distribution of the granule layer in white matter can be easily distinguished. Thus, the use of a high-resolution mass microscope is advantageous for observing finer tissue distributions.

Figure 7 shows a schematic of drug imaging using a mass microscope. The results of this analysis, which used a dilution series of paclitaxel as a standard, revealed that the imaging intensity of the drug was correlated with the amount of the drug. It was able to be used as a semi-quantitative measurement (Figure 7).



Figure 6. MALDI-MSI. MALDI-MSI with spatial resolutions of 100 µm and 10 µm are shown. cWM: cerebral white matter; cGM: cerebral gray matter; GL: granule layer.



Figure 7. Mass microscopy. Our drug imaging system, which uses MSI with a mass microscope, is shown. Semi-quantification of paclitaxel (PTX) was conducted using a serial dilution of PTX as a standard. Adapted with permission from Yasunaga et al. [22].

6. Visualization of DDS drug and EPR effect

As mentioned above, many DDS drugs have been developed to take advantage of the EPR effect. To date, SMANCS (polymer conjugate neocarzinostatin), Doxil (doxorubicin-enclosing liposome), and abraxane (paclitaxel/albumin suspension) have already been clinically applied [67–69]. In Japan, which leads the world in DDS research based on nanotechnology, excellent nanocarriers such as micelles, MENDs (multifunctional envelope-type nano devices) and improved liposomes have been successfully developed [40,41,47,70]. In addition, many technologies, including antibody or ligand conjugation, size reduction or pH-dependent dissociation to improve cancer targeting, tumor penetration, and controlled release of drug, have been exploited [38,40,47,71,72].

Another representative DDS drug is paclitaxel (PTX)-encapsulated micelles [73]. In preclinical settings, PTX-micelles exerted stronger anti-tumor effects than free PTX. Peripheral neuropathy is a major adverse effect of PTX, but in a mouse model of mechanical sensory threshold testing, free PTX caused peripheral neuropathy whereas PTX-micelle did not.



Figure 8. Visualization of controlled release of PTX micelles. Imaging of bright field (upper) and PTX (lower, specific signal of m/z 892.3) within the tumor was performed after PTX or PTX micelle administration at a dose of 100 mg/kg. PTX within neuronal tissue was imaged after PTX or PTX micelle administration at a dose of 50 mg/kg. Bright field (upper), the neuronal marker sphingomyelin (middle, specific signal of m/z 851.6), and PTX (lower, specific signal of m/z 892.3) are shown. In normal tissue, neuronal area is delineated by a white line. In all samples, 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHBA) was used as matrix for pretreatment. Adapted with permission from Yasunaga et al. [22].

We evaluated the drug distribution in both tumor and peripheral neuronal tissue using a mass microscope. In the tumor, free PTX and PTX released from micelles were detected. A free-PTX signal was detected 15 min and 1 hr after administration, but decreased gradually and disappeared by 24 hr. In contrast to free PTX, released PTX was detected more than 24 hr after the administration, and the signal intensity was greater at 24 hr than at 15 min or 1 hr (Figure 8) [22].

Next, we conducted drug imaging in normal neuronal tissue. A strong free-PTX signal was detected in perineuronal lesions 30 min and 1 h after administration. By contrast, the released PTX signal from PTX micelles was extremely weak around the neuron (Figure 8). This significant difference in distribution may explain why PTX micelles do not cause neurotoxicity. Thus, we succeeded in visualizing the EPR effect for the first time [22].

7. ADC and visualization of controlled release

Several ADCs have been used in the clinic already, and more than 40 ADCs have been studied in clinical trials worldwide [26,27,30]. One important application of ADCs is in treating relapsed or refractory malignant diseases. For example, SGN-35 is effective for patients with CD30-positive relapsed or refractory malignant lymphoma, and T-DM1 is also effective for patients with HER2-positive advanced or remnant breast cancer previously treated with standard drugs, including naked anti-HER2 antibody [34,74–76]. An ADC has three parts: Antibody, linker, and drug. The drug is conjugated to the antibody via the specialized linker.

As described above, ADCs act via steps, and evaluation of antibody delivery and controlled release throughout these steps is very important. ADCs are also capable of active targeting, depending on specific recognition of and binding to the target antigen [10,28]. The linker is stable in the bloodstream, but should efficiently release the drug in the tumor cells or within their microenvironment [27,77,78]. The total number of drug molecules that can be conjugated with a single antibody molecule is usually about four, but can be up to eight. Therefore, highly toxic agents must be used [26,27,77,78].

Linker technology is a typical controlled-release technology in DDS. Therefore, it is clear that ADC should be considered to belong to the DDS drug category. We previously succeeded in visualizing the four steps of antibody delivery using molecular imaging modalities such as fluorescence or PET (positron emission tomography)/SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) [79,80]. We succeeded in developing an anti–tissue factor (TF)-ADC, which had a significant anti-tumor effect in a xenograft model of PC [81]. We sought to visualize the controlled release of anti–TF-ADC in the final step.

The MW of monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) is 717.5. In MS analysis, three positive-ion peaks are derived from MMAE: m/z 718.4, 740.4, and 756.4, representing singly charged hydrogen $[M + H]^+$, sodium $[M + Na]^+$, and potassium $[M + K]^+$ ions, respectively. Finally, we selected and confirmed the prominent fragment m/z 496.3 as a MMAE-specific fragment peak, detected when m/z 740.4 was used as a precursor ion. Thus, we succeeded in visualizing and quantifying MMAE separately from other biomolecules. We also found that most MMAE was not released from the ADC during the MALDI process. Therefore, we concluded that controlled release of ADC can be visualized and quantified by MSI [21].

Control ADC or anti-TF-ADC was administered into a mouse bearing a human pancreatic cancer tumor. When the tumor samples were examined by MSI, a stronger released MMAE signal

was detected from anti–TF-ADC than from control ADC. The signal was strongest at 24 hr after the administration (Figure 9). We concluded that ADC distribution and controlled drug release in the tumor area were successful [21].



Figure 9. Controlled release of MMAE from ADC using MSI. Drug design of anti-tissue factor (TF) antibody-drug conjugate is shown (top). Tumor samples from a mouse xenograft model were prepared 3, 24, and 72 hr after administration of control ADC or anti–TF-ADC at a dose of 10 mg/kg. For each ADC, H & E staining, bright field, or released MMAE signals (m/z 496.3) obtained by mass microscopy are shown (bottom). In all samples, α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) was used as the matrix for pretreatment. Adapted with permission from Fujiwara et al. [21].

8. ESI-MSI

MALDI-MSI, including mass microscopy, is widely used worldwide. Some drugs cannot be visualized because of low ionization efficiency due to matrix dependency. Moreover, matrix preparation makes it difficult to visualize living cells. However, essentially all drugs can be ionized using the ESI method [12,13,15,16,50]. Moreover, ESI can generate multiply charged ion species, thereby effectively extending the dynamic range. Even if a molecule has a MW of 10,000, the 20-valent ions would be m/z 500 and the 40-valent ions m/z 250, which could be identified by MS (Figure 10).

Molecular weight is determined by computer analysis by calculating each multiply charged ion. Indeed, ESI has been widely used for analysis of not only drugs but also other materials, such as natural products and biopolymers [11,12,15]. Because of the very soft ionization process in the ESI method, it is suitable for visualization of high polarity, barely volatile, and thermally unstable drugs. DESI, derived from ESI, is an ambient ionization technique performed without pretreatment that can be coupled to mass spectrometry to visualize analyte molecules at atmospheric conditions (Figure 11) [52–54]. However, ionized molecules must be transferred to the mass analyzer via the same inlet, posing the risk of contamination caused by carryover of sequential samples [82].



Figure 10. Principles of ESI. Charged parent droplets can be produced by a spraying nozzle with high voltage. Changes move to the surface to minimize coulomb repulsion. After the vaporization of ions, each ionized sample is analyzed by mass spectrometry.



Figure 11. Principles of DESI. A charged solvent electrospray is directed onto the sample. The analyte molecules on the surface are then desorbed and aerosolized as highly charged droplets. Each ionized sample is analyzed by mass spectrometry. The sample is applied without matrix preparation.

Therefore, we have focused on ESI-MSI using liquid extraction surface analysis (LESA) as another type of ambient ionization technique performed without pretreatment. This method has the advantage of fully automated liquid extraction—based surface sampling, and can provide information about drug distribution (Figure 12). Because the tips and nanospray nozzles for ESI-MSI with LESA are single-use, there is no carryover-dependent contamination [82]. We injected imatinib, a small compound, into mice bearing gastrointestinal tumors (GISTs), and observed strong imatinib signals in the tumor (Figure 13) [83]. Next, we visualized controlled release of MMAE from the ADC in a mouse model of brain tumor. Unlike the skin xenograft model, we hardly detected the released MMAE signal in the brain tumor samples. We speculated that antibody distribution was suppressed by low blood supply or the blood-brain barrier. Subsequently, we attempted to use LISA-ESI-MSI for the visualization of controlled released of MMAE. As expected, we observed a strong signal of released MMAE (our unpublished data).



Figure 12. Principles of ESI-MSI using LESA. Charged parent droplets can be produced by a spraying nozzle with high voltage. Changes move to the surface to minimize coulomb repulsion. After the vaporization of ions, each ionized sample is analyzed by mass spectrometry. The eluted molecules are directly transferred into the LC-MS instrument without a matrix preparation or desorption step.



Figure 13. ESI-MSI. Drug delivery of imatinib to a GIST tumor is shown. Imaging of sample extraction site in bright field (left) and drug distribution of imatinib (right, specific signal of m/z) within the tumor was performed after imatinib administration at a dose of 50 mg/kg.

The measurement sensitivity of ESI-MSI is higher than that of MALDI-MSI, although the spatial resolution of mass microscopy $(5-10 \ \mu\text{m})$ is still superior to that of LISA-ESI-MSI $(0.5-1 \ \text{mm})$. Recently, however, technologies for single-cell ESI-MSI analysis have progressed considerably. For example, a nano-ESI tip robotically controlled under a TV monitor enables the evaluation of single-organelle proteomics from living cells [72,84]. These technologies will be useful to improve the spatial resolution of ESI-MSI.

9. Conclusion

We emphasize the usefulness of MSI, which can provide information about not only drug delivery but also controlled release of the drug. Moreover, with drugs and their metabolites, many biomolecules can be visualized at the same time. Markers involved in the efficacy and toxicity of drugs should be evaluated, and it should be possible to discover a new biomarker to predict or monitor drug efficacy and toxicity. We developed several ADCs such as stroma-targeting ADC (CAST therapy), anti–TF-ADC, and anti–IL-7R ADC [81,85–88]. We used fluorescence and PET/SPECT for the evaluation of antibody delivery [21,80].

We also conducted general PK analysis using homogenized tissue samples; however, this approach provided only average drug concentration, but no information about drug delivery, controlled release, or action on cells within tumor tissue. Visual observation of these aspects is necessary to determine the MOA of CAST therapy. To address this need, we introduced MSI into our approach to early drug discovery and development. Although the spatial resolution and measurement sensitivity are not sufficient for wide use, technological advances (including single-cell analysis) will make more general application possible in the near future [84].

Single-cell MS analysis will also provide molecular-level insight into cancer cell heterogeneity and complex microenvironments consisting of multiple varieties of cells. In addition, it would be helpful for finding or validating druggable targets and biomarkers of drug efficacy and toxicity. Therefore, MSI is a very attractive and beneficial method for early drug discovery and development.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank S. Saijou, S. Hanaoka, and R. Tsumura for assistance in producing antibodies. We also thank Y. Fujiwara for assistance with the study of MS imaging, and M. Nakayama and M. Shimada for secretarial support. This work was financially supported by grants from the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund (27-S-5 and 29-S-1 to Masahiro Yasunaga and 26-A-14 to Yasuhiro Matsumura); a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Science of Japan (Yasuhiro Matsumura); JSPS KAKENHI Grant (15H04316 to Masahiro Yasunaga, 16K15600 to Toshirou Nishida and Masahiro Yasunaga and 16H05419 to Toshirou Nishida and Masahiro Yasunaga); Number 15H04316 (Masahiro Yasunaga); Practical Research for Innovative Cancer Control (16ck0106114h0003) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development, AMED (Masahiro Yasunaga); Project Mirai Cancer Research Grants (Masahiro Yasunaga); the Princess Takamatsu Cancer Research Fund (Masahiro Yasunaga); Japan Leukemia Research Fund (Masahiro Yasunaga); Kawano Masanori Memorial Public Interest Incorporated Foundation for Promotion of Pediatrics (Masahiro Yasunaga).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Gallo JM (2010) Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic-driven drug development. Mt Sinai J Med

AIMS Medical Science

NY77: 381-388.

- 2. Chien JY, Friedrich S, Heathman MA, et al. (2005) Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics and the stages of drug development: Role of modeling and simulation. *AAPS J* 7: E544–E559.
- 3. Garralda E, Dienstmann R, Tabernero J (2017) Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling for drug development in oncology. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ* 37: 210–215.
- 4. Dingemanse J, Krause A (2017) Impact of pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modelling in early clinical drug development. *Eur J Pharm Sci* 109S: S53–S58.
- 5. Glassman PM, Balthasar JP (2014) Mechanistic considerations for the use of monoclonal antibodies for cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Med* 11: 20–33.
- 6. Rajasekaran N, Chester C, Yonezawa A, et al. (2015) Enhancement of antibody-dependent cell mediated cytotoxicity: A new era in cancer treatment. *ImmunoTargets Ther* 4: 91–100.
- 7. Krishna M, Nadler SG (2016) Immunogenicity to biotherapeutics—the role of anti-drug immune complexes. *Front Immunol* 7: 21.
- 8. Kamath AV (2016) Translational pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of monoclonal antibodies. *Drug Discov Today Technol* S21–22: 75–83.
- 9. Gomez-Mantilla JD, Troconiz IF, Parra-Guillen Z, et al. (2014) Review on modeling anti-antibody responses to monoclonal antibodies. *J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn* 41: 523–536.
- Adams GP, Weiner LM (2005) Monoclonal antibody therapy of cancer. Nat Biotechnol 23: 1147–1157.
- 11. Cornett DS, Reyzer ML, Chaurand P, et al. (2007) Maldi imaging mass spectrometry: Molecular snapshots of biochemical systems. *Nat Methods* 4: 828–833.
- 12. Rompp A, Spengler B (2013) Mass spectrometry imaging with high resolution in mass and space. *Histochem Cell Biol* 139: 759–783.
- 13. Calligaris D, Caragacianu D, Liu X, et al. (2014) Application of desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging in breast cancer margin analysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A* 111: 15184–15189.
- 14. Yasunaga M, Manabe S, Tsuji A, et al. (2017) Development of antibody-drug conjugates using dds and molecular imaging. *Bioengineering* 4: 78.
- 15. Wu C, Dill AL, Eberlin LS, et al. (2013) Mass spectrometry imaging under ambient conditions. *Mass Spectrom Rev* 32: 218–243.
- 16. Murray KK, Seneviratne CA, Ghorai S (2016) High resolution laser mass spectrometry bioimaging. *Methods* 104: 118–126.
- 17. Stoeckli M, Chaurand P, Hallahan DE, et al. (2001) Imaging mass spectrometry: A new technology for the analysis of protein expression in mammalian tissues. *Nat Med* 7: 493–496.
- 18. McDonnell LA, Heeren RM (2007) Imaging mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrom Rev 26: 606-643.
- 19. Caprioli RM, Farmer TB, Gile J (1997) Molecular imaging of biological samples: Localization of peptides and proteins using maldi-tof ms. *Anal Chem* 69: 4751–4760.
- 20. Wulfkuhle JD, Liotta LA, Petricoin EF (2003) Proteomic applications for the early detection of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 3: 267–275.
- 21. Fujiwara Y, Furuta M, Manabe S, et al. (2016) Imaging mass spectrometry for the precise design of antibody-drug conjugates. *Sci Rep* 6: 24954.
- 22. Yasunaga M, Furuta M, Ogata K, et al. (2013) The significance of microscopic mass spectrometry with high resolution in the visualisation of drug distribution. *Sci Rep* 3: 3050.
- 23. Peletier LA, Gabrielsson J (2012) Dynamics of target-mediated drug disposition: Characteristic

profiles and parameter identification. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn 39: 429-451.

- 24. Pineda C, Jacobs IA, Alvarez DF, et al. (2016) Assessing the immunogenicity of biopharmaceuticals. *Biodrugs* 30: 195–206.
- 25. Hampe CS (2012) Protective role of anti-idiotypic antibodies in autoimmunity—lessons for type 1 diabetes. *Autoimmunity* 45: 320–331.
- 26. Thomas A, Teicher BA, Hassan R (2016) Antibody-drug conjugates for cancer therapy. *Lancet Oncol* 17: e254–e262.
- 27. Diamantis N, Banerji U (2016) Antibody-drug conjugates—an emerging class of cancer treatment. *Br J Cancer* 114: 362–367.
- 28. Damelin M, Zhong W, Myers J, et al. (2015) Evolving strategies for target selection for antibody-drug conjugates. *Pharm Res* 32: 3494–3507.
- 29. Senter PD, Sievers EL (2012) The discovery and development of brentuximab vedotin for use in relapsed hodgkin lymphoma and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma. *Nat Biotechnol* 30: 631–637.
- Ogitani Y, Aida T, Hagihara K, et al. (2016) Ds-8201a, a novel her2-targeting adc with a novel DNA topoisomerase i inhibitor, demonstrates a promising antitumor efficacy with differentiation from t-dm1. *Clin Cancer Res* 22: 5097–5108.
- 31. Sau S, Alsaab HO, Kashaw SK, et al. (2017) Advances in antibody-drug conjugates: A new era of targeted cancer therapy. *Drug Discovery Today* 22: 1547–1556.
- 32. Mitsunaga M, Ogawa M, Kosaka N, et al. (2011) Cancer cell-selective in vivo near infrared photoimmunotherapy targeting specific membrane molecules. *Nat Med* 17: 1685–1691.
- 33. Larson SM, Carrasquillo JA, Cheung NK, et al. (2015) Radioimmunotherapy of human tumours. *Nat Rev Cancer* 15: 347–360.
- 34. Sau S, Alsaab HO, Kashaw SK, et al. (2017) Advances in antibody-drug conjugates: A new era of targeted cancer therapy. *Drug Discovery Today*.
- 35. Gerber HP, Sapra P, Loganzo F, et al. (2016) Combining antibody-drug conjugates and immune-mediated cancer therapy: What to expect? *Biochem Pharmacol* 102: 1–6.
- 36. Alsaab HO, Sau S, Alzhrani R, et al. (2017) Pd-1 and pd-11 checkpoint signaling inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: Mechanism, combinations, and clinical outcome. *Front Pharmacol* 8: 561.
- 37. Matsumura Y (2014) The drug discovery by nanomedicine and its clinical experience. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 44: 515–525.
- 38. Nishiyama N, Matsumura Y, Kataoka K (2016) Development of polymeric micelles for targeting intractable cancers. *Cancer Sci* 107: 867–874.
- 39. Matsumura Y, Maeda H (1986) A new concept for macromolecular therapeutics in cancer chemotherapy: Mechanism of tumoritropic accumulation of proteins and the antitumor agent smancs. *Cancer Res* 46: 6387–6392.
- 40. Cabral H, Matsumoto Y, Mizuno K, et al. (2011) Accumulation of sub-100 nm polymeric micelles in poorly permeable tumours depends on size. *Nat Nanotechnol* 6: 815–823.
- 41. Oku N (2017) Innovations in liposomal dds technology and its application for the treatment of various diseases. *Biol Pharm Bull* 40: 119–127.
- 42. Kinoshita R, Ishima Y, Chuang VTG, et al. (2017) Improved anticancer effects of albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticle via augmentation of epr effect and albumin-protein interactions using s-nitrosated human serum albumin dimer. *Biomaterials* 140: 162–169.

177

- 43. Duncan R (2006) Polymer conjugates as anticancer nanomedicines. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 688-701.
- 44. Yokoyama M (2014) Polymeric micelles as drug carriers: Their lights and shadows. *J Drug Targeting* 22: 576–583.
- 45. Jain RK, Stylianopoulos T (2010) Delivering nanomedicine to solid tumors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 7: 653–664.
- 46. Allen TM, Cullis PR (2013) Liposomal drug delivery systems: From concept to clinical applications. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev* 65: 36–48.
- 47. Bae Y, Nishiyama N, Fukushima S, et al. (2005) Preparation and biological characterization of polymeric micelle drug carriers with intracellular ph-triggered drug release property: Tumor permeability, controlled subcellular drug distribution, and enhanced in vivo antitumor efficacy. *Bioconjugate Chem* 16: 122–130.
- 48. Kraft JC, Freeling JP, Wang Z, et al. (2014) Emerging research and clinical development trends of liposome and lipid nanoparticle drug delivery systems. *J Pharm Sci* 103: 29–52.
- 49. Rao W, Pan N, Yang Z (2016) Applications of the single-probe: Mass spectrometry imaging and single cell analysis under ambient conditions. *J Visualized Exp JoVE* 2016: 53911.
- 50. Calligaris D, Feldman DR, Norton I, et al. (2015) Molecular typing of meningiomas by desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging for surgical decision-making. *Int J Mass Spectrom* 377: 690–698.
- 51. Fenn JB, Mann M, Meng CK, et al. (1989) Electrospray ionization for mass spectrometry of large biomolecules. *Science* 246: 64–71.
- 52. Takats Z, Wiseman JM, Gologan B, et al. (2004) Mass spectrometry sampling under ambient conditions with desorption electrospray ionization. *Science* 306: 471–473.
- 53. Parrot D, Papazian S, Foil D, et al. (2018) Imaging the unimaginable: Desorption electrospray ionization—imaging mass spectrometry (desi-ims) in natural product research. *Planta Med.*
- 54. Cooks RG, Ouyang Z, Takats Z, et al. (2006) Detection technologies. Ambient mass spectrometry. *Science* 311: 1566–1570.
- 55. Zimmerman TA, Monroe EB, Tucker KR, et al. (2008) Chapter 13: Imaging of cells and tissues with mass spectrometry: Adding chemical information to imaging. *Methods Cell Biol* 89: 361–390.
- 56. Signor L, Boeri EE (2013) Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight (maldi-tof) mass spectrometric analysis of intact proteins larger than 100 kda. *J Visualized Exp JoVE* 108: e50635.
- 57. Sudhir PR, Wu HF, Zhou ZC (2005) Identification of peptides using gold nanoparticle-assisted single-drop microextraction coupled with ap-maldi mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 77: 7380–7385.
- 58. Abdelhamid HN, Wu HF (2012) A method to detect metal-drug complexes and their interactions with pathogenic bacteria via graphene nanosheet assist laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry and biosensors. *Anal Chim Acta* 751: 94–104.
- 59. Abdelhamid HN, Wu HF (2013) Furoic and mefenamic acids as new matrices for matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization-(maldi)-mass spectrometry. *Talanta* 115: 442–450.
- 60. Nasser AH, Wu BS, Wu HF (2014) Graphene coated silica applied for high ionization matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry: A novel approach for environmental and biomolecule analysis. *Talanta* 126: 27–37.
- 61. Abdelhamid HN, Wu HF (2015) Synthesis of a highly dispersive sinapinic acid@graphene oxide (sa@go) and its applications as a novel surface assisted laser desorption/ionization mass

178

spectrometry for proteomics and pathogenic bacteria biosensing. Analyst 140: 1555-1565.

- 62. Abdelhamid HN, Wu HF (2016) Gold nanoparticles assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry and applications: From simple molecules to intact cells. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 408: 4485–4502.
- 63. Harada T, Yuba-Kubo A, Sugiura Y, et al. (2009) Visualization of volatile substances in different organelles with an atmospheric-pressure mass microscope. *Anal Chem* 81: 9153–9157.
- 64. Saito Y, Waki M, Hameed S, et al. (2012) Development of imaging mass spectrometry. *Biol Pharm Bull* 35: 1417–1424.
- 65. Sugiura Y, Honda K, Suematsu M (2015) Development of an imaging mass spectrometry technique for visualizing localized cellular signaling mediators in tissues. *Mass Spectrom* 4: A0040.
- 66. Setou M, Kurabe N (2011) Mass microscopy: High-resolution imaging mass spectrometry. J Electron Microsc 60: 47–56.
- 67. Maeda H (2001) Smancs and polymer-conjugated macromolecular drugs: Advantages in cancer chemotherapy. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev* 46: 169–185.
- 68. Barenholz Y (2012) Doxil(r)—the first fda-approved nano-drug: Lessons learned. *J Controlled Release* 160: 117–134.
- 69. Giordano G, Pancione M, Olivieri N, et al. (2017) Nano albumin bound-paclitaxel in pancreatic cancer: Current evidences and future directions. *World J Gastroenterol* 23: 5875–5886.
- 70. Kogure K, Akita H, Yamada Y, et al. (2008) Multifunctional envelope-type nano device (mend) as a non-viral gene delivery system. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev* 60: 559–571.
- 71. Sugaya A, Hyodo I, Koga Y, et al. (2016) Utility of epirubicin-incorporating micelles tagged with anti-tissue factor antibody clone with no anticoagulant effect. *Cancer Sci* 107: 335–340.
- 72. Hiyama E, Ali A, Amer S, et al. (2015) Direct lipido-metabolomics of single floating cells for analysis of circulating tumor cells by live single-cell mass spectrometry. *Anal Sci* 31: 1215–1217.
- Hamaguchi T, Matsumura Y, Suzuki M, et al. (2005) Nk105, a paclitaxel-incorporating micellar nanoparticle formulation, can extend in vivo antitumour activity and reduce the neurotoxicity of paclitaxel. *Br J Cancer* 92: 1240–1246.
- 74. Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, et al. (2012) Trastuzumab emtansine for her2-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 367: 1783–1791.
- 75. Younes A, Gopal AK, Smith SE, et al. (2012) Results of a pivotal phase ii study of brentuximab vedotin for patients with relapsed or refractory hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 2183–2189.
- Pro B, Advani R, Brice P, et al. (2012) Brentuximab vedotin (sgn-35) in patients with relapsed or refractory systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma: Results of a phase ii study. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 2190–2196.
- 77. Doronina SO, Toki BE, Torgov MY, et al. (2003) Development of potent monoclonal antibody auristatin conjugates for cancer therapy. *Nat Biotechnol* 21: 778–784.
- 78. Lyon RP, Bovee TD, Doronina SO, et al. (2015) Reducing hydrophobicity of homogeneous antibody-drug conjugates improves pharmacokinetics and therapeutic index. *Nat Biotechnol* 33: 733–735.
- 79. Hisada Y, Yasunaga M, Hanaoka S, et al. (2013) Discovery of an uncovered region in fibrin clots and its clinical significance. *Sci Rep* 3: 2604.
- 80. Takashima H, Tsuji AB, Saga T, et al. (2017) Molecular imaging using an anti-human tissue factor monoclonal antibody in an orthotopic glioma xenograft model. *Sci Rep* 7: 12341.

- 81. Koga Y, Manabe S, Aihara Y, et al. (2015) Antitumor effect of antitissue factor antibody-mmae conjugate in human pancreatic tumor xenografts. *Int J Cancer* 137: 1457–1466.
- 82. Rao W, Celiz AD, Scurr DJ, et al. (2013) Ambient desi and lesa-ms analysis of proteins adsorbed to a biomaterial surface using in-situ surface tryptic digestion. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 24: 1927–1936.
- 83. Takahashi T, Serada S, Ako M, et al. (2013) New findings of kinase switching in gastrointestinal stromal tumor under imatinib using phosphoproteomic analysis. *Int J Cancer* 133: 2737–2743.
- 84. Emara S, Amer S, Ali A, et al. (2017) Single-cell metabolomics. Adv Exp Med Biol 965: 323–343.
- 85. Matsumura Y (2012) Cancer stromal targeting (cast) therapy. Adv Drug Delivery Rev 64: 710-719.
- Yasunaga M, Manabe S, Tarin D, et al. (2011) Cancer-stroma targeting therapy by cytotoxic immunoconjugate bound to the collagen 4 network in the tumor tissue. *Bioconjugate Chem* 22: 1776–1783.
- 87. Yasunaga M, Manabe S, Tarin D, et al. (2013) Tailored immunoconjugate therapy depending on a quantity of tumor stroma. *Cancer Sci* 104: 231–237.
- 88. Yasunaga M, Manabe S, Matsumura Y (2017) Immunoregulation by il-7r-targeting antibody-drug conjugates: Overcoming steroid-resistance in cancer and autoimmune disease. *Sci Rep* 7: 10735.



© 2018 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)